

**CMSI 370-01**  
**INTERACTION DESIGN**  
Fall 2014

## **Assignment 0925 Feedback**

Because we have not yet fully explored the scopes of outcomes *1b* and *2b*, those proficiencies have a maximum value (for this assignment) of  $\frac{1}{2}$ . That proficiency, for those outcomes, carry the signal “keep doing what you're doing.”

**Juan Carrillo**

*juansc / juanscarrillo23@gmail.com*

Your report is generally well-executed, with sufficiently thoughtful analysis that is grounded in the concepts that we have learned in class and is supported well by illustrations and well-presented data. There is a lack of polish though, marked primarily by the apparently unfinished sections in the end plus the occasional dropped-off sentence and a slew of typos.

*1a* —  $+$

*1b* (max  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) —  $\frac{1}{2}$  ...there was a little bit of an issue with the difference between learnability and efficiency, but near the end you demonstrated sufficient understanding of it; this was not as clear in the beginning but we reflect that in the next outcome, which focuses more on the overall documentation of the study.

*2a* — This outcome talks about both the study (which is largely executed OK) and the way it is documented (which as mentioned, can use some improvement), and so it is the latter that keeps the proficiency where it is. ( $\frac{1}{2}$ )

*2b* (max  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) —  $\frac{1}{2}$

*4d* — The report's content shows that you certainly made good use of course material, but just missed citing it properly. ( $\frac{1}{2}$ )

*4e* — Just one commit before the deadline followed by a few more after...plus you never did commit your images as requested, meaning that the *.tex* file cannot be processed properly. ( $\frac{1}{2}$ )

*4f* — Something nominal submitted on time, with changes after; as discussed, we will go ahead and consider your work as “on time” but consider the subsequent revisions as your resubmit. ( $+$ )