EXPERT ASSESSMENT OF THE MATURITY MODEL FOR DATA SPACES

SUBMITTED IN PARTIAL FULFILLMENT FOR THE DEGREE OF MASTER OF SCIENCE

Julian Roloff

MASTER INFORMATION STUDIES
INFORMATION SYSTEMS
FACULTY OF SCIENCE
UNIVERSITY OF AMSTERDAM

Submitted on 22.05.2025



1 MATURITY MODEL ASSESSMENT

1

Table 1: Guidelines for Completing Maturity Model

No.	Guideline	Description	Helpful Documentation
1	Understanding the Purpose	This questionnaire helps assess the orga-	n/a
		nizational, legal, and governance maturity	
		of a data space. Your responses will help	
		identify strengths and areas for improve-	
		ment.	
2	Anonymity	You may choose to remain anonymous.	n/a
		If so, use a pseudonym or abbreviation	
		that is recognizable to the researcher but	
		unidentifiable to others.	
3	Model Structure Overview	The model contains 8 building blocks,	Model Overview, Assessment Sheet
		each made up of several concepts and di-	
		mensions. Each is assessed using scaled	
		questions (1–5) that reflect maturity levels	
		from Initial to Optimized.	
4	Maturity Levels	The model uses a 5-level scale: Initial (1),	Maturity Level Descriptions, Assessment
		Repeatable (2), Defined (3), Managed (4),	Sheet
		and Optimized (5). Rate each question	
		based on the current state of your data	
		space, choosing the score that best de-	
		scribes the least mature applicable aspect.	
5	Scope Clarification	Answer questions for all dimensions that	Scope Form, Concept Description: Ta-
		are relevant to your data space. If a ques-	bles 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, and 18, Matu-
		tion does not apply to your context, leave	rity Model: Tables 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, and 10
		it blank and note "Not Applicable" where	
		possible. Please avoid skipping questions	
		solely due to perceived low maturity.	
6	Completing the Questionnaire	For each question, read the description	Questionnaire Form, Traceability Table
		carefully and select the score that best fits	
		your data space. Aim for an honest and ac-	
		curate reflection rather than an idealized	
		state. Use the accompanying documenta-	
		tion if clarification is needed.	
7	Reviewing Your Input	After completing the questionnaire, re-	Assessment Summary Sheet
		view your responses to ensure consistency	
		and accuracy. You may revise any answers	
		before submission.	
8	Submitting Responses	Submit your completed questionnaire	Assessment Tool
		through the provided digital form or as	
		instructed. You may retain a copy of your	
		responses for your records.	
9	Result Interpretation	The results will be analyzed by the re-	n/a
		searcher to identify maturity gaps and	
		strengths across the eight building blocks.	
		You will receive a visual summary and per-	
		sonalized feedback (if applicable).	
10	Feedback	You are encouraged to provide feedback	Expert Evaluation Form
		on the questionnaire's clarity, usability,	
		and completeness. Use the comment sec-	
		tion at the end or the formal Expert Eval-	
		uation Form.	1

Table 2: Generic Maturity Level Descriptions for the Maturity Model

Level	Title	Description				
Level 1	Initial	This dimension is in creation and/or in an undeveloped state. The processes described by this dimension are ad				
		hoc or non-existent, responsibilities are unclear, and compliance or governance mechanisms are either missing				
		or ineffective. There is no formal structure, documentation, or oversight existent/documented. This dimension is				
		no prioritized within the data space.				
Level 2	Repeatable	This level indicates that some basic structures and responsibilities are in place, mostly informal or inconsistently				
		applied. The processes may be partially documented but lack standardization. Legal, organizational, or governance				
		efforts are reactive and driven by immediate operational needs. The priority of this dimension is low and there				
		is no regularly pursued improvement.				
Level 3	Defined	The dimension is formalized and aligned with accepted industry practices. Policies, roles, and responsibilities				
		are clearly defined and documented. Legal and governance frameworks are systematically implemented. The				
		dimension is treated as a functional part of the data space and periodic reviews may occur.				
Level 4	Managed	The dimension is strategically embedded into data space operations. There is evidence of active monitoring,				
		structured reviews, and targeted improvements. Legal and governance mechanisms are proactively enforced.				
		Performance is measured, and there is cross-stakeholder coordination. The dimension is a recognized priority.				
Level 5	Optimized	The dimension is continuously improved based on data-driven insights, KPIs, and best practices. Processes				
		are automated where feasible, aligned with advanced standards, and demonstrate leadership within the data				
		ecosystem. Governance, legal compliance, and organizational readiness are highly mature, adaptive, and future-				
		focused.				

Q	Concept	Dimension	Assessment Question	Scale/Scoring	Source Text
1	Value Propositions	Clarity and Stakeholder Coverage	How clearly are value propositions defined and tailored to address the needs of all participant segments?	1: Vague, no/few segment(s). 2: Basic, some segments. 3: Clear, most segments. 4: Tailored, reviewed. 5: Data-driven, emerging segments.	"A value proposition describes how an offering creates value for a user" and "Value propositions to federa- tion service providers"
2	Value Propositions	Implementation Effectiveness	How effectively are value proposi- tions delivered through standardized interfaces or services?	No delivery. 2: Basic mechanisms. Standardized delivery. 4: Metrictracked. 5: Integrated, improved.	"An important part of a data space's offering is a high level of standard-isation of interfaces"
3	Multi-sidedness	Segment Engagement and Incentive Alignment	How actively do all participant seg- ments engage in the data space, supported by well-structured incen- tives?	1: Minimal, no incentives. 2: Some participation, basic incentives. 3: Ac- tive, defined incentives. 4: Balanced, tailored incentives. 5: High, opti- mized incentives.	"Serves interaction between differ- ent types of users" and "Actors re- quire appropriate incentives."
4	Multi-sidedness	Network Effect Realization	To what extent are same-side and cross-side network effects observed and leveraged in the data space?	1: No effects. 2: Limited effects. 3: Clear effects. 4: Measured effects. 5: Strong effects drive growth.	"Increased attraction from an estab- lished user base is referred to as net- work effects."
5	Collaborative Business Model	Co-creation Process	How formalized is the process for co-creating the business model with participants?	Ad-hoc. 2: Informal. 3: Structured. Monitored. 5: Continuously improved.	"The development of the data space's business model guided by the co- creation method"
6	Collaborative Business Model	Stakeholder Alignment	To what extent do participants agree and align on shared objectives and incentives for the data space?	1: No agreement. 2: Partial agreement. 3: Clear agreement. 4: Assessed alignment. 5: Dynamic alignment.	"The business model should consider objectives and business models of the participants"
7	Collaborative Business Model	Ecosystem Integration	How well does the data space's busi- ness model integrate with partici- pants' individual business models?	1: No integration. 2: Limited. 3: Sup- ports most models. 4: Monitored in- tegration. 5: Seamless integration.	"The data space business model de- pends on the viability of individual business models"
8	Governance Authority Responsibilities	Role Formalization and Decision- Making Process	How structured is the governance au- thority's process for monitoring the business model and making business model decisions?	1: Ad-hoc. 2: Informal. 3: Formalized. 4: Inclusive, monitored. 5: Optimized, data-driven.	"The governance authority is responsible for ensuring rules are clear"; "The governance authority is responsible for overseeing its operation"
9	Governance Authority Responsibilities	Monitoring	Is there a documented process for the governance authority to monitor the business model based on perfor- mance metrics?	1: No process. 2: Informal. 3: Documented, reactive. 4: Systematic, metric-driven. 5: Continuous, automated.	"Its business model should be contin- uously aligned with developments within its ecosystem"
10	Governance Authority Responsibilities	Adaptation	Is there a documented process for the governance to adapt the business model based on performance met- rics?	1: No process. 2: Informal. 3: Documented, reactive. 4: Systematic, metric-driven. 5: Continuous, automated.	"Its business model should be contin- uously aligned with developments within its ecosystem"
11	Dynamic Capabilities	Environmental Monitoring	How systematically does the data space monitor internal and external developments affecting the business model?	1: No monitoring. 2: Ad-hoc. 3: Systematic. 4: Informs decisions. 5: Predictive, data-driven.	"This includes monitoring develop- ments in both its external environ- ment and internal performance"
12	Dynamic Capabilities	Adaptation Process	How formalized is the process for redesigning and implementing busi- ness model changes?	1: Ad-hoc. 2: Informal. 3: Formalized. 4: Measured, refined. 5: Scalable, agile.	"Developing changes to the business model and governance to guide im- plementation"
13	Dynamic Capabilities	Scalability	How capable is the data space of scal- ing its business model and support- ing operations to enable growth?	1: No scalability. 2: Limited. 3: Moderate. 4: Monitored expansions. 5: Highly scalable.	"How will growth ambitions be realized?"
14	Revenue and Cost Management	Revenue Model Diversity	How diverse and stable are the data space's revenue streams (e.g., fees, subsidies)?	1: Single, unstable. 2: Few streams. 3: Multiple streams. 4: Diversified, mon- itored. 5: Stable, growth-supporting.	"Income may originate from multi- ple sources, including public funding and participant fees"
15	Revenue and Cost Management	Cost Transparency and Management	Are the data space's operational and governance costs documented and actively managed?	Unclear, unmanaged. 2: Basic documentation. 3: Documented, managed. Transparent, optimized. 5: Fully optimized, metric-driven.	"What costs are associated with data space operations, and how are they managed?"
16	Revenue and Cost Management	Financial Sustainability	To what extent do revenues cover costs to ensure long-term financial sustainability?	1: Deficit. 2: Partial coverage. 3: Break-even. 4: Surplus, reinvested. 5: Surplus, growth-driving.	"The revenues and costs must align with the data space's profit and growth strategies"

Table 3: Assessment Framework for Data Space Business Models

Q	Concept	Dimension	Assessment Question	Scale/Scoring	Source Text
1	Use Case Identification and Monitoring	Process Formalization and Screening	How formalized and consistent is the process for identifying and screening use case scenarios for market poten- tial and alignment with data space objectives?	1: Ad-hoc, no screening. 2: Informal, basic screening. 3: Defined, consis- tent screening. 4: Structured, strate- gic screening. 5: Automated, data- driven screening.	"Identifying and monitoring use case scenarios Screening the best ideas market potential."
2	Use Case Identification and Monitoring	Stakeholder Engagement	To what extent are stakeholders (e.g., participants, other data spaces) engaged in generating use case ideas?	1: Limited to internal team. 2: Some participants. 3: Most participants. 4: Ecosystem-wide collaboration. 5: In- cludes other data spaces.	"Potential sources for ideas needs of participants other data spaces."
3	Use Case Scenario Refinement	Process Structure	How standardized is the process for refining use case scenarios, including the use of templates?	1: No templates, ad-hoc. 2: Basic templates. 3: Standardized process. 4: Structured, monitored templates. 5: Fully integrated, automated tools.	"Refining using templates like the Data Cooperation Canvas."
4	Use Case Scenario Refinement	Collaboration Effectiveness	How effectively do participants collaborate during the co-creation of use case scenarios?	1: No co-creation. 2: Limited collabo- ration. 3: Defined co-creation. 4: Scal- able co-creation. 5: Dynamic, cross- ecosystem co-creation.	"Orchestrates the co-creation efforts across participants."
5	Use Case Scenario Refinement	Compliance Integration	To what extent are regulatory, business, and security requirements integrated into the refinement process?	1: No consideration. 2: Basic compli- ance. 3: Defined integration. 4: Mon- itored compliance. 5: Proactive, auto- mated audits.	"Business case, regulation, contrac- tual issues, interoperability, and se- curity."
6	Use Case Scenario Refinement	Reusability of Components	How modular are the data products and services designed during use case refinement to support multiple use cases?	No modularity. 2: Limited modularity. 3: Modular for some use cases. 4: Reusable within ecosystem. Reusable across data spaces.	"Data products and value creation services modular."
7	Use Case Implementation	Infrastructure Readiness	How ready is the data space infrastructure to support the implementation of use cases?	No infrastructure. 2: Basic infrastructure. 3: Supports some use cases. Hiteroperable within ecosystem. 5: Interoperable across data spaces.	"Data space infrastructure sets the boundaries."
8	Use Case Implementation	Participant Commitment	How formalized are the agreements and commitments from participants for use case implementation?	1: No agreements. 2: Informal agreements. 3: Defined contracts. 4: Monitored contracts. 5: Dynamic, real-time contracts.	"Necessary contracts for the use case need to have been made."
9	Use Case Implementation	Implementation Strategy and Participant Involvement	How structured is the strategy for im- plementing and improving use cases, with active participant involvement?	1: Ad-hoc, no involvement. 2: In- formal, limited involvement. 3: De- fined, some involvement. 4: Struc- tured, ecosystem-wide involvement. 5: Optimized, agile with dynamic co- creation.	"Stepwise implementation Done in collaboration with all the essential participants."
10	Continuous Improvement	Performance Analysis	How robust are the methods for analyzing the performance of operational use cases?	No measurement. 2: Basic metrics. Defined analysis. 4: Monitored, data-driven analysis. 5: Predictive analytics.	"Continuously analyzing the performance of use cases."
11	Continuous Improvement	Change Management	How structured is the process for managing changes to operational use cases?	1: No process. 2: Informal process. 3: Defined process. 4: Structured, prior- itized roadmap. 5: Automated, opti- mized roadmap.	"Manage carefully the changes made roadmap made."
12	Continuous Improvement	Performance Analysis	How systematically are lessons from abandoned use cases documented and used to inform future develop- ment?	1: No documentation. 2: Informal notes. 3: Defined documentation. 4: Systematic knowledge base. 5: Auto- mated knowledge base.	"Which ones were abandoned and for which reason."
13	Use Case Orchestration	Role Definition	How clearly defined are the responsibilities for coordinating use case development and implementation?	1: No defined responsibilities. 2: In- formal coordination. 3: Defined re- sponsibilities. 4: Clear, monitored roles. 5: Optimized, cross-data-space coordination.	"Use case orchestrator accountable for a specific use case."
14	Use Case Orchestration	Support Mechanisms	What level of support (e.g., tools, templates, training) is provided for coordinating use case development?	No support. 2: Basic resources. Standardized tools/templates. 4: Comprehensive, monitored support. Automated, integrated systems.	"Data space should offer tools and support to the orchestrator."
15	Use Case Orchestration	Scalability	How capable is the coordination mechanism in managing multiple or complex use cases?	1: Single, simple use case. 2: Limited to few use cases. 3: Manages multiple use cases. 4: Scalable, complex use cases. 5: Cross-data-space scalability.	"Need for orchestration is increased complex use cases."
16	Use Case Orchestration	Scalability	To what extent are cross-data-space collaborations for use case development facilitated?	No collaboration. 2: Limited engagement. 3: Planned collaboration. Active collaboration. 5: Seamless cross-data-space orchestration.	"Collaboration possibilities in other data spaces."

Table 4: Assessment Framework Use Case Development

Data Products	Q	Concept	Dimension	Assessment Question	Scale/Scoring	Source Text
ance processes; applied to data products, and the next two services of the contract of the con	1		Formalization	ucts documented using standardized and machine-readable metadata for- mats?	umentation. 3: Standardized meta- data. 4: Machine-readable metadata. 5: Leading standards.	product specification described using metadata"; "FAIR principles."
participants via catalogues access. 4: Seamless ale le form. via catalogues", "Delivery options (e.g., APfs, web interfaces)? Accessibility To what extent are data products designed and structured to support reuse across multiple use cases. 4: Control of the same across multiple use cases? To what extent are services doe, unented using standardized within ecosystem. 5: Cross-use-case value across data spaces. To what extent are services doe, unented using standardized within ecosystem. 5: Cross-use-cases value across data spaces. To what extent are services doe, unented using standardized metadata formats and published in a catalogue." To what extent are services doe, unented using standardized metadata formats and published in a catalogue. 5: Publy standardized, cross-ecosystem. Planning and Use Case Alignment Is there a documented strategy for protritizing offerings that align with current and emerging use cases? Planning and Use Case Alignment Is there a documented strategy for protritizing offerings that align with current and emerging use cases? Poeverlance Rules Rule Development Are governance rules for data product compliance with provay and security standards? Rule Development How well do governance rules ensure data product compliance with provay and security standards? Poeverlance Rules Enforcement How consistently are governance rules ensure data product compliance with provay and security standards? Provide compliance. 2: Reading privacy rejectively updated. 4: Proactively updated. 4: Proactively updated. 3: Periodically updated. 4: Proactively updated. 3: Periodically updated. 4: Proactively updated. 5: Innovative, cross-ecosystem community. Participant Support To what extent do training and advisory services enhance participants in creating data products. Provide toos and processes to lower increasing and offering data products. Provide toos and processes to lower increasing and offering data products. Provide toos and processes to lower increasing and offering data product	2	Data Products	Quality Assurance and Incentives	ance processes applied to data prod- ucts, with incentives to encourage	formal processes, no incentives. 3: Defined processes, basic incentives. 4: Monitored processes, scalable incentives. 5: Metrics-driven processes,	validation of the data product"; "In- centivizing participants to invest in
designed and structured to support reuse across multiple use cases? Services Formalization To what extent are services documentation 2: Basic documentation 3: Standardized metadata format and published in a catalogue." Planning and Use Case Alignment of published in a catalogue of mentation 3: Standardized metadata and offered through a catalogue. Planning and Use Case Alignment of published in a catalogue. Planning and Use Case Alignment of the published in a catalogue. Planning and Use Case Alignment of the published in a catalogue. Planning and Use Case Alignment of the published in a catalogue. Planning and Use Case Alignment of the published in a catalogue. Planning and Use Case Alignment of the published in a catalogue. Planning and Use Case Alignment of the published in a catalogue. Planning and Use Case Alignment of the published in catalogue. Planning and Use Case Alignment of the published in catalogue. Planning and Use Case Alignment of the published in catalogue. Planning and Use Case Alignment of the published in catalogue. Planning and Use Case Alignment of the published in catalogue. Planning and Use Case Alignment of the published in catalogue. Planning and Use Case Alignment of the published in catalogue. Planning and Use Case Alignment of the published in catalogue. Planning and Use Case Alignment of the published in catalogue. Planning and Use Case Alignment of the current and emerging use cases? Powerland of the published in catalogue. Powerland of the published in catalogue	3	Data Products	Accessibility	participants via catalogues and de- livery options (e.g., APIs, web inter-	Basic catalogue access. 4: Seamless access. 5: Adaptive, cross-ecosystem	able form via catalogue"; "Delivery
mented using standardized and machine-readable metadata formats and published in a catalogue? Planning and Use Case Alignment prioritizing offerings that align with current and emerging use cases? Planning and Use Case Alignment prioritizing offerings that align with current and emerging use cases? Planning and Use Case Alignment prioritizing offerings that align with current and emerging use cases? Planning and Use Case Alignment prioritizing offerings that align with current and emerging use cases? Poevelop and maintain a strat-formal strategy, cross-use-case syntergies. Rule Development Are governance rules for data products and services formally defined (e.g., quality, licensing)? Bovernance Rules Rule Development How well do governance rules on such as a services formally defined rules. 4: Comprehensive rules, 5: Benchmark-setting rules. Poevelopment How well do governance rules on such as a service stormally defined rules. 4: Comprehensive rules, case synteriges. Poevenance Rules Enforcement How consistently are governance rules or rules enforced across offerings? Poevenance Rules Enforcement How consistently are governance rules to changing needs or regulations? To what extent are tools or processes provided to support participants in creating data products? Participant Support Engagement Powell do rule and further use cases? To what extent are tools or processes provided to support participants in creating data products? To what extent are tools or processes provided to support participants in creating data products? Participant Support Engagement Capacity Building To what extent do training and advisory, services enhance nomunity, data products. To what extent do training and advisory services enhance nomunity, and altivity of the data fos complete reports ability to proque chigh-quality data altivity for the data fos complete reports are provided to support participants in development. Solidance and the rule and products. Provide tools and processes to lower trainin	4	Data Products	Accessibility	designed and structured to support	Reuse in some use cases. 4: Optimized within ecosystem. 5: Cross-	data can be delivered to multiple use
gey Identification and onboarding"; cerve existing and future use cases." 7 Governance Rules Rule Development Are governance rules for data product compliance and services formally defined (e.g., quality, licensing)? 8 Governance Rules Rule Development How well do governance rules ensure data product compliance with privacy and security standards? "Secure vision and entority to set rules." 9 Governance Rules Enforcement How consistently are governance rules enforced across offerings? 11 O Governance Rules Adaptability How adaptable are governance rules to changing needs or regulations? Compliance alto support participants in developing and offering data products. 12 Participant Support Engagement Capacity Building To what extent do training and advisory services enhance participants' ability to produce light to produce light por produce in Statics. Standardized training/advisory. 4: Comprehensive, scalable programs.	5	Services	Formalization	umented using standardized and machine-readable metadata formats	mentation. 3: Standardized metadata. 4: Discoverable in catalogues. 5: Fully	metadata and offered through a cata-
Bosephane Rules Comprehensive rules Co	6	Offering Strategy	Planning and Use Case Alignment	prioritizing offerings that align with	formal strategy, limited alignment. 3: Defined strategy, some alignment. 4: Goal-aligned strategy, strong align- ment. 5: Dynamic strategy, cross-use-	egy Identification and onboarding";
sure data product compliance with privacy and security standards? 9 Governance Rules Enforcement How consistently are governance rules enforced across offerings? How adaptable are governance rules to changing needs or regulations? To what extent are tools or processes provided to support participants in creating data products? Participant Support Engagement Capacity Building Sure data product compliance with privacy and security standards? Sure data product compliance. S: Leading privacy/security compliance. In No engagement. 2: Informal enforcement. 4: Consistent enforcement. 4: Consistent enforcement. 4: Consistent enforcement. 5: Automated, transparent enforcement. 1: Static. 2: Rarely updated. 3: Periodically updated. 3: Periodically updated. 4: Proactively updated. 5: Dynamically optimized. To what extent are tools or processes provided to support participants in creating data products? To what extent are tools or processes in lower provided to support participants in developing and offering data products? How engaged are participants in developing and offering data products? For what extent do training and advisory services enhance participants' ability to produce high-quality data comprehensive, scalable programs. To what extent do training and advisory services enhance participants' ability to produce high-quality data comprehensive, scalable programs.	7	Governance Rules	Rule Development	ucts and services formally defined	fined rules. 4: Comprehensive rules.	
rules enforced across offerings? forcement. 3: Defined enforcement. 4: Consistent enforcement. 4: Consperience to enforcement. 4: Consistent enforcement. 4	8	Governance Rules	Rule Development	sure data product compliance with	ance. 3: Defined compliance. 4: Mon- itored compliance. 5: Leading priva-	
to changing needs or regulations? cally updated. 4: Proactively updated. 5: Dynamically optimized. Tooling and Guidance To what extent are tools or processes provided to support participants in creating data products? Participant Support Engagement How engaged are participants in developing and offering data products? How engaged are participants in developing and offering data products? To what extent do training and advisory services enhance participants' ability to produce high-quality data To what extent do training and advisory services enhance participants' ability to produce high-quality data Cally updated. 4: Proactively updated. 5: Dynamically optimized. "Provide tools and processes to lower the barrier." "Support its participants in creating data products." Calpacity Building To what extent do training and advisory services enhance participants' ability to produce high-quality data Comprehensive, calable programs.	9	Governance Rules	Enforcement		forcement. 3: Defined enforcement. 4: Consistent enforcement. 5: Auto-	
provided to support participants in creating data products? Participant Support Engagement How engaged are participants in developing and offering data products? Participant Support Engagement How engaged are participants in developing and offering data products? Capacity Building To what extent do training and advisory services enhance participants' ability to produce high-quality data Comprehensive tools. 5: the barrier." **Support its participants in creating data products." Capacity Building To what extent do training and advisory services enhance participants' ability to produce high-quality data Comprehensive tools. 5: Innovative, cross-ecosystem tools. **Support its participants in creating data products." **Collaborative engagement. 5: Active, cross-ecosystem community. **In No support.** 1: No support. 2: Basic guidance. S: Standardized training/advisory. 4: Comprehensive, scalable programs.	10	Governance Rules	Adaptability		cally updated. 4: Proactively updated.	"Maintaining these rules."
veloping and offering data products? ment. 3: Moderate engagement. 4: Collaborative engagement. 5: Active, cross-ecosystem community. 13 Participant Support Capacity Building To what extent do training and advisory services enhance participants' ability to produce high-quality data To what extent do training and advisory 4: ability to produce high-quality data Comprehensive, scalable programs.	11	Participant Support	Tooling and Guidance	provided to support participants in	ized tools. 4: Comprehensive tools. 5:	
sory services enhance participants' 3: Standardized training/advisory. 4: ter synergies." ability to produce high-quality data Comprehensive, scalable programs.	12	Participant Support	Engagement		ment. 3: Moderate engagement. 4: Collaborative engagement. 5: Active,	
	13	Participant Support	Capacity Building	sory services enhance participants' ability to produce high-quality data	3: Standardized training/advisory. 4: Comprehensive, scalable programs.	

Table 5: Assessment Framework Data Space Offering

Q	Concept	Dimension	Assessment Question	Scale/Scoring	Source Text
Q1	Service Provision	Governance and Service Formalization	To what extent are the roles, services, and responsibilities of intermediaries/operators formally defined in the governance framework and contracts?	Ad-hoc, undefined. 2: Basic, in- consistently applied. 3: Moderately defined. 4: Fully standardized. 5: Dynamically updated across frame- works.	"The governance framework should include information regard- ing all service providers"; "Service level agreements and performance metrics provide clear mappings."
Q2	Service Provision	Accessibility	How effectively do intermediaries/- operators provide accessible, user- friendly onboarding for diverse par- ticipants?	High barriers, manual. 2: Basic on- boarding. 3: Structured support. 4: Broad access, intuitive. 5: Universal access, fully automated.	"Improve data space accessibility and usability for different partici- pants."
Q3	Service Provision	Scalability	To what extent do intermediary/op- erator services scale with participant and transaction volume?	No scalability. 2: Limited, bottle- necks. 3: Moderate, manual scaling. High scalability, some automation. Cloud-based, exponential scaling.	"Contribute to their scalability."
Q4	Business and Revenue Models	Transparency and Economic Growth	How transparent and aligned are the business models of intermediaries/- operators with the data space's ob- jectives, and to what extent do they drive ecosystem growth?	1: Undocumented, no growth. 2: Poorly documented, minimal in- centives. 3: Moderate transparency, some attraction. 4: Transparent, strong value creation. 5: Fully aligned, measurable growth.	"Business model characteristics de- scribe how service providers con- tribute to the overall economics"; "Agency intermediaries are directly incentivised to acquire new cus- tomers"
Q5	Governance Framework Integration	Compliance and Enforcement	How effectively does the data space governance body enforce intermedi- ary/operator compliance with gov- ernance requirements and relevant regulations (e.g., GDPR, DGA)?	No enforcement or compliance. Ad-hoc or reactive approach. 3: Periodic audits or partial alignment. Regular certifications and proactive measures. 5: Automated enforcement, full regulatory alignment.	"Auditing requirement or possibility: e.g., DSGA may have right to audit service providers"; "Intermediaries and operators are subject to broader legal frameworks"
Q6	Governance Framework Integration	Neutrality	How effectively is neutrality en- forced for intermediaries/operators to prevent conflicts of interest (e.g., bundling enabling and value cre- ation services)?	1: Frequent conflicts, no mechanisms. 2: High risk, minimal enforcement. 3: Moderate neutrality, basic policies. 4: Strong neutrality, certifications. 5: Strict neutrality, robust enforcement.	"Bundling allowance: e.g., whether the service provider must provide exclusively some specific service in order to maintain its neutrality."
Q7	Interoperability and Collaboration	Technical Integration	To what extent do intermediaries/- operators implement technical stan- dards for interoperability within and across data spaces?	1: No standards adherence. 2: Minimal compliance. 3: Moderate, partial gaps. 4: Full adherence, consistent. 5: Seamless, cross-space leadership.	"Collaboration between intermedi- aries requires technical integra- tion planning"; "Collaboration be- tween operators to facilitate interop- erability between data spaces."
Q8	Interoperability and Collaboration	Collaboration Scope	To what extent do intermediaries/- operators collaborate or provide in- terchangeable services to support seamless provisioning?	No collaboration. 2: Limited, high friction. 3: Moderate collaboration. 4: Strong collaboration, fungibility. 5: Optimized, interoperable ecosystem.	"Providers can compete but must always be fungible."
Q9	Service Provider Responsibilities	Transparency and Monitoring	How transparently do intermedi- aries/operators report and undergo audits to demonstrate governance and regulatory compliance?	1: No audits or systems. 2: Ad- hoc/manual reporting. 3: Periodic re- ports, basic compliance. 4: Standard- ized reporting, regular audits. 5: Au- tomated, cross-framework auditing.	"Implement monitoring and report- ing systems that can track and demonstrate compliance"; "Define transparency and audit require- ments provide standardised re- porting templates."
Q10	Service Provider Responsibilities	Continuity and Portability	To what extent are incident response plans, exit strategies, and data porta- bility processes defined and inte- grated for intermediaries/operators?	1: No plans or provisions. 2: Basic definitions, not integrated. 3: Moder- ate definition, partial integration. 4: Standardized and multi-framework aligned. 5: Fully integrated, auto- mated and seamless.	"Develop integrated incident re- sponse procedures that account for multi-framework obligations,"; "Es- tablish clear guidelines for manag- ing exits standard procedures for data portability."
Q11	Risk Management	Process and Diversification	To what extent are risk management processes and provider diversifica- tion strategies implemented to miti- gate dependency risks?	No risk strategy. 2: Minimal planning, high dependency. 3: Moderate processes, some diversification. 4: Structured plans, multiple providers. Comprehensive, proactive risk mitigation.	"Designing data spaces with mul- tiple operators and intermediaries to distribute vendor dependency risks.", "Risks such as vendor lock- in"

Table 6: Assessment Framework Intermediaries and Operators

Q	Concept	Dimension	Assessment Question	Scale/Scoring	Source Text
Q1	Organizational Form Decision	Decision Process & Adaptability	Is there a documented and adaptable process for deciding and revising the Data Space's organizational form, in- volving relevant stakeholders?	No process. 2: Informal process, limited stakeholders. 3: Documented process for decision or revision, limited stakeholders. 4: Documented, inclusive process for both, not fully proactive. 5: Fully documented, inclusive, proactive process.	"While developing the business model, they also consider the fol- lowing non-exhaustive list of ques- tions"; "While the choice of legal form can be changed later on."
Q2	Organizational Form Decision	Alignment with Business Model	Does the chosen organizational form align with the Data Space's business model and scalability needs?	Misaligned. 2: Partial alignment, significant gaps. 3: Moderate align- ment. 4: Strong alignment, minor gaps. 5: Optimal alignment.	"Each of those legal forms needs to be considered in detail depending on the data space business model"
Q3	Governance Authority Establishment	Structural Definition & Review	To what extent are the gover- nance authority's structure and roles clearly defined, documented, and regularly reviewed?	1: Undefined, not reviewed. 2: Par- tially defined, not reviewed. 3: Doc- umented, rarely reviewed. 4: Well- defined, periodically reviewed. 5: Fully formalized, proactively re- viewed.	"A body is a differentiated struc- ture e.g., general assembly of members and a management board"; "The members can decide on the size and composition depending on the size and needs"
Q4	Governance Authority Establishment	Specialized Bodies	Does the governance authority include specialized bodies (e.g., committees) for complex tasks as needed?	1: No bodies. 2: Informal bodies. 3: Some bodies, not fully aligned. 4: Formalized bodies, most tasks. 5: Op- timized bodies, all tasks.	"They are also more likely to need additional specialised bodies (e.g., working groups or committees)"
Q5	Governance Authority Establishment	Functional Scope & Effectiveness	To what extent does the governance authority perform core functions and use metrics to evaluate and im- prove its effectiveness?	Minimal functions, no metrics. 2: Limited functions, informal metrics. Core functions, limited metrics. 4: Consistent functions, basic metrics. S: Comprehensive functions, proactive metrics.	"The role of a governance authority may entail setting internal rules ensuring compliance resolving conflicts"; "A governance authority also creates mechanisms for continuous improvement"
Q6	Governance Authority Establishment	Stakeholder Representation	Is there a formal process to ensure balanced stakeholder representation in the governance authority, address- ing potential power imbalances?	1: No process. 2: Informal process, no imbalance mitigation. 3: Basic pro- cess, limited mitigation. 4: Formal process, most imbalances addressed. 5: Equitable process, robust fairness mechanisms.	"There are no legal requirements for equal representation which may lead to power imbalances"; "It would be important to follow the best practices of corporate gover- nance."
Q7	Governance Framework Development	Rule Completeness & Updates	Does the governance framework in- clude comprehensive, regularly up- dated internal rules, fully integrat- ing technical specifications?	1: No rules. 2: Incomplete rules, no updates. 3: Basic rules, irregular up- dates. 4: Comprehensive rules, regu- lar updates. 5: Exhaustive rules, full integration.	"These internal rules can consist of founding agreements internal policies technical specifications"; "Each data space tailors the contents of the rulebook to its own needs"; "Technical specifications for the data space constitutes part of internal rules"
Q8	Governance Framework Development	Governance Procedures	How clearly and comprehensively are governance procedures defined within the governance framework?	Undefined/No Governance Framework. 2: Informal, poorly documented. 3: Basic, lacking clarity. 4: Well-defined, minor gaps. 5: Comprehensive, fully integrated.	"Each data space can draw up governance procedures (e.g., dispute resolution)."
Q9	Governance Framework Development	Process Integration & Improvement	Is there a structured, inclusive pro- cess for developing and updating in- ternal rules, continuously improved based on stakeholder feedback?	1: No process. 2: Ad-hoc, minimal input. 3: Basic process, limited improvement. 4: Structured, broad input, basic improvement. 5: Proactive, inclusive, continuous improvement.	"All of the mentioned documents should be prepared and discussed by the executive body or working groups"; "Such an approach allows for more flexibility in the operation"; "Documents of a more general nature should be approved or voted on by the decision-making body"
Q10	Governance Framework Development	Accessibility & Usability	To what extent are internal rules documented in an accessible rulebook, supported by usability tools, and available in human- and machine-readable formats?	1: Inaccessible, no tools/No Rule- book or comparable Document. 2: Minimally accessible, no tools. 3: Accessible, limited tools, human- readable only. 4: Highly accessi- ble, tools, limited machine-readable. 5: Fully accessible, advanced tools, dual formats.	"Once adopted, all data space inter- nal rules are documented in a data space rulebook for operational use."; "The rulebook must be expressed in a human-readable format and, if pos- sible, a machine-readable format."

Table 7: Assessment Framework Organizational Form and Governance Authority

Q	Concept	Dimension	Assessment Question	Scale/Scoring	Source Text
Q1	Participant Roles and Responsibilities	Formalization	Are the roles and responsibilities of participants clearly documented in the Data Space rulebook?	1 = No documentation. 2 = Partial documentation, unclear roles. 3 = Documented but static. 4 = Docu- mented with periodic updates. 5 = Documented, dynamically refined with stakeholder input.	"Participants in a data space com- prise different entities documented in the data space's rulebook"
Q2	Participant Roles and Responsibilities	Enforcement	Are mechanisms in place to enforce participant adherence to their responsibilities?	1 = No mechanisms. 2 = Informal warnings only. 3 = Manual enforce- ment (e.g., certification). 4 = Semi- automated enforcement. 5 = Fully automated enforcement with real- time monitoring.	"Participation management needs to ensure the management of permis- sions"
Q3	Onboarding Process	Standardization	Is the onboarding process standardized and documented for all participant types?	1 = No standardization. 2 = Ad- hoc process, poorly documented. 3 = Standardized but not fully docu- mented. 4 = Standardized and well- documented. 5 = Fully automated and optimized.	"Efficient onboarding of participants is critical involves defining Gen- eral Terms and Conditions"
Q4	Onboarding Process	Efficiency	To what extent is the onboarding process automated and supported to facilitate participant integration into the Data Space?	1 = Manual process, no support provided. 2 = Manual process with minimal support. 3 = Partially automated process with basic support. 4 = Highly automated process with comprehensive support. 5 = Fully automated process with proactive, tailored support.	"Ensures that participants can quickly integrate into the data space"
Q5	Onboarding Process	Compliance Integration	Are legal and technical compliance checks integrated into the onboarding process?	1 = No compliance checks. 2 = Informal checks, manual. 3 = Ba- sic checks, partially integrated. 4 = Comprehensive checks, semi- automated. 5 = Fully automated com- pliance checks.	"Reviews the applicant's compliance with legal, technical, and operational standards"
Q6	Offboarding Process	Documentation	Are offboarding procedures clearly documented and accessible to participants?	1 = No documentation. 2 = Partial documentation, unclear. 3 = Docu- mented but static. 4 = Documented with periodic updates. 5 = Docu- mented, regularly updated, and ac- cessible.	"Documentation of exit procedures detailed steps for data transfer, ac- cess termination"
Q7	Offboarding Process	Data Handling	Are there robust protocols for secure data transfer or deletion during off- boarding?	1 = No protocols. 2 = Informal pro- tocols, manual. 3 = Basic protocols, documented. 4 = Comprehensive protocols, semi-automated. 5 = Fully automated and secure protocols.	"Implementing clear protocols for the secure transfer or deletion of data"
Q8	Offboarding Process	Compliance Verification	Does the offboarding process in- clude thorough verification of con- tractual and compliance obliga- tions?	1 = No verification. 2 = Informal ver- ification, manual. 3 = Basic verifica- tion, documented. 4 = Comprehen- sive verification, semi-automated. 5 = Fully automated verification.	"Verify that all contractual and com- pliance obligations have been met"
Q9	Compliance and Governance Alignment	Policy Alignment	Do participants align their inter- nal data governance with the Data Space's governance framework, and are they regularly monitored for compliance?	1 = No alignment or monitoring. 2 = Minimal alignment, no monitor- ing, 3 = Mandatory alignment, peri- odic monitoring. 4 = Proactive align- ment, regular monitoring. 5 = Dy- namic alignment, continuous moni- toring.	"Internal Data Governance pro- cesses need to be implemented and aligned with the overarching Data Governance framework"; "Active monitoring extends beyond initial onboarding, with continuous over- sight"
Q10	Compliance and Governance Alignment	Data Quality	Are there mechanisms to ensure data quality and provenance for shared data, aligned with Data Space standards?	1 = No mechanisms. 2 = Informal quality checks. 3 = Basic standards- based checks. 4 = Systematic quality assurance, semi-automated. 5 = Fully automated quality and provenance assurance.	"Management of data quality, ob- servability of data transactions, data provenance"
Q11	Data Transaction Facilitation	Interoperability	Do intermediaries and operators support standardized, interoperable data exchange?	1 = No interoperability support. 2 = Limited, non-standardized support. 3 = Basic standardized support. 4 = Comprehensive, scalable support. 5 = Fully automated, scalable interoperability.	"Facilitate data intermediaries and operators to ensure adherence of in- teroperability standards"
Q12	Data Transaction Facilitation	Security	Are data transactions secured against unauthorized access or misuse?	1 = No security measures. 2 = Basic security, manual. 3 = Standard secu- rity measures. 4 = Advanced secu- rity, semi-automated. 5 = Fully auto- mated, advanced security protocols.	"Facilitating secure and compliant data transactions"
Q13	Stakeholder Engagement and Moni- toring	Inclusivity	To what extent is participant feed- back systematically collected and used to improve participation pro- cesses?	1 = No feedback collected. 2 = Ad- hoc feedback, no action. 3 = Periodic feedback collection. 4 = Systematic feedback with improvements. 5 = Data-driven optimization with stake- holder input.	"Understand concerns and needs of external stakeholders"; "Feedback from participants is crucial en- abling data-driven adjustments"

Table 8: Assessment Framework Participant Management

Q	Concept	Dimension	Assessment Question	Scale/Scoring	Source Text
Q1	Triggers	Identification Process	How systematically are triggers identified to determine applicable legal frameworks?	1 = No process; ad-hoc identification. 2 = Informal process, limited scope. 3 = Formal process, manual. 4 = Sys- tematic process, partially automated. 5 = Fully automated, comprehensive trigger identification.	"This process starts with identifying elements, criteria, and/or events in the data space that flag the need to apply or comply with a particular framework."
Q2	Triggers	Scope Coverage	To what extent are all relevant legal frameworks (EU, national, sectoral) covered by the trigger identification process?	1 = Few frameworks, inconsistent. 2 = Some frameworks, ad-hoc. 3 = Most frameworks, reactive updates. 4 = Comprehensive coverage, peri- odic updates. 5 = All frameworks, proactive updates.	"The triggers may be classified into different categories, such as: Types of data Types of data space partici- pants Types of use cases."
Q3	Data Space Requirements	Formalization	How formalized are the processes to comply with data space-specific legal requirements?	1 = No formal processes. 2 = Informal processes, inconsistent. 3 = Basic documented processes. 4 = Formal, enforced processes. 5 = Fully documented, regularly updated processes.	"The category of data space require- ments encompasses legislation that directly regulates data spaces."
Q4	Data Space Requirements	Interoperability Integration	To what extent are general and sectoral interoperability standards integrated into data space operations?	1 = No standards integrated. 2 = Minimal, inconsistent integration. 3 = Partial integration, some standards. 4 = Broad integration, most standards. 5 = Full integration, harmonized standards.	"A key piece of legislation that directly regulates data spaces is the Data Act to ensure data interoperability", "According to art. 52 (12) EHDS, Member States and the Commission shall seek to ensure interoperability of HealthData@EU with other relevant common European data spaces"
Q5	Additional Legal Considerations	Awareness	To what extent are additional legal considerations (e.g., cybersecurity, IP) documented within the data space?	1 = No documentation. 2 = Minimal, incomplete documentation. 3 = Basic documentation, limited access. 4 = Comprehensive documentation, accessible. 5 = Comprehensive, with stakeholder training.	"In addition to the legal frameworks outlined above it's crucial to con- sider additional legal aspects stem- ming from, for instance, cybersecu- rity legislative frameworks."
Q6	Additional Legal Considerations	Process Integration	To what extent are additional legal considerations (e.g., cybersecurity, IP) integrated into data space workflows and operations?	1 = No integration. 2 = Minimal, ad- hoc integration. 3 = Partial integra- tion, some workflows. 4 = Broad in- tegration, most workflows. 5 = Seam- less integration, continuously im- proved.	"Ensuring robust cybersecurity measures is essential to protect data integrity and privacy"
Q7	Tools for Compliance	Adoption	How extensively are technical tools (e.g., privacy-enhancing technolo- gies) used to address legal require- ments?	1 = No tools used. 2 = Minimal, basic tools. 3 = Moderate use, some tools. 4 = Broad use, multiple tools. 5 = Comprehensive use, advanced tools.	"Such technical tools could vary from tools that assist in identifying relevant requirement to (partially) automating compliance."
Q8	Tools for Compliance	Automation	To what extent are compliance pro- cesses and monitoring automated within the data space?	1 = Fully manual processes. 2 = Mini- mal automation, manual monitoring. 3 = Partial automation, some moni- toring. 4 = Broad automation, regu- lar monitoring. 5 = Fully automated, scalable monitoring.	"continuous compliance monitor- ing, as well as accountability and transparency in reporting.", "Yet, there is a growing need for auto- mated compliance solutions, which offer greater scalability, efficiency"
Q9	Governance Authority Role	Policy Establishment	How formalized are the internal policies established by the gover- nance authority for regulatory com- pliance?	1 = No policies. 2 = Informal policies, inconsistent. 3 = Basic policies, par- tially enforced. 4 = Formal policies, enforced. 5 = Fully formalized, regu- larly updated policies.	"It helps to properly define some par- ticipant roles and responsibilities, es- tablish internal policies"
Q10	Governance Authority Role	Monitoring	How rigorous and frequent is the monitoring of regulatory compliance within the data space?	1 = No monitoring. 2 = Ad-hoc mon- itoring, infrequent. 3 = Regular mon- itoring, manual. 4 = Rigorous moni- toring, semi-automated. 5 = Contin- uous, automated monitoring.	"and continuously monitor the reg- ulatory compliance of a data space."
Q11	Governance Authority Role	Responsiveness to Change	How proactive is the governance au- thority in updating compliance pro- cesses based on new legal frame- works?	1 = No updates, reactive. 2 = Min- imal updates, delayed. 3 = Regular updates, reactive. 4 = Proactive up- dates, planned. 5 = Dynamic, antici- patory updates.	"Guiding data space initiatives on or- ganising compliance with relevant legislation and ensuring that reg- ulatory compliance is maintained throughout the lifecycle"
Q12	Participant Rights and Obligations	Communication	How clearly are participants informed about their legal rights and obligations within the data space?	1 = No communication. 2 = Minimal, unclear communication. 3 = Basic communication, some clarity. 4 = Clear communication, accessible. 5 = Tailored, comprehensive communication.	"It also assists data space partici- pants in understanding their rights and obligations under regulatory frameworks"
Q13	Participant Rights and Obligations	Support Mechanisms	To what extent are resources or guidance provided to support participants in meeting their legal obligations?	1 = No resources. 2 = Minimal, generic resources. 3 = Basic re- sources, limited guidance. 4 = Com- prehensive resources, some guid- ance. 5 = Tailored, extensive guid- ance.	"It also provides guidance on rele- vant legislation to those interested in setting up or joining a data space"

Table 9: Assessment Framework Regulatory Compliance

Q	Concept	Dimension	Assessment Question	Scale/Scoring	Source Text
Q1	Institutional Agreements	Formalization	To what extent is the Founding Agreement documented and legally enforceable?	1 = Not documented, 2 = Informally documented, 3 = Partially formal- ized, 4 = Formalized, enforceable, 5 = Fully formalized, optimized	"Founding agreement establishes the data space and its governance au- thority"
Q2	Institutional Agreements	Formalization	To what extent are data protection policies legally embedded and formalized in institutional agreements?	1 = No policies, 2 = Basic policies, 3 = Moderate policies, 4 = Comprehen- sive policies, 5 = Fully comprehen- sive, optimized	"Intellectual property policy data protection policy"
Q3	Institutional Agreements	Formalization	To what extent are the General Terms and Conditions formally em- bedded and referenced in participa- tion agreements?	1 = Ad hoc, 2 = Inconsistently em- bedded and referenced, 3 = Partially consistent, 4 = Mostly consistent, 5 = Universally embedded and refer- enced, updated	"General terms and conditions make it binding on all data space par- ticipants"
Q4	Institutional Agreements	Process Integration	How well are Institutional Agreements integrated into onboarding and governance processes?	1 = Not integrated, 2 = Minimally integrated, 3 = Partially integrated, 4 = Mostly integrated, 5 = Seamlessly integrated	"Admission policy for data space par- ticipants by accepting the terms and conditions"
Q5	Data-Sharing Agreements	Standardization	To what extent are data-sharing agreements standardized, including templates and licenses for data us- age?	1 = No standardization, 2 = Minimal standardization, 3 = Partial standard- ization, 4 = High standardization, 5 = Fully standardized, interoperable	"Data product contract sets out the terms and conditions"; "Standard- ised licences model for data usage rights"
Q6	Data-Sharing Agreements	Flexibility	How flexible are data-sharing agree- ments in balancing data sovereignty and interoperability?	1 = No flexibility, 2 = Minimal flex- ibility, 3 = Moderate flexibility, 4 = High flexibility, 5 = Optimal balance	"Terms and conditions under which a data product is made available reflecting data sovereignty"
Q7	Data-Sharing Agreements	Automation	To what extent are automated tools (e.g., smart contracts, EDCs) used to execute and enforce agreements?	1 = No automation, 2 = Limited au- tomation, 3 = Partial automation, 4 = Mostly automated, 5 = Fully auto- mated, compliant	"Smart contracts can help establish trust automatically enforcing legal obligations"; "Smart contracts in- crease efficiency, and reduce costs"
Q8	Services Agreements	Service Coverage	How comprehensively do services agreements cover data-related and enabling services (e.g., identity man- agement)?	1 = No coverage, 2 = Limited coverage, 3 = Moderate coverage, 4 = Comprehensive coverage, 5 = Fully comprehensive, scalable	"Service agreements relate to the provision of services data-related services"; "Agreements for the pro- vision of trust framework services, and management of identities"
Q9	Services Agreements	Clarity of Roles	How clearly are roles and obligations defined in services agreements?	1 = Unclear, 2 = Vaguely defined, 3 = Moderately clear, 4 = Mostly clear, 5 = Explicitly defined	"Agreements for services related to data define roles and obligations"
Q10	Services Agreements	Scalability	How scalable are services agree- ments to support growing data space operations?	1 = Not scalable, 2 = Minimally scalable, 3 = Moderately scalable, 4 = Highly scalable, 5 = Fully scalable, future-proof	"Enabling services to data spaces aimed at enabling functionalities"
Q11	Legal Interoperability and Scalability	Harmonization	To what extent are legal terms (e.g., jurisdiction, applicable law) harmonized across agreements?	1 = No harmonization, 2 = Minimal harmonization, 3 = Partial harmo- nization, 4 = High harmonization, 5 = Fully harmonized	"Harmonise matters of jurisdiction and applicable law across all agree- ments"
Q12	Legal Interoperability and Scalability	Interoperability	How well do agreements align with other data spaces or ecosystems?	1 = No alignment, 2 = Minimal align- ment, 3 = Partial alignment, 4 = High alignment, 5 = Fully interoperable, cross-ecosystem	"Promotes awareness to enable in- teroperable, automated, and scalable agreements"
Q13	Regulatory Compliance Integration	Compliance Coverage	How comprehensively and proac- tively do agreements address and adapt to mandatory regulatory re- quirements (e.g., GDPR, Data Act)?	1 = No compliance, 2 = Minimal com- pliance, 3 = Moderate compliance, 4 = Comprehensive compliance, 5 = Fully compliant, proactively updated	"Agreements must comply with the existing legislation to ensure valid- ity"; "Regulatory framework defines which agreements and clauses are mandatory"
Q14	Regulatory Compliance Integration	Enforcement & Dispute Resolution	How robust are the mechanisms for dispute resolution and enforcement in the agreements?	No mechanisms. 2: Basic mechanisms. 3: Moderate mechanisms. Comprehensive mechanisms. 5: Fully comprehensive, automated.	"Added question based on Catena-X validation"

Table 10: Assessment Framework Contractual Framework

Table 11: Business Building Block Concept Description

Concept	Description	Section from the Blueprint
Value Proposition	Describes and defines the delivery of the value the data space offers to participants,	"A value proposition describes how an of- fering creates value for a user."; "[] value
	including clarity, tailoring to needs, and effectiveness of delivery mechanisms.	propositions to federation service providers []" "An important part of a data space's offering [] is a high level of standardisation of interfaces."
Multi-sidedness	Multi-sidedness describes the degree to which a data space enables interaction between distinct participant types, including network network effects and incentive alignment across sides.	"Multisidedness means that a business model serves interaction between different types of users []"; "[] network effects []"; "[] appropriate incentives."
Collaborative Business Model	A collaborative business model is co- developed with participants and integrates their diverse objectives, pains, gains, and individual business models to enable value creation in a coordinated way.	"The business model of a data space applies to a set of organisations [] known as a collaborative business model."; "[] value is only created together in coherence []"; "[] depends on the viability of individual business models []."
Governance Authority Responsibilities	The governance authority takes the role of overseeing formalizing, monitoring, and adapting the business model to ensure alignment with objectives and attractiveness to participants.	"The data space governance authority is responsible for overseeing its operation and ensuring that appropriate measures are taken."; "[] responsible for monitoring [] and implementing changes []."
Dynamic Capabilities	Dynamic capabilities describe the ability of a data space to monitor internal and exter- nal developments and redesign its business model accordingly, ensuring agility, scalabil- ity, and long-term relevance.	"A digital multi-sided business model requires a quick response to change in order to thrive."; "[] referred to as 'dynamic capability', meaning the ability to shape and realise desired change."; "[] includes monitoring developments [] internal performance and external environment []."; "[] developing changes to the business model and governance []."
Revenue and Cost Management	Revenue and Cost Manasgement describes the structure, diversity, and transparency of how the data space generates revenue and manages operational and governance costs to ensure long-term finanical viability.	"The income from the data space may originate from multiple sources, []"; "[] costs are associated with data space operations []"; "[] revenues and costs must align with profit and growth strategies."

Table 12: Use Case Development Block Concept Description

	Description	Section from the Blueprint
	This concept describes the process in which	"Collecting ideas for use case scenarios
i	ideas for use cases are collected, evaluated,	through activities such as observing poten-
8	and screened based on alignment with the	tial customers' needs and analysing other
	data space's goals and market potential.	data spaces and platforms."; "Gathering a li-
		brary of use case scenarios, monitoring their
		progress, and screening the best ideas for
		the refining stage should be carried out cen-
		trally."
Use Case Scenario and Refinement	This concept describes that use cases are fur-	"When further refining use case scenar-
t	ther detailed and validated with participants	ios, the different approaches and templates
ι	using structured approaches, including com-	guide the focus to additional issues such as
1	pliance and co-creation methods.	the business case, regulation, contractual is-
		sues, interoperability, and security."; "Refin-
		ing use case scenarios is where you spend
		more of your time, giving detail to the use
		case so that you can test its viability. This
		includes, at the minimum, the purpose and
		value of the use case, the use case partici-
		pants, and the necessary data flows."
Use Case Implementation	This concept describes the phase in which	"• Implementing use cases is where you take
	the designated use case is put into operation,	the best ideas and move from the drawing
	supported by the necessary infrastructure,	board to putting the ideas into reality."; "Im-
	contracts, and participant engagement.	plementing use cases both from organisa-
		tional and business perspectives (e.g., agree-
		ments) and from technical perspectives (e.g.,
		vocabularies, APIs, connectors)."; "[] imple-
		menting stage is whether the overall design
		and the network are strong enough so that
		it is justified for the necessary partners to
		commit to and invest in the implementation
		work."
Continuous Improvement	This concept describes the ongoing process	"Continuous improvement process is the
	of monitoring and improving the perfor-	overarching process throughout the life cy-
1	mance of use cases, managing changes, and	cle of a use case where you analyze its perfor-
1	learning from successful and abandoned sce-	mance, identify improvement opportunities,
1	narios.	plan and implement changes."; "Continuous
		improvement is needed throughout the life
		cycle of a use case, starting from the first
		phases of identifying use case scenarios and
		continuing throughout the operational stage
		until the use case reaches the end of its life."
Use Case Orchestration	This concept describes the coordination and	"In the case of use case orchestration, the
	support mechanism to faciliate and scale use	joint goal is developing the use case, and
	case development, ensuring roles are clear,	the network is the different participants of
	tools are provided, and cross-space collabo-	the use case. The need for orchestration is
	ration is enabled.	increased in situations with a high number
		of parties and the use cases are complex, as
		well as in situations where the data space
		needs to develop more use cases to reach a
		sufficient size."

Table 13: Data Space Offering Building Block Concept Description

Concept	Description	Section from the Blueprint
Data Products	This concept describes the consumable, mar-	"Data products are assets that provide mon-
	ketable assets composed of data, metadata,	etary and/or non-monetary value from data.
	licenses, quality information, delivery mech-	They should meet consumers' needs and
	anisms, and legal usage constraints.	have a clear purpose. Data products are of-
		fered to participants in a consumable form to
		be discovered and consumed by consumers
		on a self-serve basis. Data products comply
		with a data product specification. Productis-
		ing data means transforming data into con-
		sumable and marketable data products."
Data Services	Data Services are value-creation tools of-	"The participants or the governance author-
	fered by participants and governance author-	ity can also offer services to their partici-
	ities that should be cataloged and described	pants. Most of these services are likely to
	using metadata.	be value-creation services, e.g., data visual-
		ization, anonymization, data quality assess-
		ment and assurance, data processing, and
		connection-enabling services to external in-
		frastructures or applications."; "The services,
		similarly to data products, are recommended
		to be described properly using metadata and
		offered through a catalogue to the data space
		participants."
Offering Strategy	This concepts describes prioritizing and on-	"This building block provides the data space
	boarding of data products/services, enforc-	initiatives with an understanding of the of-
	ing governance rules, and supporting partic-	ferings from a business perspective. It pro-
	ipants to create quality offerings.	poses to develop and maintain a strategy for
		the data space offering. The elements of a
		data space offering strategy are the follow-
		ing: []."
Governance Rules	This concepts defines standards and respon-	"These rules ensure the sustainability of the
	sibilities for data products/service offering,	data space by attracting data products with
	managed by a governance authority to en-	potential business or social value, and en-
	sure trust, sustainability and compliance.	sure that the data products adhere to several
		principles, such as quality, trustworthiness,
		security, privacy, interoperability, and ethi-
		cal considerations. Thus, setting, maintain-
		ing and enforcing these rules ultimately lead
		to increasing the data space participants'
Deuti-in-ut Comm.	This count has the desired	trust towards to the data space."
Participant Support	This concept describes the process of assist-	"The governance authority of a data space
	ing participants in creating and maintaining	should support its participants in creating
	high-quality data products, such as offering	data products for a variety of reasons. []."
	tools, templates, and lifecycle governance	
	aligned with reuse and multiple use cases.	

Table 14: Intermediaries and Operators Building Block Concept Description

Concept	Description	Section from the Blueprint
Service Provision	This concept describes the technical and	"[] intermediaries and operators enable
	business services provided by intermediaries	data sharing and trusted data transactions to
	and operators to enable trusted data sharing	take place. These can be technical services
	within the data space.	(federation, participant agent, or occasion-
		ally value creation services) or business and
		organisational services.
Governance Framework Integration	This concept describes how intermediaries	"The governance framework of a data space
	and operators align with and are regulated	is an essential way to manage how inter-
	by the data space's governance framework.	mediaries provide value and how risks are
		managed. Intermediaries and operators are
		participants of a data space and as such sub-
		ject to the governance framework (rulebook)
		of that data space."
Business and Revenue Models	This concept refers to the financial struc-	"Business model characteristics describe
	tures through which intermediaries and	how service providers contribute to the over-
	operators sustain operations and generate	all economics of the data space, enable busi-
	value.	ness model or enable business viability of
		the data space."
Interoperability and Collaboration	This concept describes how intermediaries	"Intermediary interoperability and collabo-
	work with other providers within and across	ration within a data space is an important
	data spaces to enable technical and opera-	design aspect when creating and governing
	tional interoperability.	resilient and scalable data spaces. The col-
		laboration between intermediaries and op-
		erators can be divided into: []."
Service Provider Responsibilities	This concept describes the expectations for	"Provide clear mappings between their own
	service providers regarding performance,	service level requirements and common in-
	compliance, transparency, privacy, security,	dustry standards, and establish mechanisms
	auditing, and support of federation.	for recognising compliance certifications
		from other data spaces to reduce redundant
		assessments."
Risk Management	This concept describes the addressing of	"4) Finally, using service providers, such as
	risks and strategies for mitigation.	operators and intermediaries, in data spaces
		necessarily involves challenges and risks.
		Most risks are similar to those companies
		face when acquiring services from exter-
		nal providers, such as vendor lock-in, chal-
		lenges in switching providers, provider sus-
		tainability, and compliance. This building
		block's section 3.3.6. explains how to address
		the common challenges and risks to be ad-
		dressed and managed when design decisions
		are made for data spaces."

Table 15: Organizational Form and Governance Authority Building Block Concept Description

Concept	Description	Section from the Blueprint
Organizational Form Decision	This concept describes the choice of the or-	"[] namely the determination of an organi-
	ganizational form that effects how a data	sation's form and the establishment of a data
	space manages assets, contracts, liabilities,	space, the creation of a governance authority
	governance, and long-term sustainability.	and the creation of a data space governance
		framework."
Governance Authority Establishment	This concept describes the process of creat-	"The role of a governance authority may en-
	ing the body or bodies responsible for de-	tail various functions, such as setting inter-
	veloping, implementing, and enforcing the	nal rules and policies, ensuring compliance
	internal rules of the data space.	with internal and external rules, and resolv-
		ing conflicts that may arise. A governance
		authority also creates mechanisms for con-
		tinuous improvement of the data space, iden-
		tity management, access controls and risk
		mitigation to build trust and quality within
		the data space. Overall, the governance au-
		thority maintains and operationalises the
		internal rules for the successful operation of
		the data space."
Governance Framework Development	This concept describes the formulation of a	"Within the framework of the founding
	governance framework that entails internal	agreement and applicable laws, each data
	rules, policies, and technical specifications.	space can and should draw up terms and
		conditions of use of the data space, internal
		regulations and policies that govern its day-
		to-day affairs and operations, and various
		governance procedures (e.g. dispute resolu-
		tion, adding or changing technical specifi-
		cations and others). All of them should be
		part of the agreement that every data space
		participant must sign before joining the data
		space and starting conducting data transac-
		tions."

Table 16: Participant Management Building Block Concept Description

Concept	Description	Section from the Blueprint
Participant Roles and Responsibilities	This concept describes types of participants along with their distinct responsibilities.	"The Participation Management building block outlines governance processes for managing participant engagement in data spaces. This includes identifying participants, onboarding, offboarding, and setting rules for data transactions and service provision."
Onboarding Process	This concept describes the structured process through which candidate participants can join the data space, ensuring alignment with data space policies, legal compliance, and technical standards.	"Efficient onboarding of participants is critical for a seamless functioning data space. It ensures that participants can quickly integrate into the data space while adhering to necessary compliance and technical standards."
Offboarding Process	This concept describes the process of structured exists from the data space, ensuring integrity and legal security during participant withdrawal.	"The offboarding process is designed to uphold the integrity and continuity of the data space by addressing issues such as data right-s/holdings, data transfer, and termination of access. Exiting the data space requires proof that all contracts made with other participants have been fulfilled and no contractual obligations remain open."
Compliance and Governance Alignment	This concept describes the conformity of participants to internal rules, regulatory frameworks, and the overarching governance framework of the data space.	"Participation management stresses the importance of Regulatory Compliance both at the data space and participant level. This involves complying with legal frameworks such as data protection, privacy, and other relevant legislation outlined in the regulatory compliance building block."
Data Transaction Facilitation	This concept describes the seamless, secure, and policy-compliant data sharing and exchange between participants.	"Data Space Governance Authority is to enable seamless interaction among the participants."
Stakeholder Engagement and Monitoring	This concept describes the active tracking, feedback collection, and transparency efforts for both internal and external stakeholders to ensure that participation remains aligned with evolving expectations and maintains trust within the ecosystem.	"Active monitoring extends beyond initial onboarding, with continuous oversight to ensure participants adhere to data space policies and standards. This ongoing monitoring helps identify areas where the onboarding process can be improved, ensuring that the data space evolves to meet participant needs and emerging challenges. Feedback from participants is crucial in this process, enabling the Data Space Governance Authority to make data-driven adjustments to onboarding procedures, enhancing both security and participant satisfaction."

Table 17: Regulatory Compliance Building Block Concept Description

Concept	Description	Section from the Blueprint
Triggers	This concept describes in the context of data	"Triggers: Elements, criteria or events (e.g.
	spaces that indicate the applicability of cer-	data type, nature of participant or domain)
	tain legal frameworks.	that have occurred in a particular context of
		a data space and signals that a specific legal
		framework must or should be applied."
Data Space Requirements	This concept refers to the legal requirements	"The Regulatory Compliance building block
	that directly regulate the data space.	encompasses a range of activities designed
		to ensure compliance with relevant regula-
		tory frameworks. These activities involve
		understanding the legal requirements for
		data spaces and ensuring that all elements
		and functions of the data space comply with
		the regulatory framework. Regulatory com-
		pliance is an ongoing practice throughout
		the data space lifecycle."
Additional Legal Considerations	This concept includes other relevant legal	"[] it's crucial to consider additional legal
	frameworks that affect data space opera-	aspects stemming from, for instance, cyber-
	tions.	security legislative frameworks."
Tools for Compliance	This concept describes technical tools and	"Given this complexity and the numerous in-
	automated solutions that assist the data	terconnected decisions within a data space,
	space and participants in fulfilling legal obli-	efficiently addressing certain requirements
	gations.	may warrant using technical tools, aside
		from the commonly deployed organisational
		and contractual measures. Such technical
		tools could vary from tools that assist in
		identifying relevant requirement to (par-
		tially) automating compliance."
Governance Authority Role	This concept describes the governance au-	"Implementing the Regulatory Compliance
	thorities role in implementing and enforcing	building block requires the data space gov-
	legal compliance across the data space.	ernance authority to identify the legal rules
		relevant to its operation."
Participant Rights and Obligations	This concept emphasizes that participants	"Within a data space ecosystem, participants
	must understand and comply with rights	assume distinct roles which may come with
	and obligations arising from applicable reg-	a number of general or specific legal require-
	ulations.	ments."

Table 18: Contractual Framework Building Block Concept Description

Concept	Description	Section from the Blueprint
Institutional Agreements	This concept describes the institutional	"Institutional agreements implement the
	agreements necessary to lay the legal foun-	governance of a data space and are an es-
	dation for a data space.	sential component of the Rulebook. They
		not only provide the general terms and con-
		ditions for participation in a data space but
		also underpin its existence and provide a le-
		gal basis for its operations."
Data-Sharing Agreements	This concept describes the agreements taht	"Data-sharing agreements provide the legal
	regulate the exchange and use of data among	basis for the data transactions happening in
	participants.	a data space among data space participants.
Service Agreements	This concept governs the provision of data-	"Services agreements refer to all agreements
	related services.	for the provision of services to data spaces."
Legal Interoperability and Scalability	This concept ensures that agreements across	"Standardised terms and conditions for data
	different use cases and participants maintain	products - the agreement establishes manda-
	consistency and compatibility.	tory terms and conditions to be included in
		the data product contract. It ensures that
		transactions between data provider and user
		take place on the basis of common terms and
		conditions, reducing transaction costs and
		increasing legal interoperability between
		transactions."
Regulatory Compliance Integration	This concept describes mandatory legal re-	"There is an interlinkage with Regulatory
	quirements in the contractual framework.	Compliance. Unless the relevant legislation
		is respected and reflected in the contractual
		framework, the agreement's enforceability
		and validity may be undermined."