

WEEK 2: LOG-LINEARIZATION & BLANCHARD-KAHN (1980)

Paula Donaldson

ECON 210C, 2025

TODAY'S AGENDA

1. Log-linearization
2. Blanchard-Kahn (1980) Method - *based on Eric Sims Graduate Macro notes*

WHY LOG-LINEARIZE?

- Solutions to most DSGE models involve non-linear difference equations
- Log-linearization is an approximation technique that allows us to
 1. express the solution as a system of **linear** differential equations
 2. express variables in percentage terms which is easy to interpret

LOG-LINEARIZATION - THREE STEPS

1. Log-transform all equilibrium conditions
2. Linearize (first-order approx.) each equation around a point \rightarrow steady-state
3. Re-arrange to express everything in terms of % deviation from steady-state

TAYLOR'S THEOREM

- An arbitrary function $f(x)$ can be expressed as a power series about a point $x^* \in X$:

$$f(x) = f(x^*) + \frac{f'(x^*)}{1!}(x - x^*) + \frac{f''(x^*)}{2!}(x - x^*)^2 + \frac{f'''(x^*)}{3!}(x - x^*)^3 + \dots$$

- If the higher order derivatives are small, then the function can be well approximated linearly by:

$$f(x) \approx f(x^*) + \frac{f'(x^*)}{1!}(x - x^*)$$

- Straightforward to extend to multi-variate functions

$$f(x, y) \approx f(x^*, y^*) + \frac{f'_x(x^*, y^*)}{1!}(x - x^*) + \frac{f'_y(x^*, y^*)}{1!}(y - y^*)$$

GENERIC CASE

- Consider the non-linear function:

$$f(x, y) = \frac{g(x, y)}{h(x, y)}$$

- Taking logs on both sides we get:

$$\ln f(x, y) = \ln g(x, y) - \ln h(x, y)$$

- Next: compute the first order Taylor expansion for each term

FIRST ORDER TAYLOR EXPANSION

$$\ln f(x, y) \approx \ln f(x^*, y^*) + \frac{d \ln f(x^*, y^*)}{dx^*} (x - x^*) + \frac{d \ln f(x^*, y^*)}{dy^*} (y - y^*)$$

$$\ln g(x, y) \approx \ln g(x^*, y^*) + \frac{d \ln g(x^*, y^*)}{dx^*} (x - x^*) + \frac{d \ln g(x^*, y^*)}{dy^*} (y - y^*)$$

$$\ln h(x, y) \approx \ln h(x^*, y^*) + \frac{d \ln h(x^*, y^*)}{dx^*} (x - x^*) + \frac{d \ln h(x^*, y^*)}{dy^*} (y - y^*)$$

- Chain rule implies:

$$\frac{d \ln f(x)}{dx} = \frac{f'(x)}{f(x)}$$

- We will use this to simplify the above expressions

FIRST ORDER TAYLOR EXPANSION - *cont.*

- Combine the 3 expressions from the previous slide:

$$\begin{aligned} \ln f(x^*, y^*) + \frac{f'_x(x^*, y^*)}{f(x^*, y^*)}(x - x^*) + \frac{f'_y(x^*, y^*)}{f(x^*, y^*)}(y - y^*) = \\ \ln g(x^*, y^*) + \frac{g'_x(x^*, y^*)}{g(x^*, y^*)}(x - x^*) + \frac{g'_y(x^*, y^*)}{g(x^*, y^*)}(y - y^*) - \\ \left[\ln h(x^*, y^*) + \frac{h'_x(x^*, y^*)}{h(x^*, y^*)}(x - x^*) + \frac{h'_y(x^*, y^*)}{h(x^*, y^*)}(y - y^*) \right] \end{aligned}$$

- Note that terms in pink cancel out

FIRST ORDER TAYLOR EXPANSION - *cont.*

- We are left with:

$$\frac{f'_x(x^*, y^*)}{f(x^*, y^*)}(x - x^*) + \frac{f'_y(x^*, y^*)}{f(x^*, y^*)}(y - y^*) = \frac{g'_x(x^*, y^*)}{g(x^*, y^*)}(x - x^*) + \frac{g'_y(x^*, y^*)}{g(x^*, y^*)}(y - y^*) - \left[\frac{h'_x(x^*, y^*)}{h(x^*, y^*)}(x - x^*) + \frac{h'_y(x^*, y^*)}{h(x^*, y^*)}(y - y^*) \right]$$

- Let $\tilde{x} = \frac{x - x^*}{x^*}$ be the percentage deviation of x from x^*
- Multiply and divide each term by either x^* or y^*

$$\frac{x^* f'_x(x^*, y^*)}{f(x^*, y^*)} \tilde{x} + \frac{y^* f'_y(x^*, y^*)}{f(x^*, y^*)} \tilde{y} = \frac{x^* g'_x(x^*, y^*)}{g(x^*, y^*)} \tilde{x} + \frac{y^* g'_y(x^*, y^*)}{g(x^*, y^*)} \tilde{y} - \left[\frac{x^* h'_x(x^*, y^*)}{h(x^*, y^*)} \tilde{x} + \frac{y^* h'_y(x^*, y^*)}{h(x^*, y^*)} \tilde{y} \right]$$

- The above expression is linear in both \tilde{x} and \tilde{y} ✓

LOG-LINEARIZATION - RECAP

- Take logs
- Do a first order Taylor approximation
- Multiply/divide as necessary to get variables expressed as percentage deviations from x^*
- The final product is an expression linear in \tilde{x}, \tilde{y} :

$$\frac{x^* f'_x(x^*, y^*)}{f(x^*, y^*)} \tilde{x} + \frac{y^* f'_y(x^*, y^*)}{f(x^*, y^*)} \tilde{y} = \frac{x^* g'_x(x^*, y^*)}{g(x^*, y^*)} \tilde{x} + \frac{y^* g'_y(x^*, y^*)}{g(x^*, y^*)} \tilde{y} - \frac{x^* h'_x(x^*, y^*)}{f(x^*, y^*)} \tilde{x} - \frac{y^* h'_y(x^*, y^*)}{f(x^*, y^*)} \tilde{y}$$

LOG-LINEARIZATION - EXAMPLES

- Cobb-Douglas production function: $y_t = a_t k_t^\alpha n_t^{1-\alpha}$
- Taking logs

$$\ln y_t = \ln a_t + \alpha \ln k_t + (1 - \alpha) \ln n_t$$

- First order Taylor approximation around y^*, a^*, k^*, n^* :

$$\begin{aligned} \ln y^* + \frac{1}{y^*} (y_t - y^*) &= \ln a^* + \frac{1}{a^*} (a_t - a^*) + \alpha \ln k^* + \frac{\alpha}{k^*} (k_t - k^*) \\ &\quad + (1 - \alpha) \ln n^* + \frac{(1 - \alpha)}{n^*} (n_t - n^*) \end{aligned}$$

- Pink terms cancel out. Re-arranging:

$$\frac{(y_t - y^*)}{y^*} = \frac{(a_t - a^*)}{a^*} + \alpha \frac{(k_t - k^*)}{k^*} + (1 - \alpha) \frac{(n_t - n^*)}{n^*}$$

- As before, we define $\tilde{x}_t = \frac{(x_t - x^*)}{x^*}$:

$$\tilde{y}_t = \tilde{a}_t + \alpha \tilde{k}_t + (1 - \alpha) \tilde{n}_t$$

LOG-LINEARIZING THE EULER EQUATION

-

BLANCHARD & KAHN (1980) METHOD

- After log-linearizing, we have a system of linear difference equations
- Next → how can we solve these systems?
- Four Steps
 1. Write model in state-space form
 2. Decouple the system using Jordan decomposition
 3. Intuition + algorithm to solve model
 4. Existence and uniqueness
- Dynare/Python/Julia implement this solution method
- More details (for example) here [Eric Sims Notes](#)

1. WRITE MODEL IN STATE-SPACE FORM

$$\mathbb{E}_t[x_{t+1}] = \Psi x_t$$

$$x_t \in \mathbb{R}^{p+m}, \quad x_t = \begin{bmatrix} x_t^s, x_t^j \end{bmatrix}$$

$$x_t^s \in \mathbb{R}^m, \quad x_t^j \in \mathbb{R}^p$$

$$x_0^s \text{ is given}$$

- x_t is a vector of variables expressed in percentage deviations from ss (our \tilde{x}_t)
- We will separate this vector into two groups:
 1. p “jump” or forward-looking variables
 2. m state or predetermined variables
- Ψ governs the evolution of the system, given a starting point
- The initial value of state variables is given (eg. k_0 in the RBC model)
- **Q:** where do we start the jump variables?

1. WRITE MODEL IN STATE-SPACE FORM - EXAMPLE

- Log-linearized equilibrium conditions of (deterministic) neoclassical growth model:

$$-\sigma \tilde{c}_t = -\sigma \tilde{c}_{t+1} + \beta(\alpha - 1)R\tilde{k}_{t+1} \qquad \tilde{k}_{t+1} = \frac{1}{\beta}\tilde{k}_t - \frac{c}{k}\tilde{c}_t$$

- Re-arranging we get:

$$\underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} \tilde{c}_{t+1} \\ \tilde{k}_{t+1} \end{bmatrix}}_{\mathbb{E}_t[x_{t+1}]} = \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} 1 - \frac{c}{k} \frac{\beta(\alpha-1)R}{\sigma} & \frac{(\alpha-1)R}{\sigma} \\ -\frac{c}{k} & \frac{1}{\beta} \end{bmatrix}}_{\Psi} \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} \tilde{c}_t \\ \tilde{k}_t \end{bmatrix}}_{x_t}$$

- State: capital; Jump: consumption
- Note that I drop the expectation operator because the model is deterministic

2. DECOUPLE THE SYSTEM USING JORDAN DECOMPOSITION

- An eigenvalue is a scalar, λ_i , and an eigenvector is a vector \mathbf{v}_i , that jointly satisfy:

$$\Psi \mathbf{v}_i = \lambda_i \mathbf{v}_i \quad \forall i \in p+m$$

- Define the following two matrices

$$\Gamma = \begin{bmatrix} v_{1,1} & v_{2,1} & \dots & v_{p+m,1} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ v_{1,p+m} & v_{2,p+m} & \dots & v_{p+m,p+m} \end{bmatrix} \quad \Lambda = \text{diag}(\lambda)$$

- We can decompose Ψ as: $\Psi \Gamma = \Gamma \Lambda \rightarrow \Psi = \Gamma \Lambda \Gamma^{-1}$

2. DECOUPLE THE SYSTEM USING JORDAN DECOMPOSITION - II

- Use Jordan decomposition to re-write model as:

$$\mathbb{E}_t[x_{t+1}] = \Gamma \Lambda \Gamma^{-1} x_t$$

- Pre-multiply each side by Γ^{-1} :

$$\mathbb{E}_t[\Gamma^{-1} x_{t+1}] = \Lambda \Gamma^{-1} x_t$$

- Change of variables: $w_t = \Gamma^{-1} x_t$. We get:

$$\mathbb{E}_t[w_{t+1}] = \Lambda w_t \rightarrow \mathbb{E}_t \begin{bmatrix} w_{1,t+1} \\ w_{2,t+1} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \Lambda_1 & 0 \\ 0 & \Lambda_2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} w_{1,t} \\ w_{2,t} \end{bmatrix}$$

- Partition Λ in two:

1. Λ_1 contains Q stable eigenvalues ($|\lambda_i| < 1$)
2. Λ_2 contains B unstable eigenvalues ($|\lambda_j| > 1$)

- System is in VAR(1) form, Λ is diagonal $\rightarrow w_{1,t}, w_{2,t}$ evolve independently of each other

2. DECOUPLE THE SYSTEM USING JORDAN DECOMPOSITION-III

- Iterating forward we can write:

$$\mathbb{E}_t[w_{1,t+T}] = \Lambda_1^T w_{1,t} \qquad \mathbb{E}_t[w_{2,t+T}] = \Lambda_2^T w_{2,t}$$

- Eigenvalues in Λ_1 are all stable $\rightarrow \Lambda_1^T \rightarrow 0$ as $T \rightarrow \infty$
- Eigenvalues in Λ_2 are all unstable $\rightarrow \Lambda_2^T \rightarrow \infty$ as $T \rightarrow \infty$
- This implies $\mathbb{E}_t[w_{2,t+T}] \rightarrow \infty$ which is inconsistent with transversality condition /bounded equilibrium **unless** $w_{2,t} = 0$
- Goal: find policy function/ updating rule for jump variables by setting $w_{2,t} = 0$

3. INTUITION + ALGORITHM TO SOLVE MODEL

- We can express w_t as:

$$\underbrace{w_{1,t}}_{Q \times 1} = \underbrace{G_{11}}_{Q \times p} \underbrace{x_t^j}_{p \times 1} + \underbrace{G_{12}}_{Q \times m} \underbrace{x_t^s}_{m \times 1} \quad \text{where} \quad \Gamma^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} G_{11} & G_{12} \\ G_{21} & G_{22} \end{bmatrix}$$
$$\underbrace{w_{2,t}}_{B \times 1} = \underbrace{G_{21}}_{B \times p} \underbrace{x_t^j}_{p \times 1} + \underbrace{G_{22}}_{B \times m} \underbrace{x_t^s}_{m \times 1}$$

- Set $w_{2,t} = 0$:

$$\underbrace{\mathbf{0}}_{B \times 1} = G_{21} x_t^j + G_{22} x_t^s \quad \rightarrow x_t^j = -\textcolor{violet}{G}_{21}^{-1} G_{22} x_t^s$$

- **When will G_{21} ($B \times p$) have an inverse?** Necessary condition: $p = B$.
- In other words, we need as many jump variables as unstable eigenvalues

3. INTUITION + ALGORITHM TO SOLVE MODEL

- When $p = B$, we get:

$$x_t^j = \underbrace{-G_{21}^{-1}G_{22}}_P x_t^s$$

- Recall that the starting point of the state variables, x_0^s , is given
- We can use the policy function to find the period-zero value of the jump variables that yields $w_{2,0} = 0$
- Once we have x_0^s and x_0^j , we can use the expression in previous slide to get $w_{1,0}$
- Then, we can use $\mathbb{E}_t[w_{1,t+T}] = \Lambda_1^T w_{1,t}$ and $\mathbb{E}_t[w_{2,t+T}] = \Lambda_2^T w_{2,t}$ to compute the evolution of the system across time

4. EXISTENCE AND UNIQUENESS OF A SOLUTION

1. If $p = B$, there exists a unique bounded equilibrium
2. If $p < B$ (more explosive λ 's than jump variables), there is no solution
3. If $p > B$, there is an infinite number of solutions.