

# ARITHMETIC ON CHURCH NUMERALS USING A NOTATIONAL TRICK

Jules Jacobs

September 25, 2021

Church numerals allow us to represent numbers in pure lambda calculus. In this short note we'll see how to define addition, multiplication, and exponentiation on Church numerals using a cute notational trick. As a bonus, we'll see how to define predecessor and fast growing functions.

## 1 ADDITION, MULTIPLICATION, AND EXPONENTIATION

Church represents a natural number  $n$  as a higher order function, which I'll denote  $\mathbf{n}$ . The function  $\mathbf{n}$  takes another function  $f$  and composes  $f$  with itself  $n$  times:

$$\mathbf{n} f = \underbrace{f \circ f \cdots \circ f}_{n \text{ times}} = f^n$$

We can convert a Church numeral  $\mathbf{n}$  back to an ordinary nat by applying it to the ordinary successor function  $S : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  given by  $S n = n + 1$ : then  $\mathbf{n} S 0$  gives us back an ordinary natural number  $n$  because  $\mathbf{n} S 0$  is the  $n$ -fold application of the successor function to the number 0, which just increments it  $n$  times.

The first few Church numerals are:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{0} &\triangleq \lambda f. \lambda z. z \\ \mathbf{1} &\triangleq \lambda f. \lambda z. fz \\ \mathbf{2} &\triangleq \lambda f. \lambda z. f(fz) \\ \mathbf{3} &\triangleq \lambda f. \lambda z. f(f(fz)) \end{aligned}$$

Many descriptions of Church numerals will view them in that way: as a function that takes *two* arguments  $f$  and  $z$  that computes  $f(f(\dots(fz)\dots))$ , but this point of view gets incredibly confusing when you try to define arithmetic on them, particularly multiplication and exponentiation. So think about  $\mathbf{n} f = f^n$  as performing  $n$ -fold function composition.

It will be helpful to introduce an alternative notation for function application:

$$x^f \equiv f(x)$$

This may seem strange, but using this notation we can *define* the first few Church numerals as:

$$\begin{aligned} f^0 &\triangleq \text{id} \\ f^1 &\triangleq f \\ f^2 &\triangleq f \circ f \\ f^3 &\triangleq f \circ f \circ f \end{aligned}$$

Note that on the left hand side, we are really defining  $\mathbf{3}$  as the function  $\mathbf{3}(f) \triangleq f \circ f \circ f$ .

The advantage of this notation is apparent when defining addition and multiplication on Church numerals:

$$f^{n+m} \triangleq f^n \circ f^m \qquad f^{n \cdot m} \triangleq (f^n)^m$$

Exponentiation of Church numerals is even better: our notation already makes  $\mathbf{n}^{\mathbf{m}}$  do the right thing:

$$\mathbf{n}^{\mathbf{m}} \equiv \mathbf{m}(\mathbf{n}) \quad (\text{already does the right thing!})$$

The proofs that this does arithmetic correctly look like a triviality when using our notation: if  $[\mathbf{n}]$  is the Church numeral corresponding to an ordinary natural number  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , *i.e.*, satisfying  $f^{[\mathbf{n}]} = f^n$ , where  $f^{[\mathbf{m}]} \equiv [\mathbf{m}](f)$  according to our notation, and  $f^n$  for ordinary natural number  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  is  $n$ -fold function composition, then

$$f^{[\mathbf{n}]+[\mathbf{m}]} = f^{[\mathbf{n}]} \circ f^{[\mathbf{m}]} = f^n \circ f^m = f^{n+m} = f^{[\mathbf{n}+\mathbf{m}]}$$

The proofs for multiplication and exponentiation are similar.

## 2 PREDECESSOR

Surprisingly, defining the predecessor on Church numerals is the most difficult. I think this solution is due to Curry.

We define the function  $f : \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$ :

$$f((a, b)) = (s(a), a)$$

If we start with  $(0, x)$  and keep applying  $f$  we get the following sequence:

$$(0, x) \rightarrow (1, 0) \rightarrow (2, 1) \rightarrow (3, 2) \rightarrow (4, 3) \rightarrow \dots$$

So

$$\begin{aligned} f^n((0, x))_1 &= n \\ f^n((0, x))_2 &= \begin{cases} x & \text{if } n = 0 \\ n - 1 & \text{if } n > 0 \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

So we can define the predecessor function:

$$p = \lambda \mathbf{n}. f^n(0, 0)$$

So that  $p(0) = 0$  and  $p(n) = n - 1$  for  $n > 0$ .

### 2.1 PAIRS

We made use of pairs to define the predecessor, so to use pure lambda calculus we need to define pairs in terms of lambda. We represent a pair  $(a, b)$  as:

$$(a, b) = \lambda f. f \ a \ b$$

We can extract the components by passing in the function  $f$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \text{fst} &= \lambda x. x \ (\lambda a. \lambda b. a) \\ \text{snd} &= \lambda x. x \ (\lambda a. \lambda b. b) \end{aligned}$$

## 2.2 DISJOINT UNION

Another way to define the predecessor is with disjoint unions. We take:

$$\begin{aligned}\text{inl}(a) &= \lambda f. \lambda g. fa \\ \text{inr}(a) &= \lambda f. \lambda g. ga\end{aligned}$$

Then we can define:

$$\begin{aligned}f(\text{inl}(a)) &= \text{inr}(a) \\ f(\text{inr}(a)) &= \text{inr}(s(a))\end{aligned}$$

We can do this pattern match on an  $\text{inl}/\text{inr}$  by calling it with the two branches as arguments:

$$f(x) = x (\lambda a. \text{inr}(a)) (\lambda a. \text{inr}(s(a)))$$

And we can define:

$$p(n) = f^n \text{inl}(0) (\lambda x. x) (\lambda x. x)$$

## 3 FAST GROWING FUNCTIONS

Given any function  $g : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  we can define a series of ever faster growing functions as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}f_0(n) &= g(n) \\ f_{k+1}(n) &= f_k^n(n)\end{aligned}$$

We can define this function using Church numerals:

$$f_k = (\lambda f. \lambda n. f^n n)^k g$$

If we take  $g = S$  the successor function, then,

$$\begin{aligned}f_0(n) &= n + 1 \\ f_1(n) &= 2n \\ f_2(n) &= 2^n \cdot n\end{aligned}$$

The function  $A(n) = f_n(n)$  grows pretty quickly. We can play the same game again, by putting  $g = A$ , obtaining a sequence:

$$\begin{aligned}h_0(n) &= A(n) \\ h_{k+1}(n) &= h_k^n(n)\end{aligned}$$

To get a feeling for how fast this grows, consider  $h_1$ :

$$\begin{aligned}h_1(n) &= h_0^n(n) \\ &= A(A(A(\dots A(A(n)))))) \\ &= A(A(A(\dots A(f_n(n)))))) \\ &= A(A(A(\dots f_{f_n(n)}(f_n(n))))))\end{aligned}$$

An expression like  $h_3(3)$  gives us a relatively short lambda term that will normalise to a huge term. We might as well start with  $g(n) = n^n$  since that's even easier to write using Church numerals:

$$\begin{aligned} g &= \lambda a. a^a \\ A &= \lambda k. (\lambda f. \lambda n. f^n n)^k g k \\ h &= \lambda k. (\lambda f. \lambda n. f^n n)^k A k \\ 3 &= \lambda f. \lambda z. f(f(f z)) \\ X &= h 3 \end{aligned}$$

You can't write down anything close to the number  $X$  even if you were to write a hundred pages of towers of exponentials. Of course, we can continue this game, and define a sequence

$$\begin{aligned} g_0 &= \lambda a. a^a \\ g_1 &= \lambda k. (\lambda f. \lambda n. f^n n)^k g_0 k \\ g_2 &= \lambda k. (\lambda f. \lambda n. f^n n)^k g_1 k \\ &\dots \end{aligned}$$

Which can be generalised as:

$$\begin{aligned} f(g) &= \lambda k. (\lambda f. \lambda n. f^n n)^k g k \\ g_n &= f^n(g_0) \end{aligned}$$

So we get an even more compact, yet much larger number with:

$$\begin{aligned} f &= \lambda g. \lambda k. (\lambda f. \lambda n. f^n n)^k g k \\ Y &= f^3 (\lambda a. a^a) 3 \end{aligned}$$

Of course, you can easily define much faster growing functions. But here's a challenge: what's the shortest lambda term that normalises, but takes more than the age of the universe to normalise? Or: what's the largest Church numeral you can write down in less than 30 symbols?

Please let me know of any mistakes. I haven't checked for them :)

— Jules