

TRANSPOSE OF LORENTZ TRANSFORMATION*

JÚLIO C. V. BARCZYSZYN
Federal University of Santa Catarina
jucazyn@gmail.com

March 29, 2025

Good notations often play central roles in making theoretical advances in the physical sciences, where calculations can easily become lengthy. However, certain notations create more confusion than clarity for beginners.

A quick search reveals widespread confusion regarding index notation or Einstein summation convention among students. In particular, the property

$$(\Lambda^t)^\mu{}_\nu = \Lambda_\nu{}^\mu \quad (1)$$

of matrices of Lorentz transformations is often misunderstood (see, for example, [Stack Exchange](#)). Of course, (1) is not the usual definition for the transpose of a matrix. To my surprise, I couldn't find any simple proof for the equality. Even worse, in some places the discussion ended up complicating more. Unsatisfied with the answers I found, I decided to write and share this.

Definition. For any square matrix M , the **transpose** of M is defined as

$$(M^t)_{\alpha\beta} = M_{\beta\alpha}. \quad (*)$$

Proposition. Let Λ be the matrix of a Lorentz transformation. Then,

$$(\Lambda^t)^\mu{}_\nu = \Lambda_\nu{}^\mu.$$

Proof. Let η denote the Minkowski metric. We compute:

$$\begin{aligned} (\Lambda^t)^\mu{}_\nu &= \eta^{\mu\alpha} (\Lambda^t)_{\alpha\nu} \\ &= \eta^{\mu\alpha} \Lambda_{\nu\alpha} \quad (*) \\ &= \Lambda_\nu{}^\mu. \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

Remark. It's worth mentioning that the proof of

$$(\Lambda^t)_\nu{}^\mu = \Lambda^\mu{}_\nu$$

is analogous.

*This is a first draft. In future versions, the text could evolve into lecture notes on tensors and index notation, especially if I come across more confusing things out there.