

1.1 LINEAR TOPOLOGIES

Fix a ground field k . From now on, a vector space will always mean a k -vector space.

Definition 1.1. A **linear topology** on a vector space V is a separated (Hausdorff) topology invariant under translations that admits an open local base around zero of vector subspaces. A vector space equipped with a linear topology will be referred as **linearly topologized**.

If we endow k with the discrete topology then V will become a topological vector space. From now on, endow k with the a discrete topology. Linear topologies behave nicely under basic topological operations.

Proposition 1.2. *Let V be a linearly topologized vector space. Then*

- (a) *Any vector subspace of V is linearly topologized under its subspace topology.*
- (b) *If $W \subseteq V$ is a closed vector subspace then V/W is linearly topologized under its quotient topology.*
- (c) *If $\{V_\alpha\}_\alpha$ is a collection of linearly topologized vector spaces its product $\prod_\alpha V_\alpha$ and its direct sum $\bigoplus_\alpha V_\alpha$ is linearly topologized under its product topology.*
- (d) *If W is a vector subspace of V , then its topological closure \overline{W} also is a vector subspace of V .*

Proof. Since intersection of vector subspaces is a vector subspace, (a) follows intersecting the fundamental system of neighborhoods in V by the vector subspace. For (b), let $\pi: V \rightarrow V/W$ be the quotient map. Since π is open and surjective the image of a local base is a local base; moreover, the image of a vector subspace under π is a vector subspace. In addition, since finally, for (c) let $\{U_{\alpha,\beta}\}_\beta$ be a local base of zero in V_α of vector subspaces, the products $U_{\alpha_1,\beta_1} \times \dots \times U_{\alpha_n,\beta_n} \times \prod_\gamma V_\gamma$, where γ ranges over $\alpha \neq \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$, for any set $\{(\alpha_1, \beta_1, \dots, \alpha_n, \beta_n)\}$ form a fundamental system of neighborhoods around zero in $\prod_\alpha V_\alpha$ of open vector subspaces. Note that since $\bigoplus_\alpha V_\alpha \subseteq \prod_\alpha V_\alpha$ is a vector subspace (c) follows from (a). Finally, for (d), suppose $x, y \in \overline{W}$, then, for every open vector subspace U , $(x + U) \cap W \neq \emptyset$ and $(y + U) \cap W \neq \emptyset$, therefore for every $\alpha, \beta \in k$ we have $(\alpha x + U) \cap W \neq \emptyset$ and $(\beta y + U) \cap W \neq \emptyset$. Hence, $(\alpha x + \beta y + U) \cap W \neq \emptyset$ for every open vector subspace U and every pair $\alpha, \beta \in k$. It follows (d). \square

Finite dimensional vector spaces are meaningless for linear topologies.

Proposition 1.3. *A finite dimensional linearly topologized vector space V is discrete.*

Proof. Let U be an open vector subspace and $0 \neq x \in U$, since V is separated and linearly topologized there exists an open vector subspace U_x such that $x \notin U_x$ then $\dim U_x \cap U < \dim U$, since V is finite dimensional this process can be repeated only a finite amount of times; that is $\{0\}$ is open. It follows that V is discrete. \square

Commensurability

We introduce a partial order in the set of vector subspaces of a vector space V .

Definition 1.4. For vector subspaces A and B of a vector space V we say that $A \prec B$ if the quotient $A/(A \cap B) \cong (A + B)/B$ is finite dimensional (or equivalently $A \subseteq B + W$ where W is some finite dimensional subspace). In addition, we say that A and B are commensurable (denoted $A \sim B$) if $A \prec B$ and $B \prec A$.

Observe that $A \sim B$ if and only if $(A + B)/(A \cap B) \cong A/(A \cap B) \oplus B/(A \cap B)$ is finite dimensional. We will constantly refer to a vector space V being finite dimensional as $V \sim 0$.

Proposition 1.5. Let V be a vector spaces and A, B and C be vector subspaces, then:

(a) If $A \sim B$ and $B \sim C$ then

$$(A + B + C)/(A \cap B \cap C) \sim 0$$

(b) If $A \prec B$ and $B \prec C$ then $A \prec C$. Moreover, commensurability is an equivalence relation.

Proof. Consider the following exact sequences

$$0 \rightarrow (A \cap B)/(A \cap B \cap C) \rightarrow B/(B \cap C),$$

and,

$$0 \rightarrow (A \cap B)/(A \cap B \cap C) \rightarrow (A + B)/(A \cap B \cap C) \rightarrow (A + B)/(A \cap B) \rightarrow 0$$

induced by inclusions. The first inclusion plus the fact that $B \sim C$ imply that $(A \cap B)/(A \cap B \cap C)$ is finite dimensional. Now, since $A \sim B$ it follows that $(A + B)/(A \cap B)$ is finite dimensional. Hence, the second exact sequence concludes that $(A + B)/(A \cap B \cap C)$ is finite dimensional. A symmetrical argument shows that $(B + C)/(A \cap B \cap C) \sim 0$. These prove (a). For (b), the inclusion

$$0 \rightarrow (A + C)/(A \cap C) \rightarrow (A + B + C)/(A \cap B \cap C)$$

plus (a) implies transitivity. □

Now, we state and prove some useful properties on the relation \prec .

Lemma 1.6. (a) If $A \subseteq B$ then $A \prec B$.

(b) If $A \prec B$ then $f(A) \prec f(B)$ for any k -linear map f

(c) It holds that

$$\sum_{i=1}^m A_i \prec \bigcap_{j=1}^n B_j \iff A_i \prec B_j \text{ for all } i \text{ and } j.$$

Proof. First, (a) is immediate from the definition of \prec . Second, for (b) the map f factors

$$A/(A \cap B) \rightarrow f(A)/(f(A) \cap f(B)) \rightarrow 0$$

Finally, for (c), if $\sum_{i=1}^m A_i \prec \bigcap_{j=1}^n B_j$ holds then by (a) above, for all i and j we have

$$A_i \prec \sum_{i=1}^m A_i \prec \bigcap_{j=1}^n B_j \prec B_j$$

On the other hand, if $A_i \prec B_j$ for all i and j then there exists finite dimensional subspaces W_{ij} such that $A_i \subseteq B_j + W_{ij}$ for all i and j . Therefore,

$$\sum_{i=1}^m A_i \subseteq \bigcap_{j=1}^n B_j + \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^n W_{ij}. \quad \square$$

Next, we consider another useful lemma.

Lemma 1.7. *Let A, B, A', B' be vector subspaces of a vector space V and suppose that $A \sim A'$ and $B \sim B'$. Then $A + B \sim A' + B'$ and $A \cap B \sim A' \cap B'$.*

Proof. The following exact sequence

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \rightarrow (A + A' + B + B') / (A \cap A') \cap (B \cap B') \rightarrow \\ (A + A') / (A \cap A') \oplus (B + B') / (B \cap B') \rightarrow \\ (A + A' + B + B') / (A \cap A') + (B \cap B') \rightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

plus $A \sim A'$ and $B \sim B'$ imply that both spaces

$$\begin{aligned} (A + A' + B + B') / (A \cap A') \cap (B \cap B') \quad \text{and,} \\ (A + A' + B + B') / ((A \cap A') + (B \cap B')) \end{aligned}$$

are finite dimensional. Since, $(A + A' + B + B') / (A + A') \cap (B + B')$ is a quotient of the second space and $((A \cap A') + (B \cap B')) / ((A \cap A') \cap (B \cap B'))$ is a subspace of the first space we can conclude $A + B \sim A' + B'$ and $A \cap B \sim A' \cap B'$. \square

If we consider the set of equivalence classes $\mathcal{L}(V)$ of \sim on a vector space V then \prec is a partial order on it and by Lemma 1.7 above $\mathcal{L}(V)$ inherits operations \cap and $+$.

Linear compactness

Definition 1.8. Let V be a linearly topologized vector space. A closed subset $C \subseteq V$ is **linearly compact** (respectively **linearly cocompact**) if for every open vector subspace U we have $\dim C / (C \cap U) < \infty$ (respectively $\dim V / (C + U) < \infty$).

Linear compactness behaves just as compactness if one uses the correct words.

Proposition 1.9. *Let V be a linearly compact vector space, then*

- (a) *If $A \subseteq V$ is a vector subspace such that for every open U vector subspace of V we have $\dim W / (W \cap U) < \infty$ then \overline{A} is linearly compact.*
- (b) *If $\varphi: V \rightarrow W$ is a continuous linear homomorphism then $\overline{\varphi(V)}$ is linearly compact.*
- (c) *If E is discrete then E must be finite dimensional.*

(d) Every closed vector subspace of E is linearly compact.

(e) (Tychonov) If $\{E_\alpha\}_\alpha$ is a collection of linearly compact vector spaces then its product $\prod_\alpha E_\alpha$ and its direct sum $\bigoplus_\alpha E_\alpha$ are linearly compact.

Proof. Let $U \subseteq E$ be an open vector subspace, then since φ is linear a continuous $\varphi^{-1}(U)$ is an open vector subspace of E . Consider the surjective induced map

$$E/\varphi^{-1}(U) \twoheadrightarrow \varphi(E)/\varphi(E) \cap U$$

as E is linearly compact it follows that $\dim \varphi(E)/\varphi(E) \cap U < \infty$. We get (a). If E is discrete, then $\{0\}$ is an open vector subspace of E , (b) follows. For (c), let $G \subseteq E$ be a closed vector subspace and take any open vector subspace U of E , then the inclusion $G \hookrightarrow E$ induces

$$G/G \cap U \hookrightarrow E/U$$

where the latter is finite dimensional (E is linearly compact). Finally, for (d), it is enough proving for open vector subspaces $U = \prod_\beta U_\beta \times \prod_\gamma E_\gamma$ where β ranges over a finite set, γ ranges over $\alpha \neq \beta$ and U_β is an open vector subspace of E_β . Then, the quotient

$$\prod_\alpha E_\alpha/U \cong \prod_\beta E_\beta/U_\beta$$

where \cong is a topological and algebraic isomorphism. Since E_α is linearly compact for all α and β ranges over a finite set we conclude that $\prod_\alpha E_\alpha/U$ is finite dimensional; therefore, $\prod_\alpha E_\alpha$ is linearly compact. The proof is analogous for $\bigoplus_\alpha E_\alpha$. \square

Completeness

If E is linearly topologized it admits a fundamental system of neighborhoods consisting of open vector subspaces

$$E \supseteq U_0 \supseteq U_1 \supseteq \dots \supseteq U_\alpha \supseteq \dots$$

Definition 1.10. In the previous context, we say that E is **complete** if

$$E \cong \hat{E} := \varprojlim_\alpha E/U_\alpha$$

where \cong is an isomorphism of topological vector spaces.

1.2 TATE SPACES

Definition 1.11. Let E be a linearly topologized vector space. An open linearly compact subspace of E is called a **c-lattice** if it is open; dually, a **d-lattice** is a discrete linearly cocompact subspace of E . We say that E is a **Tate space** or **Tate vector space** if it contains a c-lattice.

Proposition 1.12. A linearly topologized vector space E has a c-lattice if and only if it has a d-lattice.

Proof. Suppose C is a c-lattice in E , choose any direct complement D of C , that is, $E = C \oplus D$. Since C is open, then D is discrete as $D \cap C = 0$, thus 0 is open in D . Moreover, D is closed as it is the fiber of 0 under the projection $E \rightarrow C$. Finally, we check that D is linearly cocompact: let U be any open vector subspace of E , the composition $C \hookrightarrow E \twoheadrightarrow E/(D+U)$ induces a surjection

$$C/(C \cap U) \twoheadrightarrow E/(D+U)$$

thus, since $\dim C/(C \cap U) < \infty$ we conclude $\dim E/(D+U) < \infty$.

Now, suppose D is a d-lattice, again, choose C a direct complement for D . Analogous as the proof for D being discrete and closed in the previous paragraph it follows the one for C being open and closed. We just check that C is linearly compact. Let U be any open vector subspace, the composition $E \twoheadrightarrow C \twoheadrightarrow C/(C \cap U)$ induces a surjection

$$E/(D + (C \cap U)) \twoheadrightarrow C/(C \cap U)$$

since both C and U are open, also $C \cap U$, thus $\dim E/(D + (C \cap U)) < \infty$. It follows, $\dim C/(C \cap U) < \infty$ and C linearly compact. \square

Remark 1.13. Note that in the proof of [Proposition 1.12](#) it is not strictly necessary to choose a direct complement, one can choose a direct complement up to finite dimension; that is, $C + D = E$ and $\dim C + D < \infty$. We used a direct complement to facilitate the proof.

Duality

If E is a Tate space we consider the following topology on the dual space E^* (where by dual space we mean topological dual). Open vector subspaces are given by

$$N(C) = \{\phi \in E^* : \phi|_C = 0\}$$

where C is linearly compact subspace. Equivalently, one can define open vector subspaces in E^* to be D^* where D a direct complement of a linearly compact vector subspace C in E (in this case $D^* \hookrightarrow E^*$ using the decomposition $C \oplus D$).

First, we prove that the word *dually* in [Definition 1.8](#) actually makes sense.

Proposition 1.14. *Duality interchanges discrete and linearly compact spaces.*

Proof.

Theorem 1.15. *For a Tate space A the canonical map $A \rightarrow A^{**}$ is an isomorphism.*

finish this

Morphisms

A **morphism** of Tate spaces is a continuous linear homomorphism between Tate spaces.

Definition 1.16. A morphism $f: A \rightarrow B$ of Tate spaces is said to be **linearly compact** if the closure of fA is linearly compact in B . Dually, it is **discrete** if $\ker f$ is open in A .

Proposition 1.17. *A morphism $f: A \rightarrow B$ of Tate spaces is linearly compact if and only if f^* is discrete.*

Proof. If its linearly compact then \square

TRACE AND RESIDUE

2.1 FINITEPOTENT MAPS AND THEIR TRACE

Let k be a fixed ground field and V a vector space over k . In this section we will expand the notion of trace of a linear endomorphism to include certain operators even when V is infinite dimensional.

Finitepotent maps

Definition 2.1. We will say a linear map $f: V \rightarrow V$ is **finitepotent** if

$$\dim f^n V < \infty$$

for sufficiently large n .

We characterize finitepotent maps as follows.

Lemma 2.2. *A linear map $f: V \rightarrow V$ is finitepotent if and only if there exists a subspace $W \subseteq V$ such that*

- (i) $\dim fW < \infty$,
- (ii) $fW \subseteq W$,
- (iii) *the induced map $\bar{f}: V/W \rightarrow V/W$ is nilpotent.*

Proof. If f is finitepotent choose $W = f^n V$ for sufficiently large n . The first condition follows from definition. Also, $fW = f^{n+1} V \subseteq f^n V = W$. In addition, $\bar{f}^n = 0$. On the other hand, if such W exists, note that condition (ii) assures that \bar{f} is well defined. Moreover, as \bar{f} is nilpotent, $f^n V \subseteq W$ for sufficiently large n and by condition (i) above $\dim f^n V < \infty$. \square

Trace

If f is a finitepotent map and W is as above, $\text{tr}_V(f) \in k$ may be defined as $\text{tr}_W(f)$ where $\text{tr}_W(f)$ is the ordinary trace of f viewed as a endomorphism of W . First, we will check that this definition does not depend on the choice of W . Suppose $W_1, W_2 \subseteq V$ suffice the properties on [Lemma 2.2](#), then $W = W_1 + W_2$ suffices them too. Hence, as the induced maps on W/W_1 and W/W_2 are nilpotent, they have have zero ordinary trace and since

$$\begin{aligned} \text{tr}_W(f) &= \text{tr}_{W_1}(f) + \text{tr}_{W/W_1}(f) \\ \text{tr}_W(f) &= \text{tr}_{W_2}(f) + \text{tr}_{W/W_2}(f), \end{aligned}$$

we obtain $\text{tr}_{W_1}(f) = \text{tr}_{W_2}(f)$, our desired result.

This definition extends some of the properties of the ordinary trace.

Lemma 2.3. (a) *If $\dim V < \infty$, any endomorphism f is finitepotent and $\text{tr}_V(f)$ coincides with the ordinary trace.*

(b) *If f is nilpotent, then it is finitepotent and $\text{tr}_V(f) = 0$.*

(c) If f is finitepotent and U is a subspace such that $fU \subseteq U$ then the induced maps on U and V/U are finitepotent and satisfy

$$\mathrm{tr}_V(f) = \mathrm{tr}_U(f) + \mathrm{tr}_{V/U}(f)$$

Proof. Both (a) and (b) are immediate. For (c) if W suffices the properties in [Lemma 2.2](#) for f then $W \cap U$ and $(W + U)/U$ suffice them for the induced maps, that is, they're finitepotent. Since $W/(W \cap U) \cong W + U/U$, the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} W/(W \cap U) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & (W + U)/U \\ \downarrow f & & \downarrow f \\ W/(W \cap U) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & (W + U)/U \end{array}$$

commutes and trace is invariant under conjugation, we get $\mathrm{tr}_{W/(W \cap U)}(f) = \mathrm{tr}_{(W+U)/U}(f)$. Hence

$$\mathrm{tr}_V(f) = \mathrm{tr}_W(f) = \mathrm{tr}_{W \cap U}(f) + \mathrm{tr}_{(W+U)/U}(f) = \mathrm{tr}_U(f) + \mathrm{tr}_{V/U}(f) \quad \square$$

Definition 2.4. A subspace F of $\mathrm{End}_k(V)$ is said to be a **finitepotent subspace** if there exists an n such that for any family of n elements $f_1, \dots, f_n \in F$, the space $f_1 f_2 \cdots f_n V$ is finite dimensional.

The following is the natural linearity property for tr .

Proposition 2.5. If F is a finitepotent subspace then $\mathrm{tr}_V: F \rightarrow k$ is k -linear

Proof. It is enough to prove it in the case that F is finite dimensional. Let $W = F^n V$ for n as in the definition of finitepotent subspace, thus $\dim W < \infty$. Hence, for all $f \in F$, W suffices the conditions in [Lemma 2.2](#). It follows that $\mathrm{tr}_V(f) = \mathrm{tr}_W(f)$ which is linear. \square

add note in "general" linearity of trace when .bib is ready

Proposition 2.6. If $f, g \in \mathrm{End}_k(V)$ and fg is finitepotent then gf is also finitepotent and

$$\mathrm{tr}_V(fg) = \mathrm{tr}_V(gf).$$

Proof. Since fg is finitepotent let $W = (fg)^n V$ for sufficiently large n has finite dimension. On the other hand, $(gf)^{n+1} V = g(fg)^n fV \subseteq gW$, therefore, gf is also finitepotent. Let $W' = (gf)^n V$, then $gW' \subseteq W$ and $fW \subseteq W'$. Thus,

$$\dim W' \leq \dim gW \leq \dim W \quad \text{and} \quad \dim W \leq \dim fW \leq \dim W',$$

which implies that $W \cong W'$ and that g and f induce mutually inverse isomorphism between W and W' . Moreover, the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} W & \xrightarrow{fg} & W \\ \downarrow g & & \downarrow g \\ W' & \xrightarrow{gf} & W' \end{array}$$

commutes. We conclude $\mathrm{tr}_W(fg) = \mathrm{tr}_{W'}(gf)$ and it follows $\mathrm{tr}_V(fg) = \mathrm{tr}_V(gf)$. \square