



## Omutuku v Habwe & another (Tribunal Case E121 of 2023) [2024] KEBPRT 1186 (KLR) (14 August 2024) (Ruling)

Neutral citation: [2024] KEBPRT 1186 (KLR)

# REPUBLIC OF KENYA IN THE BUSINESS PREMISES RENT TRIBUNAL TRIBUNAL CASE E121 OF 2023 J OSODO, CHAIR & GAKUHI CHEGE, MEMBER AUGUST 14, 2024

### **BETWEEN**

MOSES OMUTELEMA OMUTUKU	APPLICANT
AND	
CHRISTOPHER OCHUKA HABWE 1 <sup>ST</sup> R	ESPONDENT
YAMUKO AUCTIONEERS 2 <sup>ND</sup> R	ESPONDENT

### **RULING**

- 1. This matter was commenced vide a Reference under Section 12(4) of the <u>Landlord and Tenant (Shops, Hotels and Catering Establishments) Act</u> Cap 301 dated 7<sup>th</sup> August 2023 with a Complaint that the tenant has not filed any reference to oppose the termination notice served on him dated 3<sup>rd</sup> May 2023 which has expired.
- 2. The matter came up for hearing before the tribunal on 12<sup>th</sup> September 2023 when the tribunal issued the following orders in the presence of the landlord and in absence of the tenant;
  - i. That the notice of termination of tenancy is approved.
  - ii. That the tenant vacates the suit premises on or before 30<sup>th</sup> September 2023 and in default be evicted with the assistance of the O.C.S Luanda Police Station.
  - iii. That the tenant clears the rent arrears of KES. 60,000 and in default, the landlord shall be at liberty to distress for rent without paying additional court fees.
  - iv. That the tenant shall pay costs of KES. 5,000 to the landlord.
- 3. The tenant then filed a notice of motion under a certificate of urgency dated 2<sup>nd</sup> September 2023 in which he sought for the following orders;
  - i. That the application be certified urgent.



- ii. That J.E Namenge and Co. Advocates be deemed appointed advocates for the applicant/respondent.
- iii. That there be a stay of execution of the orders issued on 12<sup>th</sup> September 2023 pending hearing and determination of the application.
- iv. That the tribunal reviews/set aside its orders made on 12<sup>th</sup> September 2023 and consequential orders.
- v. That the costs of the application be provided for.
- 4. The application is supported by an affidavit of even date in which the tenant deposes as follows; -
  - That he is a business man running a business on the leased premises of FAHIDA KWAS JAMII CBO.
  - ii. That he has never been served with the respondent's reference
  - iii. That the tenant came to learn of the matter when he was served with the proclamation notice from Yamuko Auctioneers dated 8<sup>th</sup> September 2023. A copy of the proclamation notice is annexed as "MOO-1".
  - iv. That upon enquiring the orders the proclamation notice emanated from, the auctioneers provided him with an order dated 12<sup>th</sup> September 2023.
  - v. That the applicant is not a tenant of the landlord/respondent herein as the tenant has a lease agreement with FAHIDA KWA JAMII CBO which has been running since 15<sup>th</sup> August 2015. The lease agreement is annexed as "MOO-3".
  - vi. That the tenant has been paying rent to the said landlord as evidenced by the copies of receipts attached.
  - vii. That the landlord/respondent herein has not furnished the court with any lease agreement to show that the applicant is his tenant.
- 5. At the court hearing on 9<sup>th</sup> October 2023, the court granted prayers 2 and 3 thus staying the execution of the orders issued on 12<sup>th</sup> September 2023 pending the hearing and determination of the application dated 2<sup>nd</sup> September 2023. The tenant was also ordered to serve the said application afresh since the landlord stated that he has not been served with the said application.
- 6. The tenant filed another application dated 19<sup>th</sup> December 2023 pursuant to Section 3, 3A and 80 of the *Civil Procedure Act* for orders that the application be certified urgent. Secondly, that the tribunal restrains the 2<sup>nd</sup> respondent from selling by auction the goods seized from the suit premises on 18<sup>th</sup> December 2023 and that the court issues orders that the 2<sup>nd</sup> respondent returns the seized goods to the suit premises at the Auctioneer's costs.
- 7. The application dated 19<sup>th</sup> December 2023 was based on grounds that the landlord issued instructions to the 2<sup>nd</sup> respondent to levy distress against the tenant despite there being an order for stay of execution dated 17<sup>th</sup> October 2023 and that both respondents had been served with the stay orders.



- 8. The tribunal issued a ruling dated 28<sup>th</sup> March 2024 on the application dated 19<sup>th</sup> December 2023 in which the following orders were issued; -
  - "(a) The application dated 19<sup>th</sup> December 2023 is allowed in terms of prayer 2 thereof pending hearing and determination of the application dated 2<sup>nd</sup> September 2023.
  - (b) Prayer 3 of the application dated 19<sup>th</sup> December 2023 is denied and shall await the hearing and determination of the application dated 2<sup>nd</sup> September 2023.
  - (c) Costs of the application shall abide the outcome of the application dated 2<sup>nd</sup> September 2023.
  - (d) The respondents will file and serve their response to the application dated 2<sup>nd</sup> September 2023 within the next 7 days failing which the same shall be deemed as unopposed and the prayers sought automatically granted.
  - (e) The tenant shall have 7 days upon service of a response to file and serve a further affidavit and submissions (if need be) together with submissions in support of the said application while the respondents shall have 7 days thereafter to file and serve their submissions in response thereto.
  - (f) Mention of the case shall be fixed after 21 days to confirm compliance and fix a ruling date."
- 9. Both parties filed their written submissions with the landlord filing his dated 11<sup>th</sup> April 2024 and the tenant filing his dated 21<sup>st</sup> May 2024.
- 10. We note that there is no response from the landlord on the application dated  $2^{nd}$  September 2023 despite the order issued in the ruling dated  $28^{th}$  March 2024 which stated as follows; -
  - "(d) The respondents will file and serve their response to the application dated 2<sup>nd</sup> September 2023 within the next 7 days failing which the same shall be deemed as unopposed and the prayers sought automatically granted."
- 11. Based on the finding above, this tribunal has no option but to allow the application dated 2<sup>nd</sup> September 2023 because the same is unopposed yet the landlord was served with the application as evidenced by the affidavit of service dated 6<sup>th</sup> October 2023.
- 12. Consequently, the tribunal shall order that this matter proceeds to viva voce hearing to establish the claims raised by the applicant/tenant that he is not a tenant to the respondent/landlord herein.
- 13. In conclusion, the following orders commend to us;
  - a. The application dated 2<sup>nd</sup> September 2023 is hereby allowed as prayed.
  - b. The reference dated 7<sup>th</sup> August 2023 shall proceed to viva voce hearing and determination on merit.
  - c. Parties shall comply with Order 11 of the Civil Procedure Rules within 21 days hereof.
  - d. Costs of the application shall abide the outcome of the reference.

It is so ordered.

# RULING DATED, SIGNED AND DELIVERED VIRTUALLY THIS 14th DAY of AUGUST 2024.

HON. JOYCE AKINYI OSODO

(PANEL CHAIRPERSON)

**BUSINESS PREMISES RENT TRIBUNAL** 

HON GAKUHI CHEGE

(MEMBER)

In the presence of:

Landlord present in person.

Ms. Namenge for Tenant.

