# COQ CHEATSHEET

# **Jules Jacobs**

# December 10, 2021

#### CONTENTS

1	Introduction	1
2	Goal tactics	2
3	Hypothesis tactics	3
4	Equality, rewriting, and computation rules	3
5	Inductive types and relations	3
	5.1 Inductive types Foo	3
	5.2 Inductive relations Foo x y	4
	5.3 Getting the right induction hypothesis	4
6	Intro patterns	4
7	Forward reasoning	4
8	Composing tactics	5
9	Automation with eauto	5
10	Searching for lemmas and definitions	5
11	Common error messages	5

#### 1 INTRODUCTION

This is Coq code that proves the strong induction principle for natural numbers:

```
From Coq Require Import Lia.

Lemma strong_induction (P : nat -> Prop) :
   (forall n, (forall m, m < n -> P m) -> P n) -> forall n, P n.

Proof.
   intros H n. eapply H. induction n.
   - lia.
   - intros m Hm. eapply H.
     intros k Hk. eapply IHn. lia.

Qed.
```

Coq proofs manipulate the *proof state* by executing a sequence of *tactics* such as intros, eapply, induction. Coq calculates the proof state for you after executing each tactic. Here's what Coq displays after executing the second intros m Hm.:

The proof state consists of a list of variables and hypotheses above the line, and a goal below the line. A tactic may create 0, 1, 2, or more subgoals. A goal is solved if we succesfully apply a tactic that creates no subgoals (such as the lia tactic). Some tactics create multiple subgoals, such as the induction tactic: it creates one subgoal for the base case of the induction, and one subgoal for the inductive case. We have to solve all the subgoals with a bulleted list of tactic scripts:

```
tac1.
+ tac2.
+ tac3.
+ tac4.
```

Bullets can nested by using different bullets for different levels (-, +, \*):

```
tac1.
+ tac2.
* tac3
* tac4.
+ tac5.
```

We can also enter subgoals using brackets:

```
tac1.
{ tac2. }
{ tac3. }
tac4.
{ tac5. }
```

This is most useful for solving side conditions. With bullets, we get a deep level of nesting if we have a sequence of tactics with side conditions. With brackets, we do not need to enclose the last subgoal in brackets, thus preventing deep nesting.

#### 2 GOAL TACTICS

```
Tactic
  Goal
 P \rightarrow Q
             intros H
   \neg P
             intros H (Coq defines \neg P as P \rightarrow False)
 \forall x, P(x)
             intros x
 \exists x, P(x)
             exists x, eexists
  P \wedge Q
             split
  P \vee Q
             left, right
    Q
             apply H, eapply H (where H: (...) \rightarrow Q is a lemma or hypothesis with conclusion Q)
             apply H, eapply H (where H: (...) \rightarrow \neg P is a lemma or hypothesis with conclusion \neg P)
  False
Any goal exfalso (turns any goal into False)
Skip goal
             admit (skips goal so that you can work on other subgoals)
```

<sup>1</sup> Coq allows us to do induction not only on natural numbers, but also on other data types. Induction on other data types may create any number of subgoals, one for each constructor of the data type.

#### 3 HYPOTHESIS TACTICS

```
Hypothesis Tactic

H: False destruct H

H: \exists x, P(x) destruct H as [x H]

H: P \land Q destruct H as [H1 H2]

H: P \lor Q destruct H as [H1 H2]

H: \forall x, P(x) specialize (H y)

H: P \rightarrow Q specialize (H G) (where G: P is a lemma or hypothesis)

H: P \rightarrow Q apply G in H, eapply G in H (where G: P \rightarrow (...) is a lemma or hypothesis)

H: P, x : A clear H, clear x (remove hypothesis H or variable x)
```

# 4 EQUALITY, REWRITING, AND COMPUTATION RULES

Tactic	Meaning
reflexivity symmetry	Solve goal of the form $x = x$ or $P \leftrightarrow P$ Turn goal $x = y$ into $y = x$ (or $P \leftrightarrow Q$ )
symmetry in H	Turn hypothesis $H : x = y$ into $H : y = x$ (or $P \leftrightarrow Q$ )
unfold f	Replace constant f with its definition (only in the goal)
unfold f in H	Replace constant f with its definition (in hypothesis H)
unfold f in *	Replace constant f with its definition (everywhere)
simpl	Rewrite with computation rules (in the goal)
simpl in H	Rewrite with computation rules (in hypothesis H)
simpl in *	Rewrite with computation rules (everywhere)
rewrite H.	Rewrite $H : x = y$ (in the goal).
rewrite H in G.	Rewrite $H : x = y$ (in hypothesis G).
rewrite H in *.	Rewrite H1 (everywhere).
rewrite <-H.	Rewrite $H : x = y$ backwards.
rewrite H,G.	Rewrite using H and then G.
rewrite !H.	Repeatedly rewrite using H.
rewrite ?H.	Try rewriting using H.
subst	Substitute away all equations $H : x = A$ with a variable on one side.
injection H as H	Use injectivity of C to turn $H : C x = C y$ into $H : x = y$ .
discriminate H	Solve goal with inconsistent assumption $H : C x = D y$ .
simplify_eq	Automated tactic that does subst, injection, and discriminate automatically.

# 5 INDUCTIVE TYPES AND RELATIONS

# 5.1 *Inductive types* Foo

Hypothesis / Term	Tactic
H : Foo	destruct H as [a b c d e f]
H : Foo	induction H as [a b IH c d e IH1 IH2 f IH]

# 5.2 *Inductive relations* Foo x y

Goal	Tactic
Foo x y	constructor, econstructor (tries to solve goal by applying all constructors of Foo)
TT .1 .	
Hypothesis	Tactic
Hypothesis H: Foo x y	Tactic  inversion H (use when x,y are fixed terms)

It is often useful to define the tactic Ltac inv H := inversion H; clear H; subst. and use this instead of inversion.

# 5.3 *Getting the right induction hypothesis*

The revert tactic is useful to obtain the correct induction hypothesis:

Hypothesis	Tactic
H : P	revert H (opposite of intros H: turn goal Q into $P \rightarrow Q$ )
x : A	revert x (opposite of intros x: turn goal Q into $\forall x, Q$ )

A common pattern is revert x. induction n; intros x; simpl. A good rule of thumb is that you should create a separate lemma for each inductive argument, so that induction is only ever used at the start of a lemma (possibly preceded by some revert).

#### 6 INTRO PATTERNS

The destruct x as pat and intros pat tactics can unpack multiple levels at once using nested *intro* patterns. The intros tactic can also be chained: intros x y z.  $\equiv$  intros x. intros y. intros z.

Data	Pattern
∃x, P	[x H]
$P \wedge Q$	[H1 H2]
$P \vee Q$	[H1 H2]
False	[]
Inductive type	[a b c d e f]
Any of the above	[] (unpack with names chosen by Coq)
x = y	-> or <-
Any	? (introduce hypothesis with name chosen by Coq)

Furthermore, (x & y & z & ...) is equivalent to [x [y [z ...]]].

Because  $\exists x, P, P \land Q, P \lor Q$ , False are *defined* as inductive types, their intro patterns are special cases of the intro pattern for inductive types.

#### 7 FORWARD REASONING

Tactic	Meaning
assert P as H	Create new hypothesis H : P after proving subgoal P
cut P	Split goal Q into two subgoals $P \rightarrow Q$ and P

Intro patterns can be used in combination with the assert tactic, e.g. assert (A = B) as -> or assert (exists x, P) as [x H].

#### 8 COMPOSING TACTICS

Tactic	Meaning
tac1; tac2	Do tac2 on all subgoals created by tac1.
tac1; [tac2 ]	Do tac2 only on the first subgoal.
tac1; [ tac2]	Do tac2 only on the last subgoal.
tac1; [tac2  tac3 tac4]	Do tactics on corresponding subgoals.
tac1; [tac2 tac3 tac4]	Do tactics on corresponding subgoals.
tac1    tac2	Try tac1 and if it fails do tac2.
try tacl	Try tac1, and do nothing if it fails.
repeat tac1	Repeatedly do tac1 until it fails.
progress tac1	Do tac1 and fail if it does nothing.

# 9 AUTOMATION WITH eauto

The eauto tactic tries to solve goals using eapply, reflexivity, eexists, split, left, right. You can specify the search depth using eauto n (the default is n = 5).

You can give eauto additional lemmas to use with eauto using lemma1, lemma2. You can also use eauto using foo where foo is an inductive type. This will use all the constructors of foo as lemmas.

### 10 SEARCHING FOR LEMMAS AND DEFINITIONS

**TODO** 

#### 11 COMMON ERROR MESSAGES

#### **TODO**

Please submit your errors to me so that I can add them to this section.

You can also suggest additional content.

For instance:

- Installing Coq
- Compilation and multiple files
- Definition, Fixpoint, Inductive
- Searching for lemmas
- Hint databases
- match\_goal
- Type classes
- setoid\_rewrite
- CoInductive, cofix (and fix)
- Mutually inductive lemmas
- ssreflect

• stdpp

julesjacobs@gmail.com