

# Philox Engine Correction Proposal

Visual inspection of various features of the framework

Document #: D0000R1  
Date: 2025-01-10  
Project: Programming Language C++  
Audience: Library Evolution  
Library  
Revises: D0000R0  
Reply-to: Author Juan Lucas Rey  
<[juanlucasrey@gmail.com](mailto:juanlucasrey@gmail.com)>

## 1 Philox Corrections

This document aims to correct certain flaws in the philox engine description as it is written in “p2075r6.pdf”

The STD currently says:

For philox4x32 only:

-the const template parameters are 0xD2511F53, 0x9E3779B9, 0xCD9E8D57, 0xBB67AE85.

For philox4x64 only:

-the const template parameters are 0xD2E7470EE14C6C93, 0x9E3779B97F4A7C15, 0xCA5A826395121157, 0xBB67AE8584CAA73B.

For both philox4x32 and philox4x64:

-the word permutation table is (0, 3, 2, 1)

-Lastly the update equations are

$$X_{2k} = \text{mullo}(V_{2.k+1}, M_k, w)$$

$$X_{2.k+1} = \text{mulhi}(V_{2.k+1}, M_k, w) \text{ xor } ((K_k + q.C_k) \bmod 2^w) \text{ xor } V_{2.k}$$

The implementation following these indications has been written in [this repo](#) However, as shown in [this test](#), the random numbers do not respect the condition “If the default-constructed engine is of type std::philox4x64, the 10000th consecutive invocation of it produces the value 3409172418970261260.”

The following modifications to the description are able to generate this number:

For philox4x32 only:

-the const template parameters are 0xCD9E8D57, 0x9E3779B9, 0xD2511F53, 0xBB67AE85.

For philox4x64 only:

-the const template parameters are 0xCA5A826395121157, 0x9E3779B97F4A7C15, 0xD2E7470EE14C6C93, 0xBB67AE8584CAA73B.

Note that only the multipliers are inverted. This is in line with the multipliers in [the original paper](#), where, in page 7 it says: “This procedure yielded the following multipliers: for Philox-4x32, 0xCD9E8D57 and 0xD2511F53; for Philox-2x64, 0xD2B74407B1CE6E93, and for Philox 4x64, 0xCA5A826395121157 and 0xD2E7470EE14C6C93.” In the original paper, the multiplier 0xCD9E8D57 comes BEFORE 0xD2511F53 and

0xCA5A826395121157 comes BEFORE 0xD2E7470EE14C6C93.

For both philox4x32 and philox4x64:

-Also, the word permutation table should be (2, 1, 0, 3)

-Lastly the update equations should be

$$X_{2k} = \text{mulhi}(V_{2,k}, M_k, w) \text{ xor } ((K_k + q \cdot C_k) \bmod 2^w) \text{ xor } V_{2,k+1}$$

$$X_{2,k+1} = \text{mullo}(V_{2,k}, M_k, w)$$

This implementation [has been written](#) and, as shown in [a test](#), it satisfies the condition “If the default-constructed engine is of type `std::philox4x64`, the 10000th consecutive invocation of it produces the value 3409172418970261260.”

## 2 Cases n=8 and n=16

Given that [the original paper](#) about philox has no informatin about n=8 or n=16, I would also like to inquire as to the origin of the permuation parameters for these 2 final cases. Would it be possible to include 10000th consecutive invocations for a particular implementations of Philox with n=8 and n=16?