
# Week 5: Quiz questions and model answers

## Judith Tonhauser and Elena Vaikšnoraitė

May 12, 2020

Introductory message: This quiz covers the material in CC section 2.4. This section discusses licensing environments for negative polarity items (NPIs). This quiz test your knowledge of set theory (based on the previous chapter) and identifying whether an expression is downward entailing.


1. **Euler diagram 1** A the non-empty set of dogs. B is the non-empty set of all entities that like Whiskas. The meaning of which English sentence does the Euler diagram capture?



- (a) Some dogs like Whiskas. (-1pt checked / 1pt unchecked)
- (b) No dog likes Whiskas. (-1pt checked / 1pt unchecked)
- (c) Every dog likes Whiskas. (1pt checked / -1pt unchecked)

**Model answer:** The correct answer is *Every dog likes Whiskas*, since every member of the set dog is also member of the set of entities that like Whiskas.

2. **Euler diagram 2** A the non-empty set of dogs. B is the non-empty set of all entities that like Whiskas. The meaning of which English sentence does the Euler diagram capture?




(a) Some dogs like Whiskas. (-1pt checked / 1pt unchecked)

- (b) No dog likes Whiskas. (-1pt checked / 1pt unchecked)
- (c) Every dog likes Whiskas. (1pt checked / -1pt unchecked)

**Model answer:** The correct answer is *No dog likes Whiskas*, since the intersection between the set of dogs and the set of entities that like Whiskas is empty.

3. **Euler diagram 3** A the non-empty set of dogs. B is the non-empty set of all entities that like Whiskas. The meaning of which English sentence does the Euler diagram capture?



- (a) Some dogs like Whiskas. (1pt checked / -1pt unchecked)
- (b) No dog likes Whiskas. (-1pt checked / 1pt unchecked)
- (c) Every dog likes Whiskas. (-1pt checked / 1pt unchecked)

**Model answer:** The correct answer is *Some dogs like Whiskas*, since the intersection between the set of dogs and the set of entities that like Whiskas is not empty.

- 4. **Sets 1** A the set of dogs. B is the set of all entities that like Whiskas. Which set theoretic formula captures the meaning of the sentence *No dog likes Whiskas*.
  - (a)  $A \cap B = \emptyset$  (1pt checked / -1pt unchecked)
  - (b)  $A \cup B = \emptyset$  (-1pt checked / 1pt unchecked)
  - (c)  $A \subset B$  (-1pt checked / 1pt unchecked)

**Model answer:** The correct answer is  $A \cap B = \emptyset$ , since the intersection of dogs and likers of Whiskas is empty.

- 5. **Sets 2** A the set of parrots. B is the set of all entities that like to sing. Which formula captures the meaning of the sentence *Every parrot likes to sing*.
  - (a)  $A \cap B = \emptyset$  (1pt checked / -1pt unchecked)
  - (b)  $A \cup B = \emptyset$  (-1pt checked / 1pt unchecked)
  - (c)  $A \subset B$  (-1pt checked / 1pt unchecked)

**Model answer:** The correct answer is  $A \subset B$  because every member of the set of parrots is a member of the set of entities who like to sing.

6. **Sets 3** A is a set of dogs. B is a set of all entities that like Whiskas. C is a set of snorers. The following sentences are true.

- No dog like Whiskas.
- Some dogs are snorers.
- Everyone who likes Whiskas is a snorer.

Select all true statements in set-theoretic notation. (Grading: multiple choice; 1pt if correct, -1pt if incorrect)

- (a)  $A \cap B \neq \emptyset$
- (b)  $A \cap B = \emptyset$
- (c)  $A \cap C \neq \emptyset$
- (d)  $A \cap C = \emptyset$
- (e)  $A \subseteq C$
- (f)  $B \subseteq C$
- (g)  $C \subseteq B$

**Model answer:** The correct answers are (b), (c), (f). (b) captures the sentence *No dog like Whiskas*, i.e. the intersection of dogs and entities that like Whiskas is empty. (c) captures the sentence *some dogs are snorers*, i.e. the intersection of dogs and is not empty. (f) captures the sentence *Everyone who likes Whiskas is a snorer*.

- (a) is false, it would be true if some dogs liked Whiskas. (d) is false, it would be true if no dog snored. (e) is false, it would be true if all dogs were snorers. (g) is false, it would be true, if all snorers would be Whiskas-lovers.
- 7. **Sets 4** A is a set of humans. B is a set of all entities that like pizza. C is a set of all entities that like breadsticks. The following sentences are true.
  - Every person likes pizza.
  - Everyone who likes pizza likes breadsticks.

Select all true statements in set-theoretic notation. (Grading: multiple choice; 1pt if correct, -1pt if incorrect)

- (a)  $A \subseteq B$
- (b)  $A \subseteq C$
- (c)  $B \subseteq C$
- (d)  $B \subseteq A$
- (e)  $C \subseteq A$
- (f)  $C \subseteq B$

**Model answer:** The correct answers are (a), (b), (c). (a) and (c) correspond to the above given sentences. (b) is true because A is a subset of B and B is a subset of C, therefore A is a subset of C.

8. **Monotonicity:** Every The quantifier *every* is left downward monotone. Given the sentence *Every human uses Twitter*, come up with a version of the sentence that shows that *every* is left downward monotone.

**Model answer:** To show that an expression is left downward monotone, one needs to come up with a version of a sentence where human is substituted with a different expression that refers to subset of the set human, e.g. politician, woman, astronaut etc. If the entailment holds between two sentences, then *every* is left downward monotone.

- 9. **Monotonicity:** No The quantifier *no* is right downward monotone because the sentence *No* dog likes to sleep entails:
  - (a) No dog likes to sleep on the ground (1pt checked / -1pt unchecked)
  - (b) No poodle likes to sleep (-1pt checked / 1pt unchecked)
  - (c) Noone likes to sleep (-1pt checked / 1pt unchecked)

**Model answer:** The correct answer is *No dog likes to sleep on the ground.* A right downward monotone determiner is a determiner such that in a sentence of the form D X Y it entails D X Y', where Y' is a subset of Y. *Sleep on the ground* is a subset of *sleep*. The sentence *No poodle likes to sleep* shows that *no* is also left downward monotone, because a poodle is a subset of dogs.

- 10. **Monotonicity: Few** Based on the sentences below, determine whether *few* is downward monotone. Select all that apply.
  - Few athletes play piano.
  - Few footballers play piano.
  - Few athletes play piano well.
  - (a) Left downward monotone (1pt checked / -1pt unchecked)
  - (b) Right downward monotone (1pt checked / -1pt unchecked)
  - (c) Not downward monotone (-1pt checked / 1pt unchecked)

**Model answer:** The correct answers are left and right downward monotone. If *Few athletes play piano* is true, then it means that *few footballers play piano*. is also true. Since footballers is a subset of athletes, few is left downward monotone. If *Few athletes play piano* is true, then it is also true that *few athletes play piano well*, since the set containing all entities that play piano well is a subset of the set that contains all entities that play piano.

- 11. **Monotonicity:** All Based on the sentences below, determine whether *all* is downward monotone. Select all that apply.
  - All dogs love walks.
  - All chihuahuas love walks.
  - All dogs love walks in a park.
  - (a) Left downward monotone (1pt checked / -1pt unchecked)
  - (b) Right downward monotone (-1pt checked / 1pt unchecked)
  - (c) Not downward monotone (-1pt checked / 1pt unchecked)

Model answer: The correct answer is left downward monotone. If All dogs love walks is true, then it means that all chihuahuas love walks is also true. Since chihuahuas is a subset of dogs, all is left downward monotone. If All dogs love walks is true, it does not entail that all dogs love walks in a park is true. Some dogs might prefer walks in a city center. Therefore, all is not right downward monotone.

- 12. Licensing of negative polarity items According to Ladusaw 1980, in which linguistic environments are negative polarity items licensed, i.e., in which linguistic environments are they acceptable?
  - (a) NPIs are acceptable in downward monotone environments. (1pt)
  - (b) NPIs are acceptable in upward monotone environments. (0pts)

**Model answer:** As discussed on p.62, answer (a) is correct. The Fauconnier-Ladusaw generalization is that "an expression licenses negative polarity items wherever it licenses downward entailments".

# 13. **Downward monotonicity** (question pool)

- (a) Show that the argument of *without* is a downward entailing environment. (In (4a), the determiner phrase *any help* is the argument of *without*.) (4 points; max. 550 characters)
- (b) Show that the argument of *hard* is a downward entailing environment. (In the sentence *It is hard to buy a Mercedes*, the *to-*infinitive *to buy a Mercedes* is the argument of *hard.*) (4 points; max. 550 characters)

#### Model answers:

- (a) To show that the argument of without is a downward entailing environment, consider the two sentences Kim runs without wolves and Kim runs without animals. These two sentences differ only on the argument of without: animals denotes a superset of wolves. Because Kim runs without animals entails Kim runs without wolves, the argument of without is a downward entailing environment, i.e., the argument licenses entailments from supersets to subsets. (1 point each for the two sentences, 1 point for statement about entailment relationship, 1 point for concluding statement)
- (b) To show that the argument of hard is a downward entailing environment, consider the two sentences It is hard to run and It is hard to run fast. These two sentences differ only on the argument of hard: the set denoted by to run is a superset of the set denoted by to run fast. Because It is hard to run entails It is hard to run fast, the argument of hard is a downward entailing environment, i.e., the argument licenses entailments from supersets to subsets. (1 point each for the two sentences, 1 point for statement about entailment relationship, 1 point for concluding statement)

### 14. Upward monotonicity (question pool)

(a) Show that the argument of *with* is an upward entailing environment. (In (4a), the determiner phrase *any help* is the argument of *with*.) (4 points; max. 550 characters)

(b) Show that the argument of easy is an upward entailing environment. (In the sentence It is easy to buy a Mercedes, the to-infinitive to buy a Mercedes is the argument of easy.) (4 points; max. 550 characters)

#### Model answers:

- (a) To show that the argument of with is an upward entailing environment, consider the two sentences Kim runs with wolves and Kim runs with animals. These two sentences differ only on the argument of with: animals denotes a superset of wolves. Because Kim runs with wolves entails Kim runs with animals, the argument of with is an upward entailing environment, i.e., the argument licenses entailments from subsets to supersets. (1 point each for the two sentences, 1 point for statement about entailment relationship, 1 point for concluding statement)
- (b) To show that the argument of easy is an upward entailing environment, consider the two sentences It is easy to run fast and It is easy to run. These two sentences differ only on the argument of easy: the set denoted by to run is a superset of the set denoted by to run fast. Because It is easy to run fast entails It is hard to run, the argument of easy is an upward entailing environment, i.e., the argument licenses entailments from subsets to supersets. (1 point each for the two sentences, 1 point for statement about entailment relationship, 1 point for concluding statement)