

Clustering Model

November 3, 2013

1 The Model

Consider a set of potential signals $\{\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_J\}$ where these signals represent a m dimensional vectorized spatial field. For a given year t we have a vector $\mathbf{y}_t = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{y}_t^o \\ \mathbf{y}_t^u \end{pmatrix}$ where \mathbf{y}_t^o is a $n_t \times 1$ vector of observed values at the spatial random field at time t with $n_t \ll m$ and \mathbf{y}_t^u is a $m - n_t \times 1$ vector of unobserved values at the spatial random field at time t . We can write our model statement as

$$\mathbf{y}_t = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{y}_t^o \\ \mathbf{y}_t^u \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{K}_t^o \\ \mathbf{K}_t^u \end{pmatrix} \mathbf{X} \boldsymbol{\beta}_t + \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_t = \mathbf{K}_t \mathbf{X} \boldsymbol{\beta}_t + \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_t$$

where \mathbf{K}_t is a matrix that selects which observations are observed or unobserved, \mathbf{X} is a matrix where the j^{th} column is the vector \mathbf{x}_j , $\boldsymbol{\beta}_t$ is a coefficient vector, and $\boldsymbol{\epsilon}_t \sim N(\mathbf{0}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma})$. The matrix \mathbf{X} can be thought of as a “palette” of potential signals (either endogenous to y_t , exogenous to y_t , or a mixture of endogenous and exogenous signals) that are assumed to be representative of the states of the system. For our purposes, \mathbf{X} is the 116 years of PRISM data.

2 Full Dimensional Model Statement

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{y}_t &= \mathbf{K}_t \mathbf{X} \boldsymbol{\alpha}_t + \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_t \\ \boldsymbol{\alpha}_t &\sim N(\boldsymbol{\mu}_\alpha, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_\alpha) \\ \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_\alpha &\sim \sigma_\alpha^2 \mathbf{I}_{\tau \times \tau} \\ \boldsymbol{\mu}_\beta &\sim N(\boldsymbol{\mu}_0, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_0) \\ \sigma_\alpha^2 &\sim IG(\alpha_\alpha, \beta_\alpha) \\ \sigma_\epsilon^2 &\sim IG(\alpha_\epsilon, \beta_\epsilon) \end{aligned}$$

where \mathbf{X} is a matrix of 116 years of PRISM data, \mathbf{y}_t is the fort data at year t , \mathbf{K}_t is the locator matrix that ties the observation location to the full grid. Let T represent the number of years of fort data, n_t the number of observations for each year $t = 1, \dots, T$, N is the number of cells on the spatial grid and τ is the number of years of PRISM data.

3 Reduced Dimensional Model Statement

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{y}_t &= \mathbf{K}_t \mathbf{X} \boldsymbol{\alpha}_t + \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_t \\ &= \mathbf{K}_t \mathbf{U} \mathbf{D} \mathbf{V}^T \boldsymbol{\alpha}_t + \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_t \\ &= \mathbf{K}_t \tilde{\mathbf{X}} \boldsymbol{\beta}_t + \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_t \end{aligned}$$

where UDV^T is the singular value decomposition of \mathbf{X} , $\tilde{\mathbf{X}} = \mathbf{X}\mathbf{U}$ is the data matrix rotated by the left singular vectors, and $\boldsymbol{\beta} = D\mathbf{V}^T\boldsymbol{\alpha}$ is the vector of parameters rescaled by the diagonal matrix D of singular values and rotated by the right singular vector \mathbf{V}^T .

Since $\boldsymbol{\beta} = D\mathbf{V}^T\boldsymbol{\alpha}$ is a linear transformation of $\boldsymbol{\alpha}$

$$\boldsymbol{\beta} \sim N(D\mathbf{V}^T\boldsymbol{\mu}_\alpha, D\mathbf{V}^T\sigma_\alpha^2\mathbf{V}D^T) = N(\boldsymbol{\mu}_\beta, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_\beta)$$

where $D\mathbf{V}^T\boldsymbol{\mu}_\alpha$ is a linear transformation of the mean vector and $D\mathbf{V}^T\sigma_\alpha^2\mathbf{V}D^T = \sigma_\alpha^2 D\mathbf{V}^T\mathbf{V}D^T = \sigma_\alpha^2 D D^T = \sigma_\alpha^2 \boldsymbol{\Lambda} = \sigma_\beta^2 \boldsymbol{\Lambda}$ where $\boldsymbol{\Lambda}$ is the matrix of squared singular values on the diagonal.

4 Issues

1. There needs to be shrinkage towards the overall historic mean temperature surface for the years where the number of observations n_t in \mathbf{y}_t is small. This can be accomplished using a penalty such as LASSO, by the inclusion of a strong prior on β_t , or using a k-fold cross validation on the mean square prediction error.
2. There is likely to be very high multicollinearity in the \mathbf{X} matrix. The use of a singular value decomposition de-correlates \mathbf{X} and the discarding of higher order singular value terms of $\tilde{\mathbf{X}}$ increases computational efficiency and reduces noise in the temperature reconstructions.

5 Posterior

$$\prod_{t=1}^T [\beta_t, \mu_t, \sigma_\beta^2, \sigma_\epsilon^2 | \mathbf{y}_t] = \prod_{t=1}^T [\mathbf{y}_t | \beta_t, \sigma_\epsilon^2] [\beta_t | \mu_\beta, \sigma_\beta^2] [\sigma_\epsilon^2 \mathbf{I}_{n_t \times n_t}] [\sigma_\beta^2] [\mu_\beta]$$

6 Full Conditionals

6.1 β_t

For $t = 1, \dots, T$,

$$\begin{aligned} [\beta_t | \cdot] &\propto [\mathbf{y}_t | \beta_t, \sigma_\epsilon^2] [\beta_t | \mu_\beta, \sigma_\beta^2] \\ &\propto e^{-\frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{y}_t - \mathbf{K}_t \tilde{\mathbf{X}} \beta_t)^T (\sigma_\epsilon^2 \mathbf{I})^{-1} (\mathbf{y}_t - \mathbf{K}_t \tilde{\mathbf{X}} \beta_t)} e^{-\frac{1}{2}(\beta_t - \mu_\beta)^T (\sigma_\beta^2 \boldsymbol{\Lambda})^{-1} (\beta_t - \mu_\beta)} \\ &\propto e^{-\frac{1}{2}\{\beta_t^T (\tilde{\mathbf{X}}^T \mathbf{K}_t^T (\sigma_\epsilon^2 \mathbf{I})^{-1} \mathbf{K}_t \tilde{\mathbf{X}} + (\sigma_\beta^2 \boldsymbol{\Lambda})^{-1}) \beta_t - 2\beta_t^T (\tilde{\mathbf{X}}^T \mathbf{K}_t^T (\sigma_\epsilon^2 \mathbf{I})^{-1} \mathbf{y}_t + (\sigma_\beta^2 \boldsymbol{\Lambda})^{-1} \mu_\beta)\}} \end{aligned}$$

which is Normal with mean $= (\tilde{\mathbf{X}}^T \mathbf{K}_t^T (\sigma_\epsilon^2 \mathbf{I})^{-1} \mathbf{K}_t \tilde{\mathbf{X}} + (\sigma_\beta^2 \boldsymbol{\Lambda})^{-1})^{-1} (\tilde{\mathbf{X}}^T \mathbf{K}_t^T (\sigma_\epsilon^2 \mathbf{I})^{-1} \mathbf{y}_t + (\sigma_\beta^2 \boldsymbol{\Lambda})^{-1} \mu_\beta)$ and variance $= (\tilde{\mathbf{X}}^T \mathbf{K}_t^T (\sigma_\epsilon^2 \mathbf{I})^{-1} \mathbf{K}_t \tilde{\mathbf{X}} + (\sigma_\beta^2 \boldsymbol{\Lambda})^{-1})^{-1}$

6.2 μ_β

$$\begin{aligned} [\mu_\beta | \cdot] &\propto \prod_{t=1}^T [\beta_t | \mu_\beta, \sigma_\beta^2] [\mu_\beta] \\ &\propto e^{-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{t=1}^T (\beta_t - \mu_\beta)^T (\sigma_\beta^2 \boldsymbol{\Lambda})^{-1} (\beta_t - \mu_\beta)} e^{-\frac{1}{2} (\mu_\beta - \mu_0)^T \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_0^{-1} (\mu_\beta - \mu_0)} \\ &\propto e^{-\frac{1}{2} (\mu_\beta^T (T * (\sigma_\beta^2 \boldsymbol{\Lambda})^{-1} + \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_0^{-1}) \mu_\beta - 2\mu_\beta^T (\sum_{t=1}^T (\sigma_\beta^2 \boldsymbol{\Lambda})^{-1} \beta_t + \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_0^{-1} \mu_0))} \end{aligned}$$

which is multivariate normal with mean $(T * (\sigma_\beta^2 \boldsymbol{\Lambda})^{-1} + \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_0^{-1})^{-1} (\sum_{t=1}^T (\sigma_\beta^2 \boldsymbol{\Lambda})^{-1} \beta_t + \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_0^{-1} \mu_0)$ and variance $(T * (\sigma_\beta^2 \boldsymbol{\Lambda})^{-1} + \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_0^{-1})^{-1}$

6.3 σ_β^2

$$\begin{aligned}
[\sigma_\beta^2 | \cdot] &\propto \prod_{t=1}^T [\beta_t | \mu_\beta, \sigma_\beta^2] [\sigma_\beta^2] \\
&\propto \left(\prod_{t=1}^T |\sigma_\beta^2 \mathbf{\Lambda}|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right) e^{-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{t=1}^T (\beta_t - \mu_t)^T (\sigma_\beta^2 \mathbf{\Lambda})^{-1} (\beta_t - \mu_t)} (\sigma_\beta^2)^{-(\alpha_\beta + 1)} e^{-\frac{\beta_\beta}{\sigma_\beta^2}} \\
&\propto (\sigma_\beta^2)^{-(\alpha_\beta + \frac{T^* \|\mathbf{\Lambda}\|}{2} + 1)} e^{-\frac{1}{\sigma_\beta^2} (\frac{1}{2} \sum_{t=1}^T (\beta_t - \mu_t)^T (\mathbf{\Lambda})^{-1} (\beta_t - \mu_t) + \beta_\beta)}
\end{aligned}$$

which is $\text{IG}(\alpha_\beta + \frac{T^* \|\mathbf{\Lambda}\|}{2}, \frac{1}{2} \sum_{t=1}^T (\beta_t - \mu_t)^T (\mathbf{\Lambda})^{-1} (\beta_t - \mu_t) + \beta_\beta)$

6.4 σ_ϵ^2

$$\begin{aligned}
[\sigma_\epsilon^2 | \cdot] &\propto \prod_{t=1}^T [\mathbf{y}_t | \beta_t, \sigma_\epsilon^2] [\sigma_\epsilon^2] \\
&\propto \left(\prod_{t=1}^T |\sigma_\epsilon^2 \mathbf{I}_{n_t \times n_t}|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right) e^{-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{t=1}^T (\mathbf{y}_t - \mathbf{K}_t \tilde{\mathbf{X}} \beta_t)^T (\sigma_\epsilon^2 \mathbf{I}_{n_t \times n_t})^{-1} (\mathbf{y}_t - \mathbf{K}_t \tilde{\mathbf{X}} \beta_t)} (\sigma_\epsilon^2)^{-\alpha_\epsilon + 1} e^{-\frac{\beta_\epsilon}{\sigma_\epsilon^2}} \\
&\propto (\sigma_\epsilon^2)^{-(\frac{1}{2} \sum_{t=1}^T n_t + \alpha_\epsilon + 1)} e^{-\frac{1}{\sigma_\epsilon^2} (\frac{1}{2} \sum_{t=1}^T (\mathbf{y}_t - \mathbf{K}_t \tilde{\mathbf{X}} \beta_t)^T (\mathbf{y}_t - \mathbf{K}_t \tilde{\mathbf{X}} \beta_t) + \beta_\epsilon)}
\end{aligned}$$

which is $\text{IG}(\frac{1}{2} \sum_{t=1}^T n_t + \alpha_\epsilon, \frac{1}{2} \sum_{t=1}^T (\mathbf{y}_t - \mathbf{K}_t \tilde{\mathbf{X}} \beta_t)^T (\mathbf{y}_t - \mathbf{K}_t \tilde{\mathbf{X}} \beta_t) + \beta_\epsilon)$

7 Future Work

1. Work on implementing a k-fold cross validation for the selection of the variance component σ_β^2 based on mean square prediction error. This should reduce variability in years with few fort temperature observations.
2. Consider a measurement error term σ_ϵ^2 that varies in time (or even by site). It seems likely that there are inconsistencies in measurement of the fort data temperature that could result in prediction error.

8 Shrinkage

8.1 β_t

Consider what happens to the full conditional distribution of β_t when $\sigma_\beta^2 \rightarrow 0$.

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{Var}(\beta_t) &= (\tilde{\mathbf{X}} \mathbf{K}_t^T (\sigma_\epsilon^2 \mathbf{I})^{-1} \mathbf{K}_t \tilde{\mathbf{X}}^T + (\sigma_\beta^2 \mathbf{\Lambda})^{-1})^{-1} \\
&= (\tilde{\mathbf{X}} \mathbf{K}_t^T (\sigma_\epsilon^2 \mathbf{I})^{-1} \mathbf{K}_t \tilde{\mathbf{X}}^T + 1/\sigma_\beta^2 (\mathbf{\Lambda})^{-1})^{-1} \\
&\approx (1/\sigma_\beta^2 (\mathbf{\Lambda})^{-1})^{-1} \\
&= \sigma_\beta^2 \mathbf{\Lambda} \\
&\rightarrow 0
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{E}(\beta_t) &= (\tilde{\mathbf{X}} \mathbf{K}_t^T (\sigma_\epsilon^2 \mathbf{I})^{-1} \mathbf{K}_t \tilde{\mathbf{X}}^T + (\sigma_\beta^2 \mathbf{\Lambda})^{-1})^{-1} (\tilde{\mathbf{X}}^T \mathbf{K}_t^T (\sigma_\epsilon^2 \mathbf{I})^{-1} \mathbf{y}_t + (\sigma_\beta^2 \mathbf{\Lambda})^{-1} \mu_\beta) \\
&= (\tilde{\mathbf{X}} \mathbf{K}_t^T (\sigma_\epsilon^2 \mathbf{I})^{-1} \mathbf{K}_t \tilde{\mathbf{X}}^T + 1/\sigma_\beta^2 (\mathbf{\Lambda})^{-1})^{-1} (\tilde{\mathbf{X}}^T \mathbf{K}_t^T (\sigma_\epsilon^2 \mathbf{I})^{-1} \mathbf{y}_t + 1/\sigma_\beta^2 (\mathbf{\Lambda})^{-1} \mu_\beta) \\
&\approx (1/\sigma_\beta^2 (\mathbf{\Lambda})^{-1})^{-1} (1/\sigma_\beta^2 (\mathbf{\Lambda})^{-1} \mu_\beta) \\
&= \mu_\beta
\end{aligned}$$

8.2 $\boldsymbol{\mu}_\beta$

As $\sigma_\beta^2 \rightarrow 0$

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{Var}(\boldsymbol{\mu}_\beta) &= (T * (\sigma_\beta^2 \boldsymbol{\Lambda})^{-1} + \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_0^{-1})^{-1} \\
&= (T * 1/\sigma_\beta^2 (\boldsymbol{\Lambda})^{-1} + \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_0^{-1})^{-1} \\
&\approx (T * 1/\sigma_\beta^2 (\boldsymbol{\Lambda})^{-1})^{-1} \\
&= \sigma_\beta^2 / T * \boldsymbol{\Lambda} \\
&\rightarrow 0
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{E}(\boldsymbol{\mu}_\beta) &= (T * (\sigma_\beta^2 \boldsymbol{\Lambda})^{-1} + \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_0^{-1})^{-1} \left(\sum_{t=1}^T (\sigma_\beta^2 \boldsymbol{\Lambda})^{-1} \boldsymbol{\beta}_t + \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_0^{-1} \boldsymbol{\mu}_0 \right) \\
&= (T * 1/\sigma_\beta^2 (\boldsymbol{\Lambda})^{-1} + \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_0^{-1})^{-1} (1/\sigma_\beta^2 (\boldsymbol{\Lambda})^{-1} \sum_{t=1}^T \boldsymbol{\beta}_t + \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_0^{-1} \boldsymbol{\mu}_0) \\
&\approx (T * 1/\sigma_\beta^2 (\boldsymbol{\Lambda})^{-1})^{-1} (1/\sigma_\beta^2 (\boldsymbol{\Lambda})^{-1} \sum_{t=1}^T \boldsymbol{\beta}_t) \\
&= \sum_{t=1}^T \boldsymbol{\beta}_t / T
\end{aligned}$$