

# Advanced decision modelling in the context of Health Technology Assessment

Juan Pablo Diaz Martinez

2021-11-05



# Contents

<b>Introduction</b>	<b>5</b>
Why reimbursement submissions fail? . . . . .	5
Topics of the course . . . . .	6
Statistical computing . . . . .	6
Evaluation . . . . .	6
Bibliography . . . . .	8
<b>1 What is HTA?</b>	<b>9</b>
1.1 Pre-session readings . . . . .	9
1.2 Definition and rationale . . . . .	9
1.3 HTA process . . . . .	10



# Introduction

Bringing a new health technology to market and into the hands of a patient is a long process. Most of the times patients, who have a medical need, ask themselves why does it take so long to make the health technology available to everyone. When a health technology is in the market, it usually took between 5 to 10 years to make it available.

Depending on the country, governments usually are involved in the reimbursement process. They usually ask the next questions when a new health technology is available:

- How much does it cost?
- Will it save lives and/or improve quality of life?
- Do we have enough budget to fund it?
- If we have a pool of interventions for a specific disease, which one/ones should we reimburse?

Moreover, physicians, patients, insurance plans, and advocacy groups play an important role when new technologies are available in the market (why?). Even though a new technology see the light (i.e. it has proved to be safe and effective), insurance providers or the government will not necessarily cover it. Usually they argue that the new technology is “Not cost-effective” or “Not have good value for money”. *These notes aim to provide all the necessary tools to decide if a new intervention has a good value-for-money.* It is important to stress that value-for-money decision is only one of many questions that are asked by one of the users of a **health technology assessment (HTA)**: patients, healthcare workers, government, and others.

## Why reimbursement submissions fail?

According to Goeree (2015), the reasons for rejection are:

1. Inappropriate comparator. Lack of proper statistical analysis.

2. Inappropriate outcome. Use of surrogates.
3. Inappropriate analysis. Lack of robust evidence for costs and quality of life.
4. High cost to the government.

## Topics of the course

1. What is HTA?
2. Introduction to decision-analytic models
3. Good practices in decision modelling
4. Evidence-based medicine
5. Decision tree-models
6. State-transition models with the Markov assumption
7. Partitioned survival models
8. Microsimulation
9. Discrete-event simulation
10. Uncertainty and decision-making
11. Presentation of results

## Statistical computing

The use of open-source programming languages, such as **R**, in health decision sciences is growing and has the potential to facilitate model transparency, reproducibility, and shareability. However, realizing this potential can be challenging. Models are complex and primarily built to answer a research question, with model sharing and transparency relegated to being secondary goals. Moreover, many decision modelers are not formally trained in computer programming and may lack good coding practices, further compounding the problem of model transparency. **Therefore, throughout this course, the programming language R will be used to show its potential for advanced modelling in the context of HTA.**

For this course, we will be using the book “R for Data Science”. To install **R** and **Rstudio**, instructions are provided in Chapter 1 of this book. We will also use Excel throughout this course.

## Evaluation

Item	Percentage	Due date
Assignment 1	15%	Nov 27, 2021

Item	Percentage	Due date
Assignment 2	15%	Dec 23, 2021
Take-home exam	30%	Jan 7, 2022
Project proposal	5%	Nov 22, 2021
Project presentation	5%	Jan 14, 2022
Final project	30%	Jan 17, 2022

The intent is to allow the students to demonstrate their mastery of this class through the following way. **Project proposal, presentation and final project will be done in pairs.**

## Asssignments

The assignments are handed out approximately two weeks prior to the due date. Late work will not be marked, with the exception of an advance permission from the instructor.

## Project proposal

(1 page)

The final deliverable for this course is a mini-HTA on a medical technology (preferably something topical), with a focus on the quantitative aspect of it. Given that the translation of a health policy question into a relevant research question is an essential first step in the conduct of HTA, students are required to formulate a research question and submit for grading purposes. This should include at least some of the following: an overview of the technology being assessed; a clear specification of the policy problem; and the research question(s) (including PICO) with objectives.

## Project presentation

(20 minutes with extra 5 minutes for questions)

Students will be expected to present their final course paper and answer questions. Student will be graded on their presentations.

## Final project

(20 pages double-spaced)

The main assignment will require students to produce a scaled down HTA, with a focus on the quantitative aspect of it. The objective of the final project is for the

student to show that they have obtained a clear understanding of the advanced methods in decision modelling in the context of HTA. More information will be provided throughout the course, but the paper should contain the following:

- a) Background and technology overview
- b) Formulation of the question you are trying to answer through your mini-HTA
- c) Review of the clinical literature
- d) Description of the structure of the model
- e) Description of the function of the model
- f) Results
- g) Conclusions

## Bibliography

*Briggs, A., Sculpher, M., & Claxton, K. (2006). Decision modelling for health economic evaluation. Oxford University Press.*

*Gray, A. M., Clarke, P. M., Wolstenholme, J. L., & Wordsworth, S. (2011). Applied methods of cost-effectiveness analysis in healthcare (Vol. 3). Oxford University Press.*

*Edlin, R., McCabe, C., Hulme, C., Hall, P., & Wright, J. (2015). Cost effectiveness modelling for health technology assessment: a practical course. Springer.*



# Chapter 1

## What is HTA?

### 1.1 Pre-session readings

*Goodman, C. S. (2004). Introduction to health technology assessment. The Lewin Group. virginia, USA. link. Chapters 1, 2, and 5.*

*Briggs, A., Sculpher, M., & Claxton, K. (2006). Decision modelling for health economic evaluation. Oxford University Press. Chapter 1.*

Chapters 1 and 2 of *R for data science*.

### 1.2 Definition and rationale

The first thing that we need to know is the definition of a **health technology**. A health technology is any intervention that may be used to promote health, to prevent, diagnose or treat disease or for rehabilitation or long-term care.

#### Questions

1. List some examples of health technologies.

Depending on the agency, health technology assessment has a broad spectrum of definitions:

*“HTA is a multidisciplinary process that uses explicit methods to determine the value of a health technology at different points in its lifecycle. The purpose is to inform decision-making in order to promote an equitable, efficient, and high-quality health system.” INAHTA*

*“Health technology assessment is a multidisciplinary process that uses explicit methods to determine the value of a health technology at different points in its*

*lifecycle. The purpose is to inform decision-making in order to promote an equitable, efficient, and high-quality health system.” EUnetHTA*

*“A comprehensive, objective, evidence-based analysis of the clinical effectiveness, cost-effectiveness and broader impact of drugs, medical technologies and health systems. HTA examines technologies at all stages of their life cycle, from development through to maturity and obsolescence.” CADTH*

The purpose of HTA is to support/help decision makers by identifying technologies that will improve health outcomes and deliver value for every dollar invested.

- Does a new health technology offer a clinical advantage over the alternatives/standard approaches?
- Is it worth the investment?
- Can I pay for it?
- Who would benefit from it?
- Any ethical, social or legal issues

But, what are the reasons for conducting HTAs?

- Increased demand for healthcare (why?)
- Soaring healthcare costs
- Increased rate of diffusion of new technologies and associated evidence

Once we have seen the definition and rationale for conducting HTAs, it is important to talk about the potential users.

- Government
- Managers in hospitals
- Healthcare workers
- Researchers

### 1.3 HTA process

1. Identification and prioritization of technologies
2. Clear specification of the problem
3. Technology assessment and review
  - Evidence and systematic literature review
  - Aggregation and appraisal of evidence
  - Synthesize and consolidate
  - Collect primary data (if necessary)
  - Economic evaluation, budget and health system impact



Figure 1.1: Life expectancy in Mexico. Source: CONAPO

- Assessment of social, legal, and ethical consideration
  - Formulation of finding
4. Dissemination and implementation of recommendations
  5. Monitor the impact of assessment reports

### 1.3.1 Identification and prioritization of technologies

- Drugs seeking public or private reimbursement
- Variable for non-drug technologies. However candidates:
  - High potential to improve health outcomes, reduce harm or decrease costs with similar efficacy
  - Large numbers of individuals affected
  - Political pressure
  - Unmet needs—no current treatment

### 1.3.2 Clear specification of the problem

- Problem statements need to consider:
  - Patient population affected (indication; epidemiology)
  - Intervention being considered (drug, device, new/old)
  - Comparators
  - Outcome(s) or interest
  - Setting (e.g. hospital, community)
- Well formulated question

### 1.3.3 Technology assessment and review

#### 1.3.3.1 Evidence and systematic literature review

- A comprehensive search of the literature based on systematic methods is essential
- 2 main types of resources relevant to HTA:
  - Published literature
  - Grey literature

#### 1.3.3.2 Identification, aggregation & appraisal of evidence

- Objective, systematic process for screening and determine studies to be included in the synthesis
- Classify the studies

- Randomised, non-randomised and economic
- Critical appraisal of the quality of the evidence

#### 1.3.3.3 Synthesize & consolidate

- Findings from multiple studies often combined to respond to the HTA question
- Techniques commonly used to synthesize data in HTA are:
  - Meta-analysis, meta-regression
  - Network meta-analysis

#### 1.3.3.4 Economic evaluation

- Measures the incremental costs and benefits of the technology under review compared to one or more relevant technologies
- CEA, CUA and CBA
- Budget impact

#### 1.3.3.5 Assessment of social, legal & ethical considerations

- Example: genetic information (why?)
- Any access or equity issues following the dissemination and implementation of technologies?

#### 1.3.3.6 Formulation of findings

- Explicitly link quality of the evidence to the strength of findings and recommendations as well as any limitations
- Recommendations based on the findings that reflect the original question(s)

### 1.3.4 Dissemination of recommendations

- Findings translated into relevant and understandable information
- Knowledge translation

### 1.3.5 Monitoring the impact of reports

- Some recommendations are translated into policies with clear and quantifiable impacts (e.g. adoption of new technology, change in reimbursement)
- Others go ignored and are not readily adopted into general practice



# Bibliography

Goeree, R. (2015). *Health technology assessment: using biostatistics to break the barriers of adopting new medicines*. CRC Press.