

### 1. Maximum sum in binary tree

as the constraint would be adjacent nodes cannot be picked

so each time iterating the binary tree, the optimal solution would be take that node or not take it

if we take that node, we must take the grandchildren in order to maximize the sum

if we don't take that node, we must take the both childrens since they are not adjacent

and the condition of taking the node or not would be

Let A be the binary tree with A[0] is the root node

$\max((A[0] + A[3] + A[4] + A[5] + A[6]), A[1] + A[2])$

and this would be the simplest form to solve this kind of problem

extend to solving the general problem

Let dp[n] to be the array storing the maximum of n node can get initialize all 0

function maxSum(node)

base case if no node then return

if dp[index of node] not equal to zero means this node has been get the optimal solution so return

max sum from left left child = maxSum(node.left.left)

max sum from left right child = maxSum(node.left.right)

max sum from right left child = maxSum(node.right.left)

max sum from right right child = maxSum(node.right.right)

max sum from grandchildren = (maxSum(node.left.left)+  
maxSum(node.left.right)+maxSum(node.right.left)+maxSum(node.right.right))

max sum from left child = maxSum(node.left)

max sum from right child = maxSum(node.right)

since we either choose grandchildren or both childrens so the return would be maximum between them  
adding the optimal solution in dp[index of node]

return max((node + max sum from grandchildren), (max sum from left child + max sum from right child))

The initial call would be maxSum(A[0])

since this algorithm will iterate each node once so the time complexity would easily be taken as  $O(n)$

## 2. Longest increasing path in 2D Matrix

since every time the path can only go downward or rightward

for the optimal solution if  $M[i, j] < M[i + 1, j]$  or  $M[i, j] < M[i, j + 1]$

then  $M[i, j]$  must include in the solution and the largest path accumulated from right or down plus 1  
so the algorithm just need to recursively doing this condition checking

Let  $dp[n][n]$  initialized as same size with  $M$  and all element to be 1

global variable  $Longest = 0$

define a function  $LIP(inputting\ i, j)$

boundary and base case check

if  $i > n$  or  $j > n$  then return

if  $dp[i][j] \neq 1$  then this cell has been checked so return

recursively call function

$LIP(i + 1, j)$

$LIP(i, j + 1)$

if  $i + 1 < n$  and  $M[i][j] < M[i + 1][j]$

save the optimal solution in  $dp[i][j]$

$dp[i][j] = \max(dp[i][j], dp[i + 1][j]) + 1$

if  $j + 1 < n$  and  $M[i][j] < M[i][j + 1]$

$dp[i][j] = \max(dp[i][j], dp[i][j + 1]) + 1$

compare the longest to  $dp[i][j]$  to check whether  $dp[i][j]$  have a better length then longest

$longest = \max(longest, dp[i][j])$

the initial call would be  $LIP(0, 0)$

after running the whole  $LIP$  function call

global variable  $longest$  would be the longest path

For the time complexity

this  $LIP$  function recursively run over each element from  $M$  once

as if  $dp[i][j] \neq 1$  would stop the  $LIP$  function from calling the checking element again

and each time of recursion would do constant time of comparison

such that the  $LIP$  function would run in  $O(n^2)$  since there are  $n^2$  elements

For the space complexity

this algorithm require a array ap same size as  $M$   $n$  by  $n$  and one more global variable  $longest$

so the space complexity would be  $n^2 + 1 = O(n^2)$

### 3. Set partitioning

1. this question can be understood as if there is a subset of  $S$  having half of the sum of  $S$

let  $dp[i][j]$  be an array storing if there is a subset of  $S[1 \text{ to } n]$  having sum  $i$

at each time determining if there is a subset equal to  $i$

we can divide it into two situations

1. there is a subset of  $S[1, j - 1]$  excluding  $S[j]$  having sum  $i$

2. the sum  $i$  can be obtained from a subset of  $S[1, j - 1] + S[j] = i$

if both cases are false means the set  $S[1 \text{ to } j - 1]$  cannot have a sum equal to  $i$

Let  $sum = \text{sum}(S)/2$

initialize all the part of  $dp[0][0 \text{ to } n] = \text{true}$  as 0 can be got from empty set

initialize all the part of  $dp[1 \text{ to } n][0] = \text{false}$  as empty set cannot have sum  $> 0$

for  $i = 1$  to  $sum$

for  $j = 1$  to  $n$

inherit the previous subset of not including element  $S[j]$

$dp[i][j] = dp[i][j - 1]$

if  $i \geq S[j]$

means the sum  $i$  can be achieved by subset of  $S[1, j - 1]$  and  $S[j]$  otherwise it is impossible because any subset adding  $S[j]$  would be larger than  $i$

then either  $dp[i - S[j]][j - 1]$  is true or it follows the  $dp[i][j - 1]$

after all the iteration of set  $S$ , the  $dp[sum][n]$  indicates whether there is a subset of  $S$  having half of the sum of  $S$  and this will be the answer of this question

For the time complexity

there are  $sum * n$  times iterations which is equal to  $O(sum * n)$

for the space complexity

the  $dp$  array is created to store every subset  $S[0 \text{ to } n]$  to  $[0 \text{ to } sum]$  solutions, so it is  $O(sum * n)$

2.

to solve this problem, the algorithm would reuse the algorithm from 1. to build the  $dp$  array same as 1.

then create a new iteration as now  $dp[sum][n]$  may not be true

so the iteration would keep checking on the largest  $dp[i]$  to be true as this would make the difference to be smallest

Let  $sum = \text{Sum}(S)/2$

for  $i = sum$  to 0

if  $dp[i][n]$  is true then return and we know  $i$  would be the smallest number to sum

then after returning  $dp[i][n]$  would be answer

for time complexity since it is the same as iterating all the elements and matching with 1 to sum

so it is the same as 1.  $O(sum * n)$

for space complexity it also uses the  $dp$  array to store all the conditions, it is also the same as the 1. to be  $O(sum * n)$

#### 4. Continuous subarray partitioning

the recurrence for the optimal solution would be each time take a subarray, then search the remaining array each time  $m = m - 1$  doing the slicing and returning the  $\max(\text{Sum}(\text{subarray}), \text{Sum}(\text{remaining array}))$  then try all the subarray and find the minimum of all the  $\max(\text{Sum}(\text{subarray}), \text{Sum}(\text{remaining array}))$  this is a brutal force to check all the subarray that  $A$  divided  $m$  times

for introducing the dp solution algorithm need a 2d array  $dp[n][m]$  recording for  $n$  size subset with slicing  $m$  times condition and we can use it to deduce next element's situation first of all need a array  $S$  implemented as follow

$S[1] = A[1]$

For  $i = 2$  to  $\text{len}(A)$

$S[i] = A[i] + S[i - 1]$

and we can easily get the sum of subarray and remain array then use this to do the comparison

so each time on a element the algorithm should find the all possible outcomes from all the slicing and find the min

Let  $i$  denoted as  $i^{\text{th}}$  element and  $j$  denoted as  $j^{\text{th}}$  slice

$dp[i][j] = \min(dp[i][j], \max(dp[k][j - 1], S[i] - S[k]));$

$dp[k][j - 1]$  is indicating the subarray's sum

$S[i] - S[k]$  means the remaining array's sum

the  $k$  is looping over from 1 to  $i$  to get the minimum of all the possible slicing for subarray of  $A[1 \text{ to } i]$

so the whole implementation would be

for  $i = 1$  to  $n$

$dp[i][1] = S[i]$  as doing no slicing the maximum sum would be the accumulating sum  $S[i]$

For  $i = 1$  to  $n$

For  $j = 2$  to  $m$

For  $k = 1$  to  $i$

$dp[i][j] = \min(dp[i][j], \max(dp[k][j - 1], S[i] - S[k]));$

then the value storing in  $dp[n][m]$  would be the answer

for time complexity

this algorithm mainly run through  $n$  elements and  $m$  times partitioning

and run in  $k$  times recording each time condition

since the worst case for  $k$  is  $n$  times

so the whole algorithm run in  $O(n^2 * m)$  time

for space complexity

this algorithm created a extra dp array of size  $n \times m$  so it takes  $O(n * m)$  space