



# Abdalla & 5 others v National Environment Management Authority & another (Tribunal Appeal 39 of 2022) [2023] KENET 475 (KLR) (1 September 2023) (Ruling)

Neutral citation: [2023] KENET 475 (KLR)

### REPUBLIC OF KENYA

#### IN THE NATIONAL ENVIRONMENT TRIBUNAL - NAIROBI

### **TRIBUNAL APPEAL 39 OF 2022**

# EMMANUEL MUMIA, CHAIR, WINNIE TSUMA, VICE CHAIR, KARIUKI MUIGUA, DUNCAN KURIA & RONALD ALLAMANO, MEMBERS

### **SEPTEMBER 1, 2023**

#### **BETWEEN**

MOHAMMED AHMED ABDALLA	1 <sup>ST</sup>	APPELLANT
SALIM SAID	2 <sup>ND</sup>	APPELLANT
AMIN S. SALIM	3 <sup>RD</sup>	APPELLANT
ABDULAZIZ ABBAS	<b>4</b> <sup>TH</sup>	APPELLANT
RICHARD A. S	<b>5</b> <sup>TH</sup>	APPELLANT
BHARAT DEVIDAS VAITHA KETAN DOSHI	<b>6</b> <sup>TH</sup>	APPELLANT
AND		
NATIONAL ENVIRONMENT MANAGEMENT AUTHORITY RESPONDENT	1	ST
KHANSA DEVELOPERS LIMITED 2 <sup>N</sup>	D R	ESPONDENT

### **RULING**

- 1. The appellant moved this tribunal by way of a notice of appeal dated October 21, 2022. Their appeal seeks the revocation and/or cancellation of Environmental Impact Assessment License No NEMA/ EIA/PSL/21084 issued on August 23, 2022 by the 1<sup>st</sup> respondent to the 2<sup>nd</sup> respondent.
- 2. The respondents entered appearance and filed their responses to the notice of appeal, and the same are dated February 21, 2023 and February 20, 2023 respectively.
- 3. When parties appeared before us on April 3, 2023 it was urged that the issues before us had been substantially dealt with by the Environment and Land Court in ELC petition No 16 of 2022. We,



- therefore, directed that the judgment rendered by the ELC court in the said appeal be availed before us for the purpose of appraising ourselves of its contents.
- 4. On August 18, 2023, we directed that parties file and exchange submissions addressing us on the import of the judgment on the proceedings before us. The only submissions forming our record are those filed by the  $2^{nd}$  respondent.

## **Analysis and Determination**

- 5. We have considered the judgment rendered by the ELC court in detail. In its final orders, the court found as follows:
  - a. That judgement be and is hereby entered in favour of the 1<sup>st</sup>, 2<sup>nd</sup>, 3<sup>rd</sup>, 4<sup>th</sup>, 5<sup>th</sup>, 6<sup>th</sup> and 7<sup>th</sup> petitioners herein with costs.
  - b. That the "counter claim"/cross-petition by the 1<sup>st</sup> respondent dated October 4, 2022 be and is hereby dismissed with costs.
  - c. That a declaration be is and hereby issued that the proposed development of 18 floors storey building on plot number Mombasa/Block/ xxvi 595 is irregular, illegal and wrongful for failure to fully comply with the provisions of articles 10, 40, 42 and 69 of the *Constitution* of Kenya, 2010.
  - d. That a declaration be and is hereby issued that the development approvals issued by the 3<sup>rd</sup> and 4<sup>th</sup> respondents for the proposed development of 18 floors storey building situated on all that parcel of land known as land reference number Mombasa/Block xxvi/595 without complying with the provisions articles 10, 40, 42, 47 and 69 of the *Constitution* of Kenya, 2010 are all illegal, irregular, wrongful and therefore null and void ab initio.
  - e. That costs of the petition and for the dismissal of the cross-petition herein be and is hereby awarded to the  $1^{st}$ ,  $2^{nd}$ ,  $3^{rd}$ ,  $4^{th}$ ,  $5^{th}$ ,  $6^{th}$  and  $7^{th}$  petitioner herein.
- 6. The nature of reliefs granted by the ELC court addresses the issues that are materially and substantially in issue in the present appeal, litigated by the same parties before us. We are mindful of the status of the ELC court as a superior court and do not entertain the misguided notion that we enjoy concurrent jurisdiction with the court.
- 7. It therefore follows that the notice of appeal dated October 21, 2022 ought to be struck out for offending the hallowed doctrine of *res judicata*. We now address our minds on the issue of costs. Exceptional circumstances referred by the Supreme Court in *Jasbir Singh Rein & others v Torcholan Rein & other* [2014] eKLR have not been demonstrated as to depart from the general rule that costs ought to be awarded to the successful party. The conduct of the appellants, discernable from the record before us, leaves a lot to be desired.

## Orders

8. Accordingly, the tribunal strikes out the appellant's notice of appeal dated October 21, 2022 with costs to the  $2^{nd}$  respondent.

DATED AT NAIROBI THIS 1<sup>ST</sup> DAY OF SEPTEMBER 2023

EMMANUEL MUMIA......CHAIRMAN

WINNIE TSUMA......VICE-CHAIR



KARIUKI MUIGUA	MEMBER
DUNCAN KURIA	MEMBER
RONALD ALLAMANO	MEMBER