

A PROOF OF THE CARTAN-DIEUDONNÉ THEOREM

Jules Jacobs

December 3, 2021

The Cartan-Dieudonné theorem is fundamental theorem about the geometry of n -dimensional space: any orthogonal transformation A can be written as a sequence of at most n reflections. The proofs that I could find go by induction on n and hence have to relate maps on $n - 1$ dimensional spaces to maps on n dimensional spaces. This leads to technicalities or handwaving. We'll see a slightly modified proof that stays in n dimensions by doing induction on $\dim \ker(A - I)$.

1 THE CARTAN-DIEUDONNÉ THEOREM

The theorem we want to prove is:

Theorem 1.1 (Cartan-Dieudonné). *An orthogonal transformation $A : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ can be written as a sequence of $k \leq n$ reflections in vectors $v_1, v_2, \dots, v_k \in \mathbb{R}^n$:*

$$A = R_{v_1} R_{v_2} \cdots R_{v_k}$$

where $k = n - \dim \ker(A - I)$.

The space $\ker(A - I) = \{v \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid Av = v\}$ is the subspace where the transformation A is the identity. So the Cartan-Dieudonné theorem usually decomposes an orthogonal transformation into n reflections, but we save one reflection per direction where A is the identity. We shall see that this is the minimum number: it cannot be done with even fewer reflections.

The idea of the proof is that if we have a vector u such that $Au \neq u$, then we can compose A with the reflection $R_{(Au-u)}$, which sends Au back to u , in order to make A also the identity in that direction. This reflection does not disturb any of the directions where A was already the identity. We prove the Cartan-Dieudonné theorem by iterating this processes until A is the identity in all directions. We shall now investigate this in more detail.

2 THE GEOMETRY OF ORTHOGONAL TRANSFORMATIONS

A linear map $A : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ is an orthogonal transformation if one of the following equivalent conditions holds:

1. $A^T A = I$ (or equivalently, $A^T = A^{-1}$).
2. $\langle Av, Aw \rangle = \langle v, w \rangle$ for all v, w .
3. $\|Av\| = \|v\|$ for all v .

Examples of orthogonal transformations are rotations and reflections.

The reflection $R_v : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ in a vector v is defined as follows:

Definition 2.1. $R_v \triangleq I - 2 \frac{vv^T}{|v|^2}$

On \mathbb{R}^3 for instance, $R_{(1,0,0)}(x, y, z) = (-x, y, z)$.

A reflection R_v is the identity on a subspace of dimension $n - 1$ (namely the plane orthogonal to v), and really does something on a subspace of dimension 1. Similarly, a rotation is the identity

on a subspace of dimension $n - 2$ and really does something on a subspace of dimension 2. Note that the phrase "really does something" must be interpreted with care: a rotation moves almost all points of \mathbb{R}^3 ; only the axis of rotation is left fixed. But still, because these are linear maps, the action can be decomposed into a plane of rotation, and the identity on the subspace orthogonal to the plane.

The subspace on which A is the identity is $\ker(A - I) = \{u \mid Au = u\}$. The subspace orthogonal to $\ker(A - I)$ on which A really does something can be characterized in two equivalent ways:

Lemma 2.1. *If A is an orthogonal transformation, then $\ker(A - I)^\perp = \text{im}(A - I)$.*

Proof. $\ker(A - I)^\perp = \text{im}((A - I)^T) = \text{im}(A^T - I) = \text{im}(A^{-1} - I) = \text{im}(A - I)$. \square

This space is important because its dimension determines how many reflections we need when decomposing A : for directions in which A already is the identity we don't need any reflections.

The idea behind the proof of the Cartan-Dieudonné theorem is that we can make A be the identity in more directions by composing it with reflections, and repeat this until it is the identity in all directions. This is given in the following lemma:

Lemma 2.2. *If A is an orthogonal transformation that is the identity in k directions, then $R_v A$ is the identity in $k + 1$ directions, where v is **any** nonzero vector $v \in \text{im}(A - I) \setminus \{0\}$ (i.e., in the subspace where A really does something).*

Proof. Let $v \in \text{im}(A - I) \setminus \{0\}$, so there is u such that $v = Au - u \neq 0$. Then (1) $R_v A$ is still the identity everywhere A is the identity (i.e., on $\ker(A - I)$), and (2) additionally $R_v A$ is also the identity on $u \notin \ker(A - I)$.

To show (1), note that R_v is the identity on all directions orthogonal to v , which by [Lemma 2.1](#) includes everything in $\ker(A - I)$.

To show (2), we can do an explicit calculation to show $R_{(Au-u)} Au = u$, but a picture is more instructive. \square

To prove [Theorem 1.1](#), we can repeatedly apply this lemma until A is the identity in all directions, so that we have $R_{v_k} \cdots R_{v_2} R_{v_1} A = I$, which gives $A = R_{v_1} R_{v_2} \cdots R_{v_k}$.

This is also the minimum number of reflections: if A can be written as $k \leq n$ reflections, then there are at least $n - k$ directions where A is the identity (e.g. if A can be written as one reflection, then it is the identity in $n - 1$ directions). The only directions in which $R_{v_1} R_{v_2} \cdots R_{v_k}$ is potentially not the identity is $\text{span}\{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_k\}$.

TODO:

Lemma 2.3. *If $\|u\| = \|v\|$ then $R_{(u-v)} u = v$, and if w is orthogonal to u, v then $R_{(u-v)} w = w$.*