Ch. 2 Structure

Julian Barg

September 28, 2020

1 Introduction

- Grand challenges: identify, problematize important real-world problems (George et al., 2016)
- Important: resource use (George et al., 2015)
- Work in this space focusing on ESG metrics right now Give examples
- We should enter a level deeper

Data as raw and encompassing as possible

What is natural progression in context, rather than rhetorics

- \rightarrow Tease learning?
- Do this for one example where resource use is as clear as could be Introduction of pipeline industry and available data

1.1 Can polluters learn to be clean?

- Goal: to appraise pipeline industry's trajectory
- Assumption: boundedly rational actors that have an interest to reduce pollution

Introduce BTOF assumptions

- Use a learning framework to assess their progression
- Examples of their learning in technology

E.g., brief history of pipeline technology? Or their industry learning curve?

• Limits to learning: pollution continues to be an issue

2 Lit review

• What is required of the appraisal according to George et al. (2016)

Raises 8 points on different levels, actors, multilevel

Articulating and Participating

Actor Needs and Aspirations

Societal Barriers

Organizational Constraints

Institutional Contexts

Multilevel Actions

Coordinating Architectures

Reinforcing Mechanisms

Outcomes and Impact

• To skip to solution is incomplete!

E.g., Ferraro et al. (2015); Slawinski and Bansal (2015)

Focus on identify sustainable companies \rightarrow miss industry-wide trends

• In line with broader literature (Reyers et al., 2018)

2.1 Organizations acquiring knowledge

- Learning captures some required elements such as levels and interaction
- First stream looks at one outcome variable over time

Learning curves/learning from experience

Multiple outcome variables would be better, but good start?

• Different mechanisms identified on different levels

Learning from failure

Vicarious learning (institutional context)

Industry-level learning (coordinating architecture, multilevel actions)

• Build in progression assumption without justification

2.2 Organizations developing routines

• Routines approach

Applicable to more tacit dimensions of resource use

Less suitable for purely quantitative view

But how accurate is the quantitative view anyways?

• Capturing more elements

Aspirations

Politics as barrier

BTOF & reliability

Validity (organizational constraints)

• Weaker progress assumption

3 Methods

- Pipeline industry good example of resource use
- What could I test

Learning from experience

Vicarious learning

Population level learning

Learning from failure

4 Discussion

• Learning

Describes well what organizations are aspiring in the industry

Shows divergence of rhetorics and reality

Explains coexistence of stagnation and rhetorics

- Complexities (incl. stagnation) captured by substreams of learning
- Overall trend is concerning
- Tease out dynamics that studies looking for sustainability could have missed
- No overall development of pipeline safety, despite individual promising developments

This is a system feature, akin to noise/variance in industry

- Individual actors making progress could be misleading
- New problems arising cancel out solutions found

This is contribution to learning

5 Conclusion

- Reliability and validity: could look into that for answers/solutions
- Limitation: assuming that spills encompassing

References

- Ferraro, F., Etzion, D., and Gehman, J. (2015). Tackling Grand Challenges Pragmatically: Robust Action Revisited. <u>Organization Studies</u>, 36(3):363–390.
- George, G., Howard-Grenville, J., Joshi, A., and Tihanyi, L. (2016). Understanding and Tackling Societal Grand Challenges through Management Research. Academy of Management Journal, 59(6):1880–1895.
- George, G., Schillebeeckx, S. J. D., and Liak, T. L. (2015). The Management of Natural Resources: An Overview and Research Agenda. <u>Academy of Management Journal</u>, 58(6):1595–1613.
- Reyers, B., Folke, C., Moore, M.-L., Biggs, R., and Galaz, V. (2018). Social-Ecological Systems Insights for Navigating the Dynamics of the Anthropocene. Annual Review of Environment and Resources, 43(1):267–289.
- Slawinski, N. and Bansal, P. (2015). Short on Time: Intertemporal Tensions in Business Sustainability. Organization Science, 26(2):531–549.