



Fred Olness <olness@gmail.com>

Proposal for a review article in "Progress in Particle and Nuclear Physics" (impact factor 11.229).

Amand Faessler <faessler@uni-tuebingen.de>

Tue, Sep 12, 2017 at 12:23 PM

To: Juan Rojo <j.rojo@vu.nl>

Cc: Huey-Wen Lin <hwlin@pa.msu.edu>, Emanuele Roberto Nocera <emanuele.nocera@physics.ox.ac.uk>, Fred Olness <olness@mail.physics.smu.edu>, Kostas Orginos <knorgi@wm.edu>

Dear Prof. Dr. Juan Rojo,

Your proposal for a review article by Huey-Wen Lin, Roberto, Fred Olness, Kostas Orginos and Juan Rojo : "Parton distribution and lattice QCD calculations: a joint community review"

for publication as a review in "Progress in Particle and nuclear Physics" sounds good. I spoke also with Werner Vogelsang, who supports such a review narticle and is convinced, that it will be of a high quality.

As a dedline for your review article I want to propose June first 2018. But if you have good reasons we can also take October 15th. or December first or even April 15th. as the deadline. But my experienceis, that authors, whos want to shift the deadkline into the distant future always ask for further shifts. Thus June first 2018 would be an excellent deadline. Theis would mean you review has to be writtten at the end of April to have enough time to correct ist, to ask permission of the authors and the publishers od articles, from which you copy figures. Maybe you want also to show before submission the article to one or two experts for their opinion.

I am adding five files in the attachment for your use and information. Please, read it and send me the proposal sheet back. It takes only one minute to fill it out and guarantees you, that the honorary , which can be up to 500 \$ calculated by ELSEVIER according to the length of the review article, is paid after publication.

1.The "Proposal sheet " must be filled out by you and returned to me. It takes only one minute. Most authors, who do not do this immediately forget it and are then "not amused", if they receive no honorary, which can be up to 500 \$ (Calculated by ELSEVIER, and specified in the contract, which you will receive.), although the article is published. After publication you receive the honorary/money and must distribute it among possible coauthors and you. Without "Proposal Sheet" no contract with ELSEVIER, without a contract no honorary. So fill out the proposal sheet immediately and return it to me by e-mail. You can inform me about possible coauthors also later, if you do not know them now.

I just had several author , who forgot as usual to send me the "Proposal sheet", since They did it not immediately. The time to fill out the proposal sheet is only about one minute.

2. The File "Author.docx" contains important information for the authors. Please, read it.

3. The file "ppnp.sty" contains the LaTeX template, with which you should write the LaTeX source file for the review article. Follow step by step the template. Write e. g. your title and then erase the title of the template.

Write the authors and the affiliation and erase the fake authors of the template and so step by step. You find also examples for tables and ps-figures.

4. A copy of the letter from Physical Reviews tells you, that Phys. Rev. charges you, if you copy from Phys. Rev. papers more then 3

figures. Pay the money. Keep the receipt. Elsevier will repay you the amount after publication. You must ask for each copied not your own figure the author and the publisher (, if it is not an ELSEVIER

journal,) for permission. Keep the e-mails. do not send them to me.

5. Contains "Very important informations for all authors". Please read it. .

Let me stress five important points:

> 1.The review must give a fair overview of the present status of the
>field worldwide. Your own methods and results should occupy less than
>50 % of the review.
>
> 2.The review should be for about five years the main reference for
>Ph. D. students, for postdocs and even for experienced researchers in
>the field.
>
> 3.Please, be sure to obtain permission from authors and/or
>publishers (apart from Elsevier journals), when you are using figures
>from other papers. E-mails are enough. If you redraw a figure to
>present it nicer and more pedagogical, it is your figure. Store the
>e-mails with the permissions. A publisher could charge you, if you
>have no permission.
>
> 4.The recommended maximum length of the review is 70 pages written
>with the LaTeX template ppnp.sty (sent to you in an attachment). The
>page limit is not strict. I accept also manuscripts with 40 to 100
>pages written with the LaTeX template ppnp.sty.
>
> 5.Use the LaTeX template ppnp.sty to write the article.

I look forward to receive your "Proposal Sheet".

With best regards,

Amand Faessler,
Editor of "Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys.".

xx
Prof. Dr. Dr. h. c. mult. Amand Faessler
Institute for Theor. Physics
University of Tuebingen
Auf der Morgenstelle 14
D-72076 TUEBINGEN/GERMANY
Tel.: *49/7071/29 76370
www.uni-tuebingen.de/faessler
Editor of "Progress in Particle and Nuclear Physics
2016 Impact Factor 11.229.
www.elsevier.com/locate/ppnp
Director of the Erice School of Nuclear Physics
<http://www.uni-tuebingen.de/erice/>
xx

5 attachments



Author.docx
98K



Figures from other Papers.docx
20K



PROPOSAL SHEET.docx
13K



ppnp-LaTeX.sty
16K



Important information for the Authors.docx

9/12/2017

Gmail - Proposal for a review article in "Progress in Particle and Nuclear Physics" (impact factor 11.229).

27K