All for Naught in the Pipeline Industry? When Learning Does Not Solve the Problem

Julian Barg

barg.julian@gmail.com

Ivey Business School

Abstract

Pipeline spills are both frequent and serious pollution events. Since the 1990s, the industry has made great strides in developing computerized equipment to prevent and control leaks. Yet, pipeline spills today are almost as prevalent as they were in the 1980s, raising the question of why technology does not allow us to overcome the problem of normal accidents, even in simple systems. This paper juxtaposes learning in the pipeline industry on the incident and population level. The results indicate that broad, sweeping technological changes can miss the mark. Social processes, including the regulator that is supposed to act as a watchdog, can function to mask this problem by promoting the technological "solution".

All for Naught in the Pipeline Industry? When Learning Does Not Solve the Problem

Organizational learning comes down to choices. Firms can either invest in improving existing technology, or develop new technology (March 1991). Investing in the "wrong" technology can lead to technological lock-ins (Levinthal & March 1993). The actors in the pipeline industry have selected a number of technological solutions to resolve their most pressing issues. In terms of direct, regular environmental impacts, the industry is performing well. Transporting liquids by pipeline (or by pipe in general for that matter) is much more efficient than the alternatives, transport by rail or truck. But the industry is regularly shook by spills. When a pipeline spill occurs, the oil quickly infiltrates the soil and seeps into the groundwater.[1] The environmental degradation caused by oil affects the local environment, and the local populace, too: a 2019 sibling comparison study on oil spills in Nigeria found that in localities that are affected by oil spills, for every 1,000 live births, an additional 38.3 neonatal deaths occur(Bruederle & Hodler 2019).

The most prominent technologies of pipeline operators in their fight against pipeline spills are leak detection systems and SCADA systems, particularly remote control valves.

[1] The infiltration depth in sand is assumed to be over 10m in the first day alone (Bonvicini, Antonioni, Morra, & Cozzani 2015).

References

Bonvicini, S., Antonioni, G., Morra, P., & Cozzani, V. (2015). Quantitative assessment of environmental risk due to accidental spills from onshore pipelines. *Process Safety and Environmental Protection*, *93*, 31–49. Retrieved from https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0957582014000536 doi: 10.1016/j.psep.2014.04.007

Bruederle, A., & Hodler, R. (2019). Effect of oil spills on infant mortality in Nigeria. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, *116*(12), 5467–5471. Retrieved from http://www.pnas.org/lookup/doi/10.1073/pnas.1818303116 doi: 10.1073/pnas.1818303116

Levinthal, D. A., & March, J. G. (1993). The Myopia of Learning. *Strategic Management Journal*, *14*(S2), 95–112. Retrieved from http://doi.wiley.com/10.1002/smj.4250141009 doi: 10.1002/smj.4250141009

March, J. G. (1991). Exploration and Exploitation in Organizational Learning. *Organization Science*, *2*(1), 71–87. Retrieved from http://pubsonline.informs.org/doi/abs/10.1287/orsc.2.1.71 doi: 10.1287/orsc.2.1.71