

UNIVERSITY OF ANTIOQUIA

Mass Modelling of Globular Clusters in the Milky Way

by

Juan Manuel Espejo Salcedo

A thesis submitted in partial fulfillment for the
degree of Astronomer

in the
Natural Sciences Faculty
Institute of Physics

October 2015

“Write a funny quote here.”

If the quote is taken from someone, their name goes here

UNIVERSITY OF ANTIOQUIA

Abstract

Natural Sciences Faculty

Institute of Physics

Astronomer

by [Juan Manuel Espejo Salcedo](#)

The Thesis Abstract is written here (and usually kept to just this page). The page is kept centered vertically so can expand into the blank space above the title too...

Acknowledgements

The acknowledgements and the people to thank go here, don't forget to include your project advisor...

Contents

Abstract	ii
Acknowledgements	iii
1 Introduction	1
2 Theoretical Framework	2
2.1 Globular Clusters	2
2.2 Stellar System Dynamics	2
2.3 Scenario and Observations	2
2.4 Simulations	2
3 Observations and Analysis	3
3.1 Observational Procedures	3
3.1.1 Spectroscopic Data	3
3.1.2 Photometric Data	5
3.2 First step for Anaysis	5
3.3 Photometry	7
3.4 Spectroscopy	7
3.4.1 Spectroscopic Reduction	7
3.4.2 Extraction	8
3.4.3 Wavelength Calibration	8
3.4.4 Flux Calibration	9
3.5 RVSAO and radial velocity determination	11
4 Modelling	12
5 Conclusions	13

For/Dedicated to/To my...

Chapter 1

Introduction

Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Vivamus at pulvinar nisi.
Phasellus hendrerit, diam placerat

Chapter 2

Theoretical Framework

- Cumulos globulares (definiciones, propiedades, formacion y evolucion, poblaciones estelares, etc)

2.1 Globular Clusters

Typical galaxies all around the Universe hold different structures such as stellar systems of between 10^2 and 10^6 stars which orbit their galactic core. We call these interesting systems star clusters and they are basically divided into two main types: Open Clusters and **Globular Clusters**.

Globular clusters are very massive stellar systems that can contain from thousands to millions of stars in a nearly spherical distribution. These stellar systems are composed of old stars and they do not contain gas or dust.

2.2 Stellar System Dynamics

(we focus on globular clusters)

2.3 Scenario and Observations

2.4 Simulations

Chapter 3

Observations and Analysis

- C

3.1 Observational Procedures

Under supervision of professor Juan Carlos Muñoz Cuartas and with three other undergraduate students from the University of Antioquia a trip to the OPD (Pico dos Dias Observatory) was made to Brazil in May 2014, besides the observational experience for the students, the main purpose of the trip was to get important data for the project "Dynamics and mass models of the central region of globular clusters in the local Milky Way"

The spectroscopic data allows us to determine the velocity dispersion profile in the inner region of globular clusters; while the photometric data allows us to study the surface brightness distribution for them. We can use all of this information to infer the properties of the globular clusters' mass distribution and build complete dynamical models and therefore infer the amount of dark matter present in the globular clusters (if there is any).

3.1.1 Spectroscopic Data

The first two days (May 14th and 15th) we took the spectroscopic data in the telescope P&E with a diameter of 1.6m. The main instrument was the Cassegrain spectrograph with a CCD Ikon-L camera and Filters BVR. The software we used was the recently installed software TCSPD which is built in a LabView environment for Windows (2010)

We made the observations of dome flats, certain globular clusters of the milky Way organized by the best observation times using Simbad and Stellarium for the estimations of the coordinates and times respectively. We also took calibration stars data, flat fields, different calibration lamps, bias frames and the necessary images to calibrate the instrument.

Here some of the objects we observed organized by "chunks":

Chunk 1	ngc362	01:03:14.26	-70:50:55.6		18	24	periodic-6pm	12'54"
RA 0-5h								
Chunk 2	ngc104	00:24:05.00	-72:04:52.60		18	24	periodic-6pm	30'54"
RA 5-9h	ngc2808	09:12:02.00	-64:51:46.20		18,03	21,45		13'48"
	LTT 2415 (12)	05:56:24.20	-27:51:26					
	HR 1544	04:50:36.70	08:54:02					
Chunk 3	ngc3202	10:17:36.00	-46:25:00		18,14	22,49		
RA 9-15h	ngc4372	12:25:48.00	-72:40:00		17	1		18'36"
	ngc5272	13:42:12.00	-28:23:00		20,15	0,15		16'12"
	ngc5024	13:12:55.25	-18:10:05.40		19,45	23,45		12'36"
	ngc4833	12:59:36.00	-70:53:00		17,3	1,31		13'30"
	ngc4590	12:39:27.98	-26:44:38.60		18,12	0,1		12'
	ngc5139	13:26:47.28	-47:28:46.10		18	2		36'18"
	ngc6752	12:25:48.00	-72:40:00		23,44	7,43		20'24"
	ngc5286	13:46:26.00	-51:22:27.30		18,19	2,18		9'6"
	LTT 3864 (12)	10:32:13.80	-35:37:42					
	HR4468	11:36:41.00	-09:48:08					
Chunk 4	ngc6205	16:41:42.00	-36:28:00		23,12	3,12		16'36"
RA 15-21	ngc6341	17:17:06.00	-43:08:00		23,48	3,48		11'12"
	ngc6362	17:31:54.99	-67:02:54		22,05	6,02		10'42"
	ngc6809	19:39:59.71	-30:57:53.10		0,12	24		19'
	ngc6397	17:40:42.00	-53:40:00		22,13	24		25'42"
	ngc6723	18:59:33.15	-36:37:56.1		23,32	24		11'
	ngc6715	18:55:03.33	-30:28:47.5		23,27	24		8'6"
	ngc6352	17:25:29.11	-48:25:19.8		21,58	24		7'06"
	ngc6541	18:08:02.00	-43:42:53.60		2,39	24		13'06"
	LTT 7987 (12)	20:10:57.10	-30:13:03					
	HR4963	13:09:57.00	-05:32:18					
Chunk 5	ngc7078	21:30:00.00	-12:10:00		2,45	24		12'18"
RA 21-0h	ngc7099	21:33:30.00	00:49:00.00		2,23	24		12'54"
	ngc7099	21:40:22.12	-23:10:47.50		2,13	24		11'
	LTT3239 (12)	22:52:40.90	-20:35:27					
	HR8634	22:41:27.40	10:49:53 AM					

Figure 1: Chunks

Now, our set up configuration for the spectrograph was the following:

Diffraction grating of 900 lines per mm, a CCD IkonL and the central wavelength for the observations of 8500 Angstroms (for the first day) with rotation of the slit 90, +45 and -45.

On May 14th, we used the slit of 2.52" and obtained data for the globular clusters: NGC-5020, NGC-5272, NGC-4833, NGC-4590, NGC-5139, NGC-5286, NGC-6752, NGC-6397, NGC-6723, NGC-6715 and NGC-6541 using exposition times of 600 and 900 seconds. We also observed the calibration stars: HR-4963 and HR-4468 with 7 and 5 seconds. As it was the first day, we needed to be very careful in calibrating our instruments in order to have the objects in the right focus, we also made the rotation of the slit to use all the diffraction angles of the observations and our comparison lamps were of Ne-Ar.

On May 15th, we used the slit of 3.0" and observed the following objects: NGC-2802, NGC-5024, NGC-4590, NGC-5139, NGC-5286, NGC-5272, NGC-6362, NGC-6397, NGC-6723, NGC-6502, NGC-6541, NGC-7078, NGC-7099, the stars HR-4468 and HR-7950 and we also observed Mars for pedagogical reasons. We used pretty much the same

exposition times than the day before, this time though, our comparison lamps were or He-Ar. All the data we took was in FITS format (Flexible Image Transfer System).

3.1.2 Photometric Data

The photometric data were acquired in four days, from May 16th to May 19th in the 0.6m IAG telescope in OPD. We used the Johnson system for the different filters which were easily shifted with the given software in the control computers.

On May 16th, we took all the calibration images, consisting of 20 bias frames with an exposition time of 0,00001 seconds; also 22, 11, 11, 20 and 10 flat frames for the B,I,R,U,V filters respectively, their exposition times differed, for U filter we took various frames of 60 and 30 seconds, for the B filter we took frames of 30 seconds each, 15s for I, 60s for R and 3s for V. We took our "focus" images to calibrate the instrument, and also various skyflats for all the filters. We targeted the following globular clusters and calibration stars in different filters: NGC5272, HR4961, NGC4590, NGC5139 AND NGC6397. The exposition time for the clusters was of 600 seconds and 2 and 4 seconds for the calibration star.

May 17th was a terrible night for observations because the sky was too cloudy and the only useful data we could get were dome flats for the filters I,R and V that we could use instead of the bad dome flats of the first day. The reduction using the flats of another day are decent but this is not the ideal situation since mechanical movements of the instrument might slightly change its configuration and therefore it probably ends up with a reduction that is not the ideal one for science purposes.

On May 18th we were more organized since we were getting familiar with the observations and therefore the data we got had little trouble in the upcoming analysis. The science objects we observed were NGC5139, HR6308, NGC6723, NGC6541, NGC7078, HR7964 that were observed in the different filters. We got 20 bias frames, 14 dome flats in the various filters, but no skyflats.

May 19th

3.2 First step for Analysis

Our first goal in starting the analysis of all the relevant data was to organize all the images in order to reduce the time required to make the reductions. For every day the calibrations images, trash, calibration stars and objects were separated and they were

given their correct names as they were in the headers and compared with the information sheets we filled at the time we were doing the observations. With the use of an account on the galaxy.udea.edu.co cluster, for proper and quicker analysis and safety of the data, all the files were correctly organized.

The next step was the reduction of all the images with the calibration files for each day, I started the photometric data to acquire certain skills in the use of IRAF because the reduction of the spectroscopic data was to be a little more complex and needed a deeper understanding of IRAF packages.

I started with the cluster NGC-5139 because we got lots of data for that cluster in OPD and also because Omega Centauri is a well known globular cluster since it is the largest in our galaxy and we can get a lot of information from the web. The photometry was made by the two methods, PSF photometry and Aperture Photometry, even though the magnitudes are quite different, the calibration constant between the two methods gave me a good relation between them and made me trust the photometry results.

After the photometry of that cluster, the most relevant part of the reduction was to be made. The reduction of the spectroscopic data (May 14th and 15th), the methods for these reductions are quite special and are the most relevant part of the analysis because that is our most valuable information. The reduction was to be made very carefully because a good spectroscopic analysis depends upon a good reduction of the data.

As with the photometric data, the first procedures were made for the Cluster NGC5139 to understand and master the techniques of the reduction and extractions.

3.3 Photometry




Figure: Dirty NGC5139 to visualize noise and the need for a proper reduction

3.4 Spectroscopy

3.4.1 Spectroscopic Reduction

- First we make a Superbias combining all the bias frames and then we subtract it from all the lamp, targets and flat field frames.
- It was important to analyze the flats to see which ones are saturated, we consider that values over 65,000 counts (using implot) show saturated data. The ones that we could trust for May 14th were ten images called flats_0012 to flats_0021.
- The pre-superflat is made using the median given the number of images.
- We need to make a trimming in all images because there are some regions in the images that show unexpected luminosity, this is probably due to border errors in the camera or the obturator time of relaxation. The zones we decided to cut were:
[0-100] and [575 to the end]
- A critical step is the creation of a response function, this is made by collapsing the pre-superflat to one column using blkavg. The useful image for the creation of the Superflat

is done by combining this column with `blkrep`. This gives us an image that's uniformly distributed in the dispersion axis with the following IRAF commands.

```
blkavg MasterFlat.fits[1:475,*] AvgFlatCols 475 1
```

```
blkrep AvgFlatCols AvgFlatColsMaster 475 1
```

- The pre-superflat is now divided by the response function we created (`AvgFlatColsMaster`) and this gives us the Superflat that we will use to reduce our data.

- Finally, the task we use to remove the cosmic rays is `lacos`, and it gives very accurate results, as it shows the "mask" image with the removed cosmic rays.

3.4.2 Extraction

Once the reduction is ready, we can proceed with the extraction of the spectra of the calibration stars and also the spectra of the stars in the clusters, this procedure is made with the task `apall`.

Taking special care of correctly choosing the background, and with the following parameter configuration:

```
b_number: 100
```

```
background: fit
```

```
weight: vairance
```

```
saturate: 65215
```

```
rdnoise: 6
```

```
gain: 1
```

Interactively, one must choose very precisely the background regions to extract the spectrum and do the fitting routines with different orders until the best results are reached.

The extraction of the spectrum for the calibration lamps is done with `apsum`, which is very similar to `apall`.

3.4.3 Wavelength Calibration

The wavelength calibration is made many tasks of IRAF like `Identify`, `Refspec` and `Dispcor`. First, with `identify` I use the interactive window in IRAF to select some prominent

lines in the spectrum and assign them their correct wavelength using the theoretic spectrum of the lamp. In this case our calibration lamps were Ne-Ar (for May 14th) and He-Ar (for May 15th) and OPD observatory provided us the theoretic distribution of emission lines of them.

Using "m" to select the larger lines and typing the wavelength, the task creates a file stored in a new folder "database" with the pixels with their corresponding values in units of Angstroms. After that, the targets were to be calibrated with these files so it is necessary to edit their header to assign them the reference frames. It is enough to change the REFSPEC1 image header on each lamp file in order to do the wavelength calibration.

The task that actually does the calibration on wavelength is `dispcor`, it is only necessary to run the task over all the targets with their own calibrated arc to get the calibrated spectrum which is the useful and important file to make the analysis of the width of the lines and their redshift.

3.4.4 Flux Calibration

The aim is to calibrate the CCD chip response, spectrograph+telescope throughput and allow for atmospheric extinction. The result is a spectrum as observed from outside the atmosphere with an ideal uniformly sensitive detector+telescope+spectrograph. Basically, what the flux calibration does is, it takes from a tabular compilation the energy distribution of the standard star, it corrects this energy distribution for wavelength-dependent atmospheric extinction, it compares it to the energy distribution of the observed spectrum and derives from such a comparison the function that gives the response of our system for every wavelength.

The flux calibration takes place in three parts: Calibrating from the standard star, calculating the sensitivity function of the instrument, and finally, applying the calibration to the spectra. We will use the task `observatory` to determine observatory parameters, standard to flux calibrate each standard star, and `sensfunc` to finally determine the wavelength response and the solution will be applied to the spectra by the task `calibrate`.

In the first part, the calibration is made with one of the stars that are already included in IRAF, there are many stars so there's quite a good amount of options to choose. So the first task is the task `standard`. The observatory parameter is specified as `LNA` which is in IRAF's database.

The task `standard`

The task standard determines calibration pass-bands and writes them to a file called std. The trick here is to specify the location of the the input extinction and flux calibration files. To do that, I edit the parameters of standard with the following routes:

Extinction file: `onedstds$/ctioextindt.dat`

Directory containing calibration data: `onedstds$ctionewcal/`

Starname in calibration list: 19239

Where I chose the Star 19239 because it has the spectral range that we use in our calibration Stars. And running the task interactively would be enough for this step.

The task sensfunc

Standard task just recorded response of each standard star so the next step is to put the results together and find a proper wavelength dependence of instrumental sensitivity and atmosphere transparency using the task sensfunc. It creates an image with a default name sens.0001. IRAF needs to have some general idea of atmospheric extinction before to start, so I set again extinct `onedstds$ /ctioextinct.dat`.

Now, running the task interactively and taking into account that the function used to fit the instrumental response will be usually of very high order. A good idea is to use spline3 fitting (`:function spline3`) with some 20 pieces, i.e. (`:order 20`). Finally q exists the sensfunc task and writes the sens.0001 image.

The task calibrate

The solution to each star to be calibrated is done with the task calibrate. Editing the parameters of calibrate to set the appropriate extinction table: extinct `onedstds$ /ctioextinct.dat` would be enough for this purpose. The task is run over all the wavelength calibrated spectra which had their airmass and other parameters appropriately set by the `eso.set` procedure. And finally it gives the flux-calibrated spectra ready for the relevant analysis concerning radial velocities.

After the flux calibration, I notice that the extremes of the spectra have irregularities but that can be cut because they don't have any relevant information.

For the star calibration I cut from 0 to 45 and from 1860 to the end, using `imcopy`:

```
imcopy flux_calib_star_fits[45:1860,*] cut_flux_calib_star.fits
```

In order to normalize the spectrum, first I find the maximum value in the spectrum using `minmax` and then I divide the whole image by this value.

Now, to create the Ascii table from the spectrum I need to first convert my image to a 1D image using the task `scopy` and setting `format=onedspec`

Now, with the image ready in 1D, I use the task `wspectext` to create the Ascii table like this:

```
wspectext ready_flux_star.0001.fits normal_cut_flux_star_calib.txt
```

3.5 RVSAO and radial velocity determination

Chapter 4

Modelling

- C

Chapter 5

Conclusions

- C

Bibliography

- [1] Michael J. Kurtz, Douglas J. Mink. *RVSAO 2.0: Digital Redshifts and Radial Velocities*. Harvard-Smithsonian Center for Astrophysics, Cambridge, MA 02138, 1993.