2019. 3. 10. PS8_junhoc

MACS30150 PS8 (Question 1)

Dr. Richard Evans

Submitted by Junho Choi

Let us import the necessary functions and packages.

```
In [21]: import pandas as pd
         import numpy as np
         import graphviz
         import os
         from sklearn.model_selection import train_test_split
         from sklearn.tree import DecisionTreeRegressor, DecisionTreeClassifier
         from sklearn.tree import export_graphviz
         from sklearn.model_selection import RandomizedSearchCV
         from scipy.stats import randint as sp_randint
         from scipy.stats import uniform as sp_uniform
         from sklearn.ensemble import RandomForestRegressor as RanForReg
         from sklearn.ensemble import RandomForestClassifier as RanForCla
         from sklearn.linear_model import LogisticRegression as LR
         from sklearn.svm import SVC
         from sklearn.model_selection import LeaveOneOut, KFold
         from sklearn.metrics import classification_report
```

Note that this below line of code was necessary for me to create the visualization for the tree graphs; others may have to adjust their PATH.

```
In [2]: # os.environ["PATH"] += os.pathsep + 'D:/AII/Documents/Graphviz/bin'
```

Problem 1

Problem 1-(a)

Let us first import the dataset from biden.csv.

```
In [22]: biden = pd.read_csv('biden.csv')
```

Let us split the data into training (70%) and test (30%) data, as the question directs.

Now, having set the training and test datasets, let us use the DecisionTreeRegressor to fit the training data. As directed by the question, we will use the conditions max_depth=3 and min_samples_leaf=5.

```
In [24]: dec_tree = DecisionTreeRegressor(max_depth=3, min_samples_leaf=5)
In [25]: dec_tree_tr = dec_tree.fit(X_tr, y_tr)
    y_pred = dec_tree_tr.predict(X_te)
```

2019. 3. 10. PS8_junhoc

Below code will plot the tree graph.

mse = 470.335

samples = 235 value = 56.489 407.088

samples = 233 value = 61.266 mse = 456.775

samples = 123

value = 38.333

```
In [26]:
               tree_graph = export_graphviz(
                      dec_tree,
                      out_file=None,
                      feature names=colnames.
                      # class_names=iris.target_names,
                      rounded=True,
                      filled=True,
               )
               graph = graphviz.Source(tree_graph)
               graph.render('tree_graph')
               graph
Out[26]:
                                                                                                dem <= 0.5
mse = 556.262
                                                                                               samples = 1264
value = 62.165
                                                                                                                    False
                                                                                       True
                                                                          rep <= 0.5
mse = 507.397
                                                                                                                       age <= 54.5
mse = 347.197
                                                                          samples = 724
value = 52.811
                                                                                                                       samples = 540
value = 74.706
                                        female <= 0.5
mse = 444.551
                                                                          female <= 0.5
mse = 432.623
                                                                                                                       educ <= 15.5
mse = 345.027
                                                                                                                                                          female <= 0.5
nse = 330.649
                                        samples = 468
value = 58.868
                                                                          samples = 256
value = 41.738
```

Let us now interpret the above result. Each "split" of the nodes are made based on minimization of the mean squared errors (MSE), and the "value" indicates the mean of the warmth for Biden (i.e. the biden variable). The first split is made based on the variable dem (i.e. whether you are affiliated with Democrats or not). Split to the left, indicating True for dem <= 0.5 is for those who are not affiliated with Democrats; split to the right is. The second set of splits is made based on the variables rep (i.e. Republican affiliation) and age for the left and right sub-trees respectively. The third set of splits is made based on the variables female, female, educ (i.e. years of education), and female.

= 389.649

mse

samples =

value = 44.887

369.301

samples = 247 value = 71.105 258.693

samples = 93 value = 76.591 399.015

samples = 121 value = 80.289

samples = 79 value = 75.19

Using this information, we can find the end nodes (indicating subgroups) that are likely to be the most and least fervent supporters of Biden. In this dataset's training set, those who are affiliated with Democrats, are older than 54.5, and are female (the rightmost node) have the highest average biden value of 80.289; and therefore are the most likely to be supportive of Biden. On the other hand, those who are not affiliated with Democrats, who are affiliated with Republicans, and are not females (the third node from the left) have the lowest average biden value of 38.333; and therefore are the least likely to be supportive of Biden.

Finally, we can find the mean squared error of the test set based on the above model fit; it is found to be approximately 396.19.

Problem 1-(b)

Let us conduct the hyperparameter tuning as direct by the question, using the below code chunks.

```
In [28]: dec_tree_gen = DecisionTreeRegressor()

param_dist1 = {
    'max_depth': [3, 10],
    'min_samples_split': sp_randint(2, 20),
    'min_samples_leaf': sp_randint(2, 20)
}
```

2019. 3. 10. PS8_junhoc

As seen from the above, the parameters that are calculated to be optimally tuned are max_depth being 3, $min_samples_leaf$ being 17, and $min_samples_split$ being 14. Finally, the MSE of the optimal results are calculated to be approximately 401.69.

Problem 1-(c)

As the random forest regression relies on randomness as well, I initialized it with random_state=25 as well. Using the below set of codes, let us conduct the hyperparameter tuning for random forest regression.

```
In [31]: | rfr_gen = RanForReg(random_state=25)
         param_dist2 = {
              'n_estimators': [10, 200],
              max_depth': [3, 10],
              'min_samples_split': sp_randint(2, 20),
              'min_samples_leaf': sp_randint(2, 20),
              'max_features': sp_randint(1, 5)
         }
         random_search2 = ₩
In [32]:
             RandomizedSearchCV(rfr_gen, param_distributions=param_dist2,
                                n_iter=100, n_jobs=-1, cv=5, random_state=25,
                                scoring='neg_mean_squared_error')
In [33]:
        random_search2.fit(Xvals, yvals)
         print('RandBestEstimator2=', random_search2.best_estimator_)
         print('RandBestParams2=', random_search2.best_params_)
         print('RandBestScore2=', -random_search2.best_score_)
         RandBestEstimator2= RandomForestRegressor(bootstrap=True, criterion='mse', max_depth=3,
                    max_features=2, max_leaf_nodes=None, min_impurity_decrease=0.0,
                    min_impurity_split=None, min_samples_leaf=17,
                    min_samples_split=13, min_weight_fraction_leaf=0.0,
                    n_estimators=10, n_jobs=1, oob_score=False, random_state=25,
                    verbose=0, warm_start=False)
         RandBestParams2= {'max_depth': 3, 'max_features': 2, 'min_samples_leaf': 17, 'min_samples_split': 13, 'n_es
         timators': 10}
         RandBestScore2= 397.068109012
```

Based on the above results, it is possible to find out of optimal tuning parameter values. They are as follows: max_depth being 3, max_features being 2, min_samples_leaf being 17, min_samples_split being 13, n_estimators being 10. The MSE of the optimal results can be calculated as being approximately 397.07.