

## COMP105 Lecture 27

### Tail Recursion

## Strict evaluation of factorial

```
fact 1 = 1  
fact n = n * fact (n-1)
```

fact 4

→ 4 \* fact 3

→ 4 \* (3 \* fact 2)

→ 4 \* (3 \* (2 \* fact 1))

→ 4 \* (3 \* (2 \* 1))

→ 24

# Recursion and memory

Recursion seems to use a lot of **memory**

```
4 * (3 * (2 * fact 1))
```

This is the call stack

- ▶ Each recursive call adds a new value to the stack
- ▶ We can only evaluate the stack when we hit a base case

We will **run out of memory** if the call stack is too big

## Tail recursion

A function is tail recursive if there is **nothing left to do** after the recursive call

```
is_even 0 = True
is_even 1 = False
is_even n = is_even (n-2)
```

```
is_even 4
→ is_even 2
→ is_even 0
→ True
```

# Tail recursion

With tail recursion

- ▶ There is nothing left to do after the recursive call
- ▶ No call stack is built up
- ▶ **Less memory is used**

This is an important concept in **all** languages

- ▶ Even for imperative languages
- ▶ eg. most C compilers will optimize tail recursive calls

# Writing tail recursive functions

We can make functions tail recursive

- ▶ By adding an **accumulator** as an argument
- ▶ It is initialized to some value
- ▶ Each recursive call modifies it
- ▶ Just like for **folds** and **scans**

```
fact_tail 1 acc = acc
```

```
fact_tail n acc = fact_tail (n-1) (acc * n)
```

```
factorial n = fact_tail n 1
```

## fact\_tail in strict evaluation

```
factorial 4
```

```
→ fact_tail 4 1
```

```
→ fact_tail 3 4
```

```
→ fact_tail 2 12
```

```
→ fact_tail 1 24
```

```
→ 24
```

No call stack is built up, so **no** memory problems

## fact\_tail in lazy evaluation

factorial 4

→ fact\_tail 4 1

→ fact\_tail 3 (4\*1)

→ fact\_tail 2 (3\*4\*1)

→ fact\_tail 1 (2\*3\*4\*1)

→ 24

Memory problem is just **transferred** to the accumulator



## Fixing fact\_tail

We can fix this with the `$!` (strict evaluation) operator

```
fact_tail 1 acc = acc
fact_tail n acc = fact_tail (n-1) $! (acc * n)

factorial n = fact_tail n 1
```

Now there are **no** memory problems

## Left folds via tail recursion

`foldl` is naturally implemented via tail recursion

- In strict languages, `foldl` should be preferred over `foldr`

```
foldl f acc [] = acc
```

```
foldl f acc (x:xs) = foldl f (f acc x) xs
```

```
ghci> foldl (+) 0 [1,2,3,4,5]
```

```
15
```

## Strict left folds

`foldl'` is the strict version of `foldl`

- ▶ It is in the `Data.List` package

```
foldl' f acc [] = acc
```

```
foldl' f acc (x:xs) = (foldl' f $! (f acc x)) xs
```

This will usually use **less memory** than `foldl`

## Left folds and laziness

A left fold can **destroy laziness**

- ▶ We must reach the end of the list to get the accumulator

```
ghci> let f = foldr (\ x acc -> x : acc) [] [1..]  
ghci> take 4 f  
[1,2,3,4]
```

```
ghci> let g = foldl (\ acc x -> acc ++ [x]) [] [1..]  
ghci> take 4 g  
<never terminates>
```

# Folds summary

## `foldr`

- ▶ Should be used by default in Haskell
- ▶ Because it **preserves laziness**

## `foldl`

- ▶ There is no real reason to use this in Haskell

## `foldl'`

- ▶ Should be used when we know that **laziness won't help**
- ▶ eg. If we know that we will fold the entire list
- ▶ Can have performance benefits

# Exercises

1. Write an efficient tail recursive implementation of sum
2. Write an efficient tail recursive implementation of length
3. Write an efficient implementation of length that uses a fold