

# Learning to be sustainable (?)

Julian Barg  
julian@jbarg.net

Ivey Business School

2021-03-02



Last time around...

1. Data in search of question

## Last time around...

1. Data in search of question
2. Why learning?

## Last time around...

1. Data in search of question
2. Why learning?
3. Sustainability & Learning

## Last time around...

1. Data in search of question
2. Why learning?
3. Sustainability & Learning
4. What data do I need?

# Table of Contents

Why learning?

Sustainability & Learning

Data



## Objective

What I **am** doing

- ▶ Expand on last presentation
- ▶ Show my thinking
- ▶ Test out the argumentation of my thesis

## What I am **not** doing

- ▶ Traditional paper presentation



Invitation to conversation!



# Table of Contents

Why learning?

Sustainability & Learning

Data



## Definitions<sup>1</sup>

1. Reliability: is the learning outcome public, stable, and shared

---

<sup>1</sup>March et al. (1991)

## Definitions<sup>1</sup>

1. Reliability: is the learning outcome public, stable, and shared
2. Validity: does learning aid in understanding, prediction, and control

---

<sup>1</sup>March et al. (1991)

## Valid learning

Creation of quantitative/mental models that inform in advance or lead to desirable states.

---

- ▶ Robust climate models (Manabe & Wetherald, 1967; Forster, 2017)

**vs.** invalid learning

- ▶ Surprising, unpredicted arctic ice loss (Guarino et al., 2020)

## Definitions<sup>1</sup>

1. Reliability: is the learning outcome public, stable, and shared
2. Validity: does learning aid in understanding, prediction, and control

---

<sup>1</sup>March et al. (1991)

## Reliable learning

Developing a mental or formal model that is widely accepted.

---

- ▶ Collective learning process (Wright & Nyberg, 2017)
  - ▶ Bridging epistemic communities (Aronczyk & Espinoza, 2019)
- vs. unreliable learning**
- ▶ Unintentional or deliberate rejection of learning (Hermwille & Sanderink, 2019; Koontz & Thomas, 2018)
  - ▶ Persistent resistance or ignorance (Boudet et al., 2020)

What keeps valid knowledge from being reliable?

## Example of conflicts

- ▶ Biases (e.g., Makov & Newman, 2016)
- ▶ After building coalition, validity of knowledge in doubt (e.g., Aronczyk & Espinoza, 2019; Wright & Nyberg, 2017)
- ▶ Entrenched invalid learning (e.g., Boudet et al., 2020)
- ▶ Knowledge gap between layman and (relative) experts (e.g., Camilleri et al., 2019)
- ▶ Self-interest (Rerup & Zbaracki, 2021)



# Example I

Maguire and Hardy (2009)

1. # Summarize MH using their language

# Examples

# MH Figure 1

| # Pipeline figure 1



## Example II

### Pipeline industry

1. # Summarize pipeline industry using my language



# Table of Contents

Why learning?

Sustainability & Learning

Data



Why should we (sustainability researchers) care about reliability & validity?



# Sustainability theory I

Validity–  
Environmental management

- 1. Organizational level narratives

Reliability–  
Ecocentrism

- 1. Organizational level and above

2



<sup>2</sup>For now borrowing terminology from Purser et al. (1995).



# Sustainability theory I

## Validity– Environmental management

1. Organizational level narratives
2. Technology & clean-up

## Reliability– Ecocentrism

1. Organizational level and above
2. Greenwashing & pollution

2

<sup>2</sup>For now borrowing terminology from Purser et al. (1995).

# Sustainability theory I

## Validity– Environmental management

1. Organizational level narratives
2. Technology & clean-up
3. Rationality & bounded rationality

## Reliability– Ecocentrism

1. Organizational level and above
2. Greenwashing & pollution
3. Social constructivism

2

<sup>2</sup>For now borrowing terminology from Purser et al. (1995).

# Sustainability theory I

## Validity– Environmental management

1. Organizational level narratives
2. Technology & clean-up
3. Rationality & bounded rationality
4. Learning diffuses horizontally

## Reliability– Ecocentrism

1. Organizational level and above
2. Greenwashing & pollution
3. Social constructivism
4. Learning meets counterforce

2

<sup>2</sup>For now borrowing terminology from Purser et al. (1995).

# Sustainability theory I

## Validity– Environmental management

1. Organizational level narratives
2. Technology & clean-up
3. Rationality & bounded rationality
4. Learning diffuses horizontally

## Reliability– Ecocentrism

1. Organizational level and above
2. Greenwashing & pollution
3. Social constructivism
4. Learning meets counterforce

2

⇒ Underlying models of change & collective learning

<sup>2</sup>For now borrowing terminology from Purser et al. (1995).



# Table of Contents

Why learning?

Sustainability & Learning

Data





## Exemplary phenomena

1. Industry-driven deregulation in Texas/Louisiana



## Exemplary phenomena

1. Industry-driven deregulation in Texas/Louisiana
2. Pipeline spill into Houston River 94'





## Exemplary phenomena

1. Industry-driven deregulation in Texas/Louisiana
2. Pipeline spill into Houston River 94'
3. Public/private differences



Thanks!

## References I

-  Aronczyk, M., & Espinoza, M. I. (2019). Sustainable communication: Green PR and the export of corporate environmentalism, 1989–1997. *Environmental Sociology*, 5(3), 308–322. <https://doi.org/10.1080/23251042.2018.1564455>
-  Boudet, H., Giordono, L., Zanocco, C., Satein, H., & Whitley, H. (2020). Event attribution and partisanship shape local discussion of climate change after extreme weather. *Nature Climate Change*, 10(1), 69–76. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41558-019-0641-3>
-  Camilleri, A. R., Larrick, R. P., Hossain, S., & Patino-Echeverri, D. (2019). Consumers underestimate the emissions associated with food but are aided by labels. *Nature Climate Change*, 9(1), 53–58. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41558-018-0354-z>
-  Forster, P. (2017). Half a century of robust climate models. *Nature*, 545(7654), 296–297. <https://doi.org/10.1038/545296a>

## References II

-  Guarino, M.-V., Sime, L. C., Schröder, D., Malmierca-Vallet, I., Rosenblum, E., Ringer, M., Ridley, J., Feltham, D., Bitz, C., Steig, E. J., Wolff, E., Stroeve, J., & Sellar, A. (2020). Sea-ice-free Arctic during the Last Interglacial supports fast future loss. *Nature Climate Change*, 10(10), 928–932. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41558-020-0865-2>
-  Hermwille, L., & Sanderink, L. (2019). Make Fossil Fuels Great Again? The Paris Agreement, Trump, and the US Fossil Fuel Industry. *Global Environmental Politics*, 19(4), 45–62. [https://doi.org/10.1162/glep\\_a-00526](https://doi.org/10.1162/glep_a-00526)
-  Koontz, T. M., & Thomas, C. W. (2018). Use of science in collaborative environmental management: Evidence from local watershed partnerships in the Puget Sound. *Environmental Science & Policy*, 88, 17–23. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envsci.2018.06.007>

## References III

-  Maguire, S., & Hardy, C. (2009). Discourse and Deinstitutionalization: The Decline of DDT. *Academy of Management Journal*, 52(1), 148–178.  
<https://doi.org/10.5465/amj.2009.36461993>
-  Makov, T., & Newman, G. E. (2016). Economic gains stimulate negative evaluations of corporate sustainability initiatives. *Nature Climate Change*, 6(9), 844–846.  
<https://doi.org/10.1038/nclimate3033>
-  Manabe, S., & Wetherald, R. T. (1967). Thermal Equilibrium of the Atmosphere with a Given Distribution of Relative Humidity. *Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences*, 24(3), 241–259. [https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0469\(1967\)024<0241:TEOTAW>2.0.CO;2](https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0469(1967)024<0241:TEOTAW>2.0.CO;2)
-  March, J. G., Sproull, L. S., & Tamuz, M. (1991). Learning from Samples of One or Fewer. *Organization Science*, 2(1), 1–13. <https://doi.org/10.1287/orsc.2.1.1>

## References IV

-  Purser, R. E., Park, C., & Montuori, A. (1995). Limits to Anthropocentrism: Toward an Ecocentric Organization Paradigm? *Academy of Management Review*, 20(4), 1053–1089.  
<https://doi.org/10.5465/amr.1995.9512280035>
-  Rerup, C., & Zbaracki, M. J. (2021). The Politics of Learning from Rare Events. *Organization Science*.  
<https://doi.org/10.1287/orsc.2020.1424>
-  Wright, C., & Nyberg, D. (2017). An Inconvenient Truth: How Organizations Translate Climate Change into Business as Usual. *Academy of Management Journal*, 60(5), 1633–1661. <https://doi.org/10.5465/amj.2015.0718>