

1 Question 1

Solve the following model for equilibrium. Preferences are given as

$$u(c_1, c_2) = \ln(c_1) + \beta \ln(c_2),$$

where c_1 is consumption today and c_2 is consumption tomorrow, and β is the time preference factor. The young household supplies one unit of labor inelastically and earns the wage rate w for it. In addition, the household pays a lump sum tax of t_1 in period one and t_2 in period 2. There are N_1 young households in the economy and N_2 old households.

There is a competitive firm that produces output using the following production function:

$$F(K, L) = A(K^\alpha + L^{1-\alpha}),$$

where A is the total factor productivity, K is aggregate stock of capital, and L is aggregate labor. Assume that capital depreciates fully between the two periods so that $\delta = 100\%$.

- Set up the budget constraint of a household.
- Solve the household problem

2 Solution:

$$\begin{aligned} c_1 + s &= (1 - t)w, \\ c_2 &= (1 + r)s. \end{aligned}$$

The Lagrangian is:

$$\begin{aligned} L(c_1, c_2, s, \lambda, \mu) &= \ln(c_1) + \beta \ln(c_2) \\ &\quad + \lambda((1 - t)w - c_1 - s) \\ &\quad + \mu((1 + r)s - c_2). \end{aligned}$$

The FOCSs are:

$$\begin{aligned} \partial c_1 &: \frac{1}{c_1} = \lambda, \\ \partial c_2 &: \frac{\beta}{c_2} = \mu, \\ \partial s &: \lambda = \mu(1 + r), \\ \partial \lambda &: c_1 + s = (1 - t)w, \\ \partial \mu &: c_2 = (1 + r)s. \end{aligned}$$

This is a system of 5 equations in 5 unknowns: $c_1, c_2, s, \lambda, \mu$. Combine the first two equations and put them into the third:

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{c_1} &= \frac{\beta}{c_2} (1+r), \\ c_1 + s &= (1-t)w, \\ c_2 &= (1+r)s.\end{aligned}$$

We have now 3 equations in 3 unknowns. The first equation is called the Euler equation and it relates today's to tomorrow's consumption - it's an intertemporal optimality condition. Next substitute savings out using the second and third equation. From (2) we have

$$s = (1-t)w - c_1.$$

Plug this into (3) and get:

$$\begin{aligned}c_2 &= c_1\beta(1+r) \\ c_2 &= (1+r)((1-t)w - c_1).\end{aligned}$$

This is a system of 2 equations in 2 unknowns. Now set the two equations equal to each other and solve for c_1 :

$$c_1\beta(1+r) = (1+r)((1-t)w - c_1).$$

Bring all c_1 on one side and cancel $(1+r)$:

$$c_1\beta + c_1 = (1-t)w.$$

The collect c_1 and solve for it:

$$\begin{aligned}c_1 &= \frac{(1-t)w}{\beta + 1}, \\ &= \frac{1}{1+\beta}(1-t)w.\end{aligned}$$

So that

$$\begin{aligned}c_2 &= c_1 \times \beta(1+r), \\ &= \frac{(1-t)w}{1+\beta} \times \beta(1+r), \\ &= \frac{\beta}{1+\beta}(1-t)(1+r)w,\end{aligned}$$

and savings is

$$\begin{aligned}s &= (1-t)w - c_1 \\ &= (1-t)w - \frac{1}{1+\beta}(1-t)w, \\ &= \left(1 - \frac{1}{1+\beta}\right)(1-t)w, \\ &= \frac{\beta}{1+\beta}(1-t)w.\end{aligned}$$

Since there is no other income than savings income in the second period, savings is independent of the interest rate r .

From the firm problem

$$\max_{K,L} = A(K^\alpha + L^{1-\alpha}) - qK - wL,$$

where q is the cost of capital and w is the cost of labor. We get the following FOCs:

$$\begin{aligned}\partial K & : \alpha AK^{\alpha-1} = q, \\ \partial L & : (1-\alpha)AL^{-\alpha} = w.\end{aligned}$$

In equilibrium we then have:

$$\begin{aligned}c_1 &= \frac{1}{1+\beta}(1-t)w, \\ c_2 &= \frac{\beta}{1+\beta}(1-t)(1+r)w, \\ s &= \frac{\beta}{1+\beta}(1-t)w, \\ N_1s &= K, \\ \alpha AK^{\alpha-1} &= q, \\ (1-\alpha)AL^{-\alpha} &= w, \\ q &= r + \delta, \\ L &= N_1, \\ Y &= A(K^\alpha + L^{1-\alpha}), \\ G &= tw.\end{aligned}$$

which we solve for $c_1, c_2, s, K, q, w, r, L, Y, G$ because $N_1, N_2, \delta, t, \beta, \alpha, A$ are all given exogenous variables. We next solve this system of 10 equations in 10 unknowns. Remember that this has to be consistent with the aggregate resource constraint:

$$N_1c_1 + N_2c_2 + N_1s + G = Y.$$

So let's solve this. Take the following subsystem in s, K, q, w, r, L :

$$\begin{aligned}s &= \frac{\beta}{1+\beta}(1-t)w, \\ N_1s &= K, \\ \alpha AK^{\alpha-1} &= q, \\ (1-\alpha)AL^{-\alpha} &= w, \\ q &= r + \delta, \\ L &= N_1,\end{aligned}$$

in Since $\delta = 1$ we have $q = r + \delta$, and substituting $L = N_1$:

$$\begin{aligned} N_1 \times s &= K, \\ \alpha A K^{\alpha-1} - \delta &= r, \\ (1 - \alpha) A N_1^{-\alpha} &= w, \\ s &= \frac{\beta}{1 + \beta} (1 - t) w, \end{aligned}$$

which we solve for K, s, w, r . Subsitute savings into the first equation

$$\begin{aligned} N_1 \times \frac{\beta}{1 + \beta} (1 - t) w &= K, \\ \alpha A K^{\alpha-1} &= r, \\ (1 - \alpha) A N_1^{-\alpha} &= w, \end{aligned}$$

jjjwhich we solve for K, w, r . We can immediately solve this for the wage rate

$$\bar{w} = (1 - \alpha) A N_1^{-\alpha}$$

so that the system reduces to

$$\begin{aligned} N_1 \frac{\beta}{1 + \beta} (1 - t) \bar{w} &= K, \\ \alpha A K^{\alpha-1} - \delta &= r, \end{aligned}$$

which we solve for r and K . So that r is

$$\begin{aligned} r &= \alpha A K^{\alpha-1} - \delta, \\ r &= \alpha A \left(N_1 \frac{\beta}{1 + \beta} (1 - t) \bar{w} \right)^{\alpha-1} - \delta. \end{aligned}$$

We can now express all other endogenous variables in terms of r and solve for everything.

2.1 Numerical example:

$\beta = 0.99, \alpha = 0.3, A = 1, N_1 = 1, N_2 = 1, t = 0.1$

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{w} &= (1 - \alpha) A N_1^{-\alpha} = (1 - 0.3) = 0.7 \\ r &= \alpha A \left(N_1 \frac{\beta}{1 + \beta} (1 - t) \bar{w} \right)^{\alpha-1} - \delta = 0.3 * 1 * \left(1 * \frac{0.99}{1 + 0.99} * (1 - 0.1) * 0.7 \right)^{(0.3-1)} - \\ 1 &= -0.32417 \\ s &= \frac{\beta}{1 + \beta} (1 - t) w = \frac{0.99}{1 + 0.99} * (1 - 0.1) * 0.7 = 0.31342 \\ c_1 &= \frac{1}{1 + \beta} (1 - t) w = \frac{1}{1 + 0.99} * (1 - 0.1) * 0.7 = 0.31658 \\ c_2 &= \frac{\beta}{1 + \beta} (1 + r) (1 - t) w = \frac{0.99}{1 + 0.99} * (1 - 0.32417) * (1 - 0.1) * 0.7 = 0.21182 \\ N_1 \times s &= K = 0.31342 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
G &= tw = 0.1 * 0.7 = 0.07 \\
Y &= A (K^\alpha + L^{1-\alpha}) = 0.31342^{0.3} + 1^{0.7} = 1.7061 \\
\text{Profits} &= Y - qK - wL = 1.7061 - 0.7 * 1 - 0.67583 * 0.31342 = 0.79428 \\
N_1 c_1 + N_2 c_2 + N_1 s + G + \text{Profits} &= Y \\
0.31658 + 0.21182 + 0.31342 + 0.07 + 0.79428 &= 1.7061
\end{aligned}$$

3 Example in book

$$\begin{aligned}
&\max u(c, c', l, l') \\
&\text{s.t.} \\
c + \frac{c'}{1+r} &= w(1-l) + \pi - T + \frac{w'(1-l') + \pi' - T'}{1+r}
\end{aligned}$$

The Lagrangian is:

$$\begin{aligned}
L(c, c', l, l', \lambda) &= u(c, c', l, l') \\
&+ \lambda \left(w(1-l) + \pi - T + \frac{w'(1-l') + \pi' - T'}{1+r} - c - \frac{c'}{1+r} \right),
\end{aligned}$$

so that the FOCs are:

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial c &: u_c = \lambda, \\
\partial c' &: u_{c'} = \frac{\lambda}{1+r}, \\
\partial l &: u_l = \lambda w, \\
\partial l' &: u_{l'} = \frac{\lambda w'}{1+r}, \\
\partial \lambda &: c + \frac{c'}{1+r} = w(1-l) + \pi - T + \frac{w'(1-l') + \pi' - T'}{1+r}.
\end{aligned}$$

Which results in:

$$\begin{aligned}
u_l &= u_c w, \\
u_{c'} &= \frac{u_c}{1+r}, \\
u_{l'} &= u_{c'} w',
\end{aligned}$$

which can be expressed as

$$MRS_{l,c} = \frac{u_l}{u_c} = \frac{\lambda w}{\lambda} = w,$$

$$\begin{aligned}
MRS_{c,c'} &= \frac{u_c}{u_{c'}} = \frac{\lambda}{\frac{\lambda}{1+r}} = 1+r, \\
MRS_{l',c'} &= \frac{u_{l'}}{u_{c'}} = \frac{\frac{\lambda w'}{1+r}}{\frac{\lambda}{1+r}} = w', \\
MRS_{l,l'} &= \frac{u_l}{u_{l'}} = \frac{w(1+r)}{w'}.
\end{aligned}$$