```
1. a) For \ j \leftarrow 1 \ to \ n-1 : \ \# \ main \ loop \ that \ iterates \ n-1 \ times : \\ For \ i \leftarrow n \ to \ 2 : \ \# \ visits \ the \ elements \ of \ A \ in \ decreasing \ order \ of \ indices : \\ If \ A[i] \ > \ A[i-1] \ then \ \# \ compares \ A[i] \ with \ A[i-1] : \\ swap(A[i], A[i-1]) \ \# \ if \ the \ statement \ is \ true, \ do \ the \ swap b) In \ such \ algorithm \ it \ guaranteed \ that \ A[i-1] \ is \ larger \ than \ A[i] \ after \ first \ i \ passed
```

for base case: n = 2if A[2] > A[1] then do the swap otherwise the array already sorted so it is correct

Assuming that algorithm sorts the array size of n-1 >= 2 correctly, such that [A[2] to A[n]] is in descending order

first add a largest number in A, index as 1, with above induction, this number A[1] would be larger than A[2] as guaranteed that A[i-1] is larger than A[i] after first i passed As now [A[2] to A[n]] is sorted in descending order (assumed) [A[1] to A[n] would also be sorted in descending order

if the adding number α is not > A[2] and still adding in index 1 as A[1]. and for a number $k, k \leq n$ such that $A[k-1] > \alpha \geq A[k]$, as guaranteed above, after k-1 times iterations each time of A[i=k to $2] > \alpha$ such that α would move to A[k-1], with such induction A[1]... A[n] would be sorted in decreasing order so the algorithm is correct as n-1 case correct implied n case correct

c) As this algorithm would run n-1 times iterations and in each iterations it will do n-1 times comparisons, so in total the worst case running time would be $(n-1)(n-1)=n^2-2n+1$ so the bound on the worst - case running time would be $O(n^2)$

```
2.
a)
let B be a list for storing the answer
function permutation(temporary array \alpha):
       if length of \alpha equals to length of A then
               add \ \alpha \ in \ B
               return # terminate the function call
       For i \leftarrow 1 to n: # iterate n times and add element i to temporary array \alpha
               if A[i] is not in \alpha then
                      A[i] append in \alpha
                      permutation (\alpha) \# \ recursively \ call \ the \ function \ with \ added \ element
permutation(empty array [])#initial call of the function
the return would be B as a array storing all the permutation array
b)
Recurrence for the running time of recursive calling the function in(a)
T(n) = nT(n-1) with boundary condition T(1) = 1
by solving the recurrence T(n-1) = (n-1)T(n-2)
T(n) = n(n-1)T(n-2)
In general case T(n) = n! such that T(n) = n! = O(n!) = \Omega(n!) such that T(n) = \Theta(n!)
```

$$a) \qquad \frac{n^2}{\log(n)} = O(n^2)$$

$$b) 2^{\log(n)} = O(n^3)$$

c) let
$$k = log(n)$$
, $log(k) = O(k)$, $so log(log(n)) = O(log(n))$

d) as
$$4^{\log_2 n} = n^{\log_2 4} = n^2$$
 such that $n^2 = \Theta(n^2)$ so $n^2 = \Theta(4^{\log_2 n})$, $4^{\log_2 n} = \Theta(n^2)$

$$e) (logn)^2 = O(n^{1/3})$$

4. Master theorem $T(n) = aT(\frac{n}{b}) + nd$ if $d < log_b a$, $\theta(n^{log_b a})$ $T(n) = n^{log_b a} + nd$ $(\frac{a^{log_b a}}{b^{log_b a}})$ if d = (gba), $\theta(n^{log_b a})$ $\theta(n^{log_b a})$ if $d > log_b a$, $\theta(n^{log_b a})$ and d = 1 $d > log_b a$ $d < log_b a$ d <

b) $Ce=4\cdot b=2$ d=3 $3>|g_2+|so|d>|og_1a|$ So the upper bound would be $O(n^3)$

C)
$$a=1$$
 $b=3$ $d=\frac{1}{2}$

$$\frac{1}{2} > \lfloor 0g_3 \rfloor \quad \text{so} \quad d > \lfloor 0g_3 \alpha \rfloor$$
So the upper bound be $O(n^{\frac{1}{2}})$

$$T(y) = 5T(\frac{y}{4}) + n(y)$$

$$T(y) = 5T(\frac{y}{4}) + (\frac{y}{4})(\frac{y}{4})(\frac{y}{4}) + n(y)$$

$$T(y) = 5 \left(T(\frac{y}{4}) + (\frac{y}{4})(\frac{y}{4}) + n(y) + n$$

E)
$$T(n^{\frac{1}{2}}) = T(n^{\frac{1}{2}}) + 1$$

$$T(n) = (T(n^{\frac{1}{2}}) + 1) + 1$$

For General Code it inducted to be
$$T(n) = (T(n^{\frac{1}{2}}) + 1) + 1$$

As \geq to be the minimal of (evels to bace Code $T(1)$)

As \geq 1 is a floor function while
$$1 \leq h^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq 2$$
 it would be $= 1$

$$\leq n^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq 2$$
 it would be $= 1$

$$\leq n^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq 2$$
 it would be $= 1$

$$\leq n^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq 2$$
 if $= \frac{\log_2 n}{\log_2 n} \leq 1$

$$\leq \log_2 n \leq 2^{\frac{1}{2}} = \frac{\log_2 \log_2 n}{\log_2 n}$$
As inducted, $= \frac{\log_2 \log_2 n}{\log_2 n} \leq 1$
Such that $= 0$ ($= \log_2 \log_2 n$)

```
use a divide and conquer algorithm
#binary search
l \leftarrow 1
r \leftarrow n
While l < r:
        m = (l + r)//2 #divide into 2 subarray and floor it if (l + r) is not divisible by 2
               If r is l + 1:
                       return A[r]
               if A[m] > A[m + 1]: #check if the unique minimum stay in the right sides or left
                       \#if\ A[m] > A[m+1] it means the unique minimum would stay in right side
                       l = m #then subtract into a half size array in this case as right side
               else:
                       r = m # otherwise left side
For n=3, is a base case, by [n_1, n_2, n_3] such that by definition n_2 appear to be the unique minimum
then A[m] = n_2, m = 2, A[m] < A[m + 1] so r = m, A[r] = n_2, A[l] still be n_1 and l = 1
so r = l + 1 return A[r] = n_2 to be the unique minimum
For n > 3
Assuming the algorithm can correctly find the unique minimal \gamma of index r_{\gamma} in a n size array A
by appending a new element \alpha, there will be 2 cases
1. \alpha > \gamma
By the definition of convex function, awould live in A[1] to A[r_v - 1] or A[r_v + 1] to A[n]
with the algorithm running, either the \alpha would get eliminated in the progress of division to subarray
or\ if\ \gamma\ next\ to\ \alpha,\ take\ m\ as\ r_{_{\gamma}}\ , A[r_{_{\gamma}}]\ <\ A[r_{_{\gamma}}+\ 1]so\ r\ =\ r_{_{\gamma}}\ as\ l\ =\ r_{_{\alpha}}\ , r_{_{\gamma}}\ =\ r_{_{\alpha}}\ +\ 1,\ return\ A[r_{_{\gamma}}]\ =\ \gamma
such that y would still be the unique minimum
2. \alpha < \gamma
By the definition of convex function, a would live in next to \gamma, take m=r_{\gamma} then A[r_{\gamma}]>A[r_{\gamma}+1]=A[r_{\alpha}]
as now l = r_{v} with r_{\alpha} = r_{v} + 1, the algorithm would return A[r_{\alpha}] which to be unique minimum \alpha
so in two cases inducted that the algorithm is correct as true in case n implies case n + 1 is true
As in each loop do one comparison of A[m] and A[m + 1] then divided in A[1...m] and A[m + 1...n]
so T(n) = T(n/2) + 1 by master theorem a = 1, b = 2, d = 0
0 = log_2 1
```

5.

 $T(n) = O(\log(n))$

6.

The algorithm would base on divide every polynomial function into a quadratic function and a constant term such that we can use the property $(ax + c)(bx + d) = (ab)x^2 + (ad + bc)x + (cd)$ with further induction (ad + cb) = (a + c)(b + d) - (ab) - (cd) in such case we only need to compute(ab), (bc) and (a + c)(b + d) to obtain the coefficient of x^2 , x^1 and x^0

For p(x) and q(x) are polynomial function as it can divide to

$$\begin{split} p &= (p_0)x + (p_1)x^0 \\ q &= (q_0)x + (q_1)x^0 \\ pq &= (p_0q_0)x^2 + (p_0q_1 + q_0p_1)x + (p_1q_1)x^0 \\ as inducted above \\ (p_0q_1 + q_0p_1) &= (p_0 + p_1)(q_0 + q_1) - (p_0q_0) - (p_1q_1) \\ pq &= (p_0q_0)x^2 + ((p_0 + p_1)(q_0 + q_1) - (p_0q_0) - (p_1q_1))x + (p_1q_1)x^0 \end{split}$$

A simple version code would be like

function A(p, q, n):

$$\begin{split} &P_{0}Q_{0} = A((p[n]x^{n-1} + p[n-1]x^{n-2} + p[n-2]x^{n-3} + ...), (q[n]x^{n-1} + q[n-1]x^{n-2} + q[n-2]x^{n-3} + ...)) \\ &P_{1}Q_{1} = A((p[0]), (q[0])) \\ &(P_{0} + P_{1})(Q_{0} + Q_{1}) \\ &= A(p[n]x^{n-1} + p[n-1]x^{n-2} + p[n-2]x^{n-3} + ... + p[0]), (q[n]x^{n-1} + q[n-1]x^{n-2} + q[n-2]x^{n-3} + ... + q[0])) \\ &return &(P_{0}Q_{0})x^{2} + ((P_{0} + P_{1})(Q_{0} + Q_{1}) - (P_{0}Q_{0}) - (P_{1}Q_{1}))x + (P_{1}Q_{1})x^{0} \end{split}$$

by recursively calling the function with input $p_0q_0(p_0+p_1)(q_0+q_1)$ and (p_1q_1)

by merging back and return with above equation, we can get all the coefficient up to 2n terms

By assuming n is a power of 2, $n = 2^k$ there are 3 function calling divisions of each size of 2 also merging with above size n so T(n) = 3T(n/2) + O(n)by master theorem, $a = 3, b = 2, d = 1, T(n) = \Theta(n^{\log_b a})$ if $d < \log_b a$ $1 < log_2 3$, so $T(n) = \Theta(n^{log_2 3}) = O(n^{log_2 3})$ in such case less than $\Theta(n^2)$ and meet $O(n^2)$

For n = 0, is the base case returning P[0]Q[0]For n = 1, also true with returning $(P[0]Q[0])x^2 + (P[0]Q[1] + P[1]Q[0])x + (P[1]Q[1])x^0$ For n > 1 assuming the algorithm would return correct answer with recursively divide the polynomial into $P[n]x^{n} + p[n-1]x^{n-1} + p[n-2]x^{n-2} + + P[0]x^{0}$ $(p[n]x^{n-1} + p[n-1]x^{n-2} + p[n-2]x^{n-3} +...)x + p[0]x^0$ $P_0 = (p[n]x^{n-1} + p[n-1]x^{n-2} + p[n-2]x^{n-3} + ...)x, P_1 = p[0]x^0$ as doing the same thing to Q $we\ can\ get\ P_0Q_0=(p[n]x^{n-1}+p[n-1]x^{n-2}+p[n-2]x^{n-3}+...)(q[n]x^{n-1}+q[n-1]x^{n-2}+q[n-2]x^{n-3}+...)$ $P_1Q_1 = (p[0])(q[0])$ $(P_0 + P_1)(Q_0 + Q_1)$ $= (p[n]x^{n-1} + p[n-1]x^{n-2} + p[n-2]x^{n-3} + ... + p[0])(q[n]x^{n-1} + q[n-1]x^{n-2} + q[n-2]x^{n-3} + ... + q[0])$ with above assumption is correct we can see for n + 1 case

 $P_{0}Q_{0} = (p[n+1]x^{n} + p[n]x^{n-1} + p[n-1]x^{n-2} + ...)(q[n+1]x^{n} + q[n]x^{n-1} + q[n-1]x^{n-2} + ...)$

as let k = n + 1

we get $(p[k]x^{k-1} + p[k-1]x^{k-2} + p[k-2]x^{k-3} + ...)(q[k]x^{k-1} + q[k-1]x^{k-2} + q[k]x^{k-3} + ...)$ $(P_0 + P_1)(Q_0 + Q_1) =$

 $(p[k]x^{k-1} + p[k-1]x^{k-2} + p[k-2]x^{k-3} + ... + p[0])(q[k]x^{k-1} + q[k-1]x^{k-2} + q[k-2]x^{k-3} + ... + q[0])$ same as the n term so it is correct

 P_1Q_1 still be (p[0])(q[0])

such that if n case is correct indicate n + 1 case is also correct so the algorithm works correctly