1 The Model

1.1 Data Model

$$\left[\boldsymbol{y}_{o}\big|\boldsymbol{\beta},\sigma^{2}\right] = \frac{1}{\tau}\sum_{\boldsymbol{\gamma}\in\boldsymbol{\Gamma}}\left[\boldsymbol{y}_{c}\Big|\boldsymbol{\beta}_{\boldsymbol{\gamma}},\sigma^{2},\boldsymbol{\gamma}\right]\left[\boldsymbol{\gamma}\big|\boldsymbol{y}_{c},\sigma^{2}\right]$$

where τ is the number of models under consideration.

1.2 Parameter Model

$$[\beta_0] \propto 1$$

$$[\beta_j | \sigma^2, \lambda_j, \gamma_j] \stackrel{iid}{\sim} \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \gamma_j = 0 \\ N\left(0, \frac{\sigma^2}{\lambda_j}\right) & \text{if } \gamma_j = 1 \end{cases}$$

$$[\sigma^2] \propto \frac{1}{\sigma^2}$$

$$[\gamma_j] \propto \text{Bern}(\pi_j) \qquad \text{for } j = 1, \dots, p$$

where π_j and λ_j are fixed hyperpriors for $j = 1, \ldots, p$.

1.3 Posterior

For a given model indexed by γ , the posterior distribution is

$$\begin{split} \left[\beta_{\boldsymbol{\gamma}}, \sigma^{2}, \boldsymbol{\gamma} \middle| \boldsymbol{y}_{o}, \boldsymbol{X}_{o}, \boldsymbol{X}_{a}\right] &= \int \left[\boldsymbol{y}_{a}, \beta_{\boldsymbol{\gamma}}, \sigma^{2}, \boldsymbol{\gamma} \middle| \boldsymbol{y}_{o}, \boldsymbol{X}_{o}, \boldsymbol{X}_{a}\right] \, d\boldsymbol{y}_{a} \\ &= \frac{\int \left[\boldsymbol{y}_{o}, \middle| \beta_{\boldsymbol{\gamma}}, \sigma^{2}, \boldsymbol{\gamma}, \boldsymbol{X}_{o}\right] \left[\boldsymbol{y}_{a} \middle| \beta_{\boldsymbol{\gamma}}, \sigma^{2}, \boldsymbol{\gamma}, \boldsymbol{X}_{a}\right] \left[\beta_{\boldsymbol{\gamma}}, \sigma^{2} \middle| \boldsymbol{\gamma}\right] [\boldsymbol{\gamma}] \, d\boldsymbol{y}_{a}}{\sum_{\boldsymbol{\gamma} \in \boldsymbol{\Gamma}} \int \int \int \left[\boldsymbol{y}_{o}, \middle| \beta_{\boldsymbol{\gamma}}, \sigma^{2}, \boldsymbol{\gamma}, \boldsymbol{X}_{o}\right] \left[\boldsymbol{y}_{a} \middle| \beta_{\boldsymbol{\gamma}}, \sigma^{2}, \boldsymbol{\gamma}, \boldsymbol{X}_{a}\right] \left[\beta_{\boldsymbol{\gamma}}, \sigma^{2} \middle| \boldsymbol{\gamma}\right] [\boldsymbol{\gamma}] \left\{\int \left[\boldsymbol{y}_{a} \middle| \beta_{\boldsymbol{\gamma}}, \sigma^{2}, \boldsymbol{\gamma}, \boldsymbol{X}_{a}\right] \, d\boldsymbol{y}_{a}\right\} \\ &= \frac{\left[\boldsymbol{y}_{o}, \middle| \beta_{\boldsymbol{\gamma}}, \sigma^{2}, \boldsymbol{\gamma}, \boldsymbol{X}_{o}\right] \left[\beta_{\boldsymbol{\gamma}}, \sigma^{2} \middle| \boldsymbol{\gamma}\right] [\boldsymbol{\gamma}] \left\{\int \left[\boldsymbol{y}_{a} \middle| \beta_{\boldsymbol{\gamma}}, \sigma^{2}, \boldsymbol{\gamma}, \boldsymbol{X}_{a}\right] \, d\boldsymbol{y}_{a}\right\} \right. \\ &= \frac{\left[\boldsymbol{y}_{o}, \middle| \beta_{\boldsymbol{\gamma}}, \sigma^{2}, \boldsymbol{\gamma}, \boldsymbol{X}_{o}\right] \left[\beta_{\boldsymbol{\gamma}}, \sigma^{2} \middle| \boldsymbol{\gamma}\right] [\boldsymbol{\gamma}]}{\sum_{\boldsymbol{\gamma} \in \boldsymbol{\Gamma}} \int \int \left[\boldsymbol{y}_{o}, \middle| \beta_{\boldsymbol{\gamma}}, \sigma^{2}, \boldsymbol{\gamma}, \boldsymbol{X}_{o}\right] \left[\beta_{\boldsymbol{\gamma}}, \sigma^{2} \middle| \boldsymbol{\gamma}\right] [\boldsymbol{\gamma}] \, d\boldsymbol{y}_{a} \, d\boldsymbol{\beta}_{\boldsymbol{\gamma}} \, d\sigma^{2}} \\ &= \left[\beta_{\boldsymbol{\gamma}}, \sigma^{2}, \boldsymbol{\gamma} \middle| \boldsymbol{y}_{o}, \boldsymbol{X}_{o}\right] \\ &= \left[\beta_{\boldsymbol{\gamma}}, \sigma^{2}, \boldsymbol{\gamma}, \boldsymbol{\gamma} \middle| \boldsymbol{y}_{o}, \boldsymbol{X}_{o}\right] \end{array}$$

which is independent of the augmented data (y_a, X_a) . For the Gibbs sampler we use the posterior definition of

$$\left[oldsymbol{eta_{\gamma}}, \sigma^2, \gamma \middle| oldsymbol{y}_o, oldsymbol{X}_o, oldsymbol{X}_a
ight] \propto \int \left[oldsymbol{y}_o, oldsymbol{eta_{\gamma}}, \sigma^2, \gamma, oldsymbol{X}_o
ight] \left[oldsymbol{y}_a \middle| oldsymbol{eta_{\gamma}}, \sigma^2, \gamma, oldsymbol{X}_a
ight] \left[oldsymbol{eta_{\gamma}}, \sigma^2 \middle| \gamma
ight] \left[oldsymbol{\gamma}\right] \left[oldsymbol{y}\right] \left[oldsymbol{y}\right]$$

2 Posteriors

2.1 Posterior for β_{γ}

$$\begin{split} \left[\boldsymbol{\beta_{\gamma}} \middle| \sigma^{2}, \boldsymbol{\gamma}, \boldsymbol{y}_{o} \right] &\propto \left[\boldsymbol{y}_{o} \middle| \boldsymbol{\beta_{\gamma}}, \sigma^{2}, \boldsymbol{\gamma} \right] \left[\boldsymbol{\beta_{\gamma}} \middle| \sigma^{2}, \boldsymbol{\gamma} \right] \\ &\propto \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2\sigma^{2}} \left(\boldsymbol{y}_{o} - \boldsymbol{X}_{o\boldsymbol{\gamma}} \boldsymbol{\beta_{\gamma}} \right)^{T} \left(\boldsymbol{y}_{o} - \boldsymbol{X}_{o\boldsymbol{\gamma}} \boldsymbol{\beta_{\gamma}} \right) \right\} \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2\sigma^{2}} \boldsymbol{\beta_{\gamma}^{T}} \boldsymbol{\Delta_{\gamma}} \boldsymbol{\beta_{\gamma}} \right\} \\ &\propto \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2\sigma^{2}} \left[\boldsymbol{\beta_{\gamma}^{T}} \left(\boldsymbol{X}_{o\boldsymbol{\gamma}}^{T} \boldsymbol{X}_{o\boldsymbol{\gamma}} + \boldsymbol{\Delta_{\gamma}} \right) \boldsymbol{\beta_{\gamma}} - 2 \boldsymbol{\beta_{\gamma}^{T}} \boldsymbol{X}_{o\boldsymbol{\gamma}}^{T} \boldsymbol{y}_{o} \right] \right\} \end{split}$$

which is $\text{MVN}(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{\boldsymbol{\gamma}}, \boldsymbol{V}_{\boldsymbol{\beta}})$ where $\tilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{\boldsymbol{\gamma}} = \left(\boldsymbol{X}_{o\boldsymbol{\gamma}}^T \boldsymbol{X}_{o\boldsymbol{\gamma}} + \boldsymbol{\Delta}_{\boldsymbol{\gamma}}\right)^{-1} \boldsymbol{X}_{o\boldsymbol{\gamma}}^T \boldsymbol{y}_o$ and $\boldsymbol{V}_{\boldsymbol{\beta}} = \left(\boldsymbol{X}_{o\boldsymbol{\gamma}}^T \boldsymbol{X}_{o\boldsymbol{\gamma}} + \boldsymbol{\Delta}_{\boldsymbol{\gamma}}\right)^{-1}$.

2.2 Posterior for σ^2

$$\left[\sigma^{2}ig|m{\gamma},m{y}_{o}
ight]=rac{\left[\sigma^{2},m{eta}_{m{\gamma}}ig|m{\gamma},m{y}_{o}
ight]}{\left[m{eta}_{m{\gamma}}ig|\sigma^{2},m{\gamma},m{y}_{o}
ight]}$$

First consider the numerator of the above equation

$$\begin{split} \left[\sigma^{2}, \boldsymbol{\beta}_{\boldsymbol{\gamma}} \middle| \boldsymbol{\gamma}, \boldsymbol{y}_{o}\right] &\propto \left[\boldsymbol{y}_{o} \middle| \boldsymbol{\beta}_{\boldsymbol{\gamma}}, \sigma^{2}, \boldsymbol{\gamma}\right] \left[\boldsymbol{\beta}_{\boldsymbol{\gamma}} \middle| \sigma^{2}, \boldsymbol{\gamma}\right] \left[\sigma^{2}\right] \\ &\propto \left(\sigma^{2}\right)^{-\frac{n_{o}}{2}} \exp \left\{-\frac{1}{2\sigma^{2}} \left(\boldsymbol{y}_{o} - \boldsymbol{X}_{o} \boldsymbol{\gamma} \boldsymbol{\beta}_{\boldsymbol{\gamma}}\right)^{T} \left(\boldsymbol{y}_{o} - \boldsymbol{X}_{o} \boldsymbol{\gamma} \boldsymbol{\beta}_{\boldsymbol{\gamma}}\right)\right\} \left(\sigma^{2} \middle| \boldsymbol{\Delta}_{\boldsymbol{\gamma}}^{+} \middle|\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \exp \left\{-\frac{1}{2\sigma^{2}} \boldsymbol{\beta}_{\boldsymbol{\gamma}}^{T} \boldsymbol{\Delta}_{\boldsymbol{\gamma}} \boldsymbol{\beta}_{\boldsymbol{\gamma}}\right\} \frac{1}{\sigma^{2}} \\ &\propto \left(\sigma^{2}\right)^{-\frac{n_{o}-1}{2}-1} \exp \left\{-\frac{1}{\sigma^{2}} \frac{\left(\boldsymbol{y}_{o} - \boldsymbol{X}_{o} \boldsymbol{\gamma} \boldsymbol{\beta}_{\boldsymbol{\gamma}}\right)^{T} \left(\boldsymbol{y}_{o} - \boldsymbol{X}_{o} \boldsymbol{\gamma} \boldsymbol{\beta}_{\boldsymbol{\gamma}}\right) + \boldsymbol{\beta}_{\boldsymbol{\gamma}}^{T} \boldsymbol{\Delta}_{\boldsymbol{\gamma}} \boldsymbol{\beta}_{\boldsymbol{\gamma}}}{2}\right\} \end{split}$$

Now we average over $\boldsymbol{\beta}_{\gamma}$ by replacing $\boldsymbol{\beta}_{\gamma}$ with its posterior mean $\tilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{\gamma} = \left(\boldsymbol{X}_{o\gamma}^T \boldsymbol{X}_{o\gamma} + \boldsymbol{\Delta}_{\gamma}\right)^{-1} \boldsymbol{X}_{o\gamma}^T \boldsymbol{y}_o$ to get the posterior distribution

$$\left[\sigma^{2}|\boldsymbol{\gamma},\boldsymbol{y}_{o}\right]\propto\left(\sigma^{2}\right)^{-\frac{n_{o}-1}{2}-1}\exp\left\{-\frac{1}{\sigma^{2}}\frac{\left(\boldsymbol{y}_{o}-\boldsymbol{X}_{o}\boldsymbol{\gamma}\tilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}}\boldsymbol{\gamma}\right)^{T}\left(\boldsymbol{y}_{o}-\boldsymbol{X}_{o}\boldsymbol{\gamma}\tilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}}\boldsymbol{\gamma}\right)+\tilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{\boldsymbol{\gamma}}^{T}\boldsymbol{\Delta}\boldsymbol{\gamma}\tilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}}\boldsymbol{\gamma}}{2}\right\}$$

which is
$$\operatorname{IG}\left(\frac{n_o-1}{2}, \frac{\left(\boldsymbol{y}_o-\boldsymbol{X}_o\boldsymbol{\gamma}\tilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}}\boldsymbol{\gamma}\right)^T\left(\boldsymbol{y}_o-\boldsymbol{X}_o\boldsymbol{\gamma}\tilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}}\boldsymbol{\gamma}\right)+\tilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{\boldsymbol{\gamma}}^T\boldsymbol{\Delta}\boldsymbol{\gamma}\tilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}}\boldsymbol{\gamma}}{2}\right)$$
. Now consider the quadratic term

$$\left(\boldsymbol{y}_{o}-\boldsymbol{X}_{o\boldsymbol{\gamma}}\tilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{\boldsymbol{\gamma}}\right)^{T}\left(\boldsymbol{y}_{o}-\boldsymbol{X}_{o\boldsymbol{\gamma}}\tilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{\boldsymbol{\gamma}}\right)=\boldsymbol{y}_{o}^{T}\boldsymbol{y}_{o}-2\tilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{\boldsymbol{\gamma}}^{T}\boldsymbol{X}_{o\boldsymbol{\gamma}}^{T}\boldsymbol{y}_{o}+\tilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{\boldsymbol{\gamma}}^{T}\left(\boldsymbol{X}_{o\boldsymbol{\gamma}}^{T}\boldsymbol{X}_{o\boldsymbol{\gamma}}+\boldsymbol{\Delta}_{\boldsymbol{\gamma}}\right)\tilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{\boldsymbol{\gamma}}$$

Note: Somehow $\tilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{\boldsymbol{\gamma}}^T \boldsymbol{X}_{o\boldsymbol{\gamma}}^T \boldsymbol{y}_o = \boldsymbol{y}_o^T \boldsymbol{X}_{o\boldsymbol{\gamma}} \left(\boldsymbol{X}_{o\boldsymbol{\gamma}}^T \boldsymbol{X}_{o\boldsymbol{\gamma}} + \boldsymbol{\Delta}_{\boldsymbol{\gamma}} \right)^{-1} \boldsymbol{X}_{o\boldsymbol{\gamma}}^T \boldsymbol{y}_o = 0???$

2.3 Posterior for y_a

This posterior is calculated in the same fashion as a posterior predictive distribution of new observations $\tilde{\boldsymbol{y}}$ given new covariates $\tilde{\boldsymbol{X}}$ for simple linear regression as shown $\left[\tilde{\boldsymbol{y}}\middle|\sigma^2,\boldsymbol{y},\tilde{\boldsymbol{X}}\right]\sim \mathrm{N}\left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{X}}\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}},\sigma^2\left(\boldsymbol{I}+\tilde{\boldsymbol{X}}\boldsymbol{V}_{\boldsymbol{\beta}}\tilde{\boldsymbol{X}}^T\right)\right)$ which is

$$\left[\boldsymbol{y}_{a}\middle|\sigma^{2},\boldsymbol{\gamma},\boldsymbol{y}_{o}\right]\sim\operatorname{N}\left(\boldsymbol{X}_{a}\boldsymbol{\gamma}\tilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}}\boldsymbol{\gamma},\sigma^{2}\left(\boldsymbol{I}_{n_{a}}+\boldsymbol{X}_{a}\boldsymbol{\gamma}\left(\boldsymbol{X}_{o\boldsymbol{\gamma}}^{T}\boldsymbol{X}_{o\boldsymbol{\gamma}}+\boldsymbol{\Delta}\boldsymbol{\gamma}\right)^{-1}\boldsymbol{X}_{a\boldsymbol{\gamma}}^{T}\right)\right)$$

Posterior for $\gamma_j, j = 1, \dots, p$

$$\left[\gamma_{j} \middle| \boldsymbol{y}_{a}, \sigma^{2}, \boldsymbol{y}_{o}\right] \propto \operatorname{Bern}\left(\frac{\Psi_{j}\left(\boldsymbol{y}_{a}, \sigma^{2}, \boldsymbol{y}_{o}\right)}{1 + \Psi_{j}\left(\boldsymbol{y}_{a}, \sigma^{2}, \boldsymbol{y}_{o}\right)}\right)$$

3 Full Conditionals

Full Conditional for σ^2 3.1

$$\begin{split} \left[\sigma^{2}|\cdot\right] &\propto \int \left[\boldsymbol{y}_{o}, \left|\boldsymbol{\beta}_{\boldsymbol{\gamma}}, \sigma^{2}, \boldsymbol{\gamma}, \boldsymbol{X}_{o}\right] \left[\boldsymbol{y}_{a} \middle| \boldsymbol{\beta}_{\boldsymbol{\gamma}}, \sigma^{2}, \boldsymbol{\gamma}, \boldsymbol{X}_{a}\right] \left[\boldsymbol{\beta}_{\boldsymbol{\gamma}}, \sigma^{2} \middle| \boldsymbol{\gamma}\right] d\boldsymbol{y}_{a} \\ &\propto \int \left[\boldsymbol{y}_{a} \middle| \boldsymbol{\beta}_{\boldsymbol{\gamma}}, \sigma^{2}, \boldsymbol{\gamma}, \boldsymbol{X}_{a}\right] d\boldsymbol{y}_{a} \left[\boldsymbol{y}_{o}, \left|\boldsymbol{\beta}_{\boldsymbol{\gamma}}, \sigma^{2}, \boldsymbol{\gamma}, \boldsymbol{X}_{o}\right| \left[\boldsymbol{\beta}_{\boldsymbol{\gamma}}, \sigma^{2} \middle| \boldsymbol{\gamma}\right] \right] \\ &\propto \left[\boldsymbol{y}_{o}, \left|\boldsymbol{\beta}_{\boldsymbol{\gamma}}, \sigma^{2}, \boldsymbol{\gamma}, \boldsymbol{X}_{o}\right| \left[\boldsymbol{\beta}_{\boldsymbol{\gamma}}, \sigma^{2} \middle| \boldsymbol{\gamma}\right] \\ &\propto \left(\sigma^{2}\right)^{-\frac{n_{o}}{2}} \exp \left\{-\frac{1}{\sigma^{2}} \frac{\left(\boldsymbol{y}_{o} - \boldsymbol{X}_{o} \boldsymbol{\gamma} \boldsymbol{\beta}_{\boldsymbol{\gamma}}\right)^{T} \left(\boldsymbol{y}_{o} - \boldsymbol{X}_{o} \boldsymbol{\gamma} \boldsymbol{\beta}_{\boldsymbol{\gamma}}\right)}{2}\right\} \left(\sigma^{2}\right)^{-\frac{n_{\boldsymbol{\gamma}}}{2}} \exp \left\{-\frac{1}{\sigma^{2}} \frac{\boldsymbol{\beta}_{\boldsymbol{\gamma}}^{T} \boldsymbol{\Lambda} \boldsymbol{\gamma} \boldsymbol{\beta}_{\boldsymbol{\gamma}}}{2}\right\} \left(\sigma^{2}\right)^{-1} \\ &\propto \left(\sigma^{2}\right)^{-\frac{n_{o}+n_{\boldsymbol{\gamma}}}{2} - 1} \exp \left\{-\frac{1}{\sigma^{2}} \frac{\left(\boldsymbol{y}_{o} - \boldsymbol{X}_{o} \boldsymbol{\gamma} \boldsymbol{\beta}_{\boldsymbol{\gamma}}\right)^{T} \left(\boldsymbol{y}_{o} - \boldsymbol{X}_{o} \boldsymbol{\gamma} \boldsymbol{\beta}_{\boldsymbol{\gamma}}\right) + \boldsymbol{\beta}_{\boldsymbol{\gamma}}^{T} \boldsymbol{\Lambda} \boldsymbol{\gamma} \boldsymbol{\beta}_{\boldsymbol{\gamma}}}{2}\right\} \\ &\text{n is IG} \left(\frac{n_{o}+n_{\boldsymbol{\gamma}}}{2}, \frac{\left(\boldsymbol{y}_{o} - \boldsymbol{X}_{o} \boldsymbol{\gamma} \boldsymbol{\beta}_{\boldsymbol{\gamma}}\right)^{T} \left(\boldsymbol{y}_{o} - \boldsymbol{X}_{o} \boldsymbol{\gamma} \boldsymbol{\beta}_{\boldsymbol{\gamma}}\right) + \boldsymbol{\beta}_{\boldsymbol{\gamma}}^{T} \boldsymbol{\Lambda} \boldsymbol{\gamma} \boldsymbol{\beta}_{\boldsymbol{\gamma}}}{2}\right) \end{split}$$

which is IG
$$\left(\frac{n_o + p\gamma}{2}, \frac{(\boldsymbol{y}_o - \boldsymbol{X}_o \boldsymbol{\gamma} \boldsymbol{\beta}_{\boldsymbol{\gamma}})^T (\boldsymbol{y}_o - \boldsymbol{X}_o \boldsymbol{\gamma} \boldsymbol{\beta}_{\boldsymbol{\gamma}}) + \boldsymbol{\beta}_{\boldsymbol{\gamma}}^T \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_{\boldsymbol{\gamma}} \boldsymbol{\beta}_{\boldsymbol{\gamma}}}{2}\right)$$

Full Conditional for y_a 3.2

Full Conditional for β_{γ} 3.3

$$\begin{split} \left[\boldsymbol{\beta_{\gamma}} \middle| \cdot \right] &\propto \int \left[\boldsymbol{y}_o \middle| \boldsymbol{\beta_{\gamma}}, \sigma^2, \boldsymbol{\gamma} \right] \left[\boldsymbol{y}_a \middle| \boldsymbol{\beta_{\gamma}}, \sigma^2, \boldsymbol{\gamma} \right] \left[\boldsymbol{\beta_{\gamma}} \middle| \sigma^2, \boldsymbol{\gamma} \right] \, d\boldsymbol{y}_a \\ &\propto \left[\boldsymbol{y}_o \middle| \boldsymbol{\beta_{\gamma}}, \sigma^2, \boldsymbol{\gamma} \right] \left[\boldsymbol{\beta_{\gamma}} \middle| \sigma^2, \boldsymbol{\gamma} \right] \int \left[\boldsymbol{y}_a \middle| \boldsymbol{\beta_{\gamma}}, \sigma^2, \boldsymbol{\gamma} \right] \, d\boldsymbol{y}_a \\ &\propto \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \left(\boldsymbol{y}_o - \boldsymbol{X}_o \boldsymbol{\gamma} \boldsymbol{\beta_{\gamma}} \right)^T \left(\boldsymbol{y}_o - \boldsymbol{X}_o \boldsymbol{\gamma} \boldsymbol{\beta_{\gamma}} \right) \right\} \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \boldsymbol{\beta_{\gamma}}^T \boldsymbol{\Delta_{\gamma}} \boldsymbol{\beta_{\gamma}} \right\} \\ &\propto \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \left[\boldsymbol{\beta_{\gamma}}^T \left(\boldsymbol{X}_o^T \boldsymbol{X}_o \boldsymbol{\gamma} + \boldsymbol{\Delta_{\gamma}} \right) \boldsymbol{\beta_{\gamma}} - 2 \boldsymbol{\beta_{\gamma}}^T \left(\boldsymbol{X}_o^T \boldsymbol{y}_o \right) \right] \right\} \end{split}$$

which is MVN
$$(\boldsymbol{A}^{-1}\boldsymbol{b}, \boldsymbol{A}^{-1})$$
 where $\boldsymbol{A}^{-1} = \left(\boldsymbol{X}_{o\boldsymbol{\gamma}}^T\boldsymbol{X}_{o\boldsymbol{\gamma}} + \boldsymbol{\Delta}_{\boldsymbol{\gamma}}\right)^{-1}$ and $\boldsymbol{b} = \boldsymbol{X}_{o\boldsymbol{\gamma}}^T\boldsymbol{y}_o$

3.4 Full Conditional for γ_i

For j = 1, ..., p and using the fact that $\beta_j = \left(\boldsymbol{X}_{cj}^T \boldsymbol{X}_{cj}\right)^{-1} \boldsymbol{X}_{cj}^T \boldsymbol{y}_c$ and $\boldsymbol{X}_{cj}^T \boldsymbol{X}_{cj} = \delta_j$,

$$\begin{split} & \left[\gamma_{j} | \cdot \right] \propto \left[\boldsymbol{y}_{c}, \left| \beta_{\gamma_{j}}, \sigma^{2}, \gamma_{j}, \boldsymbol{X}_{o}, \boldsymbol{X}_{a}, \boldsymbol{y}_{a} \right] \left[\beta_{\gamma_{j}}, \sigma^{2} \middle| \gamma_{j} \right] \left[\gamma_{j} \right] \\ & \propto \left(\sigma^{2} \right)^{-\frac{\gamma_{j}}{2}} \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2\sigma^{2}} \left(\boldsymbol{y}_{c} - \boldsymbol{X}_{cj} \gamma_{j} \beta_{j} \right)^{T} \left(\boldsymbol{y}_{c} - \boldsymbol{X}_{cj} \gamma_{j} \beta_{j} \right) \right\} \left(\frac{\lambda_{j}}{\sigma^{2}} \right)^{\frac{\gamma_{j}}{2}} \exp \left\{ -\frac{\gamma_{j} \lambda_{j} \beta_{j}^{2}}{2\sigma^{2}} \right\} \pi^{\gamma_{j}} \left(1 - \pi \right)^{1 - \gamma_{j}} \\ & \propto \left[\left(\frac{\lambda_{j}}{\sigma^{2}} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2\sigma^{2}} \left[\beta_{j}^{2} \left(\boldsymbol{X}_{cj}^{T} \boldsymbol{X}_{cj} + \lambda_{j} \right) - 2\beta_{j} \boldsymbol{X}_{cj}^{T} \boldsymbol{y}_{c} \right] \right\} \frac{\pi}{1 - \pi} \right]^{\gamma_{j}} \\ & \propto \left[\left(\frac{\lambda_{j}}{\sigma^{2}} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2\sigma^{2}} \left[\beta_{j}^{2} \left(\delta_{j} + \lambda_{j} \right) - 2\delta_{j} \beta_{j}^{2} \right] \right\} \frac{\pi}{1 - \pi} \right]^{\gamma_{j}} \\ & \propto \Psi^{\gamma_{j}} \end{split}$$

which is Bern $\left(\frac{\Psi}{1+\Psi}\right)$ where $\Psi = \left(\frac{\lambda_j}{\sigma^2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2}\left[\beta_j^2\left(\delta_j + \lambda_j\right) - 2\delta_j\beta_j^2\right]\right\} \frac{\pi}{1-\pi}$

4 Data Augmentation

To perform the model selection and averaging, the "complete" design matrix

$$oldsymbol{X}_c = \left[egin{array}{c} oldsymbol{X}_o \ oldsymbol{X}_a \end{array}
ight]$$

which has orthogonal columns, hence $\boldsymbol{X}_c^T\boldsymbol{X}_c = \boldsymbol{I}$. The matrix \boldsymbol{X}_a is chosen to be the Cholesky decomposition of $\boldsymbol{D} - \boldsymbol{X}_o^T\boldsymbol{X}_o$ where \boldsymbol{D} is a diagonal matrix with $\delta + \varepsilon$ on the diagonal where δ is the largest eigenvalue of $\boldsymbol{X}_o^T\boldsymbol{X}_o$ and $\varepsilon = 0.001$ is added to avoid computationally unstable solutions.