CMSI 370-01

INTERACTION DESIGN

Fall 2014

Assignment 1021 Feedback

Juan Carrillo

juansc / juanscarrillo23@gmail.com

Your paper is thoroughly researched, and makes for a great introduction to current challenges faced by general-purpose HMDs, particularly in the social acceptance area. The writing voice is appropriate (though needing some proofreading) and the content is well-chosen. The bummer is that this depth ends somewhat abruptly in the discussion section, which feels like it is over just as it was starting to warm up.

On a separate note, the cognitive psychology questionnaire responses are not seen. This affects 1a and 4f.

- 1a | ...Good treatment of user perceptions of HMDs; would be + but for missing cognitive psychology questionnaire responses.
- 1b | ... The paper provides a broad, general overview of HMDs and issues surrounding them, but doesn't quite settle into specific usability concepts until the discussion section. Metrics are mentioned and interaction styles are hinted at (though never invoked as such nor with their formal name), and those look to be done fairly well, but they sort of peter out right away.
- 2a | ... The paper is well-executed overall, but can use a few re-reads for polish and refinement, standardized spacing and placement of citations, and perhaps one or two more figures/images/diagrams to illustrate points made in the text (e.g., sample input methods; side-by-side comparison with smartphone use; sample HMD user interfaces).
- 2b / ... This is where the overly brief discussion hits—in the application of the various guidelines and principles to the context of HMDs that had been introduced quite thoroughly. You start with the metrics though go into detail primarily with satisfaction; what follows appears to be an analysis based on interaction style, but formal terms are not used so there is some uncertainty about whether you are consciously applying this concept. But then...poof. "More of this" would be the summary feedback for this outcome.
- $4d + \dots$ Not much to say here; you've racked up a good selection and discuss them well in the beginning.

4e — +

4f— | ...We count the cognitive psychology questionnaire as late.