Twitter thread by @judijasa
Title: The logarithmic chain complex
Slide Nr. 1/8

The conventional sum, $+$, and multiplication, $\times$, are abelian products with distributivity. For example, if, $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in (\mathbb{R}, +, \times)$, then,

$$\alpha \times (\beta + \gamma) = \alpha \times \beta + \alpha \times \gamma. \tag{1}$$

Formally, these two products form a *ring*. As aspiring goldsmiths we shall try to make chains out of these rings. Let, $\overset{0}{\times}$, and, $\overset{1}{\times}$, denote two products that behave like the conventional sum and multiplication, respectively. The set of products[1], $n \in \mathbb{Z}$:

$$\alpha \overset{n+1}{\times} \beta \doteq \log^{-1}\left(\log(\alpha) \ \overset{n}{\times} \ \log(\beta)\right), \tag{2}$$

form a sequence of abelian groups and homomorphisms. Note that, $\overset{n+1}{\times}$, is distributive in, $\overset{n}{\times}$. Proof by induction: Assume,

$$\alpha \ \overset{n}{\times} \ (\beta \overset{n-1}{\times} \gamma) = (\alpha \ \overset{n}{\times} \ \beta) \ \overset{n-1}{\times} \ (\alpha \ \overset{n}{\times} \ \gamma), \tag{3}$$

then,

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha \ \overset{n+1}{\times} \ (\beta \ \overset{n}{\times} \ \gamma) &= \log^{-1}\{\log(\alpha) \ \overset{n}{\times} \ \log(\beta \ \overset{n}{\times} \ \gamma)\} \\ &= \log^{-1}\{\log(\alpha) \ \overset{n}{\times} \ [\log(\beta) \ \overset{n-1}{\times} \ \log(\gamma)]\} \\ &\overset{(3)}{=} \log^{-1}\{[\log(\alpha) \ \overset{n}{\times} \ \log(\beta)] \ \overset{n-1}{\times} \ [\log(\alpha) \ \overset{n}{\times} \ \log(\gamma)]\} \\ &= \log^{-1}\{\log(\alpha \ \overset{n+1}{\times} \ \beta) \ \overset{n-1}{\times} \ \log(\alpha \ \overset{n+1}{\times} \ \gamma)\} \\ &= (\alpha \ \overset{n+1}{\times} \ \beta) \ \overset{n}{\times} \ (\alpha \ \overset{n+1}{\times} \ \gamma). \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

This covers the proof for, $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$. Left to the reader is the proof for, $n \in \mathbb{Z}^-$.

In future posts, I will share more trivia about this structure and discuss possible applications.

[1] Details such as the base of the log function and its algebraic role are discussed later on.

Twitter thread by @judijasa
Title: The logarithmic chain complex
Slide Nr. 2/8

In the latest slide we defined a sequence of abelian products, based on the log function. A complete definition requires a generalisation of the log function to operate on negative reals. Before doing this, let us appreciate the behaviour of these products in, $\mathbb{R}^+$. The definition in Eq. (2) makes it clear these products are commutative. As observed in Coya's law, this is not always obvious, e.g., the equivalent definition of, $\overset{2}{\times}$, as,

$$\alpha \overset{2}{\times} \beta = \alpha^{\log \beta}. \tag{5}$$

The product, $\overset{2}{\times}$, is interesting, together with, $\overset{-1}{\times}$, are the closest relatives to the conventional products, $\overset{0}{\times}$, and, $\overset{1}{\times}$, for which we hold more intuition. The product, $\overset{2}{\times}$, is distributive in the conventional multiplication ($\overset{1}{\times}$). This makes, $\overset{2}{\times}$, the abelian version of the power product, which is also distributive in the multiplication, i.e., $(\alpha \times \beta)^\gamma = \alpha^\gamma \times \beta^\gamma$.

It is convenient to move to a more abstract characterisation. Each product, $\overset{n}{\times}$, forms a group denoted, $\mathfrak{g}_n$, with elements, $\overset{n}{\alpha}, \overset{n}{\beta}, \ldots$ Arbitrary elements in the sequence of groups are denoted without the upper index, i.e., α. Some definitions and conventions:

$$\begin{aligned} \overset{n}{\alpha} \overset{n}{\times} \overset{n}{\beta} &= \overset{n}{\beta} \overset{n}{\times} \overset{n}{\alpha}, && \text{(6a)} \\ \overset{n}{\alpha} \overset{n}{\times} \overset{n}{\mathrm{I}}_0 &= \overset{n}{\mathrm{I}}_0 \overset{n}{\times} \overset{n}{\alpha} = \overset{n}{\alpha}, && \text{(6b)} \\ \overset{n}{\alpha} \overset{n}{\times} \overset{n}{\mathrm{I}}_1 &= \overset{n}{\mathrm{I}}_1 \overset{n}{\times} \overset{n}{\alpha} = \overset{n}{\mathrm{I}}_1 \ . && \text{(6c)} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} (\overset{n}{\mathscr{T}} (\overset{n}{\mathscr{T}} \overset{n}{\alpha})) &= \overset{n}{\alpha} && \text{(7a)} \\ \overset{n}{\alpha} \overset{n}{\times} (\overset{n}{\mathscr{T}} \overset{n}{\alpha}) &= (\overset{n}{\mathscr{T}} \overset{n}{\alpha}) \overset{n}{\times} \overset{n}{\alpha} = \overset{n}{\mathrm{I}}_0, && \text{(7b)} \\ \overset{n}{\mathscr{T}} \overset{n}{\mathrm{I}}_0 &= \overset{n}{\mathrm{I}}_0 && \text{(7c)} \\ \overset{n}{\alpha} \overset{n}{\times} (\overset{n}{\mathscr{T}} \overset{n}{\mathrm{I}}_1) &= (\overset{n}{\mathscr{T}} \overset{n}{\mathrm{I}}_1) \overset{n}{\times} \overset{n}{\alpha} = \overset{n}{\mathscr{T}} \overset{n}{\mathrm{I}}_1 \ . && \text{(7d)} \end{aligned}$$

Abusing of notation on behalf of intuition we keep, $\log(.)$, to denote the generator of homomorphisms. We use, $\log^{+1}(.) = \log(.)$, and, $\log^{-1}(\log(\overset{n}{\alpha})) = \log(\log^{-1}(\overset{n}{\alpha})) = \overset{n}{\alpha}$. The logarithm (and its inverse) connects nearby groups along the sequence, i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned} \overset{n-1}{\alpha} &= \log(\overset{n}{\alpha}) \in \overset{n}{\mathscr{T}} \overset{n}{\mathrm{I}}_1 && \text{(8a)} \\ \overset{n+1}{\alpha} &= \log^{-1}(\overset{n}{\alpha}) \in \overset{n}{\mathrm{I}}_1 \ . && \text{(8b)} \end{aligned}$$

I imagine the elements, $\overset{n}{\alpha}, \overset{n+1}{\alpha}, \ldots$, as different scales of a physical degree of freedom. Formally, $\overset{n}{\mathscr{T}} \overset{n}{\mathrm{I}}_1$, and, $\overset{n}{\mathrm{I}}_1$, are elements of an *ideal*, but they feel as the horizon of too large/small elements from the perspective of the n-scale. Next we identify these elements in, $(\mathbb{R}^+, \times)$.

Twitter thread by @judijasa
Title: The logarithmic chain complex
Slide Nr. 3/8

As a complement to Eqs. (8) are the relations,

$$\log(\overset{n}{\mathscr{T}}\ \overset{n}{\mathrm{I}}_1\,) \;=\; \overset{n-1}{\mathscr{T}}\ \overset{n-1}{\mathrm{I}_1}\,, \quad \log(\,\overset{n}{\mathrm{I}}_1\,) \;=\; \overset{n-1}{\mathrm{I}_1}\,, \quad \text{and,} \quad \log(\,\overset{n}{\mathrm{I}}_0\,) \;=\; \overset{n-1}{\mathrm{I}_0}\ . \tag{9}$$

Example. Taking, $(\mathbb{R}^+, \times)$, as a representation of the n-group $(\mathfrak{g}_n)$ one obtains that,

$$0^+ \equiv \overset{n}{\mathscr{T}}\ \overset{n}{\mathrm{I}}_1, \quad +\infty \equiv \overset{n}{\mathrm{I}}_1, \quad 1 \equiv \overset{n}{\mathrm{I}}_0, \quad \text{and,} \quad 1/\,\overset{n}{\alpha} \equiv \overset{n}{\mathscr{T}}\ \overset{n}{\alpha}\ . \tag{10}$$

Denoting, $\log[y](x) = \log_y(x)$, and letting, $\overset{n}{\alpha}$, $\overset{n}{\beta} \in \mathbb{R}^+ - (0^+ \cup +\infty)$, note that,

$$\log[\,\overset{n}{\beta}\,](\overset{n}{\alpha}) \;\notin\; \overset{n}{\mathscr{T}}\ \overset{n}{\mathrm{I}}_1, \tag{11}$$

which contradicts, Eq. (8a). An instance of this observation is, $\log_{2.56}(11.4) \notin 0^+$. Is there a base that can fulfill Eqs. (8)? Understood as a limit, note that,

$$\log[\,\overset{n}{\mathrm{I}}_1\,](\overset{n}{\alpha}) \;\in\; \overset{n}{\mathscr{T}}\ \overset{n}{\mathrm{I}}_1\ . \tag{12}$$

An instance of this observation is, $(+\infty)^x = 23.71 \Rightarrow x \in 0^+$. This suggests the local identification,[2] $\log(.) \equiv \log[\,\overset{n}{\mathrm{I}}_1\,](.)$. Comparing the Eqs. (9) with the limits,

$$\log[+\infty](0^+) = -1^<, \quad \log[+\infty](+\infty) = 1^<, \quad \text{and,} \quad \log[+\infty](1) = 0, \tag{13}$$

implies,

$$-\,1^< \;\equiv\; \overset{n-1}{\mathscr{T}}\ \overset{n-1}{\mathrm{I}_1}\,, \quad 1^< \;\equiv\; \overset{n-1}{\mathrm{I}_1}\,, \quad \text{and,} \quad 0 \;\equiv\; \overset{n-1}{\mathrm{I}_0}\ . \tag{14}$$

Whenever the group, $\mathfrak{g}_n$, is associated to, $(\mathbb{R}^+, \times)$, the open interval, $(-1, +1)$, provides the elements for the abelian group, $\mathfrak{g}_{n-1}$, associated to the product, $\overset{n-1}{\times}$, with group identity, $\overset{n-1}{\mathrm{I}_0} \equiv 0$, and inverse, $\overset{n-1}{\mathscr{T}}(.) \equiv (-1)\times(.)$. Recalling that, $\overset{n}{\times}$, is distributive in, $\overset{n-1}{\times}$, completes the similarities of, $\overset{n-1}{\times}$, with the conventional sum, $+$.

[2] An alternative is, $\log(.) \equiv \log[\,\overset{n}{\mathscr{T}}\ \overset{n}{\mathrm{I}}_1\,](.)$, which modifies by, $\overset{n-1}{\mathscr{T}}$, the output.

Twitter thread by @judijasa
Title: The logarithmic chain complex
Slide Nr. 4/8

The definition of the log action can be extended beyond the transformation of elements defined in Eqs. (8). From Eq. (2), it follows that,

$$\log(\overset{n}{\alpha} \overset{n}{\times} \overset{n}{\beta}) \;=\; \log(\overset{n}{\alpha}) \;\overset{n-1}{\times}\; \log(\overset{n}{\beta}). \tag{15}$$

Define the log action on products as,

$$\log(\overset{n}{\times}) = \overset{n-1}{\times}\;. \tag{16}$$

The Eq. (15) now follows from distributivity of the log action in elements and products, i.e.,

$$\log(\overset{n}{\alpha} \overset{n}{\times} \overset{n}{\beta}) = \log(\overset{n}{\alpha})\; \log(\overset{n}{\times})\; \log(\overset{n}{\beta}). \tag{17}$$

Similarly, one can define the log action on the inverse as,

$$\log(\overset{n}{\mathscr{T}}) = \overset{n-1}{\mathscr{T}}\;. \tag{18}$$

The familiar relation, $\overset{n}{\alpha} \in (\mathbb{R}^{+}, \times)$:

$$\log(1/\,\overset{n}{\alpha}) = -\log(\overset{n}{\alpha}), \tag{19}$$

motivates the general form, $n \in \mathbb{Z}$:

$$\log(\overset{n}{\mathscr{T}}\,\overset{n}{\alpha}) = \overset{n-1}{\mathscr{T}}\log(\overset{n}{\alpha}). \tag{20}$$

The latter equation also follows from distributivity of the log action on elements and inverse operations, i.e.,

$$\log(\overset{n}{\mathscr{T}}\,\overset{n}{\alpha}) = \log(\overset{n}{\mathscr{T}})\log(\overset{n}{\alpha}). \tag{21}$$

Recall from slide Nr. 003, that if, $\overset{n}{\alpha} \in (\mathbb{R}^{+}, \times)$, then, $\overset{n-1}{\alpha} = \log(\overset{n}{\alpha})$, is an element of a sum-like group with inverse, $\overset{n-1}{\mathscr{T}}(.) \equiv (-1) \times (.)$, then,

$$\log(\overset{n-1}{\mathscr{T}}\;\overset{n-1}{\alpha}) \equiv \log(-\;\overset{n-1}{\alpha}). \tag{22}$$

Together with Eq. (20), one obtains,

$$\log(-\;\overset{n-1}{\alpha}) = \overset{n-2}{\mathscr{T}}\;\log(\overset{n-1}{\alpha}). \tag{23}$$

This describes the log action on the negative numbers as a particular case. In the next slide we identify the inverse, $\overset{n-2}{\mathscr{T}}$.

Twitter thread by @judijasa
Title: The logarithmic chain complex
Slide Nr. 5/8

The sequence of products defined in Eq. (2) requires a consistent definition for the log action on negative numbers. Such definition is given by Eq. (23) if, $(\mathbb{R}^+, \times)$, represents the n-group[3]. To fully identify Eq. (23) in the present representation reduces to identify the product inverse, $\overset{n-2}{\mathscr{T}}$. Comparing the Eqs. (9) and (14) with the limits,

$$\log[+\infty](-1^<) = -\infty, \quad \log[+\infty](+1^<) = 0^-, \quad \text{and,} \quad \log[+\infty](0) = -1, \tag{24}$$

implies,

$$-\infty \equiv \overset{n-2}{\mathscr{T}} \overset{n-2}{\mathrm{I}_1}, \quad 0^- \equiv \overset{n-2}{\mathrm{I}_1}, \quad \text{and,} \quad -1 \equiv \overset{n-2}{\mathrm{I}_0}. \tag{25}$$

The set, $\mathbb{R}^-$, provides the elements for the abelian group, $\mathfrak{g}_{n-2}$, with associated product, $\overset{n-2}{\times}$, group identity, -1, and inverse, $\overset{n-2}{\mathscr{T}} \equiv 1/(.)$. Comparing with Eqs. (10) one obtains that, $\overset{n-2}{\mathscr{T}} \equiv \overset{n}{\mathscr{T}}$. The latter identification is not a general property of the sequence of products, it results from the limited description of the representation chosen. In general, the native representation of the inverse is given by, $n \in \mathbb{Z}$:[4]

$$\overset{n}{\mathscr{T}}(.) \equiv (\overset{n}{\mathscr{T}} \overset{n+1}{\mathrm{I}_0}) \overset{n+1}{\times} (.). \tag{26}$$

Despite, $\mathbb{R}^-$, is not closed under the conventional multiplication, $\times$, it is closed under the product, $\overset{n-2}{\times}$, as defined in Eq. (2). Proof: Using Eq. (8) one proceeds by induction,

$$\begin{aligned} \overset{n-2}{\alpha} \overset{n-2}{\times} \overset{n-2}{\beta} &= \log\left(\log^{-1}(\overset{n-2}{\alpha}) \overset{n-1}{\times} \log^{-1}(\overset{n-2}{\beta})\right) \\ &= \log(\overset{n-1}{\alpha} \overset{n-1}{\times} \overset{n-1}{\beta}) = \log(\overset{n-1}{\gamma}) = \overset{n-2}{\gamma}. \end{aligned} \tag{27}$$

As explained in more detail in slide Nr. 8, due to limitations in the representation, the groups, $\mathfrak{g}_n$, and, $\mathfrak{g}_{n+4m}$, where, $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$, are related by an automorphism. Under this representation, it is therefore sufficient to identify four consecutive groups to describe the sequence of groups. After setting, $\mathfrak{g}_n \equiv (\mathbb{R}^+, \times)$, we already identified the consecutive groups, $\mathfrak{g}_{n-1}$, and, $\mathfrak{g}_{n-2}$. In slide Nr. 8 we complete the analysis by identifying, $\mathfrak{g}_{n-3}$, but before we shall refine some concepts.

[3]The choice of representation for the n-group constrains the representation of nearby groups.

[4]To test involution of inverse in the native rep, note that, $\alpha \overset{m}{\times} (\overset{n}{\mathscr{T}} \beta) = (\overset{n}{\mathscr{T}} \alpha) \overset{m}{\times} \beta = \overset{n}{\mathscr{T}} (\alpha \overset{n}{\times} \beta)$, if, $m = n + 1$.

Define the n-group's 'absolute value' as,

$$|\,.\,|_n = |\,.\,|_{n-1} \overset{n}{\times} \overset{n}{\mathscr{T}} (.), \tag{28}$$

where, $|\,.\,|_0$, is the conventional absolute value. The instants,

$$|- \overset{0}{\alpha}\,|_0 = |\,\overset{0}{\alpha}\,|_0, \qquad |1/\,\overset{1}{\alpha}\,|_1 = |\,\overset{1}{\alpha}\,|_1, \tag{29}$$

and,

$$|1/\,\overset{0}{\alpha}\,|_0 = 1/|\,\overset{0}{\alpha}\,|_0, \qquad |- \overset{1}{\alpha}\,|_1 = -|\,\overset{1}{\alpha}\,|_1, \tag{30}$$

motivate the general assumptions,[5]

$$|\,\overset{n\pm1}{\mathscr{T}}\,\overset{n}{\alpha}|_n = \overset{n\pm1}{\mathscr{T}}\,|\overset{n}{\alpha}|_n, \quad \text{and,} \qquad |\overset{n}{\mathscr{T}}\,\overset{n}{\alpha}|_n = |\overset{n}{\alpha}|_n. \tag{31}$$

An important property is that, $|\mathfrak{g}_n|_n$, is isomorphic to the open interval, $(\overset{n}{\mathrm{I}}_0, \overset{n}{\mathrm{I}}_1)$, while, $|\mathfrak{g}_n|_{n+1}$, is isomorphic to, $\mathbb{Z}_2$. The proof of the latter is the following: Any element in, $\mathfrak{g}_n$, can be parametrised as, $\overset{n}{\alpha} = (\overset{n}{\mathscr{T}})^\nu\,|\,\overset{n}{\alpha}\,|_n$, where, $(\overset{n}{\mathscr{T}})^1 = \overset{n}{\mathscr{T}}$, and, $(\overset{n}{\mathscr{T}})^2(.) = \overset{n}{\mathrm{I}}_0 \overset{n}{\times} (.)$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} |\,\overset{n}{\alpha}\,|_{n+1} &= |\,\overset{n}{\alpha}\,|_n \overset{n+1}{\times} \overset{n+1}{\mathscr{T}}\,\overset{n}{\alpha} \\ &= |\,\overset{n}{\alpha}\,|_n \overset{n+1}{\times} \overset{n+1}{\mathscr{T}}\,(\overset{n}{\mathscr{T}})^\nu\,|\,\overset{n}{\alpha}\,|_n \\ &= (\overset{n}{\mathscr{T}})^\nu(|\,\overset{n}{\alpha}\,|_n \overset{n+1}{\times} \overset{n+1}{\mathscr{T}}\,|\,\overset{n}{\alpha}\,|_n) = (\overset{n}{\mathscr{T}})^\nu\,\overset{n}{\mathrm{I}}_0\,. \end{aligned} \tag{32}$$

In general, $k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$:

$$|\,\overset{n}{\alpha}\,|_{n-k} = |\,\overset{n}{\alpha}\,|_n \overset{n}{\times} \overset{n}{\alpha} \overset{n-1}{\times} \overset{n}{\alpha} \overset{n-2}{\times} \cdots \overset{n-k+1}{\times} \overset{n}{\alpha}, \tag{33}$$

and,

$$|\,\overset{n}{\alpha}\,|_{n+k} = |\,\overset{n}{\alpha}\,|_n \overset{n+1}{\times} \overset{n+1}{\mathscr{T}}\,\overset{n+1}{\alpha} \overset{n+2}{\times} \overset{n+2}{\mathscr{T}}\,\overset{n}{\alpha} \overset{n+3}{\times} \cdots \overset{n+k}{\times} \overset{n+k}{\mathscr{T}}\,\overset{n}{\alpha}\,. \tag{34}$$

[5] Despite, $(\overset{n}{\mathscr{T}}\,\overset{n}{\alpha}) \overset{n}{\times} \overset{n}{\beta} \neq \overset{n}{\alpha} \overset{n}{\times} (\overset{n}{\mathscr{T}}\,\overset{n}{\beta})$, it holds that, $(\overset{n}{\mathscr{T}}\,|\,\overset{n}{\alpha}\,|_{n\pm1}) \overset{n}{\times} \overset{n}{\alpha} = |\,\overset{n}{\alpha}\,|_{n\pm1} \overset{n}{\times} (\overset{n}{\mathscr{T}}\,\overset{n}{\alpha})$. Some of these assumptions can be derived from a minimal set, so there is work to do for a more concise presentation.

Twitter thread by @judijasa
Title: The logarithmic chain complex
Slide Nr. 7/8

Define the proper metric in, $\mathfrak{g}_n$, as,

$$g_n(\alpha,\beta) = |\,\alpha \overset{n}{\times} \overset{n}{\mathscr{T}}\ \beta\,|_n. \tag{35}$$

The metric, g_{n+1}, distinguishes attributes between elements, $\overset{n}{\alpha}, \overset{n}{\beta} \in\ \mathfrak{g}_n$, that go 'under the radar' in the metric, g_n. These attributes are generated by the group inverse, $\overset{n}{\mathscr{T}}$.

Recall the identifications, $0^+ \equiv \overset{n}{\mathscr{T}}\ \overset{n}{\mathrm{I}}_1$, and, $0 \equiv \overset{n-1}{\mathrm{I}_0}$. The instant, $0^+ \in 0$, motivates the general assumption, $n \in \mathbb{Z}$:

$$\overset{n}{\mathscr{T}}\ \overset{n}{\mathrm{I}}_1 \in \overset{n-1}{\mathrm{I}_0}\ . \tag{36}$$

Moreover, since, $\overset{n-1}{\mathscr{T}}\ \overset{n-1}{\mathrm{I}_0} = \overset{n-1}{\mathrm{I}_0}$, then it also holds that, $\overset{n-1}{\mathscr{T}}\ \overset{n}{\mathscr{T}}\ \overset{n}{\mathrm{I}}_1 \in \overset{n-1}{\mathrm{I}_0}$. Recalling that, $\overset{n-1}{\mathscr{T}} \equiv (-1)\times(.)$, the latter case corresponds to the instant, $0^- \in 0$, .

Two elements, $\overset{n}{\alpha}$, and, $\overset{n}{\beta}$, are said to be *infinitesimally close* if,

$$g_n(\overset{n}{\alpha},\overset{n}{\beta}) = \overset{n+1}{\mathscr{T}}\ \overset{n+1}{\mathrm{I}_1}, \tag{37}$$

and said to have the *same phase* if,

$$g_{n+1}(\overset{n}{\alpha},\overset{n}{\beta}) = \overset{n+2}{\mathscr{T}}\ \overset{n+2}{\mathrm{I}_1}\ . \tag{38}$$

If two elements of the same group are infinitesimally close and have the same phase they are said to be equal elements in the group, denoted, $\overset{n}{\alpha} \overset{n}{=} \overset{n}{\beta}$. Two elements, α, β, are said to be equal if, $g_n(\alpha,\beta) = \overset{n+1}{\mathscr{T}}\ \overset{n+1}{\mathrm{I}_1}$, for all, $n \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Away of the identity, being infinitesimally close is sufficient criteria for equality in the group, i.e., if, $g_n(\overset{n}{\alpha},\overset{n}{\beta}) = \overset{n+1}{\mathscr{T}}\ \overset{n+1}{\mathrm{I}_1}$, and, $\overset{n}{\alpha} \notin \overset{n}{\mathrm{I}}_0$, then, $\overset{n}{\alpha} \overset{n}{=} \overset{n}{\beta}$. Proof: $g_n(\overset{n}{\alpha},\overset{n}{\beta}) = \overset{n+1}{\mathscr{T}}\ \overset{n+1}{\mathrm{I}_1}$, implies, $\overset{n}{\alpha} \overset{n}{\times} \overset{n}{\mathscr{T}}\ \overset{n}{\beta} \in \overset{n}{\mathrm{I}}_0$, therefore, $\overset{n}{\alpha} = \overset{n}{\beta} \overset{n}{\times} \overset{n}{\gamma}$, $\overset{n}{\gamma} \in \overset{n}{\mathrm{I}}_0$. Note that, $\overset{n}{\alpha} \notin \overset{n}{\mathrm{I}}_0$, if and only if, $\overset{n}{\beta} \notin \overset{n}{\mathrm{I}}_0$, otherwise, $\overset{n}{\alpha} \overset{n}{\times} \overset{n}{\mathscr{T}}\ \overset{n}{\beta} \notin \overset{n}{\mathrm{I}}_0$, which is a contradiction. Since, $\overset{n}{\beta} \notin \overset{n}{\mathrm{I}}_0$, then, $\overset{n}{\beta} \overset{n}{\times} \overset{n}{\gamma} \overset{n}{=} \overset{n}{\beta}$, therefore, $\overset{n}{\alpha} \overset{n}{=} \overset{n}{\beta}$. From now on, the equality, $=$, in Eqs. (6) and (7) is replaced by, $\overset{n}{=}$.

Any element in a group can be reinterpreted as a set of infinitesimally close elements in the group metric. Denote, $\overset{n}{\beta} \in \overset{n}{\alpha}$, if the element, $\overset{n}{\beta}$, is infinitesimally close to the element, $\overset{n}{\alpha}$. For example, $0^{\pm} \in 0$, reads as: the elements, 0^-, and, 0^+, are infinitesimally close to zero, in their proper group metric.

Twitter thread by @judijasa
Title: The logarithmic chain complex
Slide Nr. 8/8

In analogy to the limits, $\pm\infty = 1/0^{\pm}$, define,

$$\infty = 1/0. \tag{39}$$

We are no longer in the real line, the element, ∞, is the point at infinity in the *real projective line*.

Comparing the Eqs. (9) and (25) with the limits,

$$\log[+\infty](-\infty) = +1^{>}, \quad \log[+\infty](0^{-}) = -1^{>}, \quad \text{and}, \quad \log[+\infty](-1) = \infty, \tag{40}$$

implies,

$$+1^{>} \equiv \overset{n-3}{\mathscr{T}} \overset{n-3}{\mathrm{I}_1}, \quad -1^{>} \equiv \overset{n-3}{\mathrm{I}_1}, \quad \text{and}, \quad \infty \equiv \overset{n-3}{\mathrm{I}_0}. \tag{41}$$

The set, $\mathbb{R} - (-1, +1)$, provides the elements for the abelian group, $\mathfrak{g}_{n-3}$, with group identity, ∞, and inverse, $\overset{n-3}{\mathscr{T}}(.) \equiv (-1) \times (.)$. As a result of the representation note that, $\overset{n-3}{\mathscr{T}} \equiv \overset{n-1}{\mathscr{T}}$. Finally, comparing the Eqs. (9) and (43) with the limits,

$$\log[+\infty](+1^{>}) = 0^{+}, \quad \log[+\infty](-1^{>}) = +\infty, \quad \text{and}, \quad \log[+\infty](\infty) = +1, \tag{42}$$

implies,

$$0^{+} \equiv \overset{n-4}{\mathscr{T}} \overset{n-4}{\mathrm{I}_1}, \quad +\infty \equiv \overset{n-4}{\mathrm{I}_1}, \quad \text{and}, \quad +1 \equiv \overset{n-4}{\mathrm{I}_0}. \tag{43}$$

Comparing the latter with Eq. (10) it follows that, $\mathfrak{g}_{n-4}$, and, $\mathfrak{g}_n$, are related by an automorphism. Since, $\mathfrak{g}_n \equiv (\mathbb{R}^+, \times)$, and, $\overset{1}{\times}$, behaves as the conventional multiplication, then, $\mathfrak{g}_1$, and, $\mathfrak{g}_n$, are related by an automorphism. This implies that, n, must be an odd integer.

As a homework I suggest to study the relation between the sequence of groups, $\mathfrak{g}_n, \mathfrak{g}_{n+1}, \ldots$, and the formal concept of a *chain complex*.

I guess for a "Twitter thread" this is enough. A development of these notes may soon appear in the website,

`https://judijasa.github.io/`