# COMP 3711 – Design and Analysis of Algorithms 2022 Fall Semester – Written Assignment Solution # 1

#### Solution 1: [10 points]

(a) **Input**: An array A[1...n] of n distinct integers for  $n \geq 2$ .

**Output**: An array A[1...n] such that the n items of the input array are sorted in decreasing order.

```
for k \leftarrow 1 to n-1 do: // the outer loop
for i \leftarrow n downto 2 do: // the inner loop
if A[i] > A[i-1] then
swap A[i] and A[i-1]
```

(b) Suppose B is the sorted decreasing array of A[1 ... n], which is what we expect the algorithm to return. We will prove that after the first k passes, A[1 ... k] become B[1 ... k]. Then it follows naturally that after n-1 passes, A[1 ... n-1] = B[1 ... n-1], which means A[1 ... n] = B[1 ... n] since the n items are distinct integers. That is, A[1 ... n] are sorted in decreasing order after n-1 passes.

**Claim.** By the algorithm described in (a), after the first k passes, A[1 ... k] = B[1 ... k].

*Proof.* By induction on k.

When k = 1, that is in the first pass. Suppose initially B[1] is in A[j]. Then when the inner loop runs to i = j, the algorithm finds that A[j] > A[j-1] because B[1] is the largest item in A. Then B[1] is swapped to A[j-1].

Since B[1] is the largest item in A, the inner loop swaps B[1] with its left neighbor until i = 2, then B[1] reaches A[1]. So A[1] = B[1] after the first pass.

When k > 1, assume that after k - 1 passes,  $A[1 \dots k - 1] = B[1 \dots k - 1]$ . Suppose at this time B[k] is in A[j], where  $k \leq j \leq n$ .

Then in the k-th pass, when the inner loop runs to i = j, there are 2 cases:

- (1) If j = k, the algorithm does not swap any more in this pass because A[1 ... k] are in decreasing order.
- (2) If j > k, the algorithm finds that A[j] > A[j-1] since B[k] is the largest item in  $A[k \dots n]$ . Then B[k] is swapped to A[j-1]. Since B[k] is the largest item in  $A[k \dots n]$  and  $B[k] < B[k-1] < \dots < B[1]$ , the inner loop swaps B[k] with its left neighbor until i = k, then B[k] reaches A[k].

Therefore, after the first k passes, A[1 ... k] = B[1 ... k].

(c) The worst case happens when A[1...n] are sorted in increasing order initially. Then in the k-th iteration, the algorithm costs n-1 units of running time. The worst-case running time of the algorithm is  $\Theta(\sum_{k=1}^{n-1}(n-1))=\Theta(n^2)$ .

### Solution 2: [10 points]

(a) **Input**: An array A[1...n] of n distinct integers for  $n \ge 1$ . **Output**: A list of arrays such that each array is a permutation of A[1...n] and the list contains all permutations of A[1...n].

Permutate(A, i):

if i = 1 then **return** [A[1]] // return a list which contains the only one permutation of A[1] $\mathcal{P} \leftarrow [] // \text{ an empty list}$  $\mathcal{B} \leftarrow \mathbf{Permutate}(A, i-1) \ // \ \mathcal{B}$  is the list containing all permutations of  $A[1 \dots i-1]$ for  $B \in \mathcal{B}$  do //B is one permutation of  $A[1 \dots i-1], B = B[1 \dots i-1]$ for  $k \leftarrow 1$  to i do for  $j \leftarrow 1$  to i do if j < k then  $P[j] \leftarrow B[j]$ else if j = k then  $P[j] \leftarrow A[i]$ else  $P[j] \leftarrow B[j-1]$ append P to  $\mathcal{P}$  //  $P = B[1] \dots B[k-1]A[i]B[k] \dots B[i-1]$ , one permutation of  $A[1 \dots i]$ return  $\mathcal{P}$ First call: Permutate(A, n)

(b) When n = 1, we have T(1) = 1,

When n > 1, there are 3 loops. Since  $A[1 \dots n-1]$  has (n-1)! permutations, we have

$$T(n) = T(n-1) + (n-1)! \cdot n \cdot n = T(n-1) + n \cdot n!$$

$$= T(n-2) + (n-1) \cdot (n-1)! + n \cdot n!$$

$$= \dots$$

$$= T(1) + \sum_{i=2}^{n} i \cdot i!$$

The running time is  $O(2n \cdot n!)$ . We prove this by induction.

*Proof.* When n = 1,  $T(1) = 1 < 2 \cdot 1 \cdot 1! = 2$ . When n > 2, suppose  $T(n-1) < 2(n-1) \cdot (n-1)!$ . Then

$$T(n) = T(n-1) + n \cdot n! < 2(n-1) \cdot (n-1)! + n \cdot n!.$$

If we can prove that  $2(n-1)\cdot (n-1)! + n\cdot n! < 2n\cdot n!$ , then we can obtain  $T(n) < 2n\cdot n!$ . So next we prove that  $2(n-1)\cdot (n-1)! + n\cdot n! < 2n\cdot n!$ . That is equivalent to proving

$$2(n-1) \cdot (n-1)! < n \cdot n! \iff 2(n-1) < n^2 \iff n(n-2) > -2.$$

Because n(n-2) is an increasing function for  $n \ge 2$ , then for  $n \ge 2$ ,  $n(n-2) \ge 0 > -2$ . Therefore, we prove that  $T(n) < 2n \cdot n!$ .

Solution 3: [5 points]

(a) 
$$n^2 / \log n = O(n^2)$$

(b) 
$$2^{\log n} = O(n^3)$$

- (c)  $\log \log n = O(\log n)$
- (d)  $4^{\log_2 n} = \Theta(n^2)$
- (e)  $n^{1/3} = \Omega((\log n)^2)$

## Solution 4: [10 points]

- (a) By master theorem,  $c = \log_2 4 = 2$ ,  $f(n) = n = O(n^{2-\epsilon})$  for some  $\epsilon > 0$ , so  $T(n) = \Theta(n^2)$ .
- (b) By master theorem,  $c = \log_2 4 = 2$ ,  $f(n) = n^3 = \Omega(n^{2+\epsilon})$  for some  $\epsilon > 0$ , so  $T(n) = \Theta(n^3)$ .
- (c) By master theorem,  $c = \log_3 1 = 0$ ,  $f(n) = \sqrt{n} = \Omega(n^{\epsilon})$  for some  $\epsilon > 0$ , so  $T(n) = \Theta(n^{1/2})$ .
- (d) By master theorem,  $c = \log_4 5$ ,  $f(n) = n \log n = O(n^{\log_4 5 \epsilon})$  for some  $\epsilon > 0$ , so  $T(n) = \Theta(n^{\log_4 5})$ .
- (e) Suppose  $n = 2^{2^k}$ , then

$$T(n) = T(2^{2^k}) = T(\sqrt{2^{2^k}}) + 1$$

$$= T(2^{2^{k-1}}) + 1$$

$$= T(2^{2^{k-2}}) + 1 + 1$$

$$= \dots$$

$$= T(2) + k$$

$$= T(1) + 1 + \log \log(n)$$

$$= \Theta(\log \log(n))$$

# Solution 5: [10 points]

```
Find-min-for-convex(A, p, r):
if p = r then
   return A[p]
if r = p + 1 then
   if A[p] < A[r] then
      return A[p]
   else
      return A[r]
q \leftarrow \lfloor (p+r)/2 \rfloor
if A[q-1] > A[q] and A[q+1] > A[q] then
   return A[q]
if A[q] < A[q+1] then
   return Find-min-for-convex(A, p, q)
if A[q] > A[q+1] then
   return Find-min-for-convex(A, q + 1, r)
First call: Find-min-for-convex(A, 1, n)
```

Next we prove the correctness of the above algorithm.

*Proof.* When p = r and r = p + 1, the algorithm is obviously correct.

When  $r \ge p+2$ , suppose the minimum of  $A[p \dots r]$  is A[j], then by the properties of the strictly convex function, we have  $A[p] > \dots > A[j] < \dots A[r]$ .

Let  $q = \lfloor (p+r)/2 \rfloor$ . We have the following 3 cases:

- (1) If A[q-1] > A[q] and A[q+1] > A[q], then j=q must hold. Because there does not exist  $q' \neq j$  such that A[q'-1] > A[q'] and A[q'+1] > A[q']. So the algorithm returns A[q], that is A[j].
- (2) If A[q] < A[q+1], then  $j \le q$  must hold. The algorithm calls **Find-min-for-convex**(A, p, q) next, which runs correctly because  $p \le j \le q$ .
- (3) If A[q] > A[q+1], then j > q must hold. The algorithm calls **Find-min-for-convex**(A, q+1, r) next, which runs correctly because  $q+1 \le j \le r$ .

By induction, the algorithm will correctly find the minimum of A[1...n].

#### Running time:

When n = 1, 2, T(n) = O(1).

When n > 2, the algorithm computes  $q \leftarrow \lfloor (p+r)/2 \rfloor$ , which costs one unit of running time. Then the algorithm divides the array  $A[1 \dots n]$  into two parts and only runs recursively on one part. So T(n) = T(n/2) + O(1).

By the master theorem,  $c = \log_2 1 = 0$ ,  $f(n) = O(1) = O(n^0)$ , so  $T(n) = O(\log n)$ .

```
Solution 6: [10 points]
```

```
\begin{aligned} & \textbf{Polynomial-product}(P[0 \dots n], Q[0 \dots n]); \\ & \textbf{if} \ \ n = 0 \ \textbf{then} \\ & \quad R[0] \leftarrow P[0] \cdot Q[0] \\ & \quad \textbf{return} \ R[0] \\ & \quad M \leftarrow \lfloor n/2 \rfloor \\ & \quad U \leftarrow \textbf{Polynomial-product}(P[m+1 \dots n], Q[m+1 \dots n]) \\ & \quad Z \leftarrow \textbf{Polynomial-product}(P[0 \dots m], Q[0 \dots m]) \\ & \quad P' \leftarrow P[m+1 \dots n] \oplus P[0 \dots m] \\ & \quad Q' \leftarrow Q[m+1 \dots n] \oplus Q[0 \dots m] \\ & \quad Y \leftarrow \textbf{Polynomial-product}(P'[0 \dots m], Q'[0 \dots m]) \\ & \quad R[0 \dots 2n] \leftarrow 0 \\ & \quad R[0 \dots 2n] \leftarrow 0 \\ & \quad R[0 \dots 2m] \leftarrow R[0 \dots 2m] \oplus Z \\ & \quad R[m+1 \dots m+n] \leftarrow R[m+1 \dots m+n] \oplus Y \ominus U \ominus Z \\ & \quad R[2m+2 \dots 2n] \leftarrow R[2m+2 \dots 2n] \oplus U \\ & \quad \textbf{return} \ R \end{aligned}
```

In the above algorithm,  $\oplus$  and  $\ominus$  denote element-wise addition and subtraction of arrays respectively.  $A[0...n] \oplus B[0...n]$  is realized by computing C[i] = A[i] + B[i] for  $0 \le i \le n$  and outputs the array C[0...n], which costs O(n) running time. Similar with  $\ominus$ .

Next we prove the correctness of the above algorithm.

*Proof.* Let 
$$m = \lfloor n/2 \rfloor$$
, then  $p(x) \cdot q(x) =$ 

$$(P[0] + P[1]x + \dots + P[m]x^{m})(Q[0] + Q[1]x + \dots + Q[m]x^{m}) + (P[0] + P[1]x + \dots + P[m]x^{m})(Q[m+1]x^{m+1} + \dots + Q[n]x^{n}) + (P[m+1]x^{m+1} + \dots + P[n]x^{n})(Q[0] + Q[1]x + \dots + Q[m]x^{m}) + (P[m+1]x^{m+1} + \dots + P[n]x^{n})(Q[m+1]x^{m+1} + \dots + Q[n]x^{n}).$$

Let  $U[0\dots 2(n-m-1)]$  represents a polynomial product of  $P[m+1\dots n]$  and  $Q[m+1\dots n]$ , i.e.,  $U[0]+U[1]x+\dots+U[2(n-m-1)]x^{2(n-m-1)}=(P[m+1]+\dots+P[n]x^{n-m-1})(Q[m+1]+\dots+Q[n]x^{n-m-1}),$ 

so  $U[0]x^{2m+2} + U[1]x^{2m+3} + \dots + U[2(n-m-1)]x^{2n} = (P[m+1]x^{m+1} + \dots + P[n]x^n)(Q[m+1]x^{m+1} + \dots + Q[n]x^n).$ 

Let Z[0...2m] represents a polynomial product of P[0...m] and Q[0...m], i.e.,  $Z[0] + Z[1]x + ... + Z[2m]x^{2m} = (P[0] + ... + P[m]x^m)(Q[0] + ... + Q[m]x^m)$ .

Let  $P' = P[m+1 \dots n] \oplus P[0 \dots m], Q' = Q[m+1 \dots n] \oplus Q[0 \dots m].$ 

Let Y[0...2m] represents a polynomial product of P' and Q' in x, then

$$(P[0] + P[1]x + \dots + P[m]x^{m})(Q[m+1]x^{m+1} + \dots + Q[n]x^{n}) + (P[m+1]x^{m+1} + \dots + P[n]x^{n})(Q[0] + Q[1]x + \dots + Q[m]x^{m}) = (Y[0] - U[0] - Z[0])x^{m+1} + \dots + (Y[2m] - U[2m] - Z[2m])x^{m+n}.$$

Since  $p(x) \cdot q(x) = (P[0] + \cdots + P[n]x^n)(Q[0] + \cdots + Q[n]x^n) = R[0] + R[1]x + \cdots + R[2n]x^{2n}$ , then from the above derivations, we can allocate the elements in R as the algorithm runs:

$$\begin{split} R[0\dots 2m] \leftarrow R[0\dots 2m] \oplus Z \\ R[m+1\dots m+n] \leftarrow R[m+1\dots m+n] \oplus Y \ominus U \ominus Z \\ R[2m+2\dots 2n] \leftarrow R[2m+2\dots 2n] \oplus U \end{split}$$

#### Worst-case running time:

When n = 0, T(0) = 1.

When n > 0, the algorithm divides the problem into 3 polynomial product subproblems, each having size n/2. So we have T(n) = 3T(n/2) + O(n).

By the master theorem,  $c = \log_2 3$ ,  $f(n) = O(n) = O(n^{\log_2 3 - \epsilon})$  for some  $\epsilon > 0$ , so  $T(n) = O(n^{\log_2 3})$ .