#### ARITHMETIC ON CHURCH NUMERALS USING A NOTATIONAL TRICK

### Jules Jacobs

September 25, 2021

#### **Abstract**

Church numerals allow us to represent numbers in pure lambda calculus. In this short note we'll see how to define addition, multiplication, and exponentiation on Church numerals using a cute notational trick. As a bonus, we'll see how to define predecessor and fast growing functions.

### 1 Addition, multiplication, and exponentiation

Church repesents a natural number n as a higher order function, which I'll denote  $\mathbf{n}$ . The function  $\mathbf{n}$  takes another function f and composes f with itself n times:

$$\mathbf{n} f = \underbrace{f \circ f \cdots \circ f}_{n \text{ times}} = f^n$$

We can convert a Church numeral  $\mathbf{n}$  back to an ordinary nat by applying it to the ordinary successor function  $S: \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$  given by S = n + 1: then  $\mathbf{n} S = 0$  gives us back an ordinary natural number n because  $\mathbf{n} S = 0$  is the n-fold application of the successor function to the number n, which just increments it n times.

The first few Church numerals are:

$$\mathbf{0} \triangleq \lambda f. \lambda z. z$$

$$\mathbf{1} \triangleq \lambda f. \lambda z. f z$$

$$\mathbf{2} \triangleq \lambda f. \lambda z. f (f z)$$

$$\mathbf{3} \triangleq \lambda f. \lambda z. f (f (f z))$$

Many descriptions of Church numerals will view them in that way: as a function that takes *two* arguments f and z that computes f(f(...(fz)...)), but this point of view gets incredibly confusing when you try to define arithmetic on them, particularly multiplication and exponentiation. So think about  $\mathbf{n} f = f^n$  as performing n-fold function composition.

If will be helpful to introduce an alternative notation for function application:

$$x^f \equiv f(x)$$

This may seem strange, but using this notation we can define the first few Church numerals as:

$$f^{0} \triangleq id$$

$$f^{1} \triangleq f$$

$$f^{2} \triangleq f \circ f$$

$$f^{3} \triangleq f \circ f \circ f$$

Note that on the left hand side, we are really defining **3** as the function  $\mathbf{3}(f) \triangleq f \circ f \circ f$ .

The advantage of this notation is apparent when defining addition and multiplication on Church numerals:

$$f^{\mathbf{n}+\mathbf{m}} \triangleq f^{\mathbf{n}} \circ f^{\mathbf{m}}$$
  $f^{\mathbf{n}\cdot\mathbf{m}} \triangleq (f^{\mathbf{n}})^{\mathbf{m}}$ 

Exponentiation of Church numerals is even better: our notation already makes  $\mathbf{n}^{\mathbf{m}}$  do the right thing:

$$n^m \equiv m(n)$$
 (already does the right thing!)

The notation makes the proofs that this does arithmetic correctly a triviality: if [n] is the Church numeral corresponding to an ordinary natural number  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , then

$$f^{[n]+[m]} = f^{[n]} \circ f^{[m]} = f^n \circ f^m = f^{n+m} = f^{[n+m]}$$

where  $f^{[m]} \equiv [m](f)$  according to our notation, and  $f^n$  for ordinary natural number  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  is n-fold function composition. The proofs for multiplication and exponentiation are similar.

### 2 Predecessor

Surprisingly, defining the predecessor on Church numerals is the most difficult. I think this solution is due to Curry.

We define the function  $f: \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$ :

$$f((a,b)) = (s(a),a)$$

If we start with (0, x) and keep applying f we get the following sequence:

$$(0,x) \to (1,0) \to (2,1) \to (3,2) \to (4,3) \to \cdots$$

So

$$f^{n}((0,x))_{1} = n$$

$$f^{n}((0,x))_{2} = \begin{cases} x & \text{if } n = 0\\ n-1 & \text{if } n > 0 \end{cases}$$

So we can define the predecessor function:

$$p = \lambda \mathbf{n}.f^{\mathbf{n}}(0,0)$$

So that p(0) = 0 and p(n) = n - 1 for n > 0.

## 2.1 Pairs

We made use of pairs to define the predecessor, so to use pure lambda calculus we need to define pairs in terms of lambda. We represent a pair (a, b) as:

$$(a,b) = \lambda f.f \ a \ b$$

We can extract the components by passing in the function f:

$$fst = \lambda x.x (\lambda a.\lambda b.a)$$

$$snd = \lambda x.x (\lambda a.\lambda b.b)$$

## 2.2 Disjoint union

Another way to define the predecessor is with disjoint unions. We take:

$$inl(a) = \lambda f. \lambda g. f a$$
  
 $inr(a) = \lambda f. \lambda g. g a$ 

Then we can define:

$$f(inl(a)) = inr(a)$$
$$f(inr(a)) = inr(s(a))$$

We can do this pattern match on an inl/inr by calling it with the two branches as arguments:

$$f(x) = x (\lambda a.inr(a)) (\lambda a.inr(s(a)))$$

And we can define:

$$p(n) = (n \ f \ \text{inl}(0)) (\lambda x.x) (\lambda x.x)$$

# 3 Fast growing functions

Given any function  $g: N \to N$  we can define a series of ever faster growing functions as follows:

$$f_0(n) = g(n)$$
  
$$f_{k+1}(n) = f_k^n(n)$$

We can define this function using Church numerals:

$$f_{\mathbf{k}} = (\lambda f.\lambda \mathbf{n}.f^{\mathbf{n}} \mathbf{n})^{\mathbf{k}} g$$

If we take g = S the successor function, then,

$$f_0(n) = n + 1$$
  

$$f_1(n) = 2n$$
  

$$f_2(n) = 2^n \cdot n$$

The function  $A(n) = f_n(n)$  grows pretty quickly. We can play the same game again, by putting g = A, obtaining a sequence:

$$h_0(n) = A(n)$$
$$h_{k+1}(n) = h_k^n(n)$$

To get a feeling for how fast this grows, consider  $h_1$ :

$$h_1(n) = h_0^n(n)$$
=  $A(A(A(...A(A(n)))))$ 
=  $A(A(A(...A(f_n(n)))))$ 
=  $A(A(A(...f_{f_n(n)}(f_n(n)))))$ 

An expression like  $h_3(3)$  gives us a relatively short lambda term that will normalise to a huge term. We might as well start with  $g(n) = n^n$  since that's even easier to write using Church numerals:

$$g = \lambda \mathbf{a}.\mathbf{a}^{\mathbf{a}}$$

$$A = \lambda \mathbf{k}.(\lambda f.\lambda \mathbf{n}.f^{\mathbf{n}} \mathbf{n})^{\mathbf{k}} g \mathbf{k}$$

$$h = \lambda \mathbf{k}.(\lambda f.\lambda \mathbf{n}.f^{\mathbf{n}} \mathbf{n})^{\mathbf{k}} A \mathbf{k}$$

$$\mathbf{3} = \lambda f.\lambda z.f(f(f z))$$

$$X = h \mathbf{3}$$

You can't write down anything close to the number *X* even if you were to write a hundred pages of towers of exponentials. Of course, we can continue this game, and define a sequence

$$g_0 = \lambda \mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{a}^{\mathbf{a}}$$

$$g_1 = \lambda \mathbf{k} \cdot (\lambda f \cdot \lambda \mathbf{n} \cdot f^{\mathbf{n}} \mathbf{n})^{\mathbf{k}} g_0 \mathbf{k}$$

$$g_2 = \lambda \mathbf{k} \cdot (\lambda f \cdot \lambda \mathbf{n} \cdot f^{\mathbf{n}} \mathbf{n})^{\mathbf{k}} g_1 \mathbf{k}$$
...

Which can be generalised as:

$$f(g) = \lambda \mathbf{k}.(\lambda f.\lambda \mathbf{n}.f^{\mathbf{n}} \mathbf{n})^{\mathbf{k}} g \mathbf{k}$$
  
 $g_n = f^n(g_0)$ 

So we get an even more compact, yet much larger number with:

$$f = \lambda g.\lambda k.(\lambda f.\lambda n.f^n n)^k g k$$
  
 $Y = f^3 (\lambda a.a^a) 3$ 

Of course, you can easily define much faster growing functions. But here's a challenge: what's the shortest lambda term that normalises, but takes more than the age of the universe to normalise? Or: what's the largest Church numeral you can write down in less than 30 symbols?

Please let me know of any mistakes. I haven't checked for mistakes:)

— Jules