EXPERT ASSESSMENT OF THE MATURITY MODEL FOR DATA SPACES

SUBMITTED IN PARTIAL FULFILLMENT FOR THE DEGREE OF MASTER OF SCIENCE

Julian Roloff

MASTER INFORMATION STUDIES
INFORMATION SYSTEMS
FACULTY OF SCIENCE
UNIVERSITY OF AMSTERDAM

Submitted on 22.05.2025



1 MATURITY MODEL ASSESSMENT

1

Table 1: Guidelines for Completing Maturity Model

No.	Guideline	Description	Helpful Documentation
1	Understanding the Purpose	This questionnaire helps assess the orga-	n/a
		nizational, legal, and governance maturity	
		of a data space. Your responses will help	
		identify strengths and areas for improve-	
		ment.	
2	Anonymity	You may choose to remain anonymous.	n/a
		If so, use a pseudonym or abbreviation	
		that is recognizable to the researcher but	
		unidentifiable to others.	
3	Model Structure Overview	The model contains 8 building blocks,	Model Overview, Assessment Sheet
		each made up of several concepts and di-	
		mensions. Each is assessed using scaled	
		questions (1–5) that reflect maturity levels	
		from Initial to Optimized.	
4	Maturity Levels	The model uses a 5-level scale: Initial (1),	Maturity Level Descriptions, Assessment
		Repeatable (2), Defined (3), Managed (4),	Sheet
		and Optimized (5). Rate each question	
		based on the current state of your data	
		space, choosing the score that best de-	
	0 01 :0 ::	scribes the least mature applicable aspect.	
5	Scope Clarification	Answer questions for all dimensions that	Scope Form, Concept Description: Ta-
		are relevant to your data space. If a ques-	bles 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, and 18, Matu-
		tion does not apply to your context, leave it blank and note "Not Applicable" where	rity Model: Tables ??, ??, ??, ??, ??, ??, ??, and ??
			and !!
		possible. Please avoid skipping questions solely due to perceived low maturity.	
6	Completing the Questionnaire	For each question, read the description	Questionnaire Form, Traceability Table
"	Completing the Questionnaire	carefully and select the score that best fits	Questionnaire rorm, fraceability rable
		your data space. Aim for an honest and ac-	
		curate reflection rather than an idealized	
		state. Use the accompanying documenta-	
		tion if clarification is needed.	
7	Reviewing Your Input	After completing the questionnaire, re-	Assessment Summary Sheet
	1	view your responses to ensure consistency	,
		and accuracy. You may revise any answers	
		before submission.	
8	Submitting Responses	Submit your completed questionnaire	Assessment Tool
		through the provided digital form or as	
		instructed. You may retain a copy of your	
		responses for your records.	
9	Result Interpretation	The results will be analyzed by the re-	n/a
		searcher to identify maturity gaps and	
		strengths across the eight building blocks.	
		You will receive a visual summary and per-	
		sonalized feedback (if applicable).	
10	Feedback	You are encouraged to provide feedback	Expert Evaluation Form
		on the questionnaire's clarity, usability,	
		and completeness. Use the comment sec-	
		tion at the end or the formal Expert Eval-	
		uation Form.	

Table 2: Generic Maturity Level Descriptions for the Maturity Model

Level	Title	Description
Level 1	Initial	This dimension is in creation and/or in an undeveloped state. The processes described by this dimension are ad
		hoc or non-existent, responsibilities are unclear, and compliance or governance mechanisms are either missing
		or ineffective. There is no formal structure, documentation, or oversight existent/documented. This dimension is
		no prioritized within the data space.
Level 2	Repeatable	This level indicates that some basic structures and responsibilities are in place, mostly informal or inconsistently
		applied. The processes may be partially documented but lack standardization. Legal, organizational, or governance
		efforts are reactive and driven by immediate operational needs. The priority of this dimension is low and there
		is no regularly pursued improvement.
Level 3	Defined	The dimension is formalized and aligned with accepted industry practices. Policies, roles, and responsibilities
		are clearly defined and documented. Legal and governance frameworks are systematically implemented. The
		dimension is treated as a functional part of the data space and periodic reviews may occur.
Level 4	Managed	The dimension is strategically embedded into data space operations. There is evidence of active monitoring,
		structured reviews, and targeted improvements. Legal and governance mechanisms are proactively enforced.
		Performance is measured, and there is cross-stakeholder coordination. The dimension is a recognized priority.
Level 5	Optimized	The dimension is continuously improved based on data-driven insights, KPIs, and best practices. Processes
		are automated where feasible, aligned with advanced standards, and demonstrate leadership within the data
		ecosystem. Governance, legal compliance, and organizational readiness are highly mature, adaptive, and future-
		focused.

Q	Concept	Dimension	Assessment Question	Scale/Scoring	Source Text
Q1	Value Propositions	Clarity and Specificity	How clearly are the value proposi- tions defined for data providers, re- cipients, and service providers?	1 (Vague or undefined) to 5 (Clearly documented, tailored, and regularly refined)	"A value proposition describes how an offering creates value for a user"
Q2			How frequently are value proposi- tions reviewed and updated based on participant feedback?	1 (Never) to 5 (Continuously, with data-driven adjustments)	"The business model should consider the various pains, gains, incentives"
Q3		Stakeholder Coverage	To what extent do value proposi- tions address the needs of all par- ticipant segments (data providers, recipients, service providers, gover- nance)?	1 (Focus on one segment) to 5 (Proactively includes all and emerging segments)	"A data space also has value propositions to federation service providers"
Q4		Implementation Effectiveness	How effectively are value proposi- tions delivered through standard- ized interfaces or services?	1 (No consistent delivery) to 5 (Fully integrated, continuously improved delivery)	"An important part of a data space's offering is a high level of standard-isation of interfaces"
Q5	Multi-sidedness	Segment Engagement	How actively do data providers, recipients, and service providers participate in the data space?	(Minimal participation) to 5 (Bal- anced, high engagement across all segments)	"Multi-sidedness means that a busi- ness model serves interaction be- tween different types of users"
Q6			How balanced is the representation of segments in the data space's ac- tivities and decision-making?	1 (Dominated by one segment) to 5 (Equitable representation across all segments)	"The business model should clearly outline the benefits it offers"
Q7		Network Effect Realization	To what extent are network effects observed in the data space?	1 (No network effects) to 5 (Strong same-side and cross-side effects drive growth)	"Increased attraction from an estab- lished user base is referred to as net- work effects"
Q8		Incentive Alignment	How well are incentives structured in the value proposition to encour- age participation from all segments?	1 (No incentives) to 5 (Optimized in- centives tailored to each segment)	"These actors must align their efforts and require appropriate incentives"
Q9	Collaborative Business Model	Co-creation Process	How formalized is the process for co-creating the business model with participants?	1 (Ad-hoc) to 5 (Structured, doc- umented, and continuously im- proved)	"The development of the data space's business model guided by the co-creation method"
Q10		Stakeholder Alignment	To what extent do participants agree on objectives and incentives for the data space?	1 (No agreement) to 5 (Full alignment, regularly reinforced)	"The business model should consider objectives and business models of the participants"
Q11		Ecosystem Integration	How well does the data space's busi- ness model integrate with partici- pants' individual business models?	1 (No integration) to 5 (Seamless integration, mutually beneficial)	"The data space business model de- pends on the viability of individual business models"
Q12	Governance Authority Responsibilities	Role Formalization	How clearly are the governance au- thority's responsibilities for busi- ness model oversight documented?	1 (Undefined) to 5 (Fully documented, widely communicated)	"The governance authority is responsible for overseeing its operation"
Q13		Decision-Making Process	How structured is the governance authority's process for making busi- ness model decisions?	1 (Ad-hoc) to 5 (Formalized, inclusive, and monitored)	"The governance authority is re- sponsible for ensuring rules are clear"
Q14		Monitoring and Adaptation	How effectively does the governance authority monitor and adapt the business model?	(No monitoring) to 5 (Continuous monitoring with proactive adapta- tions)	"Its business model should be contin- uously aligned with developments within its ecosystem"
Q15	Dynamic Capabilities	Environmental Monitoring	How systematically does the data space monitor internal and external developments affecting the business model?	1 (No monitoring) to 5 (Systematic, data-driven monitoring)	"This includes monitoring develop- ments in both its external environ- ment and internal performance"
Q16		Adaptation Process	How formalized is the process for redesigning and implementing busi- ness model changes?	1 (Ad-hoc) to 5 (Structured, tested, and scalable process)	"Developing changes to the business model and governance to guide implementation"
Q17		Scalability	How capable is the data space of scaling its business model to support growth?	1 (No scalability) to 5 (Highly scal- able, supporting diverse use cases)	"How will growth ambitions be realized?"
Q18	Revenue and Cost Management	Revenue Model Diversity	How diverse and stable are the data space's revenue streams (e.g., fees, subsidies)?	1 (Single, unstable source) to 5 (Multiple, stable, and diversified sources)	"Income may originate from multi- ple sources, including public funding and participant fees"
Q19		Cost Transparency	How transparent and controlled are the data space's operational and gov- ernance costs?	1 (Unclear, uncontrolled) to 5 (Fully transparent, optimized)	"What costs are associated with data space operations, and how are they managed?"
Q20		Financial Sustainability	To what extent do revenues cover costs to ensure long-term financial sustainability?	1 (Significant deficit) to 5 (Surplus, supporting growth)	"The revenues and costs must align with the data space's profit and growth strategies"

Table 3: Assessment Framework Business Model

Q	Concept	Dimension	Assessment Question	Scale/Scoring	Source Text
Q1	Use Case Identification and Monitoring	Process Formalization	How formalized is the process for identifying and screening use case scenarios?	1 (Ad-hoc, no process) to 5 (Automated, data-driven process)	"Identifying and monitoring use case scenarios Collecting ideas ini- tial stage-gate screening"
Q2		Stakeholder Engagement	To what extent are stakeholders (e.g., participants, other data spaces) en- gaged in generating use case ideas?	1 (Limited to internal team) to 5 (Ecosystem-wide collaboration)	"Potential sources for ideas needs of participants other data spaces"
Q3		Monitoring Capability	How sophisticated are the tools used to monitor the progress and out- comes of use case scenarios?	1 (No tracking) to 5 (Predictive analytics)	"Monitoring the progress keep a list of identified use case scenarios"
Q4		Process Formalization	How consistently are use case sce- narios screened for market potential and alignment with data space ob- jectives?	1 (No screening) to 5 (Automated, strategic screening)	"Screening the best ideas market potential"
Q5	Use Case Scenario Refinement	Process Structure	How standardized is the process for refining use case scenarios, includ- ing the use of templates?	1 (No templates, ad-hoc) to 5 (Fully integrated, automated tools)	"Refining using templates like the Data Cooperation Canvas"
Q6		Collaboration Effectiveness	How effectively do participants col- laborate during the co-creation of use case scenarios?	1 (No co-creation) to 5 (Dynamic, scalable co-creation)	"Orchestrates the co-creation efforts across participants"
Q7		Compliance Integration	To what extent are regulatory, business, and security requirements integrated into the refinement process?	1 (No consideration) to 5 (Proactive compliance with automated audits)	"Business case, regulation, contrac- tual issues, interoperability, and se- curity"
Q8		Process Structure	How modular are the data products and services designed during use case refinement to support multiple use cases?	1 (No modularity) to 5 (Fully modu- lar, reusable across data spaces)	"Data products and value creation services modular"
Q9	Use Case Implementation	Infrastructure Readiness	How ready is the data space infras- tructure to support the implementa- tion of use cases?	1 (No infrastructure) to 5 (Interoper- able across data spaces)	"Data space infrastructure sets the boundaries"
8		Participant Commitment	How formalized are the agreements and commitments from participants for use case implementation?	Q10 (No agreements) to 5 (Dynamic, real-time contracts)	"Necessary contracts for the use case need to have been made"
Q11		Implementation Strategy	How structured is the strategy for implementing use cases (e.g., stepwise, agile)?	1 (Ad-hoc) to 5 (Optimized, scalable framework)	"Stepwise implementation mini- mum viable use case"
Q12		Implementation Strategy	How effectively does the data space support the development of mini- mum viable use cases during imple- mentation?	1 (No support) to 5 (Optimized, agile support for MVUs)	"Minimum viable use case partial implementation"
Q13	Continuous Improvement	Performance Analysis	How robust are the methods for an- alyzing the performance of opera- tional use cases?	1 (No measurement) to 5 (Predictive analytics)	"Continuously analyzing the performance of use cases"
Q14		Change Management	How structured is the process for managing changes to operational use cases?	1 (No process) to 5 (Automated, pri- oritized roadmap)	"Manage carefully the changes made roadmap made"
Q15		Participant Involvement	To what extent are participants in- volved in planning and implement- ing improvements to use cases?	1 (No input) to 5 (Ecosystem-wide co-creation)	"Done in collaboration with all the essential participants"
Q16		Performance Analysis	How systematically are lessons from abandoned use cases documented and used to inform future develop- ment?	1 (No documentation) to 5 (Automated knowledge base)	"Which ones were abandoned and for which reason"
Q17	Use Case Orchestration	Role Definition	How clearly defined are the responsibilities of the use case orchestrator?	1 (No defined role) to 5 (Optimized, cross-data-space role)	"Use case orchestrator accountable for a specific use case"
Q18		Support Mechanisms	What level of support (e.g., tools, templates, training) is provided to use case orchestrators?	1 (No support) to 5 (Automated, integrated systems)	"Data space should offer tools and support to the orchestrator"
Q19		Scalability	How capable is the orchestrator in managing multiple or complex use cases?	1 (Single, simple use case) to 5 (Cross-data-space use cases)	"Need for orchestration is in- creased complex use cases"
Q20		Scalability	To what extent are cross-data-space collaborations for use case develop- ment facilitated?	1 (No collaboration) to 5 (Seamless cross-data-space orchestration)	"Collaboration possibilities in other data spaces"

Table 4: Assessment Framework Use Case Development

Q	Concept	Dimension	Assessment Question	Scale/Scoring	Source Text (Page, Phrase)
Q1	Data Products	Formalization	To what extent are data prod- ucts documented with standardized metadata (e.g., FAIR principles, Data Act requirements)?	1 (Not documented) to 5 (Fully stan- dardized, machine-readable, leading standards)	"Data products comply with a data product specification described us- ing metadata"; "FAIR principles."
Q2		Quality Assurance	How consistently are quality assur- ance processes applied to data prod- ucts?	1 (No processes) to 5 (Metrics-driven, continuous improvement)	"Quality assurance, evaluation and validation of the data product."
Q3		Quality Assurance	How frequently are data products evaluated for consumer satisfaction and purpose fulfillment?	1 (Never) to 5 (Continuous, feedback- driven evaluation)	"Validation that it fulfills its require- ments and intended purposes."
Q4		Accessibility	How accessible are data products to participants via catalogues and de- livery options (e.g., APIs, web inter- faces)?	1 (Not accessible) to 5 (Seamless, adaptive access)	"Offered to participants in a consum- able form via catalogue"; "Delivery options (e.g., APIs)."
Q5			How effectively do data products support reuse across multiple use cases?	1 (No reuse) to 5 (Optimized for cross-use-case value)	"Data products containing the same data can be delivered to multiple use cases."
Q6	Services	Formalization	To what extent are services doc- umented with metadata and pub- lished in a catalogue?	1 (No documentation) to 5 (Fully standardized, discoverable)	"Services described properly using metadata and offered through a cat- alogue."
Q7		Diversity	How diverse are the value-creation services offered to participants?	1 (No services) to 5 (Innovative, diverse services)	"Value-creation services, e.g., data visualization, anonymization."
Q8		Integration	How well are services integrated into participant workflows and use cases?	1 (No integration) to 5 (Fully embedded, tailored)	"Services offered to participants"; "Drive value creation."
Q9	Offering Strategy	Planning	Is there a documented strategy for identifying and prioritizing data products and services?	1 (No strategy) to 5 (Dynamic, goal- aligned strategy)	"Develop and maintain a strategy Identification and onboarding."
Q10			Are there mechanisms to prioritize onboarding of high-demand data products and services?	1 (No prioritization) to 5 (Proactive, data-driven prioritization)	"Priority onboarding of relevant data products and services."
Q11		Use Case Alignment	To what extent do offerings align with existing and potential use cases?	1 (No alignment) to 5 (Drives cross- use-case synergies)	"Serve existing and future use cases."
Q12		Network Effect Enablement	How effectively do offerings foster network effects by attracting new participants?	1 (No effect) to 5 (Significant growth and synergies)	"Foster the network effect of the data space."
Q13	Governance Rules	Rule Development	Are governance rules for data prod- ucts and services formally defined (e.g., quality, licensing)?	1 (No rules) to 5 (Comprehensive, benchmark-setting rules)	"Responsibility of the governance authority to set rules."
Q14			How well do governance rules en- sure data product compliance with ethical and privacy standards?	1 (No consideration) to 5 (Leading ethical/privacy compliance)	"Ensure security, privacy, interoperability, and ethical considerations."
Q15		Enforcement	How consistently are governance rules enforced across offerings?	1 (No enforcement) to 5 (Automated, transparent enforcement)	"Enforcement of the governance rules."
Q16		Adaptability	How adaptable are governance rules to changing needs or regulations?	1 (Static) to 5 (Proactively updated)	"Maintaining these rules."
Q17	Participant Support	Tooling and Guidance	What tools or processes are provided to support participants in creating data products?	1 (No tools) to 5 (Innovative, comprehensive tools)	"Provide tools and processes to lower the barrier."
Q18		Engagement	How engaged are participants in developing and offering data products?	1 (No engagement) to 5 (Active, collaborative community)	"Support its participants in creating data products."
Q19			Are there incentives to encourage participants to offer high-quality data products?	1 (No incentives) to 5 (Effective, scalable incentives)	"Incentivizing participants to invest in developing data products."
Q20		Capacity Building	To what extent does support en- hance participants' ability to pro- duce high-quality data products?	1 (No support) to 5 (Scalable capacity-building programs)	"Improve the utility of the data fos- ter synergies."

Table 5: Assessment Framework Data Space Offering

Q	Concept	Dimension	Assessment Question	Scale/Scoring	Source Text
Q1	Service Provision	Formalization	To what extent are the types of en- abling services (e.g., federation, par- ticipant agent) provided by interme- diaries/operators formally defined in the data space rulebook?	1 (Ad-hoc, undefined) to 5 (Fully standardized, dynamically opti- mized)	"Intermediaries and operators can offer technical federation services, participant agent services The governance framework should in- clude information regarding all ser- vice providers"
Q2		Accessibility	How accessible are intermediary/- operator services to diverse partic- ipants in terms of onboarding sup- port and usability?	(High barriers, limited access) to (Universal access, automated on- boarding)	"Improve data space accessibility and usability for different partici- pants"
Q3		Scalability	How well do intermediary/operator services support scalability in terms of handling increased participants and transactions?	1 (No scalability) to 5 (Automated, exponential scaling)	"Contribute to their scalability"
Q4	Governance Framework Integration	Formalization	To what extent does the governance framework (rulebook) clearly define the rights and responsibilities of in- termediaries/operators?	1 (No defined rules) to 5 (Comprehensive, dynamically updated rules)	"The governance framework may define different kinds of rights and responsibilities for the providers"
Q5		Compliance Enforcement	How effectively does the DSGA enforce compliance of intermedi- aries/operators with the governance framework (e.g., through audits, cer- tifications)?	1 (No enforcement) to 5 (Proactive, automated compliance monitoring)	"Auditing requirement or possibility: e.g., DSGA may have right to audit service providers"
Q6		Neutrality	To what degree do intermediaries/- operators maintain neutrality by avoiding conflicts of interest (e.g., bundling enabling and value cre- ation services)?	1 (Frequent conflicts) to 5 (Strict neutrality enforced)	"Bundling allowance: e.g., whether the service provider must provide exclusively some specific service in order to maintain its neutrality"
Q7	Business and Revenue Models	Formalization	How clearly are the revenue models (e.g., fees, revenue sharing) of inter- mediaries/operators documented in the data space?	1 (Undocumented) to 5 (Fully transparent, optimized models)	"Business model characteristics de- scribe how service providers con- tribute to the overall economics multiple options for revenue mod- els"
Q8		Economic Contribution	To what extent do intermediary/op- erator business models contribute to the economic growth of the data space (e.g., attracting new partici- pants)?	1 (No contribution) to 5 (Significant, measurable growth)	"Agency intermediaries are directly incentivised to acquire new cus- tomers inviting new participants"
Q9	Interoperability and Collaboration	Technical Integration	How well do intermediaries/opera- tors adhere to technical standards for interoperability within the data space?	1 (No standards) to 5 (Full compli- ance, leadership in standards)	"Collaboration between intermedi- aries requires technical integra- tion planning and governance"
Q10		Collaboration Scope	To what extent do intermediaries/- operators collaborate (or compete fungibly) to enable seamless service provision within the data space?	1 (No collaboration/competition) to 5 (Optimized, interoperable collabo- ration)	"Collaboration between intermedi- aries providing the same enabling services providers can compete but must always be fungible"
Q11		Collaboration Scope	How effectively do intermediaries/- operators support cross-data space interoperability?	1 (No cross-space support) to 5 (Seamless cross-space integration)	"Collaboration between operators to facilitate interoperability between data spaces"
Q12	Risk Management	Process Definition	To what degree are risk manage- ment processes (e.g., for vendor lock- in, sovereignty) formally defined for intermediaries/operators?	1 (No processes) to 5 (Comprehensive, proactive processes)	"Risks such as vendor lock-in section 3.3.6. explains how to ad- dress the common challenges" (Page 2, Purpose).
Q13		Diversification	How diversified is the data space's reliance on intermediaries/operators to mitigate dependency risks?	(Single provider dependency) to 5 (Fully diversified, resilient model)	"Designing data spaces with multi- ple operators and intermediaries to distribute vendor dependency risks"
Q14	Regulatory Compliance	Alignment	To what extent do intermediaries/- operators comply with applicable regulatory frameworks (e.g., GDPR, DGA)?	1 (Non-compliant) to 5 (Full compliance, proactive alignment)	"Intermediaries and operators are subject to broader legal frame- works GDPR, DGA"
Q15		Support Mechanisms	How effectively do intermediaries/- operators provide tools or services to support the data space's regula- tory compliance?	1 (No support) to 5 (Comprehensive, automated compliance tools)	"Service providers may help achieve regulatory compliance by provid- ing services that support"
Q16	Service Provider Responsibilities	Process Formalization	To what degree are service provider responsibilities formally defined in contracts or the rulebook?	1 (Undefined) to 5 (Fully defined, dy- namically updated)	"Service level agreements and per- formance metrics provide clear mappings"
Q17		Transparency	How transparent are intermediary/- operator monitoring and reporting systems for responsibilities?	1 (No transparency) to 5 (Fully transparent, automated reporting)	"Implement monitoring and report- ing systems that can track and demonstrate compliance"
Q18		Process Formalization	To what extent are incident response and business continuity plans for intermediaries/operators integrated across the data space?	1 (No plans) to 5 (Fully integrated, multi-framework plans)	"Develop integrated incident re- sponse procedures that account for multi-framework obligations"
Q19		Process Formalization	How well-defined are exit strategies and data portability provisions for intermediaries/operators in the data space?	1 (No provisions) to 5 (Standardized, seamless portability)	"Establish clear guidelines for man- aging exits standard procedures for data portability"
Q20		Transparency	To what degree do intermediaries/- operators undergo regular audits to ensure compliance with data space	1 (No audits) to 5 (Proactive, cross- framework audits)	"Define transparency and audit re- quirements provide standardised reporting templates"

Table 6: Assessment Framework Intermediaries and Operators

Concept	Dimension	Assessment Question	Scale/Scoring	Source Text
Organizational Form Decision	Decision Process Formalization	Is there a documented process for deciding the Data Space's organiza- tional form, involving all relevant stakeholders?	1 (No process) – 5 (Fully documented, inclusive process)	"While developing the business model, they also consider the fol- lowing non-exhaustive list of ques- tions"
	Alignment with Business Model	Does the chosen organizational form (e.g., unincorporated, incorporated) align with the Data Space's business model and scalability needs?	1 (No alignment) – 5 (Optimal alignment)	"Each of those legal forms needs to be considered in detail depending on the data space business model"
	Adaptability	Is there a defined mechanism to eval- uate and change the organizational form if needed?	1 (No mechanism) – 5 (Proactive adaptation strategy)	"While the choice of legal form can be changed later on."
Governance Authority Establishment	Structural Definition	Are the governance authority's structure and roles (e.g., general assembly, management board) clearly defined and documented?	1 (Undefined) – 5 (Fully formalized)	"A body is a differentiated struc- ture e.g., general assembly of members and a management board."
		Are governance authority roles regu- larly reviewed to meet evolving Data Space needs?	1 (No review) – 5 (Optimized adjustments)	"The members can decide on the size and composition depending on the size and needs"
		Does the governance authority include specialized bodies (e.g., committees) for complex tasks as needed?	1 (No specialization) – 5 (Optimized structure)	"They are also more likely to need additional specialised bodies (e.g., working groups or committees)"
	Functional Scope	Does the governance authority consistently perform functions like rule-setting, compliance, and conflict resolution?	1 (Minimal functions) – 5 (Comprehensive functions)	"The role of a governance authority may entail setting internal rules ensuring compliance resolving conflicts"
		Does the governance authority use performance metrics to manage its functions?	1 (No metrics) – 5 (Proactive metrics)	"A governance authority also cre- ates mechanisms for continuous im- provement"
	Stakeholder Representation	Is there a formal process to ensure balanced representation of all mem- bers in the governance authority?	1 (No process) – 5 (Equitable representation)	"There are no legal requirements for equal representation which may lead to power imbalances"
		Are mechanisms in place to address power imbalances in governance au- thority decision-making?	1 (No mechanisms) – 5 (Inclusive mechanisms)	"It would be important to follow the best practices of corporate gover- nance."
Governance Framework Development	Rule Completeness	Does the governance framework include comprehensive internal rules (e.g., founding agreements, policies, technical specifications)?	1 (No rules) – 5 (Exhaustive rules)	"These internal rules can consist of founding agreements inter- nal policies technical specifica- tions"
		Are internal rules regularly updated to address new Data Space requirements?	1 (No updates) – 5 (Anticipatory updates)	"Each data space tailors the contents of the rulebook to its own needs"
		Are technical specifications fully in- tegrated into the governance frame- work?	1 (Not integrated) – 5 (Fully integrated)	"Technical specifications for the data space constitutes part of internal rules"
		Are governance procedures clearly defined within the framework?	1 (Undefined) – 5 (Comprehensive procedures)	"Each data space can draw up governance procedures (e.g., dispute resolution)."
	Process Integration	Is there a structured process for developing and updating internal rules, involving governance bodies?	1 (Ad-hoc) – 5 (Proactive process)	"All of the mentioned documents should be prepared and discussed by the executive body or working groups"
		Is the rule development process monitored and improved based on feedback?	1 (No monitoring) – 5 (Continuous improvement)	"Such an approach allows for more flexibility in the operation"
		Does the rule development process involve input from all relevant stakeholders?	1 (No involvement) – 5 (Collaborative process)	"Documents of a more general na- ture should be approved or voted on by the decision-making body"
	Accessibility and Usability	Are internal rules documented in a rulebook accessible to all Data Space participants?	1 (Inaccessible) – 5 (Highly accessible)	"Once adopted, all data space inter- nal rules are documented in a data space rulebook for operational use."
		Does the governance framework include tools to enhance rule usability for participants?	1 (No tools) – 5 (Advanced tools)	"For operational use"
		Is the rulebook maintained in both human- and machine-readable for- mats?	1 (No formats) – 5 (Dual formats)	"The rulebook must be expressed in a human-readable format and, if pos- sible, a machine-readable format."
	Governance Authority Establishment Governance Framework Develop-	Organizational Form Decision Decision Process Formalization Alignment with Business Model Adaptability Governance Authority Establishment Functional Scope Functional Scope Stakeholder Representation Governance Framework Development Process Integration Process Integration	Organizational Form Decision Decision Process Formalization Alignment with Business Model Alignment with Business Model Alignment with Business Model Alignment with Business Model Does the chosen organizational form, involving all relevant stakeholders? Does the chosen organizational form (e.g., unincorporated, incorporated, paign with the Data Space's business model and scalability needs? Adaptability Bi there a defined mechanism to evaluate and change the organizational form if needed? Are the governance authority is structure and roles (e.g., general assembly, management board) clearly structure and roles (e.g., general assembly, management board) clearly and become an evolving Data Space needs? Are governance authority consistently perform functions like rule-steing, compliance, and conflict resolution? Does the governance authority use performance metrics to manage its functions? Stakeholder Representation Stakeholder Representation Stakeholder Representation Are mechanisms in place to address power imbalances in governance authority use performance metrics to manage its functions? Are mechanisms in place to address power imbalances in governance authority with the performance metrics to manage its functions? Are mechanisms in place to address power imbalances in governance authority decision-making? Are internal rules regularly updated to address power imbalances in governance authority decision-making? Are internal rules regularly updated to address new Data Space requirements? Are governance procedures clearly defined within the framework? Are governance and updating internal rules, defined within the framework? Are governance procedures clearly defined within the framework? Are governance does not be a submitted and improved based on deedback? Are internal rules development process monitored and improved based on deedback? Are internal rules documented in a rulebook accessible to all Data Space participants? Does the governance framework include tools to enhance rule usabilit	Decision Process Formalization Let there a descumented process for of the control of the con

Table 7: Assessment Framework Organizational Form and Governance Authority

Q	Concept	Dimension	Assessment Question	Scale/Scoring	Source Text
Q1	Participant Roles and Responsibilities	Formalization	Are the roles and responsibilities of participants clearly documented in the Data Space rulebook?	1 = Not documented to 5 = Documented and dynamically refined	"Participants in a data space com- prise different entities documented in the data space's rulebook"
Q2		Enforcement	Are there mechanisms to enforce participant adherence to their re- sponsibilities?	1 = No mechanisms to 5 = Automated enforcement	"participation management needs to ensure the management of permis- sions"
Q3	Onboarding Process	Standardization	Is the onboarding process standard- ized and documented for all partici- pant types?	1 = Not standardized to 5 = Automated and optimized	"Efficient onboarding of participants is critical involves defining Gen- eral Terms and Conditions"
Q4		Efficiency	How efficiently can new participants integrate into the Data Space?	1 = Very slow to 5 = Immediate (automated)	"ensures that participants can quickly integrate into the data space"
Q5		Compliance Integration	Are legal and technical compliance checks integrated into the onboarding process?	1 = Not integrated to 5 = Automated compliance checks	"reviews the applicant's compliance with legal, technical, and operational standards"
Q6	Offboarding Process	Documentation	Are offboarding procedures clearly documented and accessible to participants?	1 = Not documented to 5 = Documented and regularly updated	"Documentation of exit procedures detailed steps for data transfer, ac- cess termination"
Q7		Data Handling	Are there robust protocols for secure data transfer or deletion during off- boarding?	1 = No protocols to 5 = Automated and secure protocols	"Implementing clear protocols for the secure transfer or deletion of data"
Q8		Compliance Verification	Does the offboarding process in- clude thorough verification of con- tractual and compliance obliga- tions?	1 = No verification to 5 = Automated verification	"verify that all contractual and com- pliance obligations have been met"
Q9	Compliance and Governance Alignment	Policy Alignment	Do participants align their inter- nal data governance with the Data Space's governance framework?	1 = No alignment to 5 = Proactively refined	"internal Data Governance pro- cesses need to be implemented and aligned with the overarching Data Governance framework"
Q10		Monitoring	How frequently are participants monitored for compliance with Data Space policies?	1 = Never to 5 = Continuous	"Active monitoring extends beyond initial onboarding, with continuous oversight"
Q11		Data Quality	Are there mechanisms to ensure data quality and provenance for shared data?	1 = No mechanisms to 5 = Automated quality assurance	"management of data quality, ob- servability of data transactions, data provenance"
Q12	Data Transaction Facilitation	Interoperability	Do intermediaries and operators support standardized, interoperable data exchange?	1 = No support to 5 = Automated and scalable	"facilitate data intermediaries and operators to ensure adherance of in- teroperability standards"
Q13		Security	Are data transactions secured against unauthorized access or misuse?	1 = No security measures to 5 = Advanced, automated security	"facilitating secure and compliant data transactions"
Q14	Stakeholder Engagement and Monitoring	Inclusivity	Are external stakeholders' con- cerns considered in governance pro- cesses?	1 = Not considered to 5 = Integrated into decision-making	"understand concerns and needs of external stakeholders"
Q15		Feedback Integration	Is participant feedback systemati- cally collected to improve participa- tion processes?	1 = No feedback collected to 5 = Data- driven optimization	"Feedback from participants is cru- cial enabling data-driven adjust- ments"

Table 8: Assessment Framework Participant Management

Q	Concept	Dimension	Assessment Question	Scale/Scoring	Source Text
Q1	Triggers	Identification Process	How systematically are triggers identified to determine applicable legal frameworks?	1 = No process to identify triggers; done ad-hoc. 5 = Automated, system- atic trigger identification across all contexts.	"This process starts with identifying elements, criteria, and/or events in the data space that flag the need to apply or comply with a particular framework."
Q2		Scope Coverage	To what extent are all relevant legal frameworks (EU, national, sectoral) covered by the trigger identification process?	1 = Only a few frameworks considered, inconsistently. 5 = Comprehensive coverage of all relevant frameworks, proactively updated.	"The triggers may be classified into different categories, such as: Types of data Types of data space partici- pants Types of use cases."
Q3	Data Space Requirements	Formalization	How formalized are the processes to comply with data space-specific legal requirements?	1 = No formal compliance processes exist. 5 = Fully documented and en- forced compliance processes, regu- larly updated.	"The category of data space require- ments encompasses legislation that directly regulates data spaces."
Q4		Interoperability Integration	To what extent are interoperability standards (e.g., Data Act Article 33) integrated into data space operations?	1 = No interoperability standards in- tegrated. 5 = Interoperability stan- dards fully embedded and harmo- nized across operations.	"A key piece of legislation that di- rectly regulates data spaces is the Data Act to ensure data interoper- ability."
Q5			How well are interoperability re- quirements from sectoral regula- tions incorporated into the data space?	1 = No sectoral interoperability re- quirements incorporated, to 5 = Sec- toral interoperability requirements fully integrated and harmonized.	"According to art. 52 (12) EHDS, Member States and the Commission shall seek to ensure interoperabil- ity"
Q6	Additional Legal Considerations	Awareness	How well are additional legal considerations (e.g., cybersecurity, IP) documented within the data space?	1 = No documentation of additional legal considerations. 5 = Comprehen- sive, accessible documentation with stakeholder training.	"In addition to the legal frameworks outlined above it's crucial to con- sider additional legal aspects stem- ming from, for instance, cybersecu- rity legislative frameworks."
Q7		Process Integration	To what extent are additional legal considerations integrated into data space workflows and processes?	1 = No integration into workflows. 5 = Seamless integration into all work- flows, continuously improved.	"Ensuring robust cybersecurity mea- sures is essential to protect data in- tegrity and privacy"
Q8			To what extent are cybersecurity legal requirements integrated into data space operations?	1 = No cybersecurity requirements integrated. to 5 = Cybersecurity re- quirements fully integrated, contin- uously enhanced.	"Ensuring robust cybersecurity mea- sures is essential to protect data in- tegrity and privacy"
Q9	Tools for Compliance	Adoption	How extensively are technical tools (e.g., privacy-enhancing technolo- gies) used to address legal require- ments?	No tools used for compliance. 5 Comprehensive use of advanced tools across all compliance areas.	"Such technical tools could vary from tools that assist in identifying relevant requirement to (partially) automating compliance."
Q10		Automation	To what extent are tools for automated compliance monitoring deployed in the data space?	1 = No automated monitoring tools.to 5 = Advanced automated monitoring tools fully deployed.	"continuous compliance monitor- ing, as well as accountability and transparency in reporting."
Q11		Automation	To what degree are compliance processes automated within the data space?	1 = Fully manual compliance pro- cesses. 5 = Fully automated com- pliance processes, scalable and effi- cient.	"Yet, there is a growing need for automated compliance solutions, which offer greater scalability, effi- ciency"
Q12	Regulatory Compliance Flowcharts	Utilization	To what extent are regulatory com- pliance flowcharts actively used by governance authorities and partici- pants?	1 = Flowcharts not used. to 5 = Flowcharts actively used by all stake-holders, embedded in operations.	"Once the applicability of a given framework or requirement is identi- fied, the flowcharts refer the entity to potential 'check list'"
Q13	Governance Authority Role	Policy Establishment	How formalized are the internal policies established by the gover- nance authority for regulatory com- pliance?	1 = No formal policies exist. to 5 = Fully formalized policies, consistently enforced and updated.	"It helps to properly define some par- ticipant roles and responsibilities, es- tablish internal policies"
Q14		Monitoring	How rigorous and frequent is the monitoring of regulatory compli- ance within the data space?	1 = No monitoring occurs. to 5 = Continuous, rigorous monitoring with automated reporting.	"and continuously monitor the reg- ulatory compliance of a data space."
Q15		Policy Establishment	How proactive is the governance au- thority in updating compliance pro- cesses based on new legal frame- works?	1 = Reactive or no updates to compli- ance processes. to 5 = Proactive, dy- namic updates to processes for new frameworks.	"Guiding data space initiatives on or- ganising compliance with relevant legislation and ensuring that reg- ulatory compliance is maintained throughout the lifecycle"
Q16	Participant Rights and Obligations	Communication	How clearly are participants informed about their legal rights and obligations within the data space?	1 = No communication to partici- pants. to 5 = Clear, accessible com- munication tailored to all partici- pants.	"It also assists data space partici- pants in understanding their rights and obligations under regulatory frameworks"
Q17		Support Mechanisms	To what extent are resources or guid- ance provided to support partici- pants in meeting their legal obliga- tions?	1 = No support resources provided. to 5 = Comprehensive, tailored guid- ance and resources available.	"It also provides guidance on relevant legislation to those interested in setting up or joining a data space"

Table 9: Assessment Framework Regulatory Compliance

Q	Concept	Dimension	Assessment Question	Scale/Scoring	Source Text
Q1	Institutional Agreements	Formalization	To what extent is the Founding Agreement documented and legally enforceable?	1 = Not documented, 5 = Fully for- malized, optimized	"Founding agreement establishes the data space and its governance au- thority"
Q2			How well do Institutional Agree- ments define policies for IP rights and data protection?	1 = None, 5 = Comprehensive	"Intellectual property policy data protection policy"
Q3		Formalization	How consistently are General Terms and Conditions applied across all data space participants?	1 = Ad hoc, 5 = Universally applied, updated	"General terms and conditions make it binding on all data space par- ticipants"
Q4		Process Integration	How well are Institutional Agree- ments integrated into onboarding and governance processes?	1 = Not integrated, 5 = Seamlessly integrated	"Admission policy for data space par- ticipants by accepting the terms and conditions"
Q5	Data-Sharing Agreements	Standardization	To what extent are standardized tem- plates used for data product con- tracts?	1 = None, 5 = Highly interoperable, adopted	"Data product contract sets out the terms and conditions"
Q6			How standardized are licenses for data usage in data-sharing agreements?	1 = None, 5 = Highly standardized	"Standardised licences model for data usage rights"
Q7		Flexibility	How flexible are data-sharing agree- ments in balancing data sovereignty and interoperability?	1 = None, 5 = Optimal balance	"Terms and conditions under which a data product is made available reflecting data sovereignty"
Q8		Technical Enforcement	To what extent are smart contracts used to enforce data-sharing agreements?	1 = None, 5 = Fully automated, compliant	"Smart contracts can help establish trust automatically enforcing legal obligations"
Q9	Services Agreements	Service Coverage	How comprehensively do services agreements cover data-related ser- vices?	1 = None, 5 = Comprehensive, scal- able	"Service agreements relate to the provision of services data-related services"
Q10			How effectively do services agree- ments support enabling services (e.g., identity management)?	1 = None, 5 = Comprehensive	"Agreements for the provision of trust framework services, and man- agement of identities"
Q11		Clarity of Roles	How clearly are roles and obli- gations defined in services agree- ments?	1 = Unclear, 5 = Explicitly defined	"Agreements for services related to data define roles and obligations"
Q12		Scalability	How scalable are services agree- ments to support growing data space operations?	1 = Not scalable, 5 = Highly scalable	"Enabling services to data spaces aimed at enabling functionalities"
Q13	Legal Interoperability and Scalability	Harmonization	To what extent are legal terms (e.g., jurisdiction, applicable law) harmo- nized across agreements?	1 = None, 5 = Fully harmonized	"Harmonise matters of jurisdiction and applicable law across all agree- ments"
Q14		Automation	How extensively are automated tools (e.g., smart contracts) used to execute agreements?	1 = None, 5 = Fully automated	"Smart contracts increase effi- ciency, and reduce costs"
Q15		Interoperability	How well do agreements align with other data spaces or ecosystems?	1 = None, 5 = Fully interoperable	"Promotes awareness to enable in- teroperable, automated, and scalable agreements"
Q16	Regulatory Compliance Integration	Compliance Coverage	How comprehensively do agree- ments address mandatory regula- tory requirements (e.g., GDPR, Data Act)?	1 = None, 5 = Fully compliant	"Agreements must comply with the existing legislation to ensure valid- ity"
Q17			How proactively are agreements up- dated to reflect new regulatory or operational needs?	1 = None, 5 = Proactively updated	"Regulatory framework defines which agreements and clauses are mandatory"
Q18		Risk Management	To what extent do agreements in- clude mechanisms to mitigate legal risks?	1 = None, 5 = Comprehensive	"Contractual clauses address risks associated with liability: warranties, allocation of liability"
Q19		Intelligibility	How clear and intelligible are agree- ment clauses for non-legal partici- pants?	1 = Highly technical, 5 = Clear, accessible	"Balance the accurate incorporation of legal concepts with intelligibility"

Table 10: Assessment Framework Contractual Agreement

Table 11: Business Building Block Concept Description

Concept	Description	Section from the Blueprint
Value Proposition	Describes and defines the delivery of the value the data space offers to participants,	"A value proposition describes how an of- fering creates value for a user."; "[] value
	including clarity, tailoring to needs, and effectiveness of delivery mechanisms.	propositions to federation service providers []" "An important part of a data space's offering [] is a high level of standardisation of interfaces."
Multi-sidedness	Multi-sidedness describes the degree to which a data space enables interaction between distinct participant types, including network network effects and incentive alignment across sides.	"Multisidedness means that a business model serves interaction between different types of users []"; "[] network effects []"; "[] appropriate incentives."
Collaborative Business Model	A collaborative business model is co- developed with participants and integrates their diverse objectives, pains, gains, and individual business models to enable value creation in a coordinated way.	"The business model of a data space applies to a set of organisations [] known as a collaborative business model."; "[] value is only created together in coherence []"; "[] depends on the viability of individual business models []."
Governance Authority Responsibilities	The governance authority takes the role of overseeing formalizing, monitoring, and adapting the business model to ensure alignment with objectives and attractiveness to participants.	"The data space governance authority is responsible for overseeing its operation and ensuring that appropriate measures are taken."; "[] responsible for monitoring [] and implementing changes []."
Dynamic Capabilities	Dynamic capabilities describe the ability of a data space to monitor internal and exter- nal developments and redesign its business model accordingly, ensuring agility, scalabil- ity, and long-term relevance.	"A digital multi-sided business model requires a quick response to change in order to thrive."; "[] referred to as 'dynamic capability', meaning the ability to shape and realise desired change."; "[] includes monitoring developments [] internal performance and external environment []."; "[] developing changes to the business model and governance []."
Revenue and Cost Management	Revenue and Cost Manasgement describes the structure, diversity, and transparency of how the data space generates revenue and manages operational and governance costs to ensure long-term finanical viability.	"The income from the data space may originate from multiple sources, []"; "[] costs are associated with data space operations []"; "[] revenues and costs must align with profit and growth strategies."

Table 12: Use Case Development Block Concept Description

	Description	Section from the Blueprint
	This concept describes the process in which	"Collecting ideas for use case scenarios
i	ideas for use cases are collected, evaluated,	through activities such as observing poten-
8	and screened based on alignment with the	tial customers' needs and analysing other
	data space's goals and market potential.	data spaces and platforms."; "Gathering a li-
		brary of use case scenarios, monitoring their
		progress, and screening the best ideas for
		the refining stage should be carried out cen-
		trally."
Use Case Scenario and Refinement	This concept describes that use cases are fur-	"When further refining use case scenar-
t	ther detailed and validated with participants	ios, the different approaches and templates
ι	using structured approaches, including com-	guide the focus to additional issues such as
1	pliance and co-creation methods.	the business case, regulation, contractual is-
		sues, interoperability, and security."; "Refin-
		ing use case scenarios is where you spend
		more of your time, giving detail to the use
		case so that you can test its viability. This
		includes, at the minimum, the purpose and
		value of the use case, the use case partici-
		pants, and the necessary data flows."
Use Case Implementation	This concept describes the phase in which	"• Implementing use cases is where you take
	the designated use case is put into operation,	the best ideas and move from the drawing
	supported by the necessary infrastructure,	board to putting the ideas into reality."; "Im-
	contracts, and participant engagement.	plementing use cases both from organisa-
		tional and business perspectives (e.g., agree-
		ments) and from technical perspectives (e.g.,
		vocabularies, APIs, connectors)."; "[] imple-
		menting stage is whether the overall design
		and the network are strong enough so that
		it is justified for the necessary partners to
		commit to and invest in the implementation
		work."
Continuous Improvement	This concept describes the ongoing process	"Continuous improvement process is the
	of monitoring and improving the perfor-	overarching process throughout the life cy-
1	mance of use cases, managing changes, and	cle of a use case where you analyze its perfor-
1	learning from successful and abandoned sce-	mance, identify improvement opportunities,
1	narios.	plan and implement changes."; "Continuous
		improvement is needed throughout the life
		cycle of a use case, starting from the first
		phases of identifying use case scenarios and
		continuing throughout the operational stage
		until the use case reaches the end of its life."
Use Case Orchestration	This concept describes the coordination and	"In the case of use case orchestration, the
	support mechanism to faciliate and scale use	joint goal is developing the use case, and
	case development, ensuring roles are clear,	the network is the different participants of
	tools are provided, and cross-space collabo-	the use case. The need for orchestration is
l l	ration is enabled.	increased in situations with a high number
		of parties and the use cases are complex, as
		well as in situations where the data space
		needs to develop more use cases to reach a
		sufficient size."

Table 13: Data Space Offering Building Block Concept Description

Concept	Description	Section from the Blueprint
Data Products	This concept describes the consumable, mar-	"Data products are assets that provide mon-
	ketable assets composed of data, metadata,	etary and/or non-monetary value from data.
	licenses, quality information, delivery mech-	They should meet consumers' needs and
	anisms, and legal usage constraints.	have a clear purpose. Data products are of-
		fered to participants in a consumable form to
		be discovered and consumed by consumers
		on a self-serve basis. Data products comply
		with a data product specification. Productis-
		ing data means transforming data into con-
		sumable and marketable data products."
Data Services	Data Services are value-creation tools of-	"The participants or the governance author-
	fered by participants and governance author-	ity can also offer services to their partici-
	ities that should be cataloged and described	pants. Most of these services are likely to
	using metadata.	be value-creation services, e.g., data visual-
		ization, anonymization, data quality assess-
		ment and assurance, data processing, and
		connection-enabling services to external in-
		frastructures or applications."; "The services,
		similarly to data products, are recommended
		to be described properly using metadata and
		offered through a catalogue to the data space
		participants."
Offering Strategy	This concepts describes prioritizing and on-	"This building block provides the data space
	boarding of data products/services, enforc-	initiatives with an understanding of the of-
	ing governance rules, and supporting partic-	ferings from a business perspective. It pro-
	ipants to create quality offerings.	poses to develop and maintain a strategy for
		the data space offering. The elements of a
		data space offering strategy are the follow-
		ing: []."
Governance Rules	This concepts defines standards and respon-	"These rules ensure the sustainability of the
	sibilities for data products/service offering,	data space by attracting data products with
	managed by a governance authority to en-	potential business or social value, and en-
	sure trust, sustainability and compliance.	sure that the data products adhere to several
		principles, such as quality, trustworthiness,
		security, privacy, interoperability, and ethi-
		cal considerations. Thus, setting, maintain-
		ing and enforcing these rules ultimately lead
		to increasing the data space participants'
Deuti-in-ut Comm.	This count has the desired	trust towards to the data space."
Participant Support	This concept describes the process of assist-	"The governance authority of a data space
	ing participants in creating and maintaining	should support its participants in creating
	high-quality data products, such as offering	data products for a variety of reasons. []."
	tools, templates, and lifecycle governance	
	aligned with reuse and multiple use cases.	

Table 14: Intermediaries and Operators Building Block Concept Description

Concept	Description	Section from the Blueprint
Service Provision	This concept describes the technical and	"[] intermediaries and operators enable
	business services provided by intermediaries	data sharing and trusted data transactions to
	and operators to enable trusted data sharing	take place. These can be technical services
	within the data space.	(federation, participant agent, or occasion-
		ally value creation services) or business and
		organisational services.
Governance Framework Integration	This concept describes how intermediaries	"The governance framework of a data space
	and operators align with and are regulated	is an essential way to manage how inter-
	by the data space's governance framework.	mediaries provide value and how risks are
		managed. Intermediaries and operators are
		participants of a data space and as such sub-
		ject to the governance framework (rulebook)
		of that data space."
Business and Revenue Models	This concept refers to the financial struc-	"Business model characteristics describe
	tures through which intermediaries and	how service providers contribute to the over-
	operators sustain operations and generate	all economics of the data space, enable busi-
	value.	ness model or enable business viability of
		the data space."
Interoperability and Collaboration	This concept describes how intermediaries	"Intermediary interoperability and collabo-
	work with other providers within and across	ration within a data space is an important
	data spaces to enable technical and opera-	design aspect when creating and governing
	tional interoperability.	resilient and scalable data spaces. The col-
		laboration between intermediaries and op-
		erators can be divided into: []."
Service Provider Responsibilities	This concept describes the expectations for	"Provide clear mappings between their own
	service providers regarding performance,	service level requirements and common in-
	compliance, transparency, privacy, security,	dustry standards, and establish mechanisms
	auditing, and support of federation.	for recognising compliance certifications
		from other data spaces to reduce redundant
		assessments."
Risk Management	This concept describes the addressing of	"4) Finally, using service providers, such as
	risks and strategies for mitigation.	operators and intermediaries, in data spaces
		necessarily involves challenges and risks.
		Most risks are similar to those companies
		face when acquiring services from exter-
		nal providers, such as vendor lock-in, chal-
		lenges in switching providers, provider sus-
		tainability, and compliance. This building
		block's section 3.3.6. explains how to address
		the common challenges and risks to be ad-
		dressed and managed when design decisions
		are made for data spaces."

Table 15: Organizational Form and Governance Authority Building Block Concept Description

Concept	Description	Section from the Blueprint
Organizational Form Decision	This concept describes the choice of the or-	"[] namely the determination of an organi-
	ganizational form that effects how a data	sation's form and the establishment of a data
	space manages assets, contracts, liabilities,	space, the creation of a governance authority
	governance, and long-term sustainability.	and the creation of a data space governance
		framework."
Governance Authority Establishment	This concept describes the process of creat-	"The role of a governance authority may en-
	ing the body or bodies responsible for de-	tail various functions, such as setting inter-
	veloping, implementing, and enforcing the	nal rules and policies, ensuring compliance
	internal rules of the data space.	with internal and external rules, and resolv-
		ing conflicts that may arise. A governance
		authority also creates mechanisms for con-
		tinuous improvement of the data space, iden-
		tity management, access controls and risk
		mitigation to build trust and quality within
		the data space. Overall, the governance au-
		thority maintains and operationalises the
		internal rules for the successful operation of
		the data space."
Governance Framework Development	This concept describes the formulation of a	"Within the framework of the founding
	governance framework that entails internal	agreement and applicable laws, each data
	rules, policies, and technical specifications.	space can and should draw up terms and
		conditions of use of the data space, internal
		regulations and policies that govern its day-
		to-day affairs and operations, and various
		governance procedures (e.g. dispute resolu-
		tion, adding or changing technical specifi-
		cations and others). All of them should be
		part of the agreement that every data space
		participant must sign before joining the data
		space and starting conducting data transac-
		tions."

Table 16: Participant Management Building Block Concept Description

Concept	Description	Section from the Blueprint
Participant Roles and Responsibilities	This concept describes types of participants along with their distinct responsibilities.	"The Participation Management building block outlines governance processes for managing participant engagement in data spaces. This includes identifying participants, onboarding, offboarding, and setting rules for data transactions and service provision."
Onboarding Process	This concept describes the structured process through which candidate participants can join the data space, ensuring alignment with data space policies, legal compliance, and technical standards.	"Efficient onboarding of participants is critical for a seamless functioning data space. It ensures that participants can quickly integrate into the data space while adhering to necessary compliance and technical standards."
Offboarding Process	This concept describes the process of structured exists from the data space, ensuring integrity and legal security during participant withdrawal.	"The offboarding process is designed to uphold the integrity and continuity of the data space by addressing issues such as data right-s/holdings, data transfer, and termination of access. Exiting the data space requires proof that all contracts made with other participants have been fulfilled and no contractual obligations remain open."
Compliance and Governance Alignment	This concept describes the conformity of participants to internal rules, regulatory frameworks, and the overarching governance framework of the data space.	"Participation management stresses the importance of Regulatory Compliance both at the data space and participant level. This involves complying with legal frameworks such as data protection, privacy, and other relevant legislation outlined in the regulatory compliance building block."
Data Transaction Facilitation	This concept describes the seamless, secure, and policy-compliant data sharing and exchange between participants.	"Data Space Governance Authority is to enable seamless interaction among the participants."
Stakeholder Engagement and Monitoring	This concept describes the active tracking, feedback collection, and transparency efforts for both internal and external stakeholders to ensure that participation remains aligned with evolving expectations and maintains trust within the ecosystem.	"Active monitoring extends beyond initial onboarding, with continuous oversight to ensure participants adhere to data space policies and standards. This ongoing monitoring helps identify areas where the onboarding process can be improved, ensuring that the data space evolves to meet participant needs and emerging challenges. Feedback from participants is crucial in this process, enabling the Data Space Governance Authority to make data-driven adjustments to onboarding procedures, enhancing both security and participant satisfaction."

Table 17: Regulatory Compliance Building Block Concept Description

Concept	Description	Section from the Blueprint
Triggers	This concept describes in the context of data	"Triggers: Elements, criteria or events (e.g.
	spaces that indicate the applicability of cer-	data type, nature of participant or domain)
	tain legal frameworks.	that have occurred in a particular context of
		a data space and signals that a specific legal
		framework must or should be applied."
Data Space Requirements	This concept refers to the legal requirements	"The Regulatory Compliance building block
	that directly regulate the data space.	encompasses a range of activities designed
		to ensure compliance with relevant regula-
		tory frameworks. These activities involve
		understanding the legal requirements for
		data spaces and ensuring that all elements
		and functions of the data space comply with
		the regulatory framework. Regulatory com-
		pliance is an ongoing practice throughout
		the data space lifecycle."
Additional Legal Considerations	This concept includes other relevant legal	"[] it's crucial to consider additional legal
	frameworks that affect data space opera-	aspects stemming from, for instance, cyber-
	tions.	security legislative frameworks."
Tools for Compliance	This concept describes technical tools and	"Given this complexity and the numerous in-
	automated solutions that assist the data	terconnected decisions within a data space,
	space and participants in fulfilling legal obli-	efficiently addressing certain requirements
	gations.	may warrant using technical tools, aside
		from the commonly deployed organisational
		and contractual measures. Such technical
		tools could vary from tools that assist in
		identifying relevant requirement to (par-
		tially) automating compliance."
Governance Authority Role	This concept describes the governance au-	"Implementing the Regulatory Compliance
	thorities role in implementing and enforcing	building block requires the data space gov-
	legal compliance across the data space.	ernance authority to identify the legal rules
		relevant to its operation."
Participant Rights and Obligations	This concept emphasizes that participants	"Within a data space ecosystem, participants
	must understand and comply with rights	assume distinct roles which may come with
	and obligations arising from applicable reg-	a number of general or specific legal require-
	ulations.	ments."

Table 18: Contractual Framework Building Block Concept Description

Concept	Description	Section from the Blueprint
Institutional Agreements	This concept describes the institutional	"Institutional agreements implement the
	agreements necessary to lay the legal foun-	governance of a data space and are an es-
	dation for a data space.	sential component of the Rulebook. They
		not only provide the general terms and con-
		ditions for participation in a data space but
		also underpin its existence and provide a le-
		gal basis for its operations."
Data-Sharing Agreements	This concept describes the agreements taht	"Data-sharing agreements provide the legal
	regulate the exchange and use of data among	basis for the data transactions happening in
	participants.	a data space among data space participants.
Service Agreements	This concept governs the provision of data-	"Services agreements refer to all agreements
	related services.	for the provision of services to data spaces."
Legal Interoperability and Scalability	This concept ensures that agreements across	"Standardised terms and conditions for data
	different use cases and participants maintain	products - the agreement establishes manda-
	consistency and compatibility.	tory terms and conditions to be included in
		the data product contract. It ensures that
		transactions between data provider and user
		take place on the basis of common terms and
		conditions, reducing transaction costs and
		increasing legal interoperability between
		transactions."
Regulatory Compliance Integration	This concept describes mandatory legal re-	"There is an interlinkage with Regulatory
	quirements in the contractual framework.	Compliance. Unless the relevant legislation
		is respected and reflected in the contractual
		framework, the agreement's enforceability
		and validity may be undermined."