CMSI 371-01

COMPUTER GRAPHICS

Spring 2015

Assignment 0326b Feedback

Outcome 3a now covers enough of the overall graphics library to merit a full proficiency range. With instance transforms, outcome 3d now covers the full envisioned vertex shader, and also drops the proficiency cap even with the fragment shader remaining.

Juan Carrillo

juansc / juanscarrillo23@gmail.com

Note that a test suite for your matrix library was a major component of this assignment. No such thing could be spotted among the submission's files.

- 1. This function should be gone from the main code, instead implemented now by the matrix library. (4b)
- 2. OK, so some matrix-related tests reside here. However they conflate Shape object functionality with the matrix functionality, so they do not really serve as ideal *unit* tests for just the matrix library. There should be a separate suite that tests *only* the matrix code. (4b)
- 3. Even though this file defines a top-level scope object, it is still good practice to enclose the definition code inside a function, for proper encapsulation. (4b)
- 4. Ah see, you did refactor this function into your matrix library. Out with the old one! (4b)

2a — +	
--------	--

2b — +

 $3a - | \dots A$ robust unit test suite is a key part of a solid matrix library.

3d — -

 $4a - | \dots$ The missing test suite hits here also, because the stability of the matrix library's functionality is at greater risk.

 $4b - | \dots$ This is primarily the single missed rotation-by-arbitrary-axis refactor indicated in notes #1 and #4. Although the proficiency drop may seem disproportionate to the indicated issue, I would argue that it is a big deal—when refactoring code, you should be very clear about what should get moved and why. If anything, let this be a reminder for greater awareness of this in the future.

4c — +

4d — +

4e — +

4f___+

Updated feedback based on commits up to 2015-04-23:

Notes 1 and 4 addressed, but test file relocation regression hits here also.

4a - / ... This is treated heavily as noted in the 0326a feedback. Upon further examination this is even more justifiable here, because the issue that was fixed—the leftover rotation matrix—was also a refactoring oversight. This regression is another such oversight...we will keep banging this on your head until your refactoring gets more thorough:)

4b — +