

Lecture 11: Message Authentication Code (MAC)

Lecturer: Alessandra Scafuro

Scribe: Mingkan Zhuang

Security of Message Authentication Code

In previous lectures, we learned about security for encryption of messages, we focused on the *confidentiality* of encryption schema, and whether an adversary can *distinguish* the ciphertext. But it raise another problem about *integrity*, how do we *authenticate* message we received is sent from the sender, not replaced or modified by a third party.

In this lecture, we learn the concept and security of *Message Authentication Code (MAC)*.

Definition

We see that encryption does not solve problem of integrity, so additional mechanism is needed to let communicating parties to know whether or not a message was tampered with. MAC is a tool aims to prevent an adversary from modifying or replacing the message sent from one to another, without detecting the message is not from the original sender.

Definition A message Authentication Code is a tuple of algorithms $\Pi = (\text{Gen}, \text{Mac}, \text{Verify})$ where $\text{Gen}(1^n)$ outputs a key k , $\text{Mac}(m, k)$ outputs a tag t and $\text{Verify}(k, m, t)$ outputs 1 if the tag is correct (and 0 otherwise).

Formal Representation

The message authentication experiment $\text{Mac-Forge}(\mathcal{A}, \Pi, n)$

1. A key k is generated by running $\text{Gen}(1^n)$.
2. The adversary \mathcal{A} is given oracle access to the MAC function ($\text{Mac}(k, m)$), and can query this oracle with input m_i and obtain outputs t_i . Let \mathcal{Q} be the set of queries asked by \mathcal{A} to the MAC oracle.
3. When the challenger is ready, the adversary outputs a tuple (m^*, t^*) .
4. The adversary wins if $\text{Verify}(m^*, t^*) = 1$, and $m^* \notin \mathcal{Q}$.

Unforgeability: even after observing many pairs of (m_i, t_i) , the adversary is not able to generate a valid new tag for a new message:

$$\Pr[\text{Mac-Forge}_{\mathcal{A}, \Pi}(n) = 1] \leq \text{negl}(n)$$

Scheme

MAC

$$\text{Gen}(1^n) \rightarrow k$$

$$\text{Mac}(m, k) \rightarrow t$$

$Verify(k, m, t)$
 outputs 1 if the tag matches the message
 outputs 0 otherwise

Security Proof

We construct a MAC scheme Π using PRF F as follow:

- $Gen(1^n) \rightarrow k$
- $Mac(k, m)$:
 1. $t = F_k(m)$
 2. Output m, t
- $Verify(k, m, t)$:

Output 1 if $t = F_k(m)$
 Output 0 if $t \neq F_k(m)$

Theorem: If \mathcal{F} is a PRF, then Π is a secure MAC scheme.

Proof: Towards a contradiction, assume there exists an adversary \mathcal{A}_{Forge} wins the forge game, \mathcal{A}_{Forge} outputs a pair (m^*, t^*) such that $t^* = F_k(m^*)$, with probability $negl(n)$

we can construct an adversary \mathcal{A}_F that distinguishes the output of an PRF from truly random function. \mathcal{A}_F has oracle access \mathcal{O} with \mathcal{F} and Truly random function:

1. when \mathcal{A}_{Forge} queries Game Π with input m_i , \mathcal{A}_F sends m_i to oracle \mathcal{O} and obtain y_i and sends to \mathcal{A}_{Forge}
2. when \mathcal{A}_{Forge} outputs (m^*, t^*) , \mathcal{A}_F sends m^* to oracle and obtain z
3. if $z = t^*$ output 1
 else output 0

Analysis:

\mathcal{A}_{Forge} simulates exactly as \mathcal{A}_F , which wins the game with probability of $negl(n)$. So Π is a secure MAC scheme.