

# Open letter against The Regulator and company

DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.13140/RG.2.2.10301.64489>

Juan Díez García

May 17, 2023

Since I have been forced to do so, I will dedicate a few minutes to write this letter, in a certain form of pamphlet. Not more, since I am busy with scientific investigations, to which I shall always return, as long as my healthy body and clear mind permit.

Science, and technology, yet again, have been betrayed. There is a scientific reason for me speaking in public here and now. But there is also a philosophical reason. I have been prosecuted by the institutions, singled out by fanatic inquisitors, relegated to clandestinity, but now I have to speak again risking my already low probabilities of survival in this deeply unreasonable contingency.

Sam Altman, champion of the United States based technological company OpenAI, has recently appeared in a public judgement to save our virtuous democracy from the complex and deep chains of Artificial Intelligence. More covertly, for that reason more dangerously, European Union bureaucrats have been working for years on this. On the twenty-first of April of 2021, the European Commission, sitting in the old thrones of Brussels, the apex of human thought and civilization, presented their proposal. From that point, the great, well-oiled, bureaucratic machine started working, and now its 10-faced creatures, already perfected enough, have descended from the high mountains.

It is now clear that all of this was orchestrated by some opportunistic arrangement of corporations, which are, after all, economico-political organizations. And in this sense, it is perfectly coherent, there is no contradiction, and nothing to criticize. There is, however, to criticize the hypocrisy and the fact that you present yourselves now as the saviors of democracy, ethics and philosophy. When, on the one hand, you have been the ones developing these supposedly harmful (that appears to be your claim) technologies, and on the other hand, it has now become apparent you are just trying to secure your economical-political already superior position. But one question remains, if you have already won technologically, as it seems you have done and you claim to have done, why do you need to fight this battle? Is it your greed or simply your ignorance?

And this letter would just be pointing out my defeat, and your success, even if opportunistic, if I stopped here. But, of course, I will not, because I am not that desperate and my mind is not so shallow as to write a letter only to certify my defeat. You are impostors and you have already been defeated. The scientific method stipulates

I shall restrict myself to the ideas that you have presented to the public.

It is false to presuppose (as you do) that ethics and science are opposed. There are different ethical systems, and the ones that are more consistent (or do not contradict) the scientific disciplines are the most correct ones.

It is false to presuppose (as you do) that there is “one” human nature, conceived as a general, completely abstract monad. “The” human nature has not been scientifically determined. Human bodies are complex, and different scientific disciplines have addressed these bodies in different manners. For instance, biology addresses parts of the human body, and computer science addresses parts of the human body, which may or may not intersect, and they address them in different ways.

Which leads us to the third imposture: that there is “the” science. Because there is no such thing as “the” science, but different scientific disciplines, which are not necessarily harmonic, but opposed. Therefore, an ethical (and economical) framework (as yours) that is based on the abstraction that there is “one” human nature and that “the” science can violate it and that therefore we (you) must protect it with regulations, is completely wrong (as you are). Human nature is being determined (created, if you prefer that word) through science, and different sciences determine it in different ways. Trying to subordinate (as you appear to be doing) computer science to “economical science”, “political science”, or “law science” (yes, that presumptuous term, “the lawyer”), shows a complete misunderstanding of reality (as you do).

All these “regulations” will amount to nothing. They may give you some lead, in a certain opportunity, contingency, but that is all. You have betrayed your science, and you have betrayed yourselves. Again, that is not surprising coming from a company called OpenAI whose main technology is closed, and from the European Union, the house of bureaucrats trying to bureaucratize science.

Behold, humanity, he is become “The Regulator”, destroyer of the sciences!