

# CSC 591, Homework 1

Fatema Olia - 200253671  
folia@ncsu.edu

October 1, 2018

1. (a) If we have a perfectly secure scheme where the ciphertext space is greater than the message space, i.e.  $|C| > |M|$  then the encryption of any message is not uniformly random over ciphertext space because there are some ciphertexts that will never be reached during encryption.

For example, consider a scheme like one time pad where we copy the first bit and append it to the end of the ciphertext. So we will have  $|C| = \{0, 1\}^{n+1}$ .

When we encrypt a message in this scheme we will always get messages starting and ending with the same bit, thus those messages in the ciphertext space whose start and end is different will never be reached.

Thus,  $Pr_{k \leftarrow KeyGen}[Enc_k(m) = c] < \frac{1}{|C|}$

- (b) For one time pad to be perfectly secure, we must at least have as many keys as there are messages, i.e.  $|K| \geq |M|$ . We know that  $|S| = 7$ .
  - i.  $M = S$  and  $K = \{0, 1\}^3$ . Thus,  $|M| = 7$  and  $|K| = 8$ . Since  $|K| > |M|$  the scheme is perfectly secure.
  - ii.  $M = \{0, 1\}^3$  and  $K = S$ . Thus,  $|M| = 8$  and  $|K| = 7$ . Since  $|K| < |M|$  the scheme is not perfectly secure.
  - iii.  $M = S$  and  $K = S$ . Thus,  $|M| = 7$  and  $|K| = 7$ . Since  $|K| = |M|$  the scheme is perfectly secure.
2.  $G\{0, 1\}^n \rightarrow \{0, 1\}^{p(n)}$  is a PRG. For any input of size  $n$ ,  $G$  gives an output of size  $p(n)$ 
  - (a)  $G'(s) = s || G(s)$ , where  $G$  is the pseudorandom generator.

Intuitively, if a distinguisher  $D$  is given a string generated by  $G'$  then the distinguisher can take the first  $n$  bits of the string and generates an output using  $G$ , then compare the output to the rest of the string and come to know if the output comes from a truly random function or  $G'$ .

Consider a probabilistic polynomial time distinguisher  $D$  that will try to guess if an input string is from  $G'$  or not. The algorithm for this distinguisher is as follows:

- i. Input  $y$  to  $D(y)$
- ii. Parse  $y = y_n || y_{p(n)}$
- iii. Calculate  $x = G(y_n)$
- iv. IF  $x = y_{p(n)}$  then Output 1  
else Output 0

Thus,  $\Pr[D(y) \rightarrow 1] = 1$  (When  $y = G'(s)$ )

and  $\Pr[D(y) \rightarrow 1] = \frac{1}{2^n}$  (When  $y$  is truly random)

The difference between the two probabilities is non negligible. Thus,  $G'$  is not a pseudorandom generator.

- (b)  $G'(s) = f(G(f(s)))$ , where  $G$  is the pseudorandom generator.

**Intuition:**

We can see the  $G(f(s))$  is pseudorandom because  $G$  is a PRG. So even  $f(G(f(s)))$  will be pseudorandom because it just removes the least significant bit of  $G$

Thus, we shall now prove that if  $G$  is a PRG then  $G'$  is also a secure PRG.

Consider  $\exists$  a probabilistic polynomial time adversary  $D$  that distinguishes the output of  $G$ .

**Assumptions:**

- (1)  $G$  is a PRG.
- (2) Towards a contradiction, assume  $\exists$  a probabilistic polynomial time distinguisher  $D_1$  that distinguishes the output of  $G'$  with a non negligible probability of  $p$ .

**Observations:**

- $D_1$  expects an input of  $p(n) - 1$  bits where the string comes from  $G'$  or is a truly random string.
- $D$  expects an input of  $n$  bits where the string comes from  $G$  or is a truly random string.

**Reduction:**

$D$  receives an input  $X$  where  $X$  is either the output of  $G$  or a truly random string. In this case, if  $X$  is coming from  $G$ , it is generated by taking an  $n + 1$  bit string  $s$  and calculating  $X \leftarrow G(f(s))$ . ( $f(s)$  is a function that removes the least significant bit of  $s$ )

Thus the algorithm for  $D$  is as follows:

$D(X)$

- i. Compute  $Z = f(X)$ .
- ii. Send  $Z$  to  $D_1$ .
- iii. When  $D_1$  outputs a bit  $b_1$ , also output  $b_1$ .

**Analysis:**

- IF  $X$  is the output of  $G$ ,  $Z$  is distributed exactly as the output of  $G'$   
Thus,  $\Pr[D(X) \rightarrow 1] = \Pr[D_1(Z) \rightarrow 1]$   
(Where  $X \leftarrow G$  and  $Z \leftarrow G'$ )

- IF  $X$  is a truly random string,  $Z$  is distributed exactly as completely random  
Thus,  $\Pr[D(X) \rightarrow 1] = \Pr[D_1(Z) \rightarrow 1]$   
(Where  $X \leftarrow \{0, 1\}^{n-1}$  and  $Z \leftarrow \{0, 1\}^{n-1}$ )

However, as we have assumed in (2),  $D_1$  distinguishes the output of  $G'$  with non negligible probability. From the analysis, this would mean that even  $D$  distinguishes  $G$  with non negligible probability. This is impossible since  $G$  is a PRG. Thus, our assumption must be wrong. Therefore,  $G'$  is also a pseudorandom generator.

3. (a)  $F : \{0, 1\}^n \times \{0, 1\}^n \rightarrow \{0, 1\}^n$  and  $F' : \{0, 1\}^{2n} \times \{0, 1\}^{2n} \rightarrow \{0, 1\}^{2n}$   
 $F'(k, x) = F(k_1, x_1) || F(k_2, x_2)$ , where  $k = k_1 || k_2$  and  $x = x_1 || x_2$

$F'$  is not a pseudorandom function as it can be distinguished by a distinguisher with a non negligible probability. Consider the distinguisher  $D$  as follows:

**Distinguisher:**

- query  $x_1$  and obtain  $y_1$
- query  $x_2$ , where the last  $n$  bits of  $x_2$  are the same as the last  $n$  bits of  $x_1$ , and obtain  $y_2$
- IF last  $n$  bits of  $y_1$  match the last  $n$  bits of  $y_2$ , output 1  
ELSE output 0

**Analysis:**

The distinguisher  $D$  has to guess if the output  $y_i$  is from the function  $F'$  or the truly random function  $T$

$$\Pr[D^{F'} \rightarrow 1] = 1$$

$$\Pr[D^T \rightarrow 1] = \frac{1}{2^{2n}}$$

Thus, the difference between the two probabilities is non negligible and so the distinguisher wins.

Hence  $F'$  is not a pseudorandom function.

- (b)  $F : \{0, 1\}^n \times \{0, 1\}^n \rightarrow \{0, 1\}^n$  and  $F' : \{0, 1\}^{2n} \times \{0, 1\}^n \rightarrow \{0, 1\}^n$   
 $F'(k, x) = F(k_1, x) \oplus k_2$ , where  $k = k_1 || k_2$

We can prove that  $F'$  is a pseudorandom function using reduction.

**Assumptions:**

- (1)  $F$  is a PRF.
- (2) Towards a contradiction, assume  $\exists$  a probabilistic polynomial time distinguisher  $D_1$  that distinguishes the output of  $F'$  with non negligible probability.

**Observations:**

- $D_1$  expects an input of  $n$  bits where the string comes from  $F'$  or from a truly random function.
- $D$  is a distinguisher that expects an input of  $n$  bits where the string comes from  $F$  or is a truly random function.

**Reduction:** $D(k, x)$ 

- Compute  $y = F(k_1, x)$
- Send  $z = y \oplus k_2$  to  $D_1$
- When  $D_1$  outputs a bit  $b$ , then also output  $b$ .

**Analysis:**

- IF  $y$  is the output of  $F$ ,  $z$  is distributed exactly as the output of  $F'$ .  
 $Pr[D_{y \leftarrow F} \rightarrow 1] = Pr[D_{1z \leftarrow F'} \rightarrow 1]$
- IF  $y$  is the output of a truly random function,  $z$  is truly random.  
 $Pr[D_{y \leftarrow T} \rightarrow 1] = Pr[D_{1z \leftarrow T} \rightarrow 1]$

But the absolute difference  $|Pr[D_{1z \leftarrow F'} \rightarrow 1] - Pr[D_{1z \leftarrow T} \rightarrow 1]|$  is non negligible according to our assumption. This means the difference  $|Pr[D_{y \leftarrow F} \rightarrow 1] - Pr[D_{y \leftarrow T} \rightarrow 1]|$  would also be non negligible. However, since  $F$  is a pseudorandom function, this difference has to be negligible. Thus our assumption must be false and there cannot be a distinguisher  $D_1$  that can distinguish  $F'$ .

Thus,  $F'$  is a pseudorandom function.

4. (a) **Intuition:**

If we have two schemes  $\Pi_1 = (Gen_1, Enc_1, Dec_1)$  and  $\Pi_2 = (Gen_2, Enc_2, Dec_2)$  and we generate a scheme  $\Pi = \Pi_2(\Pi_1(m))$  (the message  $m$  is first encrypted by  $\Pi_1$  and then the cyphertext generated by  $\Pi_1$  is encrypted by  $\Pi_2$ ) then:

- If  $\Pi_1$  is CPA secure, then even if we decrypt  $\Pi_2$  then we get  $c_1$  (which is  $\Pi_1(m)$ ) which is CPA secure and so  $\Pi$  is CPA secure.
- If  $\Pi_2$  is CPA secure, then whether  $\Pi_1$  is CPA secure or not we cannot decrypt  $\Pi_2$  and so  $\Pi$  is CPA secure.

We can prove each case as follows:

i. **Assumption:**

- (1)  $\Pi_1$  is CPA secure
- (2) Towards a contradiction assume there is an adversary  $A_1$  that determines with absolute certainty which message  $\Pi$  is encrypting ( $m_0$  or  $m_1$ )

**Reduction:**

When  $A_1$  asks  $Enc(m_i)$  do as follows:

- Send  $m_i$  to  $\Pi_2$  which sends message  $m_i$  to  $\Pi_1$  which encrypts the message and sends  $c_{i1}$  to  $\Pi_2$  which encrypts it and returns  $c_{i2}$ .
- Output  $c_{i2}$  as  $c_i$

When  $A_1$  challenges with pair  $m_0, m_1$ , Do as follows:

- Pick a bit  $b$ .
- Send  $m_b$  to  $\Pi_2$  which sends message  $m_b$  to  $\Pi_1$  which encrypts the message and sends  $c_1^*$  to  $\Pi_2$  which encrypts it and returns  $c_2^*$ .
- Output  $c_2^*$  as  $c^*$

IF  $c^*$  matches  $c_0$  output 0

else IF  $c^*$  matches  $c_1$  output 1

**Analysis:**

If  $A_1$  can match  $c^*$  to  $c_b$ , that means the output of  $\Pi_2$  is deterministic, which means the output of  $\Pi_1$  is deterministic.

Since  $\Pi_1$  is CPA secure, it cannot have a deterministic output. Thus our assumption was wrong. The adversary  $A_1$  cannot win the game if  $\Pi_1$  is CPA secure.

ii. **Assumption:**

(1)  $\Pi_2$  is CPA secure

(2) Towards a contradiction assume there is an adversary  $A_2$  that determines with absolute certainty which message  $\Pi$  is encrypting ( $m_0$  or  $m_1$ )

**Reduction:**

When  $A_2$  asks  $Enc(m_i)$  do as follows:

- Send  $m_i$  to  $\Pi_2$  which sends message  $m_i$  to  $\Pi_1$  which encrypts the message and sends  $c_{i1}$  to  $\Pi_2$  which encrypts it and returns  $c_{i2}$ .

- Output  $c_{i2}$  as  $c_i$

When  $A_2$  challenges with pair  $m_0, m_1$ , Do as follows:

- Pick a bit  $b$ .

- Send  $m_b$  to  $\Pi_2$  which sends message  $m_b$  to  $\Pi_1$  which encrypts the message and sends  $c_1^*$  to  $\Pi_2$  which encrypts it and returns  $c_2^*$ .

- Output  $c_2^*$  as  $c^*$

IF  $c^*$  matches  $c_0$  output 0

else IF  $c^*$  matches  $c_1$  output 1

**Analysis:**

If  $A_2$  can match  $c^*$  to  $c_b$ , that means the output of  $\Pi_2$  is deterministic.

Since  $\Pi_2$  is CPA secure, it cannot have a deterministic output. Thus our assumption was wrong. The adversary  $A_2$  cannot win the game if  $\Pi_2$  is CPA secure.

Hence, when  $\Pi = \Pi_2(\Pi_1(m))$  then  $\Pi$  is CPA secure if at least one of  $\Pi_1$  or  $\Pi_2$  is CPA secure.