

Classify a book's category based on its title

Yunkai Wang

Carleton University, School of Computer Science

Jules Kuehn

Carleton University, School of Computer Science

Recently Convolutional neural networks(CNN) have been well-studied and be shown that they can archive incredible results on tasks like sentence classification (Kim, 2014). Another interesting topic that has been studied is if we can categorize a book based on its cover picture (Iwana et al.). However, as shown in the paper, they showed that they were able to draw a relationship between a book cover image, and its category, but the accuracy was less than 50%. Thus, we conduct this experiment to see if we can use CNN to learn the potential relationship between a book's title and its categories. For this project, we experiment the task with different model setups and layers using word embedding, and compare their accuracies.

I. Introduction

Book titles will given the reader a first impression of what the book may be about, and most of the time, a good book title will attract more readers from buying and reading the book. But what does the book title really tells you? What can you tell by simply looking at the book title? If a title contains the word 'calendar', then most likely people will all agree that it's a calendar, but what if the book title doesn't contain a specific word that reveals its category, like if you are given the book title 'The Three-Body Problem' with knowing this book before, how will you categorize the book? Will the book title be sufficient for a CNN that has been pre-trained with titles and categories be able to detect that as a science fiction? This is a very interesting question to ask, and that's why we conduct this experiment, to see if the computers are able to learn the potential relationship between a book's title and its category using CNN.

II. The Dataset

The *Data Mining* is a dataset which can be found on *Github.com*. The set consists of detailed information of 207572 books from 32 categories, to help determining the potential relationship between different information related to a book, like the relationship between a book's cover image and its category (Iwana et al.). Most of the categories are not similar to other categories, like 'calendar' and 'law', but some of the categories are pairwise similar, like 'Christian Books and Bibles' and 'Religion and Spirituality'. All books in the dataset have informations like the image url of the cover image of the book, the book's author, and the book's category. The dataset doesn't have the training dataset and testing set splitted by default, so we just use make a use of the *sklearn* library, which provides a nice *train_test_split* function to create the training set and test set.

Loading and transforming the data

Here are the list of things we did to load the dataset:

1. First we load all the original data line by line, and for each line, we extract the book title and its category, as those are the only things that we are feeding to our neural network.
2. Second, it's obvious that titles all have different lengths, and the maximum title length is 96. However, as Figure 1 shows, most of titles have length less than 26, only several titles have length > 26. Therefore, we choose the maximum length to be 26 instead of 96. We used *pad_sequence* function from keras library to accomplish the task, which simply appends 0 to the end of the titles that are shorter than the given length, and cut-off those long titles after 26 words.
3. With the help of *train_test_split* function, we split the training data and testing data by having a testing data of size 10% of the original dataset.
4. We convert the titles into vector of integers, where each integer encodes to a word. We made use of the Keras' *one_hot* function to do the task. This vectorization process takes ≈ 2 hrs to run, therefore, we decide to store the vectorized data into a new .csv file, which we can use to train our data directly.

III. Model

q

IV. Implementation

a

V. Experiment

We did a couple of experiments using different models and configurations. Here is a detailed list and explanation

of these experiments.

Multiple layer perceptron(MLP)

One of the experiments we did was to see if MLP can handle the task. We simply used the original 'mlp.py' file, changed the size of input layer and output layer to match what we needed, and loaded the data that had pre-processed, but the accuracy was only $\approx 9\%$. This simply concludes that MLP will not be able to handle this classification task, since this data set is not like MNIST, two book titles from the same category may be very different, and classifying such dataset is not something MLP is good at.

Convolutional neural network(CNN)

We also tried to use the original 'CNN.py' with changed input size and output size, and it turned out that the accuracy

wasn't that high as well. The accuracy was slightly higher than the accuracy using the MLP($\approx 12\%$), but they were still really bad at this task.

VI. Conclusion

a

References

Convolutional Neural Networks for Sentence Classification
By Yoon Kim
Judging a Book by its Cover By Brian et al.