

# A MAGIC DETERMINANT FORMULA FOR SYMMETRIC POLYNOMIALS OF EIGENVALUES

JULES JACOBS (JULESJACOBS@GMAIL.COM)

ABSTRACT. Symmetric polynomials of the roots of a polynomial can be written as polynomials of the coefficients, and by applying this theorem to the characteristic polynomial we can write a symmetric polynomial of the eigenvalues  $a_i$  of an  $n \times n$  matrix  $A$  as a polynomial of the entries of the matrix. We give a magic formula for this: symbolically substitute  $a \mapsto A$  in the symmetric polynomial and replace multiplication by  $\det$ . For instance, for a  $2 \times 2$  matrix  $A$  with eigenvalues  $a_1, a_2$ ,

$$a_1 a_2^2 + a_1^2 a_2 = \det(A_1, A_2^2) + \det(A_1^2, A_2)$$

where  $A_i^k$  is the  $i$ -th column of  $A^k$ . One may also take negative powers, allowing us to calculate:

$$a_1 a_2^{-1} + a_1^{-1} a_2 = \det(A_1, A_2^{-1}) + \det(A_1^{-1}, A_2)$$

The magic method also works for multivariate symmetric polynomials of the eigenvalues of a set of commuting matrices, e.g. for  $2 \times 2$  matrices  $A$  and  $B$  with eigenvalues  $a_1, a_2$  and  $b_1, b_2$ ,

$$a_1 b_1 a_2^2 + a_1^2 a_2 b_2 = \det(AB_1, A_2^2) + \det(A_1^2, AB_2)$$

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $A$  be an  $n \times n$  matrix with eigenvalues  $a_1, \dots, a_n$ . It is well known that

$$\begin{aligned} a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_n &= \text{tr}(A) = A_{11} + A_{22} + \dots + A_{nn} \\ a_1 a_2 \dots a_n &= \det(A) = \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} (-1)^\sigma A_{1,\sigma(1)} A_{2,\sigma(2)} \dots A_{n,\sigma(n)} \end{aligned}$$

By applying the fundamental theorem of symmetric polynomials to the characteristic polynomial of  $A$ , we find that there must be such an equation between any symmetric polynomial in the eigenvalues of  $A$  and *some* polynomial in the entries of  $A$ . We give an explicit formula for this polynomial in terms of determinants, which generalises the equations above to any symmetric polynomial:

$$\sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}^n} p_i a_1^{i_1} \dots a_n^{i_n} = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}^n} p_i \det(A_1^{i_1}, \dots, A_n^{i_n})$$

At first, this may seem surprising, since eigenvalues are independent of the choice of basis, whereas taking  $k$ -th columns of a matrix is clearly basis dependent. Indeed, each term  $p_i \det(A_1^{i_1}, \dots, A_n^{i_n})$  is on its own not basis independent, only the whole sum is, and only if the  $p_i$  are coefficients of a symmetric polynomial (which means  $p_{(i_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, i_{\sigma(n)})} = p_{(i_1, \dots, i_n)}$  for any permutation  $\sigma$ , or  $p_{i \circ \sigma} = p_i$  in short, and that only finitely many  $p_i$  are nonzero). More generally,

$$\sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}^n} p_i \det(A_1^{(i_1)}, \dots, A_n^{(i_n)}) \tag{1}$$

is basis independent for any family of matrices  $A^{(j)}$ , not necessarily powers  $A^j$  of a single matrix. That is, if we substitute  $A^{(j)} \mapsto S^{-1} A^{(j)} S$  for some invertible matrix  $S$ , its value does not change. Furthermore, if the  $A^{(j)}$  commute, we have the identity

$$\sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}^n} p_i a_1^{(i_1)} \dots a_n^{(i_n)} = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}^n} p_i \det(A_1^{(i_1)}, \dots, A_n^{(i_n)})$$

where  $a_k^{(j)}$  is the  $k$ -th eigenvalue of  $A^{(j)}$ .

Our strategy to prove this is to define a quantity that is basis independent because its definition makes no reference to a basis, and then show that it is equal to (1). Once we have shown that (1) is invariant under basis transformations, we pick a basis in which the determinants become products of eigenvalues, which is possible if the  $A^{(j)}$  commute.

By applying this identity to particular families of matrices, we get the fundamental theorem of symmetric polynomials as a corollary, and we are able to deduce various equations between eigenvalues and determinants, such as those in the abstract.

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

The proof is based on multilinear antisymmetric functions [1].

**Definition.** A function  $f : V^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is

- Multilinear if  $f$  is linear in each argument, i.e.  $v_k \mapsto f(v_1, \dots, v_k, \dots, v_n)$  is linear, with  $v_i \in V$ .
- Antisymmetric if applying a permutation  $\sigma \in S_n$  to its arguments multiplies it by the sign of the permutation, i.e.  $f(v_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, v_{\sigma(n)}) = (-1)^\sigma f(v_1, \dots, v_n)$ , with  $v_i \in V$ .

Multilinear antisymmetric functions form a vector space.

**Definition.** Let  $\bigwedge^n V^* \subset V^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be the space of multilinear antisymmetric functions.

The only property of  $\bigwedge^n V^*$  we will need is that  $\dim(\bigwedge^n V^*) = 1$  if  $n = \dim(V)$ . In fact, in general  $\dim(\bigwedge^n V^*) = \binom{\dim(V)}{n}$  [1]. Since it is the basis of our main theorem, we will give a proof here.

**Lemma.**  $\dim(\bigwedge^n V^*) = 1$  if  $\dim(V) = n$ .

*Proof.* Let  $f \in \bigwedge^n V^*$  and  $v_1, \dots, v_n \in V$ . Take a basis  $e_1, \dots, e_n$  for  $V$ . Writing the  $v_i$  in terms of the basis, we have coefficients  $a_{ij} \in \mathbb{R}$  for  $i, j \in \{1 \dots n\}$  such that  $v_i = \sum_j a_{ij} e_j$ . The proof proceeds by expanding  $f(v_1, \dots, v_n)$  by multilinearity, and then using the fact that  $f$  is zero whenever there is a duplicate argument, because applying a permutation that swaps those two positions gives  $f(\dots, w, \dots, w, \dots) = -f(\dots, w, \dots, w, \dots)$ , which implies  $f(\dots, w, \dots, w, \dots) = 0$ . This allows us to convert the sum over any pattern of indexing into a sum over permutations.

$$\begin{aligned}
 f(v_1, \dots, v_n) &= f\left(\sum_{i_1=1}^n a_{1i_1} e_{i_1}, \dots, \sum_{i_n=1}^n a_{ni_n} e_{i_n}\right) \\
 &= \sum_{i_1=1}^n \cdots \sum_{i_n=1}^n a_{1i_1} \cdots a_{ni_n} f(e_{i_1}, \dots, e_{i_n}) \\
 &= \sum_{i \in \{1 \dots n\} \rightarrow \{1 \dots n\}} a_{1i(1)} \cdots a_{ni(n)} f(e_{i(1)}, \dots, e_{i(n)}) \\
 &= \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} a_{1\sigma(1)} \cdots a_{n\sigma(n)} f(e_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, e_{\sigma(n)}) \\
 &= \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} (-1)^\sigma a_{1\sigma(1)} \cdots a_{n\sigma(n)} f(e_1, \dots, e_n) \\
 &= \det(a) f(e_1, \dots, e_n)
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the value  $f \in \bigwedge^n V^*$  is entirely determined by its value on  $f(e_1, \dots, e_n)$ , and conversely, any value chosen for  $f(e_1, \dots, e_n)$  determines a multilinear antisymmetric function  $f \in \bigwedge^n V^*$  via the equation above, so the space is one dimensional.  $\square$

## 3. PROOF

Let  $\mathbb{I}$  be some index set. We say that  $p_i \in \mathbb{R}$  for  $i \in \mathbb{I}^n$  are *symmetric coefficients* if only finitely many  $p_i$  are nonzero, and  $p_{i \circ \sigma} = p_i$  for all  $i \in \mathbb{I}^n$  and permutations  $\sigma \in S_n$ . Let  $\vec{A}^{(j)} : V \rightarrow V$  for  $j \in \mathbb{I}$  be a family of linear maps on a vector space  $V$  of dimension  $n$ .

**Example.** A polynomial  $p(x_1, \dots, x_n)$  on  $n$  variables can be written as a sum of monomials

$$p(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}^n} p_i x_1^{i_1} \cdots x_n^{i_n}$$

where  $p_i = p_{(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n)}$  is the coefficient of  $x_1^{i_1} x_2^{i_2} \cdots x_n^{i_n}$ . If  $p$  is a symmetric polynomial, then  $p_i$  are symmetric coefficients with index set  $\mathbb{I} = \mathbb{N}$ . For instance, if

$$p(x_1, x_2, x_3) = x_1 + x_2 + x_3 = x_1^1 x_2^0 x_3^0 + x_1^0 x_2^1 x_3^0 + x_1^0 x_2^0 x_3^1$$

then  $p_i = 1$  for  $i = (1, 0, 0), (0, 1, 0), (0, 0, 1)$  and  $p_i = 0$  otherwise. Note that  $p_{i \circ \sigma} = p_i$  for all permutations  $\sigma \in S_3$ .

We now define a linear map  $p(\vec{A}) : \bigwedge^n V^* \rightarrow \bigwedge^n V^*$  in terms of the matrices  $\vec{A}^{(j)}$  and symmetric coefficients  $p_i$ . The key observation is that a linear map from a one-dimensional vector space to itself is just multiplication by a scalar, so we are in effect defining a scalar here. We shall soon see that this scalar is precisely 1.

**Definition 1.** If  $p_i$  are symmetric coefficients, we define  $p(\vec{A}) : \bigwedge^n V^* \rightarrow \bigwedge^n V^*$  by

$$p(\vec{A})f(v_1, \dots, v_n) = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{I}^n} p_i f(A^{(i_1)}v_1, \dots, A^{(i_n)}v_n)$$

This does indeed preserve antisymmetry, by change of summation variable  $i = j \circ \sigma$ , and using  $p_{j \circ \sigma} = p_j$ :

$$\begin{aligned} p(\vec{A})f(v_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, v_{\sigma(n)}) &= \sum_{i \in \mathbb{I}^n} p_i f(A^{(i_1)}v_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, A^{(i_n)}v_{\sigma(n)}) \\ &= \sum_{j \in \mathbb{I}^n} p_{j \circ \sigma} f(A^{(j_{\sigma(1)})}v_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, A^{(j_{\sigma(n)})}v_{\sigma(n)}) \\ &= \sum_{j \in \mathbb{I}^n} p_j (-1)^\sigma f(A^{(j_1)}v_1, \dots, A^{(j_n)}v_n) \\ &= (-1)^\sigma p(\vec{A})f(v_1, \dots, v_n) \end{aligned}$$

**Example.** Given a single matrix  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{3 \times 3}$  and index set  $\mathbb{I} = \mathbb{N}$ , we can pick  $\vec{A}^{(j)} = A^j$  to be the powers of that matrix. For the symmetric coefficients  $p_i$  from the running example, we have

$$\begin{aligned} p(\vec{A})f(v_1, v_2, v_3) &= f(A^1 v_1, A^0 v_2, A^0 v_3) + f(A^0 v_1, A^1 v_2, A^0 v_3) + f(A^0 v_1, A^0 v_2, A^1 v_3) \\ &= f(Av_1, Iv_2, Iv_3) + f(Iv_1, Av_2, Iv_3) + f(Iv_1, Iv_2, Av_3) \\ &= f(Av_1, v_2, v_3) + f(v_1, Av_2, v_3) + f(v_1, v_2, Av_3) \end{aligned}$$

We use the notation  $[p(\vec{A})] \in \mathbb{R}$  for the scalar corresponding to  $p(\vec{A}) \in \text{End}(\bigwedge^n V^*)$ , so that  $p(\vec{A})f = [p(\vec{A})]f$  for all  $f \in \bigwedge^n V^*$ . Since  $p(\vec{A})$  has been defined in terms of the  $A^{(j)}$ , the scalar  $[p(\vec{A})] \in \mathbb{R}$  is a function of the maps  $A^{(j)} : V \rightarrow V$ . Since we have not used the choice of a basis for  $V$  to define  $p(\vec{A})$ , the scalar  $[p(\vec{A})]$  is manifestly invariant under change of basis.

Pick a basis  $B : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow V$  for  $V$  (with basis vectors  $b_i = Be_i$ , where  $e_i \in \mathbb{R}^n$  is the standard basis). We have matrix representations  $M^{(j)} = B^{-1}A^{(j)}B \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  for the  $A^{(j)} : V \rightarrow V$ , and we wish to calculate  $[p(\vec{A})] \in \mathbb{R}$  explicitly in terms of the entries of  $M^{(j)} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ .

**Theorem 2.**  $[p(\vec{A})] = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{I}^n} p_i \det(M_1^{(i_1)}, \dots, M_n^{(i_n)})$

**Example.** For the running example, and  $B = I$ ,

$$[p(\vec{A})] = \det(A_1, I_2, I_3) + \det(I_1, A_2, I_3) + \det(I_1, I_2, A_3) = A_{11} + A_{22} + A_{33}$$

*Proof.* Since  $p(\vec{A})$  is multiplication by a scalar  $[p(\vec{A})]$ ,

$$p(\vec{A})f(v_1, \dots, v_n) = [p(\vec{A})] \cdot f(v_1, \dots, v_n)$$

for all  $f \in \bigwedge^n V^*$  and vectors  $(v_1, \dots, v_n)$ . Taking  $f(w_1, \dots, w_n) = \det(B^{-1}w_1, \dots, B^{-1}w_n)$  and  $(v_1, \dots, v_n) = (Be_1, \dots, Be_n)$  to be the basis vectors, on the right hand side

$$f(v_1, \dots, v_n) = \det(B^{-1}Be_1, \dots, B^{-1}Be_n) = \det(e_1, \dots, e_n) = 1$$

and on the left hand side

$$p(\vec{A})f(v_1, \dots, v_n) = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{I}^n} p_i \det(B^{-1}A^{(i_1)}Be_1, \dots, B^{-1}A^{(i_n)}Be_n) = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{I}^n} p_i \det(M_1^{(i_1)}, \dots, M_n^{(i_n)})$$

giving  $[p(\vec{A})] = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{I}^n} p_i \det(M_1^{(i_1)}, \dots, M_n^{(i_n)})$ .  $\square$

This shows that the value of  $[p(\vec{A})] = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{I}^n} p_i \det(M_1^{(i_1)}, \dots, M_n^{(i_n)})$  does not depend on the basis, since the left hand side is defined without reference to the basis. By picking a basis in which the  $A^{(j)}$  are all upper triangular, we can relate  $[p(\vec{A})]$  to the eigenvalues of the  $A^{(j)}$ .

**Theorem 3.** *If the  $A^{(j)}$  commute, then  $[p(\vec{A})] = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{I}^n} p_i a_1^{(i_1)} \cdots a_n^{(i_n)}$ , where  $a_k^{(j)}$  are the eigenvalues of  $A^{(j)}$ .*

**Example.** For the running example, suppose we have a basis in which  $A$  is upper triangular (e.g. the Jordan basis), then

$$[p(\vec{A})] = \det(A_1, I_2, I_3) + \det(I_1, A_2, I_3) + \det(I_1, I_2, A_3) = a_1 + a_2 + a_3$$

where  $a_1, a_2, a_3$  are the eigenvalues of  $A$ .

*Proof.* Commuting matrices have a basis in which they are simultaneously upper triangular, by Schur decomposition [2]. The diagonal of those upper triangular matrices  $M^{(j)}$  will contain the eigenvalues  $a_k^{(j)}$ . In this case,  $\det(M_1^{(i_1)}, \dots, M_n^{(i_n)})$  is the determinant of an upper triangular matrix, with eigenvalues  $a_k^{(i_k)}$  on the diagonal. Hence  $\det(M_1^{(i_1)}, \dots, M_n^{(i_n)}) = a_1^{(i_1)} \cdots a_n^{(i_n)}$ , and substituting this into theorem (2) gives the eigenvalue formula for  $p(\vec{A})$ .  $\square$

The condition that the  $A^{(j)}$  commute is not a necessary condition, because there are upper triangular matrices that do not commute.

#### 4. COROLLARIES

By combining theorems (2) and (3) we can justify the magic formula for converting symmetric expressions involving eigenvalues into symmetric expressions involving determinants. We first show this by example: let  $A, B$  be commuting  $3 \times 3$  matrices with eigenvalues  $a_1, a_2, a_3$  and  $b_1, b_2, b_3$ . Formally, we take the index set  $\mathbb{I} = \{A, B\}$  in the theorems. Next, we choose symmetric coefficients  $p_i$  for  $i \in \mathbb{I}^3$ ; we must choose values for  $p_{AAA}, p_{AAB}, p_{ABA}, \dots, p_{BBB}$  that are symmetric under permutations of the indices. We choose  $p_{AAB} = p_{ABA} = p_{BAA} = 1$  and the rest 0. The theorems (2) and (3) give us the equation

$$a_1 a_2 b_3 + a_1 b_2 a_3 + b_1 a_2 a_3 = \det(A_1, A_2, B_3) + \det(A_1, B_2, A_3) + \det(B_1, A_2, A_3)$$

We can now proceed to pick the matrices  $A, B$  in this equation, as long as they commute. For instance, given a matrix  $C$ , we could pick  $A = C^3$  and  $B = C^{-1}$ . Or, given commuting matrices  $C, D$ , we could pick  $A = C^2 + D$  and  $B = CD$ . Or we could pick  $A = (C + D)^{-1}$  and  $B = \exp(CD)$ . We thus obtain various relations between eigenvalues and determinants by substituting, e.g.  $A = (C + D)^{-1}$ ,  $B = \exp(CD)$ , and  $a_i = (c_i + d_i)^{-1}$ ,  $b_i = \exp(c_i d_i)$  into the equation.

Rather than trying to capture this general method in a theorem, we present a few special cases.

**Corollary 4.** *Let  $q(b_1, \dots, b_n) = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}^n} p_i b_1^{i_1} \dots b_n^{i_n}$  be a symmetric polynomial in the eigenvalues of an  $n \times n$  matrix  $B$ , then  $q(b_1, \dots, b_n) = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}^n} p_i \det(B_1^{i_1}, \dots, B_n^{i_n})$ .*

*Proof.* Apply theorems (2) and (3) with index set  $\mathbb{I} = \mathbb{N}$  and matrices  $A^{(j)} = B^j$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 5.** *Let  $q(x) = \sum_{k=0}^n a_k x^k$  be a polynomial with roots  $r_k$ . Then a symmetric polynomial in the roots  $r_k$  can be written as a polynomial in the coefficients  $a_k$ .*

*Proof.* Apply the previous corollary with  $B$  being the companion matrix of  $q$ . The eigenvalues of  $B$  are the  $r_k$ . The entries of the companion matrix are all 0, or 1, or  $a_k$ , so  $\det(B_1^{i_1}, \dots, B_n^{i_n})$  is a polynomial in the  $a_k$ .  $\square$

A symmetric polynomial  $q$  can be seen as a function of a vector  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$  satisfying  $q(Px) = q(x)$  for permutation matrices  $P$ .

**Definition 6.** A multivariate symmetric polynomial  $q(X)$  is a polynomial function of the entries of a matrix  $X \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times m}$  satisfying  $q(PX) = q(X)$  for permutation matrices  $P$ .

The permutation  $P$  permutes the rows of  $X$ , but keeps each row together. A symmetric polynomial is the special case  $m = 1$ , when each row consists of a single entry.

**Corollary 7.** *Let  $B^{(j)}$  for  $j \in \{1, \dots, m\}$  be commuting matrices with eigenvalues  $b_i^{(j)}$ , and define  $X_{ij} = b_i^{(j)}$  to be the matrix of eigenvalues. Then a multivariate symmetric polynomial  $q(X)$  can be written as a polynomial in the entries of the  $B^{(j)}$ .*

*Proof.* Take  $\mathbb{I} = \mathbb{N}^m$  and  $A^{(g)} = (B^{(1)})^{g_1} \dots (B^{(m)})^{g_m}$  in theorems (2) and (3).  $\square$

## 5. FOOTNOTE

The same definition (1) works for  $p(\vec{A}) : \bigwedge^k W^* \rightarrow \bigwedge^k V^*$  also when  $W \neq V$  and  $k \neq n$ . We can view  $p(\vec{A})f$  as a generalised pullback of  $f$  along a list of maps  $A^{(j)} : V \rightarrow W$ . The ordinary pullback  $A^* : \bigwedge^k W^* \rightarrow \bigwedge^k V^*$  is the special case of a single map  $A : V \rightarrow W$ . We have, in general  $p(X\vec{A}Y) = Y^*p(\vec{A})X^*$ , where  $X\vec{A}Y$  is simultaneous conjugation  $(X\vec{A}Y)^{(j)} = XA^{(j)}Y$ . This gives us a slightly stronger version of theorem (2): if  $k = \dim(V) = \dim(W)$ , then  $X^* = \det(X)$  and  $Y^* = \det(Y)$ , so we see that if we multiply the  $M^{(j)}$  on the left by  $X$  and on the right by  $Y$ , the value of  $[p(\vec{A})]$  gets multiplied by  $\det(X)\det(Y)$ . Theorem (2) tells us that the value does not change if we do a basis transformation, but here we get information about the case  $X \neq Y^{-1}$ . It is also possible to generalise the proof of theorem (2) directly.

## REFERENCES

- [1] Nicolas Bourbaki. Elements of mathematics, Algebra I. Springer-Verlag, 1989.
- [2] R.A. Horn and C.R. Johnson. Matrix Analysis. Cambridge University Press, 1985.