

Positioning the Phenomenon of Pipeline Spills in the Literature

Julian Barg

barg.julian@gmail.com

Ivey Business School

Positioning the Phenomenon of Pipeline Spills in the Literature

The phenomenon of pipeline spills can be approached from different angles. Apart from learning, there is the angle of other organizations' responses to a focal firm's oil spill (especially from a network perspective). And there is a cross-sectional puzzle: what differentiates a pipeline operator that experiences many spills from one that experiences few? The learning perspective encompasses a longitudinal view: when or how does a pipeline operator improve its track record?

This last longitudinal story, which the learning angle encompasses, is attractive with regard to the motivation of such piece. We do already know that the environmental impacts exist, and we have a hunch that the response, save for a couple major incidents, is inadequate (since the responding actors have not been successful in ending the phenomenon of pipeline spills). If we were to take the current status quo as a starting point, we might end up with some implications that can be applied to the pipeline operator industry as it currently stands, implications that could yield some concrete medium term improvements over the current status quo¹.

However, the learning literature is not a homogeneous entity. There are (at least) three different avenues from learning into pipeline safety, and eventually into environmental performance: (1) the effect of experience, (2) rare events, (3) failure, and (4) population-level learning. Let's look at these three one at a time.

(1) The effect of experience

Learning is typically defined as "a change in [an] organization's knowledge [or behavior] that occurs as a function of experience" (Argote 2013, 31). With the interest of reducing oil spills in mind, it would be interesting to see whether as a function of experience (in operating pipelines), operations make headway in safety. Further, what attributes (of the focal organization or the environment) would aid or impede this learning process? However, the learning literature in this discourse (of learning as a function of cumulative experience) has taken an uncritical view so far. It is assumed an organization gets better at a task, the longer it performs the task. But what if this task is not "operating a pipeline safely", but rather "spilling oil and getting away with it"?

(2) Learning from rare events and failures

Change this section - separate rare events and failure. (2) Very few oil spills have a disproportionate (relative to the median) spill volume; when those spills occur near a water way, the oil become difficult to recover, and the environmental impact can be enormous. While the average oil spill receives little to no coverage, larger oil spills might attain a lot of attention. Subsequently, stakeholders may pressure the operator and its peers to change their behavior. Or the oil spill may lead to high cost (e.g., through lawsuits) which other

¹ Although I am afraid we might just end up with an unimaginative statement along the lines of "Ey, we need enforcement, jo!"

actors include in their risk analysis. Business as usual over an extended period of time might result in mindlessness and complacency, which squashes innovation and change. On the other hand rare events (and particularly failures) offer valuable information may be path-breaking (March, Sproull, & Tamuz 1991). As a result of a rare event, a cost-benefit analysis for action on pipeline safety might swing in favor of pipeline safety. Or the incident might result in a search process that leads to measures (for pipeline safety) being considered at all for the first time (the cost-benefit analysis might then just become a ceremony).

(3) Learning from failure

Use Madsen and Desai

(4) Population-level learning

(3) Exhibit 1 shows that from an environmental perspective, we would not just care about the largest couple of incidents. Up until the 1000s or so incident, the cumulative spill volume from all spills is still increasing significantly.

References

- Argote, L. (2013). Organization Learning: A Theoretical Framework. In *Organizational learning* (pp. 31–56). Boston, MA: Springer US. Retrieved from http://link.springer.com/10.1007/978-1-4614-5251-5_2 doi: 10.1007/978-1-4614-5251-5_2
- March, J. G., Sproull, L. S., & Tamuz, M. (1991). Learning from Samples of One or Fewer. *Organization Science*, 2(1), 1–13. Retrieved from <http://pubsonline.informs.org/doi/abs/10.1287/orsc.2.1.1> doi: 10.1287/orsc.2.1.1