Workshop: Perspectives on Affectivity

Social Constructionism and Emotions: Is there really any constructionist?

Juan Loaiza (1) & Felipe Carvalho (2)

1 Universidad Alberto Hurtado

2 Universidade Federal de Lavras

March 28 2025

Background

Among emotion theories, we find social constructionist theories.

"Emotions are social constructions"

What does this claim mean?

- How should we understand what these theories are actually claiming?
- Is what these theories are claiming the same as other forms of "social constructionism"?
- Are these claims scientifically tenable?

Main claims

- Most so-called "social constructionist" theories of emotion claim defend a weaker causal claim, not their alleged constitution claim.
- Constitution claims are established analytically (by definition) instead of empirically supported.

Upshot: Social constructionism either does not differ in important respects from rival theories of emotion, or it is scientifically unsound.

Averill's constructionism

Emotions are transitory social roles or socially constructed syndromes.

- Syndrome: A set of responses that covary in a systematic fashion.
- Transitory social role: A socially prescribed set of responses to be followed by a person in a given situation.

These syndromes (or sets of responses) include:

- Behavioral outcomes
- Physiological states
- Psychological states

Averill's constructionism

In which sense are emotions socially constructed?

- Emotions are directed (i.e., intentional)
- Intentional objects get their meaning against a social background
- Emotion roles and syndromes get their meaning against a social background

Averill remains agnostic as to the (psychological) mechanisms underlying emotional behavior.

- Social roles are functional units, not psychological states.
- Social roles, not the mechanisms, distinguish between emotions.

Boiger and Mesquita (2012)

Emotions are socially constructed, in that "(social) contexts constitute, shape, and define emotions" (p. 221; own emphasis)

[...] we take social construction of emotion to be an iterative and ongoing process that unfolds within interactions and relationships, which derive their shape and meaning from the prevailing ideas and practices of the larger sociocultural context. At different times, and in different contexts, the resulting emotions will be different. (p. 222)

Boiger and Mesquita (2012)

Three levels of construction:

- Moment-to-moment interactions
- Relationships
- Sociocultural contexts

We develop **sequences** of interactions that influence appraisals, emotion perception, action tendencies, and affective experiences.

Features of social constructionism

Social constructionism involves one or more of the following claims:

- (1) Social factors differentiate between emotions.
- (2) Social factors define specific emotions.
- (3) Social factors affect how emotions unfold.
- (4) Emotions affect social dynamics and interactions.

Claims (1) and (2) seem constitutive. (3) and (4) are causal claims.

Two senses of social construction

Haslanger (2012) distinguishes two forms of social construction.

- Causal construction: Something is causally constructed iff social factors
 play a causal role in bringing it into existence or, to some substantial extent,
 in its being the way it is.
- **Constitutive construction**: Something is constitutively constructed iff in defining it we must make reference to social factors.

Causal construction

Social factors cause something to be the way it is.

Example: Disability is socially constructed (Ásta)

- Society values certain bodies and excludes certain others.
- Because of these values, societies affect their physical space in specific ways.
- Some of these ways lead to certain persons not being able to access certain physical spaces.

Constitutive construction

Social factors define what something is.

Example: Money

- Certain physical or virtual objects may be exchanged for goods.
- These physical or virtual objects are money if certain practices are supported.

Very prominent in debunking projects (e.g., race, gender).

May intrinsically involve power dynamics (Haslanger) or social significance (Asta).

The claims

Social constructionism involves one or more of the following claims:

- (1) Social factors differentiate between emotions.
- (2) Social factors define specific emotions.
- (3) Social factors affect how emotions unfold.
- (4) Emotions affect social dynamics and interactions.

Claims (1) and (2) seem constitutive. (3) and (4) are causal claims.

Causal claims

Boiger and Mesquita's constructionism seems causal constructionism.

- Emotions (whatever they may be) have effects and are affected by our interactions, relationships and sociocultural contexts.
- Compatible with other theories of emotions (e.g., BET).
- Social factors may have effects on physiological and neurological variables.

Analogy: Buildings are still physical objects, even though they are affected by social dynamics.

Constitutive claims

Averill's constructionism seems a better candidate for *constitutive* constructionism.

- Distinctions between emotions are socially determined.
- Emotions are defined by the social roles and behaviors they involve.
- Physiological variables are secondary and non-essential to emotions.

Emotions are defined by their social dynamics.

Arguments for constitution

Arguments for constitutive claims fall under two families:

- 1. Argument from conceptualization
- 2. Argument from intentionality

Both arguments render social constructionism analytical, eroding its soundness as a scientific hypothesis.

Argument from conceptualization

Emotions are understood through socially constructed concepts.

Mesquita & Parkinson (2025) distinguish two versions:

- Emotions depend on appyling SC concepts to emotion-provoking situations.
- 2. Emotions depend on SC representations of those emotions.

M&P reject (1): all cognition applies SC concepts to reality, making SC trivial.

They accept (2): emotions require intersubjectively shared representations.

- Individual emotions?
- What is representation (if not the aggregate of individual representations)?
- Collective emotions as primary?

Argument from intentionality

Emotions are intentional, and intentional objects are opaque and require social context to interpret.

- Averill's definitions of social roles
- Lutz's (1988) definition of song (vs. anger)

Mallon (2007) calls this the semantic individuation strategy.

- Defended by Geertz: inviting thick descriptions.
- Renders SC purely analytical, i.e., true by definition.

Is there a scientifically sound constitution claim?

Constitution claims fail theoretically for two reasons:

- 1. Overly demanding
 - Makes SC representational
 - Makes representation problematic

- 2. Trivial
 - Forces SC true by definition
 - Offers no empirical hypotheses

There are currently no scientifically sound social constructionist accounts.

The Argument

- 1. Social constructionism about emotions claim that:
 - Emotions are affected and affect social dynamics
 - Emotions are constituted by social dynamics
- 2. Social constructionist claims can be divided into causal or constitutive.
- 3. Many social constructionist claims about emotions are causal.
 - This is a weaker claim that what most social constructionists want.
- 4. Constitutive social constructionist claims are problematic.
 - Challenge core assumptions of SC.
 - Make SC analytical (i.e., trivial or true by definition)

Consequences

At worst, SC is theoretically unsound.

• There is no way of defending an interesting SC account of emotions.

At best, SC remains to be defined soundly.

Two specific requirements for a sound SC account:

- Make a constitutive, not a causal claim
- Abandon representation/offer a collective account of representation

Consequences

Existing SC accounts are compatible with other theories.

- Compatible with emotions having specific and consistent underlying mechanisms (e.g. traditional BET).
- Compatible with emotions being functionally individuated
- Compatible with psychological construction accounts

Perhaps SC is an account of emotions that does not bear on the issue of what emotions are.

Thanks!

www.juanrloaiza.com

