

Task 1: Choosing and Describing a
Reflective Measurement Model

(a) Model Choice:

- Given that our latent variable/the latent trait that we want to estimate is "voting propensity", which is a continuous latent trait, and that the indicators we will be using to estimate it are a series of binary indicators that illustrate whether voters voted (y/n) in specific elections, we use an ITEM RESPONSE Model, which is used for continuous concepts and discrete indicators.
- Further, we choose a "2PL" (Birnbaum) IRT Model over a "1PL" (Rasch) Model for the fact that the 2PL model introduces a second parameter, 'slope' (discrimination) that varies across each indicator.
 - In this ~~data~~ data, we could previously find that certain elections are more informative in helping us determine someone's propensity to vote (for example: everyone votes in a 2012 general election, giving us less information than a 2010 midterm), so we give each indicator the possibility to vary in both slope and intercept.

⑥ Formal Model Notation

• For i indicators $(1, 2, \dots, I)$ (elections) and j voters $(1, 2, \dots, J)$:

• Likelihood: $y_{ij} \sim \text{Bernoulli}(1, \eta)$

$$\eta = \text{logit}^{-1}(\beta_i(\eta_j - \alpha_i))$$

• With priors: $\alpha_i \sim \text{Normal}(\mu_\alpha, \sigma_\alpha)$

$$\beta_i \sim \text{Log Normal}(\emptyset, \sigma_\beta)$$

$$\eta_j \sim \text{Normal}(\emptyset, 1)$$

$$\mu_\alpha \sim \text{Students T}(3, \emptyset, 1)$$

$$\sigma_\alpha \sim \text{Half Students T}(3, \emptyset, 1)$$

$$\sigma_\beta \sim \text{Half Students T}(3, \emptyset, 1)$$

⑦ Interpretation of Core Parameters

y_{ij} is the probability of individual $[j]$ getting a value of 1 on indicator $[i]$. In other words, the probability of voter $[j]$ voting in election $[i]$, given the voter's latent propensity to vote.

α_i is the indicator-specific intercept (difficulty), which tells us how strong your propensity for voting had to be in order for you to have voted in election $[i]$.

β_i is the indicator-specific slope (discrimination), which tells us how good of a measure of a voter's propensity to vote is the election in question $[i]$. How good is this specific election at distinguishing voters.

η_j is the subject-specific latent trait (ability), which tells us how vote-prone (how likely to exercise their right to vote) a specific voter is.