# MATERIAL CULTURE

# Music and the materialization of identities

Journal of Material Culture
16(4) 376–388
© The Author(s) 2011
Reprints and permission: sagepub.
co.uk/journalsPermissions.nav
DOI: 10.1177/1359183511424196
mcu.sagepub.com



# Georgina Born

University of Oxford, UK

#### **Abstract**

How does music materialize identities? This article argues that music is instructive in conceptualizing the materialization of identity because it opens up new perspectives on issues of materiality, mediation and affect. These perspectives are intimately related in turn to music's plural socialities, which necessitate a novel approach to theorizing the social. Music, it is proposed, demands an analytics that encompasses four planes of social mediation; while these socialities, with other forms of music's mediation, together produce a constellation of mediations - an assemblage. All four planes of social mediation enter into the musical assemblage: the first two amount to socialities engendered by musical practice and experience; the last two amount to social and institutional conditions that afford certain kinds of musical practice. The four are irreducible to one another and are articulated in contingent ways through relations of synergy, affordance, conditioning or causality. By adopting the topological metaphor of the plane to stand for distinctive socialities mediated by music, the intention is to highlight both their autonomy and their mutual interference. The second half turns to genre theory to suggest that analysing genre in terms of the mutual mediation between two self-organizing historical entities illuminates both how social identity formations may be refracted in music, and how musical genres can entangle themselves in evolving social formations. Finally, with reference to music's capacity to create aggregations of the affected, the article considers the efflorescence of theories of affect, association and entrainment. While such theories illuminate the generative nature of the mutual mediation between musical formations and social formations, they are limited by lack of awareness of the four distinctive planes of music's social mediation, as well as the significance of their autonomy and their contingent interrelations for understanding how music materializes identities.

### **Keywords**

affect, assemblage, genre, materiality, music, social mediation

### Corresponding author:

Georgina Born, Faculty of Music, St Aldate's, Oxford OX1 IDB, UK. Email: georgina.born@music.ox.ac.uk

In this primarily conceptual article I offer some answers to the question: how does music materialize identities? I will argue that music is instructive in relation to conceptualizing the materialization of identity because it opens up new perspectives with regard to theories both of materiality and of affect; and moreover, that these perspectives are intimately related to the copious socialities of music, which themselves necessitate a novel social analytics – one that responds to current interests in re-theorizing the social. I will suggest that together these developments offer a framework for understanding the generative nature of the imbrication of musical formations and social formations.<sup>1</sup>

I open with four observations that situate music as a distinctive medium in relation to common thematics in the anthropology of material culture and art. First, beyond the visual and artefact-centrism that characterizes theories of art and material culture, music indicates that there need not be a physical artefact or a visual object or symbol at the centre of the analysis of materiality, mediation and semiosis. Indeed, music has its own particular material and semiotic properties. Musical sound is non-representational, nonartefactual and alogogenic. In most human cultures, in the absence of a denotative or literal level of meaning, musical sound engenders a profusion of extra-musical connotations of various kinds - visual, sensual, emotional and intellectual. These connotations are naturalized and projected into the musical sound object, yet they tend to be experienced as deriving from it. Ethnomusicologists, in the face of such a profusion of signification, have analysed the universal existence of linguistic metaphors for music (Feld, 1984; Feld and Fox, 1994). In my own ethnographies I have extended this approach through a focus on how metaphors for music combine and cohere into wider discursive formations, raising questions of power – the differential power to define, circulate and institutionalize the meanings attributed to music (Born, 1995).

A second observation is that if the linguistic mediation of music is pervasive, it cannot be reduced simply to language. Music has no material essence but a plural and distributed materiality. Its multiple simultaneous forms of existence - as sonic trace, discursive exegesis, notated score, technological prosthesis, social and embodied performance – indicate the necessity of conceiving of the musical object as a constellation of mediations. Music requires and stimulates associations between a diverse range of subjects and objects – between musician and instrument, composer and score, listener and sound system, music programmer and digital code. Compared with the visual and literary arts, which we associate with a specific object, text or representation, music may therefore appear to be an extraordinarily diffuse kind of cultural object: an aggregation of sonic, social, corporeal, discursive, visual, technological and temporal mediations – a musical assemblage, where this is understood as a characteristic constellation of such heterogeneous mediations. In Deleuzian thought an assemblage is defined as a multiplicity made up of heterogeneous components, each having a certain autonomy, a multiplicity 'which establishes liaisons [or] relations between them ... The assemblage's only unity is that of a co-functioning' (Deleuze and Parnet, 1987: 69), while the interactions between components are non-linear and mutually catalysing, 'only contingently obligatory' (DeLanda, 2006: 12; Deleuze, 1988).

A third point, following on, is that a number of writers – from Adorno onwards – have pointed to music's mediation of subject–object relations. Such an approach is central to the recent sociology of music. Antoine Hennion (2003: 90) stresses the intimate mediation

between music lover and musical sound in the co-production of taste, where taste is grasped as a mutually transformative relation cultivated through a range of practices and techniques. As he proposes, 'bodies, spaces, durations, gestures, regular practice, technical devices' – all point to taste as an accomplishment. Music therefore 'transforms those who take possession of it', resulting in 'the co-formation of a music and of those who make it and listen to it'. Tia DeNora (2000: 40) takes a similar stance, arguing that 'music is active within social life: just as music's meanings may be constructed in relation to things outside it, so, too, things outside music may be constructed in relation to things outside it, so, too, things outside music may be constructed in relation and of human and non-human agency: music generating and conditioning human subjectivities and socialities, while music is constituted in discourse and practice, as well as through its manifold socialities and socio-technical arrangements.

My fourth opening observation, however, is that the kind of microsociology favoured by DeNora and Hennion, which finds its anthropological equivalent in Alfred Gell's (1998) adoption of a biographical 'depth of focus' in his analysis of art's social mediation in Art and Agency, is insufficient when accounting for music's complex social mediation. Indeed, music necessitates an expansion of the conceptual framework of social mediation. In this sense it compounds the challenge to reconceptualize the social issued by Marilyn Strathern when she called for a concern with the 'constant movement ... from one type of sociality to another', socialities constituted either by processes of 'de-pluralization' (Strathern, 1988: 14, 13) or by the elaboration of heterogeneity, as well as by Bruno Latour (2005: 34) in his manifesto for a 'sociology of associations' that traces the 'many ... contradictory cartographies of the social'. For if music generates myriad social forms, it requires a social analytics that encompasses four planes of social mediation. In the first plane, music produces its own diverse social relations – in the intimate socialities of musical performance and practice, in musical ensembles, and in the musical division of labour. In the second, music conjures up and animates imagined communities, aggregating its listeners into virtual collectivities and publics based on musical and other identifications. In the third plane, music is traversed by wider social identity formations, from the most concrete and intimate to the most abstract of collectivities - music's refraction of the hierarchical and stratified relations of class and age, race and ethnicity, gender and sexuality. In the fourth, music is bound up in the social and institutional forms that provide the grounds for its production, reproduction and transformation, whether elite or religious patronage, market or non-market exchange, the arena of public and subsidized cultural institutions, or late capitalism's cultural economy. All four planes of social mediation enter into the musical assemblage. All four are irreducible to one another, while being articulated in contingent and non-linear ways through relations of synergy, affordance, conditioning or causality. The first two planes amount to socialities engendered by musical practice and experience; whereas the last two amount to social and institutional conditions that themselves afford certain kinds of musical practice – although they enter into the nature of musical experience, permeating music's intimate socialities and imagined communities. By adopting the topological metaphor of the plane to stand for distinctive forms of sociality mediated by music, I intend to highlight both their autonomy and their mutual interference or interrelations, while combating any reading of them merely in terms of scale or level.<sup>2</sup> The metaphor, of course, has limitations:

it fails to register their fleshy, demotic nature as well as their dynamic and temporal qualities – issues that develop later in the article.

In this light, the socialities of musical performance and practice, suffused as these may be by wider social relations, as well as by the social imaginaries afforded by music: all these vectors of social mediation can enter into aesthetic experience for participants and listeners. Moreover, if this relay of socialities – from wider social conditions, to the virtual collectivities assembled by particular musical genres, to performance socialities – can be homologous, this is not invariably the case. Indeed, in their autonomy, the socialities of performance and practice may be contrary to, and can become crucibles of transformation of or experimentation with, prevailing social relations. Rather than the four planes of social mediation being isomorphic, ethnographies of music show that subtle and surprising relations can exist between them. Illustrating the utility of this framework through an analysis that traces dynamic interrelations between the four planes of sociality is Aditi Deo's (2011) study of a series of changes to Khval, a genre of North Indian improvised classical music, over the 20th century. Deo draws out the synergistic shifts that have occurred between the third and second planes – social identity formation and musically imagined community: a transition from hereditary Muslim practitioners to middle- and upper-class Hindus, which was accompanied by Khyal's classicization and canonization, such that it has become emblematic of a Hindu nationalism linked to the re-imagination of India as a modern nation state with an ancient Brahminical past. In parallel, Deo points to tensions between transformations on the fourth and first plane, between institutional form and performance sociality. Where formerly Khyal relied on feudal patronage and master-disciple transmission of musical knowledge, in the first decades of the 20th century Khyal pedagogy was partly relocated to new, secular pedagogical institutions; at the same time, knowledge of Khyal was notated and standardized, abstracted from formerly embodied and performative techniques. But Khyal resists any simplistic account of these changes. Training in its aesthetic principles has remained entangled in master-disciple lineages, which also continue to structure musicians' social networks; subtleties of musical gesture and their social embeddedness therefore resist Khyal's rationalization. Yet institutional processes mediate even those musical and social practices still rooted in master-discipline relations. If public debate often reduces the two modes of Khyal sociality to an opposition, Deo argues that practitioners experience them as juxtaposed. It seems that, as an assemblage, Khyal is self-contradictory.

On the basis of this approach to music's social and material mediation, in the remainder of the article I develop three additional arguments concerning music's capacities to mediate and mobilize identity formations. To do this I draw on theories both of genre and of affect, which further illuminate the mutual entanglement of musical and social formations – in particular through music's powers to create aggregations of the affected.

My first argument concerns the benefits of analysing cross-scalar relations between the first and third planes: how the socialities engendered by musical performance are traversed by broader social relations. Salient here are studies that demonstrate the mutual modulation between performance socialities and formations of race and class, such as Charles Keil's *Urban Blues* (1970), Ingrid Monson's *Saying Something* (1996) and Louise Meintjes's *Sound of Africa!* (2003). Keil's account of the socialities manifest in

the interactions between the Chicago blues singer Bobby Bland, his band and their club audiences in the 1960s shows how social solidarities and collective catharsis were generated in performance through the use of voice, gesture, humour and ambiguous sexual and spiritual innuendo, all of them enlivened by the 'stylistic common denominators' (Keil, 1970: 143) that crossed between blues performance and preaching in the lives of black Chicagoans. Other studies show how musical performance is not only entangled in wider social identity formations, but has the capacity to reconfigure or catalyse those formations. In her research on live soca performance in Trinidad, Jocelyne Guilbault stresses its transformative capacities as it produces 'public intimacies'. With this term she refers to the socialities and spatial proximities of performance as they unfold between musicians on stage, between musicians and audience, and between audience members. Guilbault (2010: 17) argues that these embodied and performative social interactions 'reiterate identities', while also enabling 'new points of connection [to be] developed (for example among artists and audience members of different ethnicities, nationalities and generations, and across musical genres)'. The socialities created between artists and crowd at a soca gig range from the affirmation of a common sense of national belonging to the establishment of "affective alliances" through the sharing of feelings – exuberance, joy, and exhaustion' (p. 21). If soca artists on stage 'enjoy crossing entrenched divides of ethnicity, race, and nation' (p. 27), they also reinforce 'heteronormative relations' and exclude homosexual expression (p. 19). Performance socialities, she contends, can therefore work either to reinforce or to reconfigure social norms and social antagonisms.

An expansion of this perspective comes from research pointing to cross-scalar relations between the four planes of sociality as formative of the political in music. Louise Meintjes (2003), in her study of recording in apartheid and post-apartheid South Africa, shows how the recording studio amounts to a microcosm that incubates its own social relations, while it also refracts wider social relations. The politics of recording occur on two intersecting planes: first, in the socialities of the studio – manifest in who is able to exercise power and control, whose musical imagination determines how things will sound; and second, in how these socialities are crossed by broader formations of race and class, given that black musicians work in a 'white-controlled industry' personified in white sound engineers who are largely ignorant of black musical styles. Meintjes shows the struggles manifest in aesthetic judgements in the mediation by white engineers of Zulu styles for world music markets. Minute shifts in intonation or timbre determine whether a track is deemed to merit international distribution; moreover, aesthetic imperatives issued by producers serving international markets can tempt black musicians to proffer Zulu stereotypes, pandering to essentialist and primitivist imaginaries. The racialized dynamics of the studio thus mediate the very sounds that circulate globally as 'authentic' Zulu music. Recording practices are therefore the site of struggles over musical inflections and sound qualities, struggles in which black musicians engage covertly in attempts to wrest back musical control.

A different example is Robert Adlington's (2007) analysis of the emergence among a younger generation of composers in the Netherlands in the 1970s of the leftist 'Movement for the Renewal of Musical Practice', or BEVEM. In his account we glimpse how important for the politics of Western art music since the 1960s has been a reflexive

engagement with the social in music, and specifically with the articulation between the socialities of musical practice and broader forms of social power. Adlington portrays BEVEM's attempts to foment a revolution in musical practice stemming from a rejection of the bourgeois complacency of orchestral life centred on the Concertgebouw and its ritual functions for the ruling Dutch elite. Their demands rested on parallels drawn between the alienated conditions of orchestral labour and socialist critiques of workers' alienation. The movement called for the end of 'authoritarian management structures' (p. 554) and envisaged as an alternative the democratization of musical life through a multilevel program of musicians' self-organization, including the creation of egalitarian performing ensembles and mass musical education schemes. Adlington draws out the contradictions of BEVEM's activities, and the difficulties involved in pursuing their experiments both within and beyond the musical sphere. Yet central to their political vision was the idea of musical practice as a locus for incubating challenges to larger structures of social power.<sup>3</sup>

In sum, evidence from both historical and anthropological research suggests that it is the autonomy of the socialities of musical performance and practice that renders them potential vehicles for social experimentation or for the exercise of a musico-political imagination, in the sense that they may enact alternatives to or inversions of, and can be in contradiction with, wider forms of hierarchical and stratified social relations. These are performed contradictions that can contribute powerfully to the nature of sociomusical experience by offering a compensatory or utopian social space — one that fashions experience differently, even as it may fail to overturn or counter broader social hierarchies and inequalities (although such an outcome is not foreclosed). Not only these cases but many forms of the political in music require a social analytics that addresses music's multiple socialities and their complex and contingent interrelations.

My next observation concerns the second plane of music's social mediation: music's capacity to animate imagined communities, aggregating its adherents into virtual collectivities and publics based on musical and other identifications. These are musically imagined communities that, as I have shown elsewhere, may reproduce or memorialize extant identity formations, generate purely fantasized identifications, or prefigure emergent identity formations by forging novel social alliances (Born, 2000). Here, in turn, I offer two comments. First, music seems to be ever more significant in its powers to generate imagined or virtual communities. Whether manifest in music's central contributions in internet-based social networks to the personalization of online identity constructions, or in peer-to-peer file sharing and ramifying music-exchange networks, music has become a medium both of identity formation and of social aggregation (Baym, 2007; Baym and Ledbetter, 2009), aggregations that may be irreducible to other dimensions of socio-cultural identity. This property of music was first theorized by Will Straw (1991) in his concept of musical 'scene'. Straw develops the concept through the comparative analysis of two genres – alternative rock and electronic dance musics – insisting that the social universes produced by them cannot be reduced to any pre-given social ontology. Instead, he argues, scene points to music's capacity to construct 'affective alliances' (p. 374), propagating musically imagined communities that are irreducible to prior categories of social identity. Scene points to the significance and the autonomy of the first two planes: the socialities of musical performance, which Straw portrays through the engrossing corporeality of the dance floor, and the imagined communities summoned into being by musical tastes and experiences, which he addresses through the 'coalitions' of dance music audiences created in the late 1980s between 'black teenagers, young girls listening to Top 40 radio, and urban club-goers' (pp. 384–385). But the idea of scene recognizes also their mutual mediation: how the socialities of performance catalyse music's imagined communities, just as those imagined communities imbue the socialities of performance with collective emotion. Straw connects these two planes in turn to the social relations of class, race and gender, proposing that the politics of popular music stem from music's capacity to create affective coalitions that reconfigure the boundaries between pervasive social categories. He then introduces a further plane of social mediation: the institutions – such as radio, dance clubs and record stores - which provide 'the conditions of possibility of [those affective] alliances' (p. 384). Straw's concept of scene thus invokes all four planes of sociality, as well as pointing to the contingency of their interrelations. The notion of scene is important as an attempt to move beyond the idea that music (and culture) articulate only pre-existing identity formations. Rather, it is clear that music can become a primary vehicle of collective identification – even if this is traversed by other vectors of identity (race, class, ethnicity, gender, sexuality). This remains an invaluable insight and bears out a co-constitutive theory of social mediation: music both producing its own affective and aggregative identity effects, its own modes of 'imitation' or contagion (Tarde, 1969, 2001[1890]), while also responding to and transforming pre-existing social formations.

A second comment on musically imagined community concerns the now dominant way of conceiving of the public-making qualities of culture and music. This is in the terms of Michael Warner's (2005: 67) Althusserian formulation of the public interpellated through practices of cultural production – a public that, as he puts it, 'exists by virtue of being addressed'. In Warner's approach the public aggregated by cultural production is equated with virtual or stranger publics tout court; the very fact of the production and circulation of textuality is taken to conjure up and attach a community of interest. But the assumption here of a sturdily achieved public is surely questionable. My research on widely varying spheres of cultural production suggests both that they have quite different projections of audience-hood, which influence the kinds of audience that can result, and that in practice these expectations are often frustrated, indicating a split between anticipated and achieved public. 4 Similar arguments are made for anthropology by Karin Barber (2007), and for cultural history by Roger Chartier (1995); they are also made by Jacques Rancière (2010: 151) when, questioning any a priori assumption about the political efficacy of critical art practices, he notes that 'they cannot avoid the aesthetic cut that separates consequences from intentions'. Genre theory, to which I come shortly, offers a better way of conceiving of the mutual articulation of cultural production and publics. But we can also augment Warner by recognizing another type of publicness animated by cultural production, one that is illuminated by music's privileged relation with performance. This entails holding the textual-interpellation model up against an alternative conception of the co-present public that is potentialized by performance events. Such a co-present public cannot be guaranteed; it may be constituted by the socialities of musical performance – socialities that should not be idealized,<sup>5</sup> just as their

public-making qualities cannot be adjudged in advance (cf. Born and Barry, 2010: 112-116).

My final argument turns on another relation between distinctive planes of musical socialities, the one most commonly drawn in existing literatures. It is the interaction between the third and second planes: between wider social relations and systems of musical genre, where genre is taken to be the primary mechanism for the mutual articulation of musically imagined communities and social identities – communities that are often taken to derive from those social identities. Already obvious here is how genre theory luxuriates in teleology – a tendency apparent in the temptation to elide mimetic and mediation accounts of music's articulation of social identities. In Eric Drott's (2011: 7) succinct take, extracted from a rich discussion of genre and mediation, 'genres ... both constitute social groups and are constituted by them' - an expansion of the mutual mediation of musical object and subject on to the plane of the historical co-production of musical genres and social formations. Drott takes his lead from Keith Negus's (1999) notion of genre cultures. Negus, in turn, adopts Steven Neale's processual definition of genre as 'systems of orientations, expectations and conventions that circulate between industry, text and subject' (Neale, 1980: 19). Negus (1999: 29) emphasizes the contingency of this process, how 'the genre boundaries associated with commercial markets, radio or media formats and wider cultural formations do not coincide in any straightforward way'. Yet at other points he abandons contingency, highlighting 'how genres operate as social categories; how rap cannot be separated from the politics of blackness, nor salsa from Latinness, nor country from whiteness and the enigma of the "South" (p. 29). In this way, Negus risks collapsing conceptually what must strenuously be held apart: the mutual mediation between musical formations (on the one hand) and social identity formations (on the other).

Here David Brackett's (2005: 82) stress, in his research on black American popular musics, on the 'paradoxes (and tautologies) of genre' is salutary. Brackett brings out both the processual instability and the generativity of genre. In his words, 'the notion of genre speaks to transitory divisions in the musical field that correspond in discontinuous and complex ways to a temporally defined social space' (p. 75). Moreover, he contends, 'a linkage between social identity and a practice of music making (as in "black music") need not depend on the reproduction of a negative stereotype but may function as a positive marker, a chiastic turn' (p. 87). Brackett shows how historically labile have been the apparently established links between black musical genres and African American social formations; yet he cautions against over-arbitrary accounts of genre categories as mere 'social constructions' (p. 75). He demonstrates how this perspective requires a dual focus on both the temporalities and the *real attempted teleologies* of genre. That is to say: genre works by projecting temporally, into the unruly, ongoing cauldron of alternative socio-cultural formations, potential moves and reconfigurations of those formations coded materially as aesthetic moves and transformations that are proffered as analogous to the social. When the teleology works, then music may effect a redirection or a new affective coalition of the identity formations that it set itself to mediate. For Brackett, Bakhtin's (1986: 95) concept of addressivity illuminates how, through aesthetic gestures in any genre, musicians attempt to attract and attach an envisaged audience to the musical object; his example is Isaac Hayes's 1969 cross-over soul version of Jimmy Webb's 1967

middle-of-the-road ballad, 'By the time I get to Phoenix'. Given the instabilities and contingencies of genre, Hayes's aesthetic gestures reveal 'how intersubjective awareness of the audience – addressivity – is in play on both musical and verbal levels' (p. 86).

In sum, my discussion of genre as an assumed point of convergence or translation between aesthetic figure, musically imagined community and wider identity formation is intended to destabilize what is too often taken as smoothly conjoined. Rather than any assured linkage between music and wider social formations, it is by analysing genre as entailing a mutual mediation between two self-organizing historical entities — musical formations (on the one hand) and social identity formations (on the other) — that we can grasp the way that wider social identity formations are refracted in music, and that musical genres entangle themselves in evolving social formations. In this analysis, both musical and social identity formations are conceived as being in process of becoming; both are reliant on the collective production of memory as well as the anticipation of futures. In other words, genre is understood as a radically contingent and material process—one that is, however, oriented to the production of teleology and thus the erasure of its own contingency.

In theorizing, with reference to notions of affective alliances, the uncertain imbrication in genre of the dynamics of both musical and social identification, it may be that Gabriel Tarde's relational sociology is apposite, with its vision of the contagion of affect as constitutive of the social. Recently rediscovered in anthropological and social theory (Barry and Thrift, 2007; Candea, 2010), Tarde's (2001[1890]: 203) dictum that 'invention and imitation are the elementary social acts' (my translation) is predicated on a rejection of the foundational dualism of individual and social, and of the separation of psychology from sociology. Instead, Tarde advocates an 'inter-psychology' attentive to the way 'that subjects [are] open to affecting and being affected' (Blackman, 2007: 576), positing imitation and suggestion as twin motors of the diffusion of affect, desire and habit through a population. It is perhaps no surprise, then, that music – given its hyperconnotative, hyper-affective propensities - promotes the formation of social bonds in the guise of what I have called aggregations of the affected. Moreover rhythm, dance, bodily proximity and corporeal experience – all components of both music and performance – are considered by writers in this area to promote the intensification of affect and the creation of affective associations (Brennan, 2004; Thrift, 2008: ch. 6). More acutely, it is striking that the notion of entrainment appears to provide a conceptual bridge between music and affect. It is central to Teresa Brennan's (2004: ch. 3) attempts to extend the ideas of crowd theorists and group psychoanalysts to the transmission of affect. Brennan aims to overcome another foundational dualism – that of the social and the biological – by hypothesizing the existence of physiological mechanisms that underlie affective contagion, which she locates in transmissible hormonal changes triggered by particular 'atmospheres' and social environments. In Brennan's persuasive anti-neo-Darwinian account, 'certain biological and physical phenomena themselves require a social explanation. While its wellsprings are social, the transmission of affect is deeply physical in its effects' (p. 23). But entrainment is also invoked in present ethnomusicological attempts to link physiological and social processes in the analysis of musical performance (Clayton et al., 2004). Once again rhythm, movement and embodied experience, along with synchronicity, are given privileged place in this discussion; questions raised include:

Since certain degrees of entrainment between individuals seem to be associated with positive affect, is it the case that particular patterns, periodicities, hierarchies or intensities of entrainment afford particular affects? Could positive affect be associated with a greater degree of self-synchrony as well as closer synchrony with a social group? (Clayton et al., 2004: 21)<sup>8</sup>

Bringing together the consideration of theories of genre and of affect, what we have is a potent conceptual cluster: genre as a point of contingent convergence between musical formations and social formations; entrainment, in turn, as a putative link between affect, music, the biological and social. Yet against the backdrop of the arc of arguments in this article, the perspectives provided by Tarde, Brennan and others – while they add insight into how affective dimensions of musical experience may fuel social aggregation - provide only part of the answer to theorizing music's mediation of social identities. For when detached from the analysis of larger social formations and enduring musical, cultural and historical processes, they are reductive in the literal sense of the evasion of ineluctable complexity. We might ask: can we account for the social – in the guise, for example, of the enduring but evolving armatures of class relations in Britain today as they continue to mediate and be mediated by music (Bennett et al., 2009: ch. 5) – solely through the transmission of affect (with Brennan) or a sociology of associations (with Latour and the neo-Tardeians)? These perspectives may contribute to an analysis of the mutual mediation of musical and social identity formations, but they are not sufficient. For they fail to bring into the calculus an awareness of the several distinctive planes of sociality mobilized and mediated by musical assemblages. It is the novel analytics of the mutual modulation of four planes of social mediation proposed in this article, working resiliently against the reification of both musical and social formations, and attuned to the significance of the autonomy and the contingent interrelations between the four planes for analysing socio-musical complexity, that enables us to understand how music materializes identities.

### **Funding**

This research received no specific grant from any funding agency in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.

## Acknowledgements

This article is dedicated, with affection, to Mike Rowlands, from whom I learned much and gained such genial and engaged support. It forms part of a group of articles in which I develop these ideas.

#### Notes

- I use the term 'musical formations' to indicate the specificity of long-term musical systems
  which exhibit both a certain unity and continuity and an evolving heterogeneity. This is the
  approach advocated by David Brackett, a leading theorist of genre and scholar of 20th-century
  black American popular musics, when he writes of 'black popular music as part of a longrange historical discourse and as part of an ever-changing genre system' (2005: 80).
- The Deleuzian concept of assemblage is again apposite, now encompassing music's social mediation, evoking as it does a heterogeneous unity composed of elements that have a certain autonomy while being brought into relation and fuelling emergence; in this sense the

assemblage is a 'whole [that] may be both analysable into separate parts and at the same time have irreducible properties, properties that emerge from the interactions between parts' (DeLanda, 2006: 10). Despite DeLanda's cogent exposition of assemblage theory, his application of it to social analysis is unconvincing, centred as it is on linear and nested social forms of increasing scale: persons and networks, organizations and governments, cities and nations.

- 3. The developments described by Adlington were paralleled elsewhere, for example in Cornelius Cardew's Scratch Orchestra (Nyman, 1974: 112–118), and in my own experience of an avant-garde Brechtian rock group in the 1970s, in which not only our musical practices but our professional life and networks were conceived as political experiments in collectivism (Born, 2008).
- 4. My research on cultural production has encompassed ethnographies of computer music and of the musical avant-garde (Born, 1995), of the production of popular television and radio at the BBC (Born, 2005), and of the experimental field of art-science (Born and Barry, 2010) and other interdisciplinary knowledge practices (Barry et al., 2008).
- 5. For influential and idealizing accounts of the socialities of musical performance, see Schutz (1971) and Small (1998).
- 6. Elsewhere (Born, forthcoming) I develop the idea of the co-present public afforded by musical performance with reference to Dana Villa's (1996) interpretation of Hannah Arendt's thought. Villa pursues the implications of Arendt's adoption of the performing arts as her preferred idiom for the renewal of the public realm. By using a performance model for the analysis of political action and its constitution of the public realm, Arendt understood such action as autonomous and artificial, non-teleological and non-instrumental. Its essence was nothing less than continuous, direct participation, while such participation was always embedded in the 'already existing web of human relationships' (Arendt, 1989[1958]: 184; cited in Villa, 1996: 84). Dueck (forthcoming) illustrates the fertility of this approach to musical publics in his ethnography of Aboriginal communities in Manitoba, exploring the potent interrelations between the intimate publics of performance and the virtual public afforded by Aboriginal music radio.
- 7. The ethnomusicological discourse on entrainment sees itself as building on earlier models of the connection between rhythm, movement and musical socialities in the work of John Blacking, Alan Lomax, Charles Keil and Steven Feld (Clayton et al., 2004: 19–20).
- The concern in this discussion with the interplay between musical aesthetics and affect in mobilizing social identity formations echoes the current interest in the same dynamic as it animates nationhood; see Mookherjee and Pinney (2011).

#### References

Adlington R (2007) Organizing labor: composers, performers, and 'the renewal of musical practice' in the Netherlands, 1969–72. *The Musical Quarterly* 90(3–4): 539–577.

Arendt H (1989[1958]) The Human Condition. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.

Bakhtin M (1986) *Speech Genres and Other Late Essays*, ed. C Emerson and M Holquist. Austin: University of Texas Press.

Barber K (2007) *The Anthropology of Texts, Persons and Publics: Oral and Written Culture in Africa and Beyond.* Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Barry A and Thrift N (2007) Gabriel Tarde: imitation, invention and economy. *Economy and Society* 36(4): 509–525.

Barry A, Born G and Weszkalnys G (2008) Logics of interdisciplinarity. *Economy and Society* 37(1): 20–49.

Baym NK (2007) The new shape of online community: the example of Swedish independent music fandom. *First Monday* 12(8). Available at: http://firstmonday.org/htbin/cgiwrap/bin/ojs/index. php/fm/article/view/1978/1853

Baym NK and Ledbetter A (2009) Tunes that bind? Predicting friendship strength in a music-based social network. *Information, Communication & Society* 12(3): 408–427.

Bennett T et al. (2009) Culture, Class, Distinction. London: Routledge.

Blackman L (2007) Reinventing psychological matters: the importance of the suggestive realm of Tarde's ontology. *Economy and Society* 36(4): 574–596.

Born G (1995) Rationalizing Culture: IRCAM, Boulez, and the Institutionalization of the Musical Avant-garde. Berkeley: University of California Press.

Born G (2000) Music and the representation/articulation of sociocultural identities. In: Born G, Hesmondhalgh D (eds) *Western Music and Its Others: Difference, Representation, and Appropriation in Music.* Berkeley: University of California Press, 31–37.

Born G (2005) Uncertain Vision: Birt, Dyke and the Reinvention of the BBC. London: Vintage.

Born G (2008) Music/politics: recalling Henry Cow. Pamphlet accompanying CD.

Born G (forthcoming) Introduction: music, sound, and the transformation of public and private space. In: Born G (ed.) *Music, Sound, and the Transformation of Public and Private Space*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Born G and Barry A (2010) Art-science: from public understanding to public experiment. *Journal of Cultural Economy* 3(1): 103–119.

Brackett D (2005) Questions of genre in black popular music. *Black Music Research Journal* 25(1/2): 73–92.

Brennan T (2004) The Transmission of Affect. Ithaca, NY: Cornell University Press.

Candea M (2010) The Social after Gabriel Tarde. London: Routledge.

Chartier R (1995) Forms and Meanings: Texts, Performances, and Audiences from Codex to Computer. Philadelphia: University of Pennsylvania Press.

Clayton M, Sager R and Will U (2004) In time with the music: the concept of entrainment and its significance for ethnomusicology. Paper read at European Meetings in Ethnomusicology 11/ESEM Counterpoint.

DeLanda M (2006) A New Philosophy of Society: Assemblage Theory and Social Complexity. London: Continuum.

Deleuze G (1988) Foucault. London: Athlone.

Deleuze G and Parnet C (1987) Dialogues. London: Athlone.

DeNora T (2000) Music in Everyday Life. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

DeNora T (2003) After Adorno: Rethinking Music Sociology. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Deo A (2011) Alternative Windows into Tradition: Non-Hereditary Practices in Hindustani Khyal Music. Unpublished doctoral thesis, Indiana University.

Drott E (2011) Music and the Elusive Revolution: Cultural Politics and Political Culture in France, 1968-1981. Austin: University of Texas Press.

Dueck B (forthcoming) Civil twilight: country music, alcohol, and the spaces of Manitoban Aboriginal sociability. In: Born G (ed.) *Music, Sound, and the Transformation of Public and Private Space*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Feld S (1984) Communication, music, and speech about music. *Yearbook for Traditional Music*: 1–18.

Feld S and Fox AA (1994) Music and language. Annual Review of Anthropology 23(1): 25-53.

Gell A (1998) Art and Agency: An Anthropological Theory. Oxford: Clarendon Press.

Guilbault J (2010) Music, politics, and pleasure: live soca in Trinidad. Small Axe 14(1): 16–29.

Hennion A (2001) Music lovers: taste as performance. Theory, Culture and Society 18(5): 1–22.

Hennion A (2003) Music and mediation: toward a new sociology of music. In: Clayton M et al. (eds) *The Cultural Study of Music*. London: Routledge, 80–91.

Keil C (1970) Urban Blues. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.

Latour B (2005) Reassembling the Social: An Introduction to Actor-Network-Theory. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Meintjes L (2003) Sound of Africa! Making Music Zulu in a South African Studio. Durham, NC: Duke University Press.

Monson IT (1996) Saying Something: Jazz Improvisation and Interaction. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.

Mookherjee N and Pinney C (2011) *The Aesthetics of Nations: Anthropological and Historical Approaches.* London: Royal Anthropological Institute.

Neale S (1980) Genre. London: British Film Institute.

Negus K (1999) Music Genres and Corporate Cultures. London: Routledge.

Nyman M (1974) Experimental Music: Cage and Beyond. New York: Schirmer.

Rancière J (2010) Dissensus: On Politics and Aesthetics. London: Continuum.

Schutz A (1971) Making music together. In: Collected Papers. The Hague: Nijhoff, 159–178.

Small C (1998) Musicking: The Meanings of Performing and Listening. Middletown, CT: Wesleyan University Press.

Strathern M (1988) The Gender of the Gift: Problems with Women and Problems with Society in Melanesia. Berkeley: University of California Press.

Straw W (1991) Systems of articulation, logics of change: communities and scenes in popular music. *Cultural Studies* 5(3): 368–388.

Tarde G (1969) On Communication and Social Influence, ed. TN Clark. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.

Tarde G (2001[1890]) Les lois de l'imitation. Paris: Les empecheurs de penser en rond/ Editions du Seuil.

Thrift NJ (2008) Non-Representational Theory: Space, Politics, Affect. London: Routledge.

Villa D (1996) Arendt and Heidegger: The Fate of the Political. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press.

Warner M (2005) Publics and Counterpublics. New York: Zone.

## **Biographical note**

Georgina Born is Professor of Music and Anthropology at the University of Oxford. Her research interests include: anthropology and sociology of music, media and culture; music and mediation; social and cultural theory; ethnography; music in the late 20th and 21st centuries; modernism and the avant-garde; cultural production and cultural institutions; public service broadcasting; and cultural and media policy.