

# Activity detection over flute solo performances

JUAN BRAGA

April 13, 2016

Instructor: Dr. Luiz Wagner Pereira Biscainho

## 1 Introduction

Objective of present work was to determine activity detection over a dataset with flute solo performances.

### 1.1 Dataset

Mass of empty crucible	7.28 g
Mass of crucible and magnesium before heating	8.59 g
Mass of crucible and magnesium oxide after heating	9.46 g
Balance used	#4
Magnesium from sample bottle	#1

## 2 Dataset

The dataset of present work was created from musically meaningful pieces of flute solo performance audio. Following flute solo compositions where selected:

- J.S. Bach - BWV 1013 Allemande
- C. Debussy - Syrinx
- E. Varsse - Density 21.5
- L. Berio - Sequenza per flauto solo

## 3 Previous Work

Mass of magnesium metal	= 8.59 g - 7.28 g
	= 1.31 g
Mass of magnesium oxide	= 9.46 g - 7.28 g
	= 2.18 g
Mass of oxygen	= 2.18 g - 1.31 g
	= 0.87 g

Because of this reaction, the required ratio is the atomic weight of magnesium: 16.00 g of oxygen as experimental mass of Mg: experimental mass of oxygen or  $\frac{x}{1.31} = \frac{16}{0.87}$  from which,  $M_{\text{Mg}} = 16.00 \times \frac{1.31}{0.87} = 24.1 = 24 \text{ g mol}^{-1}$  (to two significant figures).

## 4 Results and Conclusions

The atomic weight of magnesium is concluded to be  $24 \text{ g mol}^{-1}$ , as determined by the stoichiometry of its chemical combination with oxygen. This result is in agreement with the accepted value.



Figure 1: Figure caption.

## 5 Discussion of Experimental Uncertainty

The accepted value (periodic table) is  $24.3 \text{ g mol}^{-1}$  Smith and Jones (2012). The percentage discrepancy between the accepted value and the result obtained here is 1.3%. Because only a single measurement was made, it is not possible to calculate an estimated standard deviation.

The most obvious source of experimental uncertainty is the limited precision of the balance. Other potential sources of experimental uncertainty are: the reaction might not be complete; if not enough time was allowed for total oxidation, less than complete oxidation of the magnesium might have, in part, reacted with nitrogen in the air (incorrect reaction); the magnesium oxide might have absorbed water from the air, and thus weigh “too much.” Because the result obtained is close to the accepted value it is possible that some of these experimental uncertainties have fortuitously cancelled one another.

## 6 Answers to Definitions

- a. The *atomic weight of an element* is the relative weight of one of its atoms

compared to C-12 with a weight of 12.0000000... , hydrogen with a weight of 1.008, to oxygen with a weight of 16.00. Atomic weight is also the average weight of all the atoms of that element as they occur in nature.

- b. The *units of atomic weight* are two-fold, with an identical numerical value. They are g/mole of atoms (or just g/mol) or amu/atom.
- c. *Percentage discrepancy* between an accepted (literature) value and an experimental value is

$$\frac{\text{experimental result} - \text{accepted result}}{\text{accepted result}}$$

## References

Smith, J. M. and Jones, A. B. (2012). *Chemistry*. Publisher, 7th edition.