

# Arithmetic on Church numerals

Jules Jacobs

April 19, 2021

## Abstract

Church naturals allow us to represent numbers in pure lambda calculus. In this short note I'll explain how to define addition, multiplication, and power on Church nats. As a bonus, I'll show how to define fast growing functions.

Church represents a natural number  $n$  as a higher order function, which I'll denote  $[n]$ . The function  $[n]$  takes another function  $f$  and composes  $f$  with itself  $n$  times:

$$[n] f = \underbrace{f \circ f \cdots \circ f}_{n \text{ times}} = f^n$$

We can convert a Church nat  $a$  back to an ordinary nat by applying it to the ordinary successor function  $S : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  given by  $S n = n + 1$ : if  $a = [n]$  then  $a s 0$  gives us back ordinary natural number  $n$  because  $a s 0$  is the  $n$ -fold application of the successor function to the number 0, which just increments it  $n$  times.

The first few Church natural numbers are:

$$\begin{aligned} [0] &= \lambda f. \lambda z. z \\ [1] &= \lambda f. \lambda z. f z \\ [2] &= \lambda f. \lambda z. f (f z) \\ [3] &= \lambda f. \lambda z. f (f (f z)) \end{aligned}$$

Many descriptions of Church nats will view them in that way: as a function that takes *two* arguments  $f$  and  $z$  that computes  $f(f(\dots(fz)\dots))$ , but this point of view gets incredibly confusing when you try to define arithmetic on them, particularly multiplication and power. So think about  $[n]f = f^n$  as performing  $n$ -fold function composition.

## Successor on Church nats

Let's first define the successor function on Church nats:

$$[n+1] f = f^{n+1} = f \circ f^n = f \circ ([n] f)$$

So if  $a$  is a Church nat, then the successor is defined as

$$s a = \lambda f. f \circ (a f) = \lambda f. \lambda z. f (a f z)$$

## Addition

Addition is also fairly easy:

$$[n+m] f = f^{n+m} = f^n \circ f^m = ([n] f) \circ ([m] f)$$

So if  $a, b$  are Church nats, then addition is defined as

$$a + b = \lambda f. (a f) \circ (b f) = \lambda f. \lambda z. a (b f z)$$

## Multiplication

Multiplication is not much harder:

$$[n \cdot m]f = f^{n \cdot m} = (f^n)^m = [m] ([n] f)$$

So if  $a, b$  are Church nats, then multiplication is defined as

$$a \cdot b = \lambda f. a(bf)$$

## Power

Power is a bit trickier:

$$[n^m]f = f^{(n^m)} = f^{\overbrace{n \cdot n \cdots n}^{m \text{ times}}} = (((f^n)^n)^n \cdots)^n = [n] ([n] (\cdots [n] f)) = ([m] [n]) f$$

So if  $a, b$  are Church nats, then power is defined as

$$a^b = \lambda f. (a \ b)f = a \ b$$

Nice! If that explanation was confusing, here's another one. If we apply  $b \ f^k$  we get  $f^{b \cdot k}$ , because the Church nat  $b$  composes  $f^k$  with itself  $b$  times. Therefore  $b \ (b \ f^k) = f^{b^2 \cdot k}$ , and so on. Therefore,  $b \ (b \ \cdots (b f)) = f^{(b^a)}$ . But applying the function  $b$  an  $a$  number of times, is precisely what the action of  $a$  as a Church nat is. So  $(a \ b)f = f^{(a^b)}$  performs power, so  $a^b = a \ b$ .

## Predecessor

Surprisingly, defining the predecessor on Church nats is the most difficult. I think this is due to Curry.

We define the function  $f : \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$ :

$$f((a, b)) = (s(a), a)$$

If we start with  $(0, x)$  and keep applying  $f$  we get the following sequence:

$$(0, x) \rightarrow (1, 0) \rightarrow (2, 1) \rightarrow (3, 2) \rightarrow (4, 3) \rightarrow \cdots$$

So

$$\begin{aligned} f^n((0, x))_1 &= n \\ f^n((0, x))_2 &= \begin{cases} x & \text{if } n = 0 \\ n - 1 & \text{if } n > 0 \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

So we can define the predecessor function:

$$p = \lambda n. n \ f \ (0, 0)$$

So that  $p(0) = 0$  and  $p(n) = n - 1$  for  $n > 0$ .

## Pairs

We made use of pairs to define the predecessor, so to use pure lambda calculus we need to define pairs in terms of lambda. We represent a pair  $(a, b)$  as:

$$(a, b) = \lambda f. f \ a \ b$$

We can extract the components by passing in the function  $f$ :

$$\text{fst} = \lambda x. x \ (\lambda a. \lambda b. a)$$

$$\text{snd} = \lambda x. x \ (\lambda a. \lambda b. b)$$

## Fast growing functions

Given any function  $g : N \rightarrow N$  we can define a series of ever faster growing functions as follows:

$$f_0(n) = g(n)$$

$$f_{k+1}(n) = f_k^n(n)$$

We can define this function using Church naturals:

$$f_k = k \ (\lambda f. \lambda n. n f n) \ g$$

If we take  $g = s$  then,

$$f_0(n) = n + 1$$

$$f_1(n) = 2n$$

$$f_2(n) = 2^n \cdot n$$

The function  $A(n) = f_n(n)$  grows pretty quickly. We can play the same game again, by putting  $g = A$ , obtaining a sequence:

$$h_0(n) = A(n)$$

$$h_{k+1}(n) = h_k^n(n)$$

To get a feeling for how fast this grows, consider  $h_1$ :

$$\begin{aligned} h_1(n) &= h_0^n(n) \\ &= A(A(A(\dots A(A(n))))) \\ &= A(A(A(\dots A(f_n(n))))) \\ &= A(A(A(\dots f_{f_n(n)}(f_n(n))))) \end{aligned}$$

An expression like  $h_3(3)$  gives us a relatively short lambda term that will normalise to a huge term. We might as well start with  $g(n) = n^n$  since that's even easier to write using Church naturals:

$$g = \lambda a. a \ a$$

$$A = \lambda k. k \ (\lambda f. \lambda n. n f n) \ g \ k$$

$$h = \lambda k. k \ (\lambda f. \lambda n. n f n) \ A \ k$$

$$3 = \lambda f. \lambda z. f(f \ z)$$

$$X = h \ 3$$

You can't write down anything close to the number  $X$  even if you were to write a hundred pages of towers of exponentials. Of course, we can continue this game, and define a sequence

$$\begin{aligned} g_0 &= \lambda a. a \ a \\ g_1 &= \lambda k. k \ (\lambda f. \lambda n. n f n) \ g_0 \ k \\ g_2 &= \lambda k. k \ (\lambda f. \lambda n. n f n) \ g_1 \ k \\ &\dots \end{aligned}$$

Which can be generalised as:

$$\begin{aligned} f(g) &= \lambda k. k \ (\lambda f. \lambda n. n f n) \ g \ k \\ g_n &= f^n(g_0) \end{aligned}$$

So we get an even more compact, yet much larger number with:

$$\begin{aligned} f &= \lambda g. \lambda k. k \ (\lambda f. \lambda n. n f n) \ g \ k \\ Y &= (3 \ f) \ (\lambda a. a a) \ 3 \end{aligned}$$

Of course, you can easily define much faster growing functions. But here's a challenge: what's the shortest lambda term that normalises, but takes more than the age of the universe to normalise? Or: what's the largest Church natural you can write down in less than 30 symbols?

Please let me know of any mistakes. I haven't checked for mistakes at all :)