MATH 420/507 Assignment 5

Jingyuan Hu (Juan) #41465155

Problem 1(a).

Proof. Let $x,y \in \mathbb{R}, x \leq y$, then we have: (1) If $x,y \geq 0$ then $|y| \geq |x|$ and $\lfloor y \rfloor \geq \lfloor x \rfloor \Rightarrow F(y) \geq F(x)$. (2) If x,y < 0 then $0 < |y| \leq |x|$ and $\lfloor x \rfloor \leq \lfloor y \rfloor < 0 \Rightarrow F(y) \geq F(x)$. (3) If $x \leq 0, y \geq 0$ then $|x|\lfloor x \rfloor \leq 0 \leq |y|\lfloor y \rfloor \Rightarrow F(y) \geq F(x)$. Therefore F is non-decreasing. It is right continuous because: $\lim_{x \to y^+} f(x) = \lim_{x \to y^+} |x| \lfloor x \rfloor = \lim_{x \to y^+} |x| \lim_{x \to y^+} |x| \leq |y| \lfloor y \rfloor$ where |x| and |x| are right continuous functions. \square

Problem 1(b).

Proof. Let m be the lebesgue measure and $\lambda: E \to \sum_{x \in E \cap \mathbb{Z}} |x|$ and $\rho: E \to \mu_F(E \setminus \mathbb{Z})$. Then if $k \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$\mu_F(\{k\}) = |k| \lfloor k \rfloor - \lim_{n \to \infty} |k - \frac{1}{n}| \lfloor k - \frac{1}{n} \rfloor = |k|k - \lim_{n \to \infty} |k - \frac{1}{n}|(k-1) = |k| \tag{1}$$

By countable additivity, we have $\mu_F(E) = \mu_F(E \cap \mathbb{Z}) + \mu_F(E \setminus \mathbb{Z}) = \lambda(E) + \rho(E)$ for all set E, which means $\mu_F = \lambda + \rho$. To show this is a Lebesgue-Radon-Nikodym decomposition with respect to Lebesgue measure m, we first show:

(1) $\rho \ll m$: notice $E \setminus \mathbb{Z} = \bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} E \cap (k, k+1)$, suppose m(E) = 0 then we have $m(E \cap (k, k+1)) \leq m(E) = 0 \Rightarrow m(E \cap (k, k+1)) = 0$ for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ since $(E \cap (k, k+1)) \subset E$. Since $\lfloor x \rfloor = k$ for all $x \in (k, k+1)$, we have $\rho(E \cap (k, k+1)) = km(E \cap (k, k+1)) = 0$. Thus, $\rho(E \setminus \mathbb{Z}) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \rho(E \cap (k, k+1)) = 0 \Rightarrow \rho \ll m$. Next we will show:

(2) $\lambda \perp m$: Let $A = \mathbb{Z}, B = \mathbb{Z}^c$, then $A \cap B = \emptyset$ and $A \cup B = \mathbb{R}$. Since λ, m are non-negative measures by construction, it suffices to show $\lambda(B) = 0$ and m(A) = 0. Since $A = \mathbb{Z}$ is countable then m(A) = 0, and $\lambda(B) = 0$ because $B \cap \mathbb{Z} = \emptyset$, hence we've shown $\lambda \perp m$. In conclusion, we have proven that $\mu_F = \lambda + \rho$ is Lebesgue-Radon-Nikodym decomposition with respect to Lebesgue measure m.

Problem 2(a).

Proof. $m \ll \mu$ is equivalent to show $m(E) = 0, \forall E \in \mathcal{M}$ such that $\mu(E) = 0$. Since $\mu(E) = 0$ only when $E = \emptyset$ and $m(\emptyset) = 0$, we've shown $m \ll \mu$. Then we show $dm \neq fd\mu$: Suppose $dm = fd\mu$ for some f, let $E = \{x\}$ where x is in [0,1], then we have m(E) = 0 and hence $\int_E fd\mu = 0$, which implies $f(x)\mu(\{x\}) = 0$. Since x is arbitrary and $\mu(\{x\}) = 1$, f(x) = 0 for all $x \in [0,1]$. Pick E' = [0,1] then $m(E') = 1 \neq 0 = \int_{E'} fd\mu$ which leads to a contradiction. Therefore $m \ll \mu$ but $dm \neq fd\mu$ for any f.

Problem 2(b).

Proof. Suppose μ has a Lebesgue decomposition with respect to lebesgue measure m, say $\mu = \lambda + \rho$, where $\lambda \perp m$ and $\rho \ll m$. Then $\exists E, F \in \mathcal{M}$ where $E \cup F = X, E \cap F = \emptyset$, E is m-null and F is λ -null. Thus, $F = \emptyset$ since if there are some $x \in F$, $\mu(\{x\}) = 1$ but $\lambda(\{x\}) = 0$ and $m(\{x\}) = 0 \Rightarrow \rho(\{x\}) = 0$ then the left hand side is 1 and the right hand side is 0, which leads to a contradiction. Therefore E = X, but we have $m(X) = 1 \neq 0$ since we know E = X is m-null, which also leads to contradiction. In conclusion, μ does not have a Lebesgue decomposition with respect to lebesgue measure m

Problem 2(c).

Proof. The counting measure μ is not σ -finite on (X, \mathcal{M}) so the decomposition and theorem cannot apply. \square

Problem 3(a).

Proof. Define set function $\lambda(E) = \int_E f d\mu$ for all $E \in \mathcal{N}$, then λ is well-defined since $f \in L^1(\mu)$. We next show λ is signed measure: $\lambda(\emptyset) = \int_{\emptyset} f d\mu = 0$, and $\lambda(E) = \int_E f d\mu \in (-\infty, \infty)$ since $\int_E f d\mu \leq \int_X |f| d\mu < \infty$. Let $\{E_j\}_j^{\infty}$ be a collection of disjoint sets in \mathcal{N} , then by dominated convergence theorem (let $f_n = f \sum_j^n 1_{E_j}$ and $|f_n| < |f|$ which is integrable) we have:

$$\lambda(\bigcup_{j} E_{j}) = \int_{\bigcup_{j} E_{j}} f d\mu = \int_{X} f 1_{\bigcup_{j} E_{j}} d\mu = \int_{X} f \sum_{j} 1_{E_{j}} d\mu = \sum_{j} \int_{X} f 1_{E_{j}} d\mu = \sum_{j} \lambda(E_{j})$$
 (2)

Then we have shown λ is a signed measure, notice that λ is σ -finite since $\lambda(X) = \int_X f d\mu < \infty$. Also, $\forall E \in \mathcal{N}$ where $\nu(E) = 0$, we have $\nu(E) = 0 \Rightarrow \mu(E) = 0 \Rightarrow \lambda(E) = 0$, hence $\lambda \ll \nu$. By the Lebesgue-Radon-Nikodym thoerem, the Radon-Nikodym derivative $g = d\lambda/d\nu$ exists and $g \in L^1(\nu)$. So we have $d\lambda = g d\nu$, $d\lambda = f d\mu$, which means $\lambda(E) = \int_E g d\nu = \int_E f d\mu$ where g is unique (any other such $g' = g \nu$ -a.e. from the theorem).

Problem 3(b).

Proof. For $\mathcal{N}=\{\emptyset,X\}$, g is measurable with respect to $\mathcal{N}\iff g^{-1}(U)\in\mathcal{N}, \forall$ open set U in \mathbb{R} . Therefore we have g is a constant function, say g=c, then $\int_X gd\nu=c\nu(X)=\int_X fd\mu\Rightarrow c=\frac{\int_X fd\mu}{\nu(X)}\Rightarrow g=\frac{\int_X fd\mu}{\mu(X)}$. Similarly for $\mathcal{N}=\{\emptyset,E,E^c,X\}$, we have $g=a1_E+b1_{E^c}$. If $\mu(E)\neq 0$, then $\int_E gd\nu=a\nu(E)=\int_E fd\mu$, $\int_{E^c} gd\nu=b\nu(E^c)=\int_{E^c} fd\mu\Rightarrow a=\frac{\int_E fd\mu}{\nu(E)}=\frac{\int_E fd\mu}{\mu(E)}$ and $b=\frac{\int_{E^c} fd\mu}{\nu(E^c)}=\frac{\int_{E^c} fd\mu}{\mu(E^c)}$. If $\mu(E)=0$, then $b=\frac{\int_{E^c} fd\mu}{\mu(E^c)}$ and a can be arbitrary since $\int_E gd\nu=a\nu(E)=\int_E fd\mu=0$ for all a.

Problem 4(a).

Proof. The inequality can be shown by:

$$\frac{1}{\alpha} \int |f| dm \ge \frac{1}{\alpha} \int_{|f| > \alpha} |f| dm = \frac{1}{\alpha} \int_{|f| > \alpha} \alpha dm = \int_{|f| > \alpha} 1 dm = m(\{|f| > \alpha\}) \Rightarrow m(\{x : |f| > \alpha\}) \le \frac{1}{\alpha} \int |f|$$

$$(3)$$

Problem 4(b).

Proof. Notice the ball $B(r,x) \subset [-r,r]^n$, $B(r,x) \supset [\frac{-r}{\sqrt{n}},\frac{r}{\sqrt{n}}]^n$, hence we have $(\frac{2}{\sqrt{n}})^n r^n \leq m(B(r,x)) \leq 2^n r^n$. Denote this as $C_1 r^n \leq m(B(r,x)) \leq C_2 r^n$ where $C_1 = (\frac{2}{\sqrt{n}})^n$, $C_2 = 2^n$. There exist some $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that f is not almost everywhere 0 on B(N,0), and we must have $\int_{B(N,0)} |f| dm = M > 0$. Fix x such that $|x| \geq 1$, then we have $(N+1)|x| \geq |x| + N$ so $B((N+1)|x|,x) \supset B(N,0)$, and $\int_{B((N+1)|x|,x)} |f| dm \geq M$, then

$$Hf(x) \ge \frac{1}{m(B((N+1)|x|,x))} \int_{B((N+1)|x|,x)} |f| dm \ge \frac{M}{C_2(N+1)^n |x|^n}$$
(4)

Thus we have $Hf(x) \ge C/|x|^n$ for all x with $|x| \ge 1$, where $C = \frac{M}{C_2(N+1)^n}$. Now let $E_k = \{x : |x| \in (k-1,k]\}$ for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$, then $E_k = B(k,0) \setminus B(k-1,0)$, so we have $m(E_k) = m(B(k,0)) - m(B(k-1,0)) \ge C_1 k^n - C_1 (k-1)^n$. Let $K = C \times C_1$, then we have:

$$\int |Hf(x)|dm \ge C \int \frac{1}{|x|^n} dm \ge C \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \int_{E_k} \frac{1}{|x|^n} dm \ge C \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{C_1 k^n - C_1 (k-1)^n}{k^n}$$

$$\ge C \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{C_1 k^{n-1}}{k^n} = K \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k} \to \infty$$
(5)

Therefore $\int |Hf(x)|dm = \infty$ and hence we can conclude that $Hf(x) \notin L^1$.

Problem 5(a).

Proof. Without loss of generality, assume y > x, then we have $|F(y) - F(x)| = |\int_x^y f(t)dt| \le \int_x^y |f(t)|dt$. Fix $x \in \mathbb{R}$, consider an bounded interval X = [x - 1, x + 1], since $f \in L^1_{loc}(m)$ we have $\int |f1_X| < \infty \Rightarrow f1_X \in L^1(m)$. Thus, $\forall \epsilon > 0, \exists |y - x| < \delta < 1$, let E = (x, y), which is equivalent to say $m(E) < \delta \Rightarrow \int_E |f|dm = \int_x^y |f(t)|dt \le \epsilon$ by Corollary 3.6. Therefore we can conclude that F is continuous.

Problem 5(b).

Proof. Recall that F is differentiable at some point x_0 if and only if there exist a continuous function ϕ at x_0 such that $F(x) - F(x_0) = (x - x_0)\phi(x)$ where $\phi(x_0) = F'(x_0)$. Let $x_0 = 0$ here, since F is differentiable at 0 and F(0) = 0, we have function ϕ continuous at 0 such that $F(x) = x\phi(x)$ where $\phi(0) = F'(0)$. Thus, we have

$$A_r f(0) - F'(0) = \frac{1}{2r} \int_{-r}^r f(t)dt - \phi(0) = \frac{1}{2r} [F(r) - F(-r)] - \phi(0)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2r} [r\phi(r) + r\phi(-r)] - \phi(0) = \frac{1}{2} [\phi(r) + \phi(-r)] - \phi(0) \to 0 \text{ as } r \to 0^+$$
(6)

since ϕ is continuous at $x_0 = 0$. Therefore $\lim_{r \to 0^+} A_r f(0) = F'(0)$, F is differentiable at 0.

Problem 5(c).

Proof. Let

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x < 0\\ 1 & \text{if } x > 0\\ \frac{1}{2} & \text{if } x = 0 \end{cases}$$

Observe that $\lim_{r\to 0^+} A_r f(0) = \lim_{r\to 0^+} \frac{1}{2r} \int_{-r}^r f(t) dt = \frac{1}{2} = f(0)$, and $F = \int_0^x f(t) dt$ is not differentiable at 0 since $\frac{F(x)-F(0)}{x} = \frac{1}{x} \int_0^x f(t) dt$ is 1 for x>0 but 0 for x<0.

Problem 5(d).

Proof. Let $F(x) = \int_0^x f(t)dt$ where

$$f(t) := \begin{cases} \sin(\frac{1}{t}) & \text{if } t \neq 0\\ 0 & \text{if } t = 0 \end{cases}$$

We first show F'(0) = 0: by definition, need to show $\lim_{x\to 0} \frac{1}{x} \int_0^x \sin(\frac{1}{t}) dt = 0$, assume x > 0 (x < 0 would be similar since f is an odd function). we have:

$$\lim_{x \to 0} \frac{1}{x} \int_{\frac{1}{x}}^{\infty} \frac{\sin(u)}{u^2} du = 0$$

$$\lim_{y \to \infty} y \int_{y}^{\infty} \frac{\sin(u)}{u^{2}} du = 0$$

by change of variable: $u = \frac{1}{t}$, $y = \frac{1}{x}$. Then integration by parts, this is equivalent to show $\lim_{y \to \infty} y \int_y^{\infty} \frac{d\cos(u)}{u^2} du = 0$. This can be shown by:

$$y \int_{y}^{\infty} \frac{d\cos(u)}{u^{2}} du = y \left[\frac{\cos(u)}{u^{2}} \Big|_{y}^{\infty} - \int_{y}^{\infty} \cos(u) \left(-\frac{2}{u^{3}} \right) du \right]$$

$$= y \left[\frac{\cos(y)}{y^{2}} + 2 \int_{y}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\cos(u)}{u^{3}} \right) du \right]$$

$$= \frac{\cos(y)}{y} + 2 \int_{y}^{\infty} y \left(\frac{\cos(u)}{u^{3}} \right) du$$

$$(7)$$

Denote $I_1 = \frac{\cos(y)}{y}, I_2 = \int_y^\infty y(\frac{\cos(u)}{u^3})du$, then we can show that $\lim_{y\to\infty} I_1 = 0, \lim_{y\to\infty} I_2 = 0$ since $|I_2| \leq \int_y^\infty \frac{y}{u^3}du = y\frac{c}{y^2} \to 0$. Therefore, we have proven that F'(0) = 0. Then we have $A_r|f|(0) = \frac{1}{2r}\int_{-r}^r |\sin(\frac{1}{t})|dt = \frac{1}{r}\int_0^r |\sin(\frac{1}{t})|dt$, similarly let $u = \frac{1}{t}$, we have $A_r|f|(0) = \frac{1}{r}\int_{\frac{1}{r}}^\infty \frac{|\sin(u)|}{u^2}du$. Consider $n\pi < \frac{1}{r} < (n+1)\pi$, then we have

$$\int_{\frac{1}{r}}^{\infty} \frac{|\sin(u)|}{u^2} du \ge \int_{(n+1)\pi}^{\infty} \frac{|\sin(u)|}{u^2}$$

$$= \sum_{j=n+1}^{\infty} \int_{j\pi}^{(j+1)\pi} \frac{|\sin(u)|}{u^2} du \text{ by Monotone Convergence Theorem}$$

$$\ge \sum_{j=n+1}^{\infty} \int_{j\pi}^{(j+1)\pi} \frac{|\sin(u)|}{(j+1)^2 \pi^2} du$$

$$= \sum_{j=n+1}^{\infty} \frac{2}{\pi^2} \frac{1}{(j+1)^2}$$

$$\ge C \int_{n+1}^{\infty} \frac{2}{\pi^2} \frac{1}{(u+1)^2} du = C \frac{1}{n+2}$$
(8)

But then $\frac{1}{r} \int_{\frac{1}{r}}^{\infty} \frac{|\sin(u)|}{u^2} du > n\pi \times \frac{C}{n+2} > \frac{C}{2} > 0$, therefore $\lim_{r \to 0^+} A_r |f - F'(0)|(0) \neq 0$.