## Chapter 1

## HBM

A way of using the express the contact problem in a linear complimentary problem. [Ulbrich(2011)]

Popularly, methods such as harmonic balance based [8] or shooting methods [9] are employed to find solutions of smooth non- linear systems in an efficient manner. the Harmonic Balance Method (HBM) is known to produce poor approximations of non-smooth functions with a finite number of harmonics, producing artefacts such as the Gibbs phenomenon [10]. Finally, the use of a Fourier basis is discussed, which represents a High Dimension HBM (HDHBM) as proposed by [21] and presented in a contact frame- work by [22], specifically considering non-regularized contact conditions.

### 1.1 Lipschitz Continuity

Fischer function.

## 1.1.1 Nonsmooth Reformulation of Complementarity Conditions

Example of semismooth functions are

- Fischer-Burmeister function
- $\phi_{\max}: \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}$

$$u_i \le 0, \lambda_i \le 0, u_i \lambda_i = 0 \Leftrightarrow \lambda + \max\{0, c(\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{0}) - \lambda\}$$
 (1.1)

where c > 0.

A reduce-order description of the dynamic contact problem discretized in space can be expressed as

$$p(t) = \mathbf{M}\ddot{\mathbf{u}}_r + \mathbf{D}\dot{\mathbf{u}}_r + \mathbf{K}\mathbf{u}_r - \lambda - \mathbf{f}_r \equiv 0$$
 (1.2)

$$s(t) = \lambda + \max\{0, c(\mathbf{u}_r - \mathbf{0}) - \lambda\} \equiv \mathbf{0}$$
(1.3)

For single point contact problem, in the harmonic balance method, the displacement  $\mathbf{u}_r$  and the contact force  $\lambda$  are approximated as truncated Fourier series.

$$u_r(t) \approx a_0/2 + \sum_{m=1}^{M} a_m \cos(mwt) + b_m \sin(mwt) = \phi(t)\mathbf{a}$$
 (1.4)

$$\lambda(t) \approx c_0/2 + \sum_{m=1}^{M} c_m \cos(mwt) + d_m \sin(mwt) = \phi(t)\mathbf{c}$$
 (1.5)

where w is the base frequency. It is usually chosen as the frequency of the harmonic excitation force.

To maintain the equilibrium equations in the Fourier space:

$$\int_{0}^{2\pi/w} \boldsymbol{\phi}^{\mathrm{T}}(t) \left( m\ddot{\boldsymbol{\phi}}(t)\mathbf{a} + d\dot{\boldsymbol{\phi}}(t)\mathbf{a} + k\boldsymbol{\phi}(t)\mathbf{a} - \boldsymbol{\phi}(t)\mathbf{c} - \mathbf{f}(t)_{r} \right) = 0$$
 (1.6)

$$\int_{0}^{2\pi/w} \boldsymbol{\phi}(t) \left( \boldsymbol{\phi} \mathbf{c} + \max\{0, c(\boldsymbol{\phi} \mathbf{a} - \mathbf{0}) - \boldsymbol{\phi} \mathbf{c} \} \right) = 0$$
 (1.7)

$$\left(\int_{0}^{2\pi/w} \boldsymbol{\phi}^{\mathrm{T}}(t) (m\ddot{\boldsymbol{\phi}}(t) + d\dot{\boldsymbol{\phi}}(t) + k\boldsymbol{\phi}(t))\right) \mathbf{a} - \left(\int_{0}^{2\pi/w} \boldsymbol{\phi}^{\mathrm{T}}(t) \boldsymbol{\phi}(t)\right) \mathbf{c} - \left(\int_{0}^{2\pi/w} \boldsymbol{\phi}^{\mathrm{T}}(t) \mathbf{f}(t)_{r}\right) = 0$$

$$\left(1.8\right)$$

$$\int_{0}^{2\pi/w} \boldsymbol{\phi}^{\mathrm{T}}(t) (\boldsymbol{\phi}(t) \mathbf{c} + \max\{0, c(\boldsymbol{\phi}(t)\mathbf{a} - \mathbf{0}) - \boldsymbol{\phi}(t)\mathbf{c}\}) = 0$$

$$(1.9)$$

Since the max operator is not linear in Fourier space, we'd better integrate the second equation with numerical integration method. Discretize the function  $\phi$  in time. Divide one period into N equal time intervals  $\Delta t = 2\pi/w/N$ .

$$\Delta t \sum_{n=1}^{N} \boldsymbol{\phi}^{\mathrm{T}}(t_n) \left( \boldsymbol{\phi}(t_n) \mathbf{c} + \max\{0, c(\boldsymbol{\phi}(t_n) \mathbf{a} - \mathbf{0}) - \boldsymbol{\phi}(t_n) \mathbf{c}\} \right) = 0$$
 (1.10)

#### 1.1.2 Multiple contact

A reduce-order description of the dynamic contact problem discretized in space can be expressed as

$$\mathbf{p}(t) = \mathbf{M}\ddot{\mathbf{u}}_r + \mathbf{D}\dot{\mathbf{u}}_r + \mathbf{K}\mathbf{u}_r - \lambda - \mathbf{f}_r \equiv \mathbf{0}$$
(1.11)

$$\mathbf{s}(t) = \lambda + \max\{0, c(\mathbf{u}_r - \mathbf{0}) - \lambda\} \equiv \mathbf{0}$$
(1.12)

where  $\mathbf{u}_r, \lambda, \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{s} \in \mathbb{R}^{N_d}$ .  $N_d$  is the degree of freedom of the reduce-order system. In finite Fourier space, discretize

$$\mathbf{u}_r(t) \approx (\mathbf{I}_{N_s} \otimes \boldsymbol{\phi}(t)) \mathbf{U}$$
 (1.13)

$$\lambda(t) \approx (\mathbf{I}_{N_d} \otimes \boldsymbol{\phi}(t)) \boldsymbol{\Lambda}$$
 (1.14)

$$\phi(t) = [1/2, \cos(wt), \sin(wt), ..., \cos(Mwt), \sin(Mwt)]$$
 (1.15)

where  $\mathbf{U}, \mathbf{\Lambda} \in \mathbb{R}^{N_d(2M+1)}$ 

Their projections onto the finite Fourier space are zeros

$$\int_{0}^{2\pi/w} (\mathbf{I}_{N_d} \otimes \boldsymbol{\phi}(t))^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{p}((\mathbf{I}_{N_d} \otimes \boldsymbol{\phi}(t)) \mathbf{U}, (\mathbf{I}_{N_d} \otimes \boldsymbol{\phi}(t)) \boldsymbol{\Lambda}) = \mathbf{0}$$
 (1.16)

$$\int_{0}^{2\pi/w} (\mathbf{I}_{N_d} \otimes \boldsymbol{\phi}(t))^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{s}((\mathbf{I}_{N_d} \otimes \boldsymbol{\phi}(t)) \mathbf{U}, (\mathbf{I}_{N_d} \otimes \boldsymbol{\phi}(t)) \boldsymbol{\Lambda}) = \mathbf{0}$$
 (1.17)

Integrate the second function over time by discrete integration

$$\Delta t \sum_{0}^{N} (\mathbf{I}_{N_d} \otimes \boldsymbol{\phi}(t_n))^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{s}((\mathbf{I}_{N_d} \otimes \boldsymbol{\phi}(t_n)) \mathbf{U}, (\mathbf{I}_{N_d} \otimes \boldsymbol{\phi}(t_n)) \boldsymbol{\Lambda}) = \mathbf{0}$$
 (1.18)

where  $\Delta t = 2\pi/w/N$ . N is the mesh size over one period. In vector form:

$$\Delta t \left( \mathbf{I}_{N_d} \otimes \mathbf{\Phi} \right)^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{s} \left( \left( \mathbf{I}_{N_d} \otimes \mathbf{\Phi} \right) \mathbf{U}, \left( \mathbf{I}_{N_d} \otimes \mathbf{\Phi} \right) \mathbf{\Lambda} \right) = \mathbf{0}$$
 (1.19)

$$\mathbf{\Phi} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{\Phi}(t_1) \\ \cdots \\ \mathbf{\Phi}(t_N) \end{bmatrix} \tag{1.20}$$

# **Bibliography**

[Ulbrich(2011)] Michael Ulbrich. Semismooth Newton methods for variational inequalities and constrained optimization problems in function spaces, volume 11. SIAM, 2011.