

THE AUSTRALIAN NATIONAL UNIVERSITY

Second Semester Midterm Examination – September, 2014

Econometrics II: Econometric Modelling

(EMET 2008/6008)

Reading Time: 0 Minutes

Writing Time: 90 Minutes

Permitted Materials: None

Instructions:

- This handout of exam questions contains 3 pages (including cover page) with 5 exam questions. Make sure you are not missing any pages!
- Answer **ALL** questions of this handout in the script book provided to you.
- Always provide comprehensive and exhaustive answers. Show your work!
- No partial credit will be given for merely stating results (unless I explicitly ask you to 'state' a result).
- Cheat sheets are not permitted.
- Total marks: 100.
- Good luck!

1. [20 marks]

Consider the following simple linear model: $Y_i = \beta_0 + u_i$ with $E[u_i] = 0$.

- (a) [2.5 marks] Show that β_0 is the population mean of Y_i .
- (b) [2.5 marks] Define the sample average of Y_i .
- (c) [5 marks] Mathematically define and derive the OLS estimator of β_0 .
- (d) [5 marks] Mathematically define the standard error of the OLS estimator from part (c).
- (e) [5 marks] Prove that the OLS estimator from part (c) is an unbiased estimator for β_0 .

2. [15 marks]

Are the following statements true or false? Provide a short explanation.

(Note: you will not receive any credit without providing a correct explanation.)

- (a) A consistent estimator is also unbiased.
- (b) In OLS estimation, if you do not omit any explanatory variables then you can interpret your estimates as estimates of the causal effect.
- (c) In randomized control trials, non-compliance of subjects in the treatment group is not a threat to internal validity as long as it is random.

3. [20 marks]

You are interested in the causal effect of body size on earnings. To that effect you are considering the following linear model:

$$\log Wages_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 BMI_i + u_i,$$

where the dependent variable is the log wage of person i and the explanatory variable that captures the notion of body size is BMI_i , the body mass index of person i .

- (a) [15 marks] Can you interpret the OLS estimate $\hat{\beta}_1$ for β_1 as an estimate of the causal effect of body size on earnings? (Provide a short but comprehensive discussion of potential endogeneity problems in the above regression.)
- (b) [5 marks] Suggest an instrumental variable for BMI_i . Justify!

4. [20 marks]

Burde and Linden, in their paper published in the *American Economic Journal: Applied Economics* (2013), describe a randomized control trial conducted in Afghanistan. Summarize that paper!

In your summary, address the following points:

- What is the main research question?
- What is the main endogeneity problem?
- What econometric method do they use to address the endogeneity problem?
- Does their econometric method ‘solve’ the endogeneity problem?
(What evidence do they provide in the paper?)
- What are their main outcome variables?
- What is their main finding?
(You do not need to mention specific numbers, just argue qualitatively.)
- What problems/shortcomings do you see in their research?

5. [25 marks]

Consider the simple linear model

$$Y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X_i + u_i, \quad (1)$$

where X_i and u_i are uncorrelated with each other. Note that $\beta_1 \neq 0$.

Instead of X_i you observe a noisy measurement $\tilde{X}_i := X_i + w_i$, where w_i is a random error uncorrelated with both X_i and Y_i . (This is the case of so-called classical measurement error.) Now you are interested in estimating the linear model

$$Y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \tilde{X}_i + r_i, \quad (2)$$

where r_i is the error term in that model.

- (a) [5 marks] What does r_i need to be equal to in order for equations (1) and (2) to be equivalent with each other?
- (b) [10 marks] Show that \tilde{X}_i and r_i are correlated with each other.
(Hint: Study the following expected value: $E[\tilde{X}_i r_i]$.)
- (c) [10 marks] Use your knowledge of omitted variables bias to derive the bias that results from classical measurement error.