Running head: TITLE

The subjective experience of O*NET work experiences as demands and resources

Alicia Stachowski¹, Renata Garcia Prieto Palacios Roji², & John Kulas²

¹ University of Wisconsin - Stout

² Montclair State University

1

Author Note

- Add complete departmental affiliations for each author here. Each new line herein must be indented, like this line.
- 8 Enter author note here.

5

Correspondence concerning this article should be addressed to Alicia Stachowski,
Menomenie, WI. E-mail: my@email.com

11 Abstract

O*NET work characteristics were rated in terms of relevance, perception of demand, and

perception as resource.

14 Keywords: keywords

Word count: X

The subjective experience of O*NET work experiences as demands and resources

The job demands-resources model (Demerouti, Bakker, Nachreiner, and Schaufeli (2001)) and later job demands-resources theory (Bakker and Demerouti (2017)) have inspired a plethora a study on the process and experience of job stress and burnout in recent decades. In this project, we draw attention to a basic question regarding a key assumption we make regarding this process - that of the objective nature of job demands.

The purpose of this project is to expand on our small body of research on whether job demands can accurately be assigned as a challenge or hinderance demand, or whether, as the literature argues, there is much more individual difference in the appraisal of job demands. Further, we document whether job demands can simultaneously be classified as a resource and a demand.

27 The Stress Process and Challenge-Hinderance Framework

Resources, on the other hand, are physical, psychological, social, or organizational 28 aspects of the job that may help an employee achieve work goals, reduce job demands, or 29 promote personal growth and development (Demerouti, Bakker, Nachreiner, and Schaufeli 30 (2001)). Demerouti, Bakker, Nachreiner, and Schaufeli (2001) define job demands broadly as 31 components of a job that require sustained effort, and as such, produce psychological or 32 physiological strain. Cavanaugh, Boswell, Roehling, and Boudreau (2000) delineated two 33 forms of demands – that of challenge and hinderance demands. Challenge demands promote mastery, personal growth, and future gains. Hinderance demands, in contrast, inhibit growth, learning and goal achievement. This particular distinction has been of value in determining what demands are related to various outcomes, whereby challenge stressors are typically associated with positive outcomes, and hinderance stressors, negative outcomes (e.g., cite). However, one of the key questions we need to ask as researchers pertains to the very basic consideration of appraisals.

Objective vs. Subjective Nature of Demands and Resources: The Role of

42 Appraisal

- Searle and Auton (2015) note that the majority of the research on challenge and
 hinderance framework is based on apriori classifications of demands. However, the stress
 experience or process described early on by Lazarus and Folkman (1984) is grounded in the
 notion that individual appraisals of stressors/demands vary. This suggests that classifying a
 job demand as a challenge, or as a hinderance, might be subject to individual differences in
 appraisal. Some more recent research, in fact, points to that conclusion. Webster, Beehr,
 and Love (2011), for example, studied workload, role ambiguity, and role conflict demands,
 and found while that each could be appraised primarily as challenges or hindrances, they
 could also simultaneously be perceived as being both a challenge and hinderance to different
 degrees.
- Add empirical findings paragraph (1/2 to 1 page): LIST OF ARTICLES
- Sonnega, Helppie-McFall, Hudomiet, Willis, and Fisher (2018) Hess, Smith, and
- Sharifian (2016) Schmitz, McCluney, Sonnega, and Hicken (2019) Downes, Reeves,
- ⁵⁶ McCormick, Boswell, and Butts (2021) Bakker and Demerouti (2014) Bakker and Demerouti
- 57 (2018) LePine, Podsakoff, and LePine (2005)
- ##Current Study and Research Questions
- Study 1 (1/2 page) Study 2 (1/2 page) Study 3 (1/2 page) ====== Bakker and Demerouti (2017)
- and Cavanaugh, Boswell, Roehling, and Boudreau (2000)
- and Sonnega, Helppie-McFall, Hudomiet, Willis, and Fisher (2018)
- Bakker and Demerouti (2017) state that, "...research has shown that challenge
- demands may be experienced as hindrance demands (and vice versa) depending on the

context" (p. 278). We extend this acknowledgement by investigating whether some

- characteristics of work may also vacillate between demand and resource. »»»>
- 67 1a8b1622f7e6e904629adfff00c9ce9df1533ca0

68 Methods

##Study 1 top 15 demands and resources, divided by skilled versus knowledge
workers, ##Study 2 burnout and stress components (correlations), ##Study 3 integration
of JDR with O*Net categories (morphs into descriptives).

We report how we determined our sample size, all data exclusions (if any), all manipulations, and all measures in the study.

- 74 Participants
- 75 Material
- 76 Procedure
- 77 Data analysis

We used R [Version 4.0.5; R Core Team (2020)] and the R-package *papaja* [Version 0.1.0.9997; Aust and Barth (2020)] for all our analyses.

Results

81 Discussion

| 32 | References |
|----|------------|
| | Defense |
| 32 | References |

Aust, F., & Barth, M. (2020). papaja: Create APA manuscripts with R Markdown.

Retrieved from https://github.com/crsh/papaja

- Bakker, A. B., & Demerouti, E. (2014). Job demands—resources theory. Wellbeing: A

 Complete Reference Guide, 1–28.
- Bakker, A. B., & Demerouti, E. (2017). Job demands—resources theory: Taking stock and looking forward. *Journal of Occupational Health Psychology*, 22(3), 273.
- Bakker, A. B., & Demerouti, E. (2018). Multiple levels in job demands-resources
 theory: Implications for employee well-being and performance. *Handbook of*Well-Being.
- Cavanaugh, M. A., Boswell, W. R., Roehling, M. V., & Boudreau, J. W. (2000). An empirical examination of self-reported work stress among US managers. *Journal*of Applied Psychology, 85(1), 65.
- Demerouti, E., Bakker, A. B., Nachreiner, F., & Schaufeli, W. B. (2001). The job demands-resources model of burnout. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 86(3), 499.
- Downes, P. E., Reeves, C. J., McCormick, B. W., Boswell, W. R., & Butts, M. M. (2021). Incorporating job demand variability into job demands theory: A meta-analysis. *Journal of Management*, 47(6), 1630–1656.
- Hess, T. M., Smith, B. T., & Sharifian, N. (2016). Aging and effort expenditure: The impact of subjective perceptions of task demands. *Psychology and Aging*, 31(7), 653–660. https://doi.org/10.1037/pag0000127
- Lazarus, R. S., & Folkman, S. (1984). Stress, appraisal, and coping. Springer publishing company.

| 105 | LePine, J. A., Podsakoff, N. P., & LePine, M. A. (2005). A meta-analytic test of the |
|-----|--|
| 106 | challenge stressor–hindrance stressor framework: An explanation for inconsistent |
| 107 | relationships among stressors and performance. Academy of Management Journal, |
| 108 | 48(5), 764-775. |
| 109 | R Core Team. (2020). R: A language and environment for statistical computing. |
| 110 | Vienna, Austria: R Foundation for Statistical Computing. Retrieved from |
| 111 | https://www.R-project.org/ |
| 112 | Schmitz, L. L., McCluney, C. L., Sonnega, A., & Hicken, M. T. (2019). Interpreting |
| 113 | Subjective and Objective Measures of Job Resources: The Importance of |
| 114 | Sociodemographic Context. International Journal of Environmental Research and |
| 115 | $\label{eq:public Health, 16(17), 3058. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph16173058} Public Health, 16(17), 3058. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph16173058$ |
| 116 | Searle, B. J., & Auton, J. C. (2015). The merits of measuring challenge and |
| 117 | hindrance appraisals. Anxiety, Stress, & Coping, 28(2), 121–143. |
| 118 | Sonnega, A., Helppie-McFall, B., Hudomiet, P., Willis, R. J., & Fisher, G. G. (2018). |
| 119 | A Comparison of Subjective and Objective Job Demands and Fit With Personal |
| 120 | Resources as Predictors of Retirement Timing in a National U.S. Sample. Work, |
| 121 | Aging and Retirement, 4(1), 37–51. https://doi.org/10.1093/workar/wax016 |

Webster, J. R., Beehr, T. A., & Love, K. (2011). Extending the challenge-hindrance

model of occupational stress: The role of appraisal. Journal of Vocational

Behavior, 79(2), 505–516.

122

123

124