Data, Politics and Society

W6 – Data Protection Legislation





Where we at?

Part I: Data and its role in society

W1
W2
Data: The Good, The Bad, The Ugly
W3

Societal and environmental impacts of data and technology

Where we at?

Part II: Mitigating the risks of working with large-scale datasets

Regulations and governance Crowdsourcing, VGI, and Geographic Citizen Science Critical Data Studies

Today

- Privacy and confidentiality
- Current data protection legislation: GDPR and Data Protection Act
- Repercussions of GDPR in the information age
- Coursework assignment

Data protection

Phillips and Knoppers 2019:

- Data protection refers to "a set of legal rules that aims to protect the rights,
 freedoms, and interests of individuals, whose personal data are collected, stored,
 processed, disseminated, destroyed etc."
- The ultimate objective is to ensure "fairness in the processing of data and, to some extent, fairness in the outcomes of such processing."

Why are we worried about data?

- From cookies to contract-tracing apps, our right as individuals to privacy are being challenged everyday by our use of technology and their pervasive behaviours of collecting data on us.
- We often give our personal data to these services in exchange for goods but we have had little to no control on how this data is used or shared.

Privacy as a right

1948 Universal Declaration of Human Rights Article 12 United Nation:

"No one shall be subjected to arbitrary interference with their privacy, family, home or correspondence, nor to attacks upon their honour and reputation. Everyone has the right to the protection of the law against such interference or attacks."

Why do we care as researchers?

The study of human subjects requires that the interests of individual privacy and data confidentiality are balanced against social benefits of research access and utility.

Terminology

- Utility: Data utility is the value resulting from data use
- Privacy: "encompasses not only the famous 'right to be left alone,' or keeping one's personal matters and relationships secret, but also the ability to share information selectively but not publicly." (President's Council of Advisors on Science and Technology, 2014)
- Confidentiality is "preserving authorized restrictions on information access and disclosure, including means for protecting personal privacy and proprietary information." (McCallister, Grance, and Scarfone, 2010).

Privacy as Contextual Integrity

Nissenbaum 2019:

"Privacy, defined as contextual integrity (CI), is preserved when information flows generated by an action or practice conform to the legitimate contextual informational norms: it is violated when they are breached"

A 'flexible', context-dependent, framework to think about privacy and flows of information.

Privacy as Contextual Integrity

- 1) Privacy is the appropriate flow of personal information.
- 2) Appropriate flows conform with contextual informational norms ('privacy norms').
- 3) Five parameters define privacy norms: subject, sender, recipient, information type, transmission principle.
- 4) The ethical legitimacy of privacy norms is evaluated in terms of: a) interests of affected parties, b) ethical and political values, 3) contextual functions, purposes and values. Example:
 - patient-doctor confidentiality versus data analytics with societal benefits
 - healthcare context must be promoted to avoid patients getting fearful information flows to wrong parties

Privacy and confidentiality in the information age

Who have you shared personal data with in the last 12 months – and who do you trust with your data?



Home Office







UNIVERSITY OF ZIMBABWE



Sainsbury's

Foxtons

Ethics of data

- The use of personal data is an essential tool for research in many settings, including academic, state, public and commercial bodies. But too much personal information can overstep our right to privacy as we become identifiable in the dataset.
- Data that can be linked with another dataset can also lead to reducing us to our individual persons and become identifiable in the dataset.
- A useful way of thinking about maintaining privacy is the notion of preserving the
 appropriate flow of information to prevent this re-identification from happening (i.e. privacy as Contextual Integrity).

Why regulation?

- Risk of data leakages: breaching our expectations of confidentiality by leaking (purposefully or not) our data to others.
- Risk of identification: combining and linking large amounts of our information which could lead to re-identification and a breach of our privacy rights.
- Risk of harm: the use of our data against us to prevent us from accessing certain products or services, or from others misusing our data for harmful purposes.
- *Risk of discrimination*: Related to the former, using our data to discriminate against specific groups. This is theoretically already illegal under Anti-Discrimination Law / equality and freedom human rights.

- The GDPR (General Data Protection Regulations 2016) is the EU regulation covering people's personal data. Active since May 2018 in the UK through implementation in the Data Protection Act.
- Designed to protect individuals' personal information in an era of mass digital data use.
- The Data Protection Legislation imposes much tougher restrictions on how personal data is used and it applies to organisations who use personal data of individuals in the EU.

- Concerned with personal data: any information relating to an identified or an identifiable individual.
- Enhances the rights of individuals over their own data in an era of 'Big Data'.
- Focus on potential harm rather than 'whether a piece of information is shared'.
- Restrictions on collection of data as well as on processing of data that fall under the GDPR regulations.
- Almost all organisations deal with some kind of personal data (e.g. employment records), so effects of its implementation are widespread.
- Applies to any data collection or processing taking place in the EU.

Concerned with personal data:

- address and contact details
- credit scoring history
- correspondence to and from an individual

Greater protection for sensitive data:

- sex life or sexual orientation
- genetic data
- biometric data
- racial or ethnic origin data
- political opinions
- religious or philosophical beliefs
- trade-union membership
- data related to physical or mental health

Personal data can be processed if at least one of the following conditions ("lawful basis") is met:

- consent has been given explicitly
- contract (e.g. specific steps required before signing)
- information is required by law (legal obligation)
- protection of individual's vital interest
- reasons of substantial public interest (public task)
- legitimate interest

Terminology

Terminology:

- Data subject: individual to which the personal data pertains.
- *Data controller*: means the natural or legal person, public authority, agency or other body which, alone or jointly with others, determines the purposes and means of the processing of personal data, i.e. the 'why' and 'how' of processing personal data
- *Data processing*: means a natural or legal person, public authority, agency or other body which processes personal data on behalf of the controller.

Enhanced rights of data subjects

Under GDPR, data subjects have the right to:

- access their personal data
- rectification
- erasure
- restrict processing
- data portability
- object to processing
- object to automated decisions
- to be informed

Data protection by design and default

GDPR expects organisations to put data security and privacy foremost when designing new systems and ways of processing:

- Ensure systems are secure and respect privacy rights by design, and from the outset.
- Minimise the collection of personal data to only that which is necessary for the purpose of processing.
- Anonymise and encrypt wherever possible.
- Use privacy enhancing technologies.

Responsibilities and accountabilities

The GDPR creates new and enhanced requirements for organisations to:

- Document their data processing activities.
- Provide evidence of how personal data is protected.
- Be transparent, lawful and fair in their use of personal data.
- Have a legal basis for processing personal data, e.g. consent or a legal obligation.

Principle-based processing

When processing personal data, the following principles should be observed:

- Lawfulness, fairness, and transparency
- Purpose limitation
- Data minimisation
- Accuracy
- Storage limitation
- Integrity and confidentiality
- Accountability

Lawfulness, fairness, and transparency

For UCL this means that.

- To not process data in a way that is unduly detrimental, unexpected or misleading to the individuals concerned; and;
- Be clear, open and honest with people from the start about how UCL uses their personal data.

To meet these requirement: issue privacy notices

At UCL

Lawfulness of processing of personal data: public task

- Teaching and learning for undergraduate and postgraduate courses
- Ethical research
- Ancillary functions to support core purposes

Additional condition needs to be fulfilled for the processing of special category personal data, e.g. 'legitimate interest'

Breach

- Keep a company record of the breach
- Notify all staff affected
- Notify the relevant department (UCL: Information Security Group) to assess the incident and report it within 72 hours
- Data Protection Officer will notify the relevant supervisory authority.

Compliance

Failure to comply with GDPR:

- A business could be fined up to €10,000,000 or 2% of global income if, for example, they fail to maintain records of processing or report breaches.
- A business could be fined up to €20,000,000 or 4% of global income if the violation relates to fundamental issues (e.g. individuals' rights, or conditions for consent).
- Additionally: rights to audit, warnings, order a controller to comply with a data subject's request; impose a temporary or definitive limitation including a ban on data processing.

Quiz

Go to <u>www.menti.com</u> and use the code 3608 5031



Wachter 2019:

- A focus on data collection but no real laws on afterwards or use in analysis. Ignores the potential for unforeseen threats to privacy can arise after collection owing to inferential analytics.
- GDPR does not regulate how and according to which parameters the data is assessed and evaluated.

Wachter 2019:

- GDPR does not apply to anonymised data; re-identification risks?
- Unclear whether inferences fall under the definition of 'personal data'.
- "Everything is potentially sensitive data, we just do not know it yet" (p.7)

- Previously, national statistical agencies had the capacity and the mandate to make dissemination decisions.
- They assessed the risk, they understood the data user community and the associated utility from data releases.
- They had the means to address the legal, technical, and statistical issues associated with protecting confidentiality.

- Researchers would minimise risk in data collection by: anonymising the data and asking human subjects for the consent to use their data.
- Due to 'Big Data' these approaches have become obsolete to a certain extent but have not been replaced by an alternative framework.

- Larger amounts of data increase the risk of identification due to richer detail and larger groups of people having access.
- What is the legal framework when the ownership of data is unclear?

What about open science?

- Open methodology, open source, open data, open access, open peer review, open educational resources.
- This goes against the idea of data protection.
- Synthetic data (e.g. Lomax et al. 2022)?

Conclusion

- General Data Protection Regulation, Data Protection Act.
- Categories used in legislation (personal, non-personal, sensitive, non-sensitive) do only reflect the nature of the data at the time it is collected, but ignore its subsequent usage and potential transformations. But: "safe research with sensitive data"?
- Also: the various types of biases embedded within human-generated data are not
 accounted for within the legal frameworks regulating the use of such data and ethical
 usage depends on the ethics and moral compass of those using and applying these
 data and algorithms.

Seminar preparation

In this week's seminar, we will discuss how an ethical and regulatory framework for working with data derived from tracking Application Programming Interfaces (i.e. data collected through smartphone applications) might look like.

In preparation for the seminar, please read the two articles on the GEOG0163 module page under this week's seminar preparation heading.

You do not have to submit anything, however, do come prepared as this article will be guiding our discussion.

Coursework assignment

The assessment for GEOG0163 is a single piece of coursework, set at 3,000 words. For your coursework, we would like you to write your own commentary article, which is a common non-research analysis type of article found in journals, such as Nature, Science and many geographical journals.

Coursework assignment

A commentary article is:

- An agenda-setting, authoritative and informed commentary that calls for action and can be tailored to target a (or several) specific impact(s), problem or challenge.
- You can use historical and current narratives or conceptual or philosophical arguments of pressing contemporary relevance to build, illustrate and communicate your argument.
- Commentaries are grounded in previous research and/or examples but have more expressive freedom than a typical journal article in that you can put your welljustified opinion forward.

Coursework assignment

- The general theme for your commentary piece is to critically consider the technical, ethical, political and social questions raised by data science and the analysis of large-scale geographic and social datasets and its impacts on society.
- Some example Commentary articles are linked to on Moodle.
- Do not try to cover too much ground a case study example tends to work very well.
- Carefully read submission instructions.
- Please submit with your Exam Candidate ID only.

Plagiarism

- Please carefully read the Departmental rules around plagiarism, this is a serious academic offence.
- Any direct quotes need to be properly referenced.
- Paraphrasing is not the same as changing a few words in a sentence.

Direct quotation

Extracted from UCL's Avoiding Plagiarism for Beginners page:

- 1) Find the idea that you want to include.
- 2) Write this idea in direct quotes.
- 3) Add the author name and page number.
- 4) Put the full reference at the end of your document.

Paraphrasing

Extracted from UCL's Avoiding Plagiarism for Beginners page:

- 1) Decide what the key information is, for the purposes of your discussion.
- Change the order of the ideas and the words. This can help you to emphasise your interpretation of the original text.
- 3) Change the word form/grammatical form if necessary.
- 4) Use synonyms if appropriate, but do not change any specific terminology.
- 5) If some words stay the same in the same order (three or more consecutive words), you need to use quotation marks around these words.

. . .

Paraphrasing

...

- 6) Repeat the author's name or a pronoun through the paraphrase, so it is clear that we are still reading a paraphrase.
- 7) Add a detail about where the information came from, if necessary.
- 8) Keep the author name and page number (for completeness, but not technically required).
- 9) Put the full reference at the end of your document.

Marking matrix

Marking Criteria for Coursework

3 in a category or above	Poor	Limited	Fair	Good	Excellent	Outstanding	Exceptional
	0-39	40-49	50-59	60-69	70-79	80-89	90+
1. Introduction, argument and conclusions	Does not respond to the task set, with either no argument or argument presented is inappropriate & irrelevant. Conclusions absent or irrelevant.	An indirect response to the task set, with a rudimentary argument & conclusions. Not sufficiently focused on the subject area.	A satisfactory response with a general understanding of the topic but a limited sense of argument & partial conclusions.	A good response with a clear argument and logical conclusions.	A distinctive response with an insightful argument and sophisticated conclusions. Shows a thorough grasp of issues.	An impressive, nuanced response with a perceptive argument and superior conclusions. There is a striking grasp of complexities and significance of issues.	Exceptional response with a precise and subtle argument and conclusions. Grasp of complexities and significance of issues surpasses the standards associated with 80-89%.
2. Engagement with literature	Non-existent or irrelevant reading.	Significant omissions in reading with weak understanding of literature consulted.	Evidence of some understanding of literature consulted but not a wider reading.	Demonstrates plentiful relevant reading and sound understanding of literature consulted	Extensive reading and exceptional understanding of literature consulted.	Ambitious reading and use of relevant literature that extends beyond the taught elements of the module.	Level of literature review and synthesis at a quality suitable for journal publication.
3. Analysis: reflection, thought, & conceptual framework	Shows poor understanding of the issues under discussion. Uncritical use of material.	Shows some understanding of the material used but conceptually limited or with wrong application.	Some competent reproduction of ideas, grasp of issues and their broader implications but lacks critical approach and independent evaluation.	Evidence of student's own analysis that is well-organised and informed, covering relevant issues with insights into broader implications. Concepts are defined and used systematically and effectively.	Innovative analysis with critical thinking using concepts that are defty defined and used with an excellent sense of theoretical context	Analysis demonstrates independent thinking that is critically perceptive. Concepts are deftly defined and accurately employed with a superior sense of theoretical context.	Exceptional thought and awareness of cutting edge discussion. Conceptual framework is outstanding in terms of critical insight and theoretical approach.
4. Empirical knowledge & use of examples (as relevant)	No use of examples or evidence to support claims made or empirical material is irrelevant/inaccurate.	Empirical material is limited in quantity and quality with little substantiation of claims.	Evidence of empirical material but not always effectively used to support claims.	Substantive amount of in-depth empirical material to support most claims.	Original, highly relevant and detailed use of empirical material.	Impressive, detailed and distinctive empirics with clear reflection on data used.	Comprehensive use of more than precise empirical material with critical reflection on data used.
5. Structure, writing, illustrative material and references (as relevant)	Very poorly expressed and presented that seriously interfere with comprehension and almost no illustrative material and/or referencing.	Structure and writing detract from conveying of ideas with minimal illustrative material and/or referencing.	Structure and writing sometimes detract from the conveying of argument and ideas with adequate illustrative material and/or referencing.	Good structure and writing that do not detract from conveying of argument and ideas with a comprehensive use of illustrative material and/or referencing.	Excellent structure and writing with an extended set of impeccable illustrative material and/or references.	Structure, writing, referencing and illustrative material used demonstrates verve and greatly enhances ideas.	Structure, writing and referencing and illustrative material is impeccable and as if professionally copy edited.

Questions

Justin van Dijk j.t.vandijk@ucl.ac.uk



