Geometric Aspects of Harmonic Analysis

Dr Diogo Oliveira e Silva

November 8, 2016

 $\mathbf{Q}\mathbf{1}$

$$\begin{array}{c} f: [a,b] \to \mathbb{R} \text{ integrable} \\ F(x) = \int_a^x f(t) \, \mathrm{d}t \end{array} \right] \underset{?}{\Rightarrow} F \text{ diff. (a.e. } x), \ F' = f \end{array}$$

Q2 Conditions of F (on [a, b]) s.t.

- F'(x) exists a.e.
- F' integrable
- $\int_a^b F'(x) \, \mathrm{d}x = F(b) F(a)$

?

Q1 Differentiation of the integral

$$\frac{F(x+h) - F(x)}{h} = \frac{1}{h} \int_{-x}^{x+h} f(t) dt = \frac{1}{|I|} \int f = \operatorname{avg}_{I} f = \int_{-I}^{x} f(t) dt$$

I = (x, x + b), |I| Lebesgue measure of I.

Q1 equivalent to averaging problem: Given $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$, is it true, that

$$\lim_{|B| \to 0, x \in B} = \frac{1}{|B|} \int_{B} f = f(x) \quad (x-\text{a.e.})?$$

 $B \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ open ball

Yes, if f continuous $\forall \varepsilon \exists \delta |x-y| < \delta \Rightarrow |f(x)-f(y)| < \varepsilon$. $x \in B$

$$|f(x)| = \int_{R} f| = |\int_{R} (f(y) - f(x)) \, \mathrm{d}y| < \varepsilon \tag{1}$$

provided B is an opeb ball of radius $< \frac{\delta}{2}$ containing x

Yes, if f is integrable (not so easy). Hardy, Littlewood (1D, rearrangements; later Wiener for d > 1). $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$

$$(Mf)(x) := \sup_{x \in B} \frac{1}{|B|} \int_{B} |f|$$

uncentered HL maximal function

Theorem. Let f be integrable on \mathbb{R}^d . Then

(i) Mf is measurable.

(ii) $(Mf)(x) < \infty$ a.e. x

(iii)

$$\{x \in \mathbb{R}^d : (Mf)(x) > \alpha\} | < \frac{c}{\alpha} ||f||_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)} \ (\forall x > 0).$$
 (2)

 $c = c_d = 3^d$, independent of f, α .

 $f \neq f \in L^1 \Rightarrow Mf(x) \sim |x|^{-d}$ for large radius of x. So then $Mf \not\in L^1$.

$$M: \frac{L^1}{L^1} \xrightarrow{} L^{1,\infty}$$

Proof. (i) easy $E_{\alpha} = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^d : (Mf)(x) > \alpha\}$ is open $(\forall x > 0)$ (because Mf is lowes semicontinuous)

- (ii) $|\{x \in \mathbb{R}^d : (Mf)(x) = \infty\}| \subset |\{x \in \mathbb{R}^d : Mf(x) > \alpha\}|$, take $\alpha \to \infty$.
- (iii) follows from an elemantary version of Vitali covering

Lemma. Let $B = \{B_1, B_2, \dots, B_N\}$ be a finite collection of open balls on \mathbb{R}^d . Then there exists a disjoint subcolletcino $B_{i_1}, B_{i_2}, \dots, B_{i_k}$ of B such that

$$|\bigcup_{j=1}^{n} B_{j}| \le 3^{d} \sum_{j=1}^{k} |B_{ij}|$$

Proof. (i) $B_{i_1} = \text{largest ball}$

- (ii) Delete \boldsymbol{B}_{i_1} and its neighbors
- (iii) $\textbf{\textit{B}}_{i_2} = \text{largest ball}$
- (iv) repeat...
 - Algorithm stops in at most N steps
 - output has desired properties:
 - disjointness is clear
 - size $B \cap B' \neq \emptyset$, $r_{B'} \leq r_B$. B^* = ball with the same center as B but 3 times the radius. ⇒ $B' \subset B^*$. $|B^*| = 3^d |B|$

Back to (iii): Choose $\alpha>0,$ $E_{\alpha}=\{x\in\mathbb{R}^{d}:(Mf)(x)>\alpha\}.$ Fr each

$$x \in E_{\alpha} \exists B = B_x := \frac{1}{|B_x|} \int_{B_x} |f(y)| \, \mathrm{d}y > \alpha$$

equivalent

$$|B_x| < \alpha^{-1} \int_{B_x} |f(y)| \, \mathrm{d}y$$

Fix $K \ll E_{\alpha}$ compact subset covered by $\bigcup_{x \in K} B_x$, $K \subset \bigcup_{l=1}]NB_l$

$$|K| \leq |\bigcup_{l=1}^{N} B_{l}| \underset{\text{Vitali}}{\leq} 3^{d} \sum_{j=1}^{k} |B_{ij}| \leq \frac{3^{d}}{\alpha} \in_{j=1}^{k} \int_{B_{i_{j}}} |f()| \, \mathrm{d}y = \frac{3^{d}}{\alpha} \int_{\bigcup_{j=1}^{k} B_{i_{j}}} |f(y)| \, \mathrm{d}y \leq \frac{3^{d}}{\alpha} \|f\|_{L^{1}(\mathbb{R}^{d})}$$

Since K was choseen arbitrary (cpt.), it follows that

$$|E_{\alpha}| \leq \frac{3^d}{\alpha} ||f||_{L^1}$$

Can interpolate between weak type L^1 -inequality and $L^{\infty} \to L^{\infty}$ (very easy).

Corollary (Lebesque differentiation theorem). Let $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ Then

$$\lim_{|B| \to 0, x \in B} \oint f = f(x) \quad x\text{-a.e.}$$
 (3)

Proof.

$$E_{\alpha} = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^d : \limsup_{|B| \to 0, x \in B} | f - f(x) > 2\alpha\}$$

ETS $|E_{\alpha}| = 0 \ \forall \alpha > 0$. Then $E = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} E_{\frac{1}{n}} = 0$ and (3) holds on E^{\complement} .

Fix $\alpha>0$, given $\varepsilon>0$ choose $g\in C_0^{0}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ s.t. $\|f-g\|_{L^1}<\varepsilon$. Already seen

$$\lim_{|B| \Rightarrow 0, x \in B} \int g = g(x) \, \forall x$$

$$\int_{B} f - f(x) = \int_{B} (f - g) + \int_{B} g - g(x) + g(x) - f(x)$$

$$F_{\alpha} = \{x : M(f - r)(x) > \alpha\}$$

$$G_{\alpha} = \{x : |f(x) - g(x)| > \alpha\}$$

 $E_{\alpha} \subset F_{\alpha} \cup G_{\alpha} \text{ since } u_1, u_2 > 0, \ u_1 + u_2 > 2\alpha \Rightarrow u_1 > \alpha \vee u_2 > \alpha.$

$$\begin{split} |G_{\alpha}| & \leq \frac{1}{\alpha} \|f - g\|_{L^{1}} \quad \text{(Chebyshew)} \\ |F_{\alpha}| & \leq \frac{c_{d}}{\alpha} \|f - g\|_{L^{1}} \quad \text{(weak type)} \\ |E_{\alpha}| & \leq |F_{\alpha}| + |G_{\alpha}| \leq (\frac{c_{d}}{\alpha} + \frac{1}{\alpha}) \|f - g\|_{L^{1}} \leq \frac{c_{d}'\varepsilon}{\alpha} \end{split}$$

Since $\varepsilon > 0$ was arbitrary $|E_{\alpha}| = 0$.

 $h\in L^1\subset L^{1,\infty} \text{ by Chebyshew: } \infty>\|h\|_{l^1}=\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}|h(y)|\,\mathrm{d} y\geq \int_{h(y)\geq\alpha}|h(y)|\,\mathrm{d} y\geq\alpha|\{|h|>\alpha\}|.$ Would have been enough to replace $L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ by $L^1_{\mathrm{loc}}.$

Sets $E \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ measurable, $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ (not necc. in E) x is a point of Lebesque density of E if

$$\lim_{|B|\to 0, x\in B}\frac{|B\cap E|}{B}=1$$

Corollary. Let $E \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ be measurable. Then

- (i) Almost every $x \in E$ is a point of Lebesque density of E.
- (ii) Almost every $x \notin E$ is not a point of Lebesque density.

Functions $f \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^d)$.

$$Leb(f) := \{ x \in \mathbb{R}^d : f(x) < \infty \text{ and } \lim_{|B| \to 0, x \in B} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |f(y) - f(x)| \, dy = 0 \}$$

f continuous at $\bar{x} \Rightarrow \bar{x} \in \text{Leb}(f) \Rightarrow f_B f \underset{|B| \to 0, x \in B}{\longrightarrow} f(\bar{x})$ (all the inverse implications are wrong)

Corollary. $f \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^d) \Rightarrow \text{Almost every point belongs to Leb}(f)$.

(By checking the proof again?)

These things also works with other sets that "shrink regularly to x than balls". It gets worse however when one takes all parallel rectangles and even worse when arbitrarily oriented rectangles are allowed.

Q.2 Key: bounded varioation (BV) $F: [a,b] \to \mathbb{R}, P = \{a = t_0 < t_1 < \dots < t_N = b\}$

$$V_F^P = \sum_{j=0}^{N} |F(t_j) - F(t_{j+1})|$$

is the variation of f over P. F is of bounded variation if

$$T_F(a,b) = T_F \sup_P V_F^P < \infty$$

 $P \subset \tilde{P}$ partitions $\Rightarrow V_F^P \leq V_P^{\tilde{P}}$

Example. (i) f monotonic (increasing) and bounded, $|F| \leq M \Rightarrow F \in BV$

$$V_F^P = \sum_{j=1}^N |F(t_j)T = Nt_{j-1}| = F(b) - F(a) \le 2M$$

- (ii) F differentiable with F' bounded, $|F'| \leq M$, then by mean value theorem $f \in BV$. Or F LIpschitz
- (iii) $F \alpha$ -Hölder $(\alpha < 1)$ 6 $\Longrightarrow F \in BV$. Take $F : [0,1] \to \mathbb{R}, x \mapsto d(x,C)^{\alpha}$, where C is the cantor set. 2^{n-1} intervals of length 3^{-n}

$$\alpha > \frac{\log 2}{\log 3} \Rightarrow \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2^{n-1} (3^{-n})^{\alpha} < \infty$$

• Total variation of F on [a, x] (where $a \le x \le b$) is

$$T_F(a, x) = \sup \sum_{j=0}^{N} |F(t_j) - F(t_{j-1})|$$

• Positive variation of F on [a, 1] is

$$P_F(a,x) = \sup \sum_{(+)} (F(t_j) - F(t_{j-1})) \quad \text{all } j \, : \, F(t_j) \geq F(t_{j-1})$$

• Negative variation of F on [a, 1] is

$$N_F(a, x) = \sup \sum_{(-)} -(F(t_j) - F(t_{j-1}))$$

Lemma. $f:[a,b]\to\mathbb{R}$. Then

(i)
$$F(x) = F(a) + P_F(a, x) - N_F(a, x)$$

(ii)
$$T_F(a, x) = PF(a, x) + N_F(a, x)$$

 $(\forall x \in [a, b])$

recall from measure theory: $f = f^+ - f^-$, $|f| = f^+ + f^-$

Proof. (i) given $\varepsilon > 0$, $\exists P = \{a = t_0 < t_1 < ... < t_N = x\}$

$$|PVF - \sum_{(+)} (F(t_j) - F(t_{j-1}))| < \varepsilon$$

$$|N_F - \sum_{(-)} -(F(t_j) - F(t_{j-1})))| < \varepsilon$$

Also

$$F(x) - F(a) = \sum_{(+)} F(t_j) - F(t_{j-1}) - \sum_{(-)} -(F(t_j) - F(t_{j-1}))$$

Corollary. $F:[a,b]\to\mathbb{R}\in\mathsf{BV}$ iff F is the difference of two inclusing bounded functions

Theorem. $F:[a,b] \to \mathbb{R} \in BV \Rightarrow F \ differentiable \ a.e.$

Wlog f mononotic increasing, "Wlog" f continuous

Lemma (of the rising sun). $G: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ continuous.

$$E = \{x \in \mathbb{R} : \exists h = h_x > 0 \ G(x+h) > G(x)\}\$$

Then

- (i) E is open $(E = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} (a_n, b_n))$
- (ii) $g(a_n) = G(b_n)$, provided $b_n a_n < \infty$.

Proof. Let (a_n,b_n) be a finite interval in the decomposition. $a_k \notin E$ then $g(a_k) \geq G(b_k)$. Assume $G(a_k) > G(b_k)$. $\exists c \in (a_k,b_k) \ g(c) = \frac{g(a_k) + g(b_k)}{2}$. Choose rightmost such c. $\exists d \in (c,b_k) \ G(d) > G(c)$. But then by continuity c could not have been chosen rightmost, contradiction.

Can replace \mathbb{R} by [a, b], but then only get for $a_0 = a$ that $G(a_0) \leq G(b_0)$

Proof. of theorem

$$\begin{split} \Delta_h(F)(x) &= \frac{F(x+h) - F(x)}{h} \\ D^\pm(F)(x) &= \limsup_{h \to 0, h > <0} \Delta_h(F)(x) \\ D_\pm(F)(x) &= \liminf_{h \to 0, h > <0} \Delta_h(F)(x) \end{split}$$

Dini numbers. Upshot: They are all the same and finite. $D_- \leq D^-, \ D_+ \leq D^+$ clear. ETS

(i) $D^{+}(F)(x) < \infty$ (a.e. x)

- (ii) $D^+(F)(x) \le D_-(F)(x)$ (a.e. x)
- (ii) is equivalent to $D^-(F)(x) \le D_+(F)(x)$ by replacing F(x) by -F(-x) somewhere. Then $D^+ \le D_- \le D^- \le D_+ \le D^+ < \infty$.
 - (i) relacc: F increasing , bounded, continuous on [a, b]. Fix $\gamma > 0$,

$$E_{\gamma} := \{x : D^+(F)(x) > \gamma\}$$

- E_{γ} is measurable
- Apply rising sun to $G(x) = F(x) \gamma x$

$$E_{\gamma} \subset E = \{ x \in [a, b] : \exists h > 0 \ G(x + h) > G(x) \} = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} (a_k, b_k)$$

The condition in the set is equivalent to

$$\iff \exists h > 0 \ F(x+h) - \gamma x - \gamma h > F(x) - \gamma x$$

$$\iff \exists h > 0 \ \frac{F(x+h) - F(x)}{h} > \gamma$$

$$\iff D^{+}(F)(x) > \gamma$$

 $G(a_k) \leq G(b_k) \iff F(a_k) - \gamma a_k \leq F(b_k) - \gamma b_k \iff \gamma(b_k - a_k) \leq F(b_k) = F(a_k). \text{ Therefore}$

$$|E_{\gamma}| \le |E| \le \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (b_k - a_k) \le \frac{1}{\gamma} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (F(b_k) - F(a_k)) = \frac{1}{\gamma} (F(b) - F(a))$$

Take $\gamma \to \infty$, done.

(ii) see Stein-Shakarchi (vol 3)

Corollary. F increasing, continuous \Rightarrow F' exists a.e., measurable, nonnegative and

$$\int_{a}^{b} F'(x) \, \mathrm{d}x \le F(b) - F(a).$$

Proof. Let

$$G_h(x) = \frac{F(x + \frac{1}{n}) - F(x)}{\frac{1}{h}}$$

By the theorem, $G_h(x) \to F'(x)$ $(h \to 0)$ pointwise a.e. By Fatou

$$\int_{[a,b]} F' \le \liminf_{n \to \infty} \int_a^b G_h(x) \, \mathrm{d}x = \liminf_{n \to \infty} \int_b^{b + \frac{1}{n}} F(x) \, \mathrm{d}x - \int_a^{a + \frac{1}{n}} F(x) \, \mathrm{d}x$$

Cannot do better than \leq : For the Devil's staircase the left hand side is 0 while the rightn hand side is 1.

Why is the sunrise Lemma a covering Lemma?

$$\begin{array}{l} f_+^* = \sup \frac{1}{h} \int_x^{x+h} |f(y)| \, \mathrm{d}y \\ E_\alpha^+ = \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R} : \, f_+^*(x) > \alpha \right\} \end{array} \right\} |E_\alpha^+| = \frac{1}{\alpha} \int_{E_\alpha^+} |f|$$

Why? Let

$$G(x) = \int_{0}^{x} |f(y)| \, \mathrm{d}y - \alpha x$$

$$x \in E_{\alpha}^{+} \iff f_{+}^{*}(x) > \alpha \iff \exists h > 0 \ \frac{1}{h} \int_{x}^{x+h} |f(y)| \, \mathrm{d}y > \alpha \iff \exists h > 0 \ G(x+h) > G(x)$$

$$\{x \in \mathbb{R} : \exists h > 0 \ G(x+h) > G(x)\} = \bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} (a_{k}, b_{k}), \quad G(a_{k}) = G(b_{k})$$

$$|E_{\alpha}^{+}| = \sum_{k} (b_{k} - a_{k}) = \frac{1}{\alpha} \sum_{k} \int_{(a_{k}, b_{k})} |f| = \frac{1}{\alpha} \int_{\bigcup_{k} (a_{k}, b_{k})} |f| = \frac{1}{\alpha} \int_{|E_{\alpha}^{+}|} |f|.$$

Definition. $F:[a,b] \to \mathbb{R}$ is absolutely continuous if

$$\forall \varepsilon > 0 \exists \delta > 0 : \sum_{k=1}^{N} (B_k - a_k) < s$$

intervals $(a_k b_k)$ disjoint $(k = 1, ..., N) \Rightarrow$

$$\sum_{k=1}^{N} |F(b_k) - F(a_k)| < \varepsilon$$

Remark. (i) On a bounded Inetrval $I \subset \mathbb{R}$

$$C^1(I) \subset \operatorname{Lip}(I) \subset AC(I) \subset BV(I)$$

So they are diff. a.e.. All the inclusions are strict.

- (ii) abs cont \Rightarrow unif. con. \Rightarrow cont.
- (iii) $f \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R})$ $F(x) = \int_0^x f(t) \, \mathrm{d}t$ Then F is absolutely continuous. $(\forall \varepsilon \exists \delta | E| < \delta \Rightarrow \int_E |f| < \varepsilon)$ Upshot: AC functions are the ones which re diff a..e. and vrify FTC.

Theorem. $F \in AC(a,b) \Rightarrow F'$ exists a.e., F' = 0 a.e. $\Rightarrow F$ constant

- Existence of F' clear $\sqrt{}$
- F' = 0 a.e. $\Rightarrow F$ constant: refinement of Vitali

Definition. A collection $\mathcal{B} = \{B\}$ of (open) balls ön \mathbb{R}^d . is a *Vitali covering* of a set E if

$$\forall x \in E \forall n > 0 \exists B \in \mathcal{B} : x \in B, |B| < n$$

Lemma. $E \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ meas. $|E| < \infty$, \mathcal{B} Vitali covering of E, $\delta > 0$. Then there exist finitely many disjoint balls $B_1, ..., bvB_N \in \mathcal{B}$

$$\sum_{j=1}^{N} |B_j| \ge |E| - \delta$$

Recall elementary Vitali: $\mathcal{B} = \{B_1, ..., B_N\}$ finite collection of pen balls in $\mathbb{R}^d \Rightarrow \exists$ disjoint subcollection $B_{i_1}, ..., B_{i_k}$ with

$$|\bigcup_{j=1}^{B} B_{j}| \le 3^{d} \sum_{j=1}^{k} |B_{i_{j}}|$$

Proof of Lemma. wlog $\delta > |E|$. Vitali $\Rightarrow \exists$ disjoint subcollection $B_1, ..., B_N \in \mathcal{B}$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{N_1} |B_i| \ge 3^{-d} \delta$$

Sequence of balls $B_1, ..., B_N$ question: Is $\sum_{j=1} |B_j| \ge |E| - \delta$? Yes: done with $N = N_1$. No: work harder.

$$\sum_{i=1}^{N_1} |B_j| < |E| - \delta$$

$$E_2 = E \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^{N_1} \bar{B}_j$$

$$|E_2| \ge |E| - \sum_{i=1}^{N_1} |B_i| > |E| - (|E| - \delta) = \delta$$

 \mathcal{B} Vitali covering \Rightarrow balls in \mathcal{B} disjoint frm $\bigcup_{i=1}^{N_1} \bar{B}_i$ still covers E_2 . Vitali $\Rightarrow \exists$ finite disjoint subcollection of these balls $B_{N_1+1}, \ldots, B_{N_2}$

$$\sum_{N_1 < j < N_2} |B_j| \ge 3^{-d} \delta.$$

After k steps, $B_1,...,B_{N_1},...B_{N_1},...,B_{N_k}$ with

$$\sum_{i=1}^{N_k} \ge h3^{-d}\delta \ge |E| - \delta$$

iff $k \ge 3^d \frac{|E| - \delta}{\delta}$, stop.

need to approximate with compact from inside somewhere and with open from outside somewhere else

Corollary. The balls can be arranged in such a way that

$$E\setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^N B_i|<2\delta$$

Proof. Choose open $O \supset E$: $|O \setminus E| < \delta$. \mathcal{B} Vitali covering \Rightarrow wlog all balls in \mathcal{B} are contained in O.

$$(E\setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^{N}B_{i})\cup \bigcup_{i=1}]NB_{i}\subset O.: |E\setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^{N}B_{i}|\leq |O|-\sum_{i=1}^{N}|B_{i}|\leq |E|+\delta-(|E|-\delta)=2\delta$$

 $F \in AC$ Back to the real lin: Goal: F' = 0 a.e. $\Rightarrow F$ constant. ETS F(a) = F(b)

$$E = \{x \in (a, b) : F'(x) \text{ exists and } = 0\} \quad |E| = b - a\}$$

Fix $\varepsilon > 0$. For $x \in E$, $\lim_{h \to 0} |\frac{F(x+h)-F(x)}{h}| = 0$. $\forall \eta > 0 \exists$ open interval $I = (a_x,b_x) \subset [a,b]$ containing x. $F(b_x) - F(a_x)| \le \varepsilon(b_x - a_x)$ and $b_x - a_x < \eta$. The collection of these intervals (over all $\eta > 0$) forms a Vitali covering of E. Lemma \Rightarrow Given $\delta > 0$ can select finitely many, disjoint $I_j = (a_j,b_j)_{j=1}^N$ such that

$$\sum_{j=1}^{N} |I_j| \ge |E| - \delta = b - a - \delta$$

But

$$\begin{split} \sum_{j=1}^{N} |F(b_j)TF(a_j)| &\leq \varepsilon \sum_{j=1}^{N} (b_j - a_j) \leq \varepsilon (b - a) \\ [a,b] \setminus \bigcup_{j=1}^{N} I_j &= \bigcup_{k=1}^{M} [\alpha_k, \beta_k] \end{split}$$

with total length $\leq \delta$. .: $F \in AC$

$$\sum_{k=1}^{M} |F(\beta_k) - F(\alpha_k)| < \varepsilon$$

$$|F(b) - F(a)| \leq \sum |F(b_j) - F(a_j)| + \sum |F(\beta_k) - F(\alpha_k)| \leq \varepsilon (b - a) + \varepsilon,$$

done.

Theorem. $F \in AC(a, b)$. Then

(i) F' exists a.e. and is integrable

(ii)

$$F(x) - F(a) = \int_{a}^{x} F'(t) dt \quad (\forall a \le x \le b)$$

Conversely, if $f \in L^1(b)$, then there exists $F \in AC(a,b)$: F' = f a.e..

 $Proof. \Rightarrow$

(i) seen last lecture.

(ii)

$$G(x) := \int_{a}^{x} F'(t) dt$$

 $::G \in AC ::F - G \in AC$. Lebesque diff. $\Rightarrow G'(x) = F'(x)$ (a.e. x) :: (F - G)' = 0 a.e.. Therefore (F - G)(x) = (F - G)(a), F(x) - G(x) = F(a), equivalent to (*)

 \Leftarrow

$$F(x) = \int_{a}^{x} f(t) dt$$

AC $\sqrt{.}$ Leb. diff $\Rightarrow F' = f$ a.e.

Next: Monotone functions which are not nec. continuous. Wlog. F increasing, bounded on [a,b].

$$F(x^{-})F\lim_{y\to x,y< x} F(y) \quad F(x^{+}) := \dots$$

 $F(x^{-}) \leq F(x) \leq F(x^{+})$, F cont. at x if $F(x^{-}) = F(x^{+})$. Otherwise F has a jump discontinuity at x.

Obs: A (bounded) increasing function F on [a,b] has at most countable many jumps. There exists an injective map $\operatorname{Disc}(F) \to \mathbb{Q}$

.: $\operatorname{Disc}(F) = \{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \ \alpha_n = F(x_n^+) - F(x_n^-) = \text{jump of } F \text{ at } x_n. \ F(x_n^+) = F(x_n^-) + \alpha_n \ F(x_n) = F(x_n^-) + \theta_n \alpha_n, \ \theta_n \in [0,1]. \ F(x) = \mu((-\infty,x]).$ Corresponds to singular + abs. cont measures.

$$j_n(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & x < x_n \\ \phi_n & x = x_n \\ 1 & x > x_n \end{cases}$$

Jump function associated to F is

$$J_F(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} j_n(x)$$

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\alpha_n=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}F(x_n^+)-F(x_n^-)\leq F(b)-F(a)<\infty$$

because F incr, and F bounded.

Lemma. F increasing, bounded on [a, b], $\operatorname{Disc}(F) = \{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$

- (i) $J_F(x)$ is discontinuous precisely at $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$, has a jump at x_n equal to that if F.
- (ii) Th function $F-J_F$ is increasing and continuous.

Proof. (i) $x \neq x_n(\forall n) \Rightarrow \text{each } j_n \text{ is continuous at } x \Rightarrow J_F \text{ is continuous at } x \text{ because of uniform convergence.}$ $x = x_N(\exists N) \Rightarrow J_F = \sum_{n=1}^N \alpha_n j_n(x) + \sum_{n>N} \alpha_n j_n(x)$. First sum has jump discontinuity x_N of size α_N

(ii) $F - J_F$ is continuous

$$F(x) - J_F(x) \leq F(y)TJ_F(y) \iff J_F(y) - J_F(y) \leq F(y) - F(x)$$

, where

$$J_F(y) = \sum_{x < x_n \le y} \alpha_n = \sum_{x < x_n \le y} F(x_n^+) - F(x_n^-) \le F(y) - F(x)$$

Since $F = (F - J_F) + J_F$ ETS J_F is diff a.e.. This was essential step of

$$\mu = \mu_{AC} + \mu_S + \mu_{PP}$$

z(t)=(x(t),y(t)). curve $\gamma.$ $x,y:[a,b]\to\mathbb{R}$ continuous. γ rectifiable if length

$$L(\gamma) = \sup \sum_{j=1}^{N} |z(t_j) - z(t_{j-1})| < \infty$$

 $\text{sup over all partitions } P = \{a = t_0 < t_1 <_< t_N = b\} \text{ of } [a,b]$ When is

$$L(\gamma) = \int_{a}^{b} |z'(t)| \, \mathrm{d}t?$$

Lemma. γ is rectifiable iff x, y are of bounded variation (and cont.).

see F = x + iy

Assume γ rectifiable, let L(A, B) length of $\gamma(A, B)$, $(a \le A \le B \le b)$

- (i) $L(A, B) = T_F(A, B)$ (where F(t) = z(t))
- (ii) $L(A, C) + L(C, B) = L(A, B) (A \le C \le B)$
- (iii) $A \mapsto L(A, B)$ (fix B) is continuous

 $B \mapsto L(A, B)$ (fix A)

seen: $F \in BV(a, b)$, cont. $\Rightarrow T_F$ cont.

Warning: $[0,1] \ni t \mapsto (F(t),F(t)), F \text{ Cantor. } F \text{ cont. incr. } F(0)=0, \ F(1)=1, \ F'=0 \text{ a.e.}$

Theorem. $z:[a,b]\to\mathbb{R}^2, t\mapsto (x(t),y(t))\sim curve\ \gamma.\ x,y\in AC(a,b). \Rightarrow \gamma\ rectifiable\ and$

$$L(\gamma) = \int_{a}^{b} |z'(t)| \, \mathrm{d}t$$

why? $F:[a,b]\to\mathbb{C}$ is $\mathrm{AC}(a,b)$

$$\Rightarrow T_F(a,b) = \int_a^b |F'(t)| dt.$$

$$\sum_{j=1}^{N} |F(t_j) - F(t_{j-1})| = \sum_{j=1}^{N} |\int_{t_{j-1}}^{t_j} F'(t) dt| \le \sum_{j=1}^{N} \int_{t_{j-1}}^{t_j} |F'(t)| dt = \int_{a}^{b} |F'(t)| dt$$

First inequality by FTC. For \geq , write F'=g+h, g step function, h small in L^1 G,H= def. integrals of g,h. Check $T_F\geq T_G,T_H,\,T_H$ small, $T_G\geq \int_a^b|g(t)|\,\mathrm{d}t.$

Minkowski content of a curve simple, simple closed, quasi-simple curves.

trace of γ : $\Gamma = \{z(t) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : t \in [a, b]\}.$

Given $K \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ and $\delta > 0$ define

$$K^{\delta} = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^2 : d(x, K) < \delta\}$$

where $d(x, K) = \inf_{k \in K} d(x, k)$

Definition. The set K has (1D) Minkowski content if

$$\lim_{\delta \to 0} \frac{|K^{\delta}|}{2\delta}$$

exists (in \mathbb{R}), denoted M(K).

Theorem. Let $\Gamma = \{z(t) : a \le t \le b\}$ be (the trace of) a quasi-simple curve γ . Then Γ has Minkowski content iff γ is rectifiable (in which case $M(\Gamma) = L(\gamma)$).

Upper Mink: content.

$$\limsup_{\delta \to 0^+} \frac{|K^{\delta}|}{2\delta} =: M^*(K)$$

lower

$$\liminf_{\delta \to 0^+} \frac{|K^{\delta}|}{2\delta} =: M_*(K).$$

Proposition. $T = \{z(t) : a \le t \le b\}$ quasi simple. If $M_*(\Gamma) < \infty$, then γ is rectifiable and $L(\gamma) \le M_*(\Gamma)$.

Proposition. $\Gamma = \{z(t) : a \le t \le b\}$ rectifiable γ . Then $M^*(\Gamma) \le L(\gamma)$.

Proof of Prop. 1, for simple curves. Obs: $\Gamma = \{z(t): a \le t \le b\}$ any curve. $\Delta = |z(b) - z(a)|$. $|\Gamma^{\delta}| \ge 2\delta\Delta$.

Take any partition P of [a,b). $L_P = \sum_{j=1}^N |z(t_j) - z(t_{j-1})|$. Given $\varepsilon > 0, \exists N$ proper closed subintervals $I_j = [a_j, b_j] \subset (t_{j-1}, t_j)$:

$$\sum_{i=1}^{N} |z(b_j) - z(a_j)| \le L_P - \varepsilon$$

 $I_1,...,I_N$ disjoint $\Rightarrow \Gamma_1,...,\Gamma_N$ disjoint because Γ is simple. $\Leftrightarrow \Gamma_1^\delta,...,\Gamma_N^\delta$ disjoint, provided $\delta>0$ small enough.

$$\begin{split} \bigcup_{j=1}^N \Gamma_j^\delta \subset \Gamma^\delta \\ |\Gamma^\delta| \geq \sum_{j=1}^N |\Gamma_j^\delta| \geq 2\delta \sum_{j=1}^N |z(t_j) - z(t_{j-1})| \geq 2\delta(L_p - \epsilon) \end{split}$$

Isoperimetric inequality (soft) $\gamma: [a,b] \to \mathbb{R}^2$, $\gamma \in C^1(a,b): \gamma'(s) \neq 0 \forall s, \gamma(a) = \gamma(b)$. Arclength parametrization: $\gamma: [0,L] \to \mathbb{R}^2$, $|\gamma'(s)| = 1 \forall s$.

Theorem. $\Gamma \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ simple colsed C^2 curve of length L. A area of the region enclosed by Γ .

$$A = \frac{1}{2} \left| \int_{\Gamma} > (x \, dy - y \, dx) \right| = \frac{1}{2} \left| \int_{0}^{L} (x(s)y'(s) - x'(s)y(s)) \, ds.$$

Then $4\pi A \leq L^2$. Equality iff Γ is a circle.

Proof. wlog (rescale) $L = 2\pi$.: WTS $A \le \pi$, equality iff Γ is a circle of radius 1. $\gamma: [0, 2\pi] \to \mathbb{R}^2$, $s \mapsto \gamma(s) = (x(s), y(s))$ arclength par. $x'(s)^2 + y'(s)^2 = 1 \forall s$.:

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{0}^{2\pi} (x'(s)^2 + y'(s)^2) \, \mathrm{d}s = 1$$

 Γ closed $\Rightarrow x(s), y(s)$ 2η -periodic.

$$x'(s) = \sum_{n} a_{n} ine^{ins}$$
$$y'(s) = \sum_{n} b_{n} ine^{ins}$$

 $Parseval \Rightarrow$

$$\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} n^2 (|a_n|^2 + |b_n|^2) = 1$$

$$A = \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{2\pi} (x(s)y'(s) - x'(s)y(s)) \, \mathrm{d}s | = \pi | \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} n(a_n \bar{b}_n - b_n \bar{a}_n) |$$

by bilinear Parseval

$$\begin{split} |a_n \bar{b}_n - b_n \bar{a}_n| &\leq 2|a_n| |b_n| \leq |a_n|^2 + |b_n|^2 \\ A &\leq \pi \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} n^2 (|a_n|^2 + |b_n|^2) \leq \pi \end{split}$$

Cases of equality: $A = \pi \Rightarrow$

$$x(s) = a_{-1}e^{-is} + a_0 + a_1e^{is}$$

$$y(s) = b_{-1}e^{-is} + b_0 + b_1e^{is}$$

$$x, y \text{ real-valued} \Rightarrow a_1 = \bar{a}_{-1}, \ b_1 = \bar{b}_{-1}. \ (***) \Rightarrow 2(|a_1|^2 + |b_1|^2) = 1.$$

$$(****) \Rightarrow |a_1| = |b_1| = \frac{1}{2}. : \quad a_1 = \frac{1}{2}e^{i\alpha} \quad b_1 = \frac{1}{2}e^{i\beta} \quad (\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R})$$

$$1 = 2|a_1\bar{b}_1 - \bar{a}_1b_1| = \sin(\alpha - \beta)|. : \quad \alpha - \beta = \frac{k\pi}{2} \quad (\text{odd } k)$$

$$x(s) = a_0 + \cos(s + \alpha)$$

$$y(s) = b_0 \pm \sin(s + \alpha)$$

 \pm dep. on parity of $\frac{k-1}{2}$.

Isoperimetric inequality (hard) $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ bounded, open, $\partial \Omega = \bar{\Omega} - \Omega =: \Gamma$ rectifiable curve (not nec. simple) with length $l(\Gamma)$.

Theorem.

$$4\pi |\Omega| \le l(\Omega)^2$$

Proof. inner:

$$\Omega_{-}^{\delta} = \{ x \in \mathbb{R}^2 : d(x, \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \Omega) \ge \delta \}$$

outer:

$$\begin{split} \Omega_+^\delta &= \{x \in \mathbb{R}^2 \ : \ \mathrm{d}(x,\bar{\Omega}) < \delta \} \\ \Gamma^\delta &= \{x \ : \ \mathrm{d}(x,\Gamma) < \delta \} \\ \Omega_+^\delta &= \Omega_-^\delta \dot{\cup} \Gamma >^\delta \end{split}$$

 $A,B\subset\mathbb{R}^d,\ A+B=\{a+b:a\in A,b\in B\}$ Note: $\Omega+B_\delta\subset\Omega_+^\delta,\ \Omega_-^\delta+B_\delta\subset\Omega$. Brunn-Minkowski: $A,B\subset\mathbb{R}^2$ meas., A+B meas.

$$|A+B|^{\frac{1}{2}} \ge |A|^{\frac{1}{2}} + |B|^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

$$\begin{split} |\Omega_{-}^{\delta}| &\geq (|\Omega|^{\frac{1}{2}} + |B_{\delta}|^{\frac{1}{2}})^{2} \geq |\Omega| + 2|\Omega|^{\frac{1}{2}} \underbrace{|B_{\delta}|^{\frac{1}{2}}}_{=(\pi\delta^{2})^{\frac{1}{2}}} \\ |\Omega| &\geq (|\Omega_{-}^{\delta}|^{\frac{1}{2}} + |B_{\delta}|^{\frac{1}{2}})^{2} \geq |\Omega_{-}^{\delta}| + 2|\Omega_{-}^{\delta}|^{\frac{1}{2}}|B_{\delta}|^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ |\Gamma^{\delta}| &\geq |\Omega| + 2|\Omega|^{\frac{1}{2}}\sqrt{\pi} - |\Omega| + |\Omega_{-}^{\delta}|^{\frac{1}{2}}\sqrt{\pi} \\ & \limsup_{\delta \to 0^{+}} \frac{|\Gamma^{\delta}|}{2\delta} \geq 2|\Omega|^{\frac{1}{2}}\sqrt{\pi} \\ & 4\pi|\Omega| \leq M^{*}(\Gamma)^{2} \leq l(\Gamma)^{2} \end{split}$$

Note, that only in the very last inequality did we use the rectifiability of Γ .

Brunn-Minkowski ineq. (\mathbb{R}^d) $A, b \in \mathbb{R}^d$ measurable. $A + B = \{a + b : a \in A, b \in B\}$. $\lambda A = \{\lambda a : a \in A\} \ (\lambda > 0)$.

Q.: Can |A+B| be controlled in terms of |A|, |B|? No! There exist sets A, B |A| = |B| = 0 with |A+B| > 0. Example $[0,1] \times [0,1]$. Another example $A=B=C \subset [0,1]$ Cantor set. Then A+B=[0,2].

Q.: Can $|A+B|^{\alpha} \ge c_{\alpha}(|A|^{\alpha}+|B|^{\alpha})$ hold? (for some $\alpha>0$ with $c_{\alpha}<\infty$, indep of A,B) Best possible $c_{\alpha}=1$.

What about α ? Convex sets play a role. A = convex, $B = \lambda A$. $|B| = |\lambda A| = \lambda^d |A|$. $|A + B| = |A + \lambda A| = |(1 + \lambda)A| = (1 + \lambda)^d |A|$ because A is convex.

 $\left(\lambda_1 A + \lambda_2 A = (\lambda_1 + \lambda_2) A \text{ iff } A \text{ is convex.}\right)$

$$|A + B|^{\alpha} \ge |A|^{\alpha} + |B|^{\alpha} \text{ iff } (1 + \lambda)^{d\alpha} \ge 1 + \lambda^{d\alpha} \Rightarrow \alpha \ge \frac{1}{d}.$$

 $(a+b)^{\gamma} \geq a^{\gamma} + b^{\gamma} \forall a,b \geq 0, \ \gamma \geq 1.$

Candidate inequality:

$$|A+B|^{\frac{1}{d}} \ge |A|^{\frac{1}{d}} + |B|^{\frac{1}{d}}$$

(BM)

A, B measurable $6 \Longrightarrow A + B$ measurable. Take $[0, 1] \times$ nonmeasurable.

- (i) $A, B \text{ closed} \Rightarrow A + B \text{ measurable}$
- (ii) $A, B \text{ compact} \Rightarrow A + B \text{ compact}$
- (iii) $A, B \text{ open} \Rightarrow A + B \text{ open}$

Theorem. (BM) holds if A, B, A + B measurable.

- (i) A, B rectangles with sidelengths $\{a_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}, \{b_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$
- (ii) A, B unions of fifinely many rectangles with disjoint interiors.
- (iii) A, B open sets of finite measure
- (iv) A, B compact
- (v) A, B, A + B measurable.

Proof. (i) (BM) becomes

$$\prod_{j=1}^{d} (a_j + b_j)^{\frac{1}{d}} \ge \prod_{j=1}^{d} a_j^{\frac{1}{d}} + \prod_{j=1}^{d} b_j^{\frac{1}{d}}$$

 $a_j \to \lambda_l a_j, \ b_j \to \lambda_j b_j$. Both sides are multiplied by $(\lambda_1 \lambda_2 \dots \lambda_d)^{\frac{1}{d}}$: wglog can assume $a_j + b_j = 1 \forall j$ (Choose $\lambda_j = a_j + b_j$)

AMGM:

$$\prod_{j=1}^{d} a_{j}^{\frac{1}{d}} \leq \frac{1}{d} \sum_{j_{1}}^{d} a_{j}$$

$$\prod_{j=1}^{d} b_{j}^{\frac{1}{d}} \leq \frac{1}{d} \sum_{j_{1}}^{d} b_{j}$$

$$\prod a_{j}^{\frac{1}{d}} + \prod b_{j}^{\frac{1}{d}} \leq \frac{1}{d} \sum_{j=1}^{d} (a_{j} + b_{j}) = 1$$

(ii) Induction on n= number of rectangles in A and B. Choose pair of disjoint rectangles R_1, R_2 in A. Can rotate s.t. R_1 and R_2 are separated by hyperplane $\{x_j=0\}$. R_1 lies in $A_+=A\cap\{x_j\geq 0\}$, $A_I=A\cap\{x_j\leq 0\}$.

Rem.: Both A_+, A_- contain at leas one less rectangle than $A, A = A_+ \subset A_-$ and $A_B \cap A_-$ has measure zero.

Now: translate \boldsymbol{B} s.t. \boldsymbol{B}_- and \boldsymbol{B}_+ satisfy

$$\frac{|B_\pm|}{|B|} = \frac{|A_\pm|}{|A|}$$

 $(A_+ + B_+) \cup (A_- + B_-) \subset A + B$ Number of rectangles in A_+ and $B_+,$ number of rectangles in A_- and B_- is < n.

$$\begin{split} |A+B| &\geq |A_{+}+B_{-}| + |A_{-}B+_{-}| \geq (|A_{+}|^{\frac{1}{d}} + |B_{+}|^{\frac{1}{d}})^{d} + (|A_{-}|^{\frac{1}{d}} + |B_{-}|^{\frac{1}{d}})^{d} \\ &= (|A_{+}|(1 + (\frac{|B_{+}|}{|A_{+}|})^{\frac{1}{d}})^{d} + |A_{-}|(1 + (\frac{|B_{-}|}{|A_{-}|})^{\frac{1}{d}})^{d} = (|A_{+}| + |A_{-}|)(1 + (\frac{|B|}{|A|})^{\frac{1}{d}})^{d} \\ &= (|A|^{\frac{1}{d}} + |B|^{\frac{1}{d}})^{d}. \end{split}$$

(iii) Open sets of finite measure A,B. $\forall \varepsilon > 0 \exists A_{\varepsilon}, B_{\varepsilon}$ finet unions of parallel rectangles with disjoint interiors. $A_{\varepsilon} \subset A, B_{\alpha} \subset B, |A| \leq |A_{\varepsilon}| + \varepsilon, |B| \leq |B_{\varepsilon}| + \varepsilon.$

$$|A+B| \ge |A_{\varepsilon}+B_{\varepsilon}| \ge (|A_{\varepsilon}|^{\frac{1}{d}}+|B_{\varepsilon}|^{\frac{1}{d}})^d \ge ((|A|-\varepsilon)^{\frac{1}{d}}+(|B|-\varepsilon)^{\frac{1}{d}})^d$$
. Let $\varepsilon \to 0^+$, done.

- (iv) A,B compact. Let $A^{\varepsilon}=\{x:d(x,A)<\varepsilon\}$. $A+B\subset A^{\varepsilon}+B^{\varepsilon}\subset (A+B)^{2\varepsilon}$
- (v) A, B, A + B measurable: usi inner regularity of Lebesque measure.

Remark. A, B open sets of finite positive measure. Equality in (BM) iff A, B convex and similar. $\exists \delta > 0 \exists h \in \mathbb{R}^d$: $A = \delta B + h$ (A convex iff $\lambda_i A + \lambda_2 A = (\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)A$)

Consequences for isoperimetric inequality $A \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ bounded open with smooth boundary. $(\partial A, B \subset \mathbb{R}^d \text{ ball } |B| = |A|)$

$$|\partial A| = \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0^+} \frac{|A + \varepsilon B| - |A|}{\varepsilon}$$

Isoper ineq.: $|\partial A| \ge |\partial B|$.

Proof.

$$\frac{|A + \varepsilon B| - |A|}{\varepsilon} \ge \frac{(|A|^{\frac{1}{d}} + |\varepsilon B|^{\frac{1}{d}})^d - |A|}{\varepsilon} = \frac{(1 + \varepsilon)^d - 1}{\varepsilon} |B| \to d|B| = |\partial B|$$

for $\varepsilon \to 0$.

Better: $A \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ has finite perimeter ($\iff 1_A \in \mathrm{BV}(U),\ U \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ bdd open)

$$\frac{\mathscr{H}^{d-1}(\partial A)}{|A|^{\frac{d-1}{d}}} \ge \frac{\mathscr{H}^{d-1}(S^{d-1})}{|B^d(0,1)|^{\frac{d-1}{d}}}$$

Hausdorff measure Q: How does a set replicate under scaling? $E \to nE = E_1 \cup ... \cup E_m$ disjoint congruent copies of E. Examples: line $m = n^1$, square $m = n^2$, cube $m = n^3$, Cantor set $3C = C_1 \cup C_2 \ 2 = 3^{\alpha} \iff \alpha = \frac{\log 3}{\log 2}$

 $\#(\varepsilon)$ =least # of segments that arise from such poygonal lines. Γ rectifiable iff $\#(\varepsilon) \sim \varepsilon^{-1}$ as $\varepsilon \to 0^+$. If $\#(\varepsilon) \sim \varepsilon^{-\alpha}$ ($\alpha > 1$) In this case, say " Γ has dim α ". Snowflake has $\alpha = \frac{\log 4}{\log 3} > 1$.

Upshot: $E \alpha > 1$. $m_{\alpha}(E) = \alpha$ -dimensional mass of E among sets of "dimension" α .

- $\alpha > \dim(E) \Rightarrow m_{\alpha}(E) = 0$
- $\alpha < \dim(E) \Rightarrow m_{\alpha}(E) = \infty$
- $\alpha = \dim(E)$ interesting

R. Gardener Bulletin AMS more about Brunn-Minkowski, geometrically, including more proofs, e.g. with induction of the dimension.

Hausdorff measure $E \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ any subset.

$$m_{\alpha}^{*}(E) := \lim_{\delta \to 0^{+}} \inf \{ \sum_{k} (\operatorname{diam} F_{k})^{\alpha} : E \subset \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} F_{k} \operatorname{diam}(F_{k}) \leq \Delta \}$$

exterior/outer α -dim Hausdorff measure.

Remark. $H_{\alpha}^{\delta}(E) \leq H_{\alpha}^{\delta}(E) \leq m_{\alpha}^{*}(E) (\forall \delta > 0)$. $H_{\alpha}^{\delta}(E)$ increases when δ ecreases. $\therefore m_{\alpha}^{*}(E) = \lim_{\delta \to 0^{+}} H_{\alpha}^{\delta}(E)$ exists

Remark. Coverings must be by sets of arb. small measure. (If we allowed the δ to be arbitrary then two parallel lines would get the same 1d-measure as one of them.)

Remark (Skaling). "The measure of a set should scale like its dimension". E.g.: $\Gamma \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ smoot cureve of length L sim $\lambda\Gamma$ has length λL . $Q \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ cube sum λQ has measure $\lambda^d |Q|$. |F| scaled by $\lambda \Rightarrow (\operatorname{diam} F)^{\alpha}$ scaled by λ^{α}

Properties

- (i) $E_1 \subset E_2 \Rightarrow m_{\alpha}^*(E_1) \leq m_{\alpha}^*(E_2)$
- (ii) $\{E_i\} \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ countable family of sets $\Rightarrow m_\alpha^*(\bigcup_{i=1}^\infty E_i) \leq \sum_{i=1}^\infty m_\alpha^*(E_i)$
- (iii) (Finite additility) $\inf_{x\in E_1,y\in E_1}|x-y|=\operatorname{d}(E_1,E_2)>0\Rightarrow m_\alpha^*(E_1\cup E_2)=m_\alpha^*(E_1)+m_\alpha^*(E_2)$

Proof. ETS \geq . Fix $0 < \varepsilon < d(E_1, E_2)$. Given any cover of $E_1 \cup E_2$ with sets F_1, F_1, \ldots of diam $\leq \delta < \varepsilon$, let $F_j' = F_j \cap E_1$, $F_j'' = F_j \cap E_2$.

$$\sum (\operatorname{diam}_{j}F'_{j})^{\alpha} + \sum_{j} (\operatorname{diam}F''_{j})^{\alpha} \leq \sum_{k} \operatorname{diam}(F_{k})^{\alpha}$$

Take inf over all covers, let $\delta \to 0^+$, done.

 m_{α}^* satisfies all properties of a Caratheodory outer measure $\therefore m_{\alpha}^*$ is a countabley additive maisure when restricted to Borel sets, call it $m_{\alpha} = \alpha$ -dim Hausdorff measure.

(iv) $\{E_i\}$ countable family of disjoint Borel sets \Rightarrow

$$m_{\alpha}(\dot{\bigcup}_{j=1}^{\infty} E_j) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} m_{\alpha}(E_j)$$

(v) Hausdorff masure is invariant under translation and rotations. It scales like:

$$m_{\alpha}(\lambda E) = \lambda^{\alpha} m_{\alpha}(E)$$

- (vi) $m_0(E) = \#E$, $m_1(E) = |E|$ (=1D LEbesgue measure of E), $E \subset \mathbb{R}$ Borel.
- (vii) $E \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ Borel, $m_{\alpha}(E) \simeq |E|$

Proof. (i) Isodiametric inequality: $|E| \leq v_d (\frac{\text{diam}E}{2})^d$, v_d volume of the unit ball in \mathbb{R}^d . Prove first for sets E = -E and then something hard.

(ii) Covering argument: Given $\varepsilon, \delta > 0$, there exists a covering of E by balls $\{B_j\}$: $\operatorname{diam} B_j < \delta, \ \sum_i |B_j| \le |E| + \varepsilon$

$$H_d^{\delta}(E) \le \sum_i (\operatorname{diam} B_j)^d = c_d \sum_i |B_j| \le c_d (|E| + \varepsilon),$$

let $\delta, \varepsilon \to 0^+$, get one of the inequalities.

(viii) if $m_{\alpha}^*(E) < \infty$ and $\beta > \alpha$, then $m_{\beta}^*(E) = 0$. If $m_{\alpha}^*(E) > 0$ and $\beta < \alpha$, then $m_{\beta}^(E) = \infty$.

Proof. $\operatorname{diam} F < \delta, \beta > \alpha \Rightarrow (\operatorname{diam} F)^{\beta} = (\operatorname{diam} F)^{\beta-\alpha} (\operatorname{diam} F)^{\alpha} < \delta^{\beta-\alpha} (\operatorname{diam} F)^{\alpha}$

Consequence: Given $E \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ Borel, $\exists ! \alpha$ such that

$$m_{\beta}(E) = \begin{cases} \infty & \beta < \alpha \\ 0\beta > \alpha \end{cases}$$

 $\alpha = \sup\{\beta : m_{\beta}(E) = \infty\} = \inf\{\beta : m_{\beta}(E) = 0\} := \text{Hausdorff dimension of } E = \dim E$

At the critical value $\alpha = \dim E$ $0 \le m_{\alpha}(E) \le \infty$. If E is bounded and the enequalities are strict, we say that E has strict Hausdorff dimension α .

Theorem. The Cantor set $C \subset [0,1)$ has strict Hausdorff dimensios $\frac{\log 2}{\log 3}$

ETS: $0 < m_{\alpha}(C) \le 1$

Proof. $m_{\alpha}(C) \leq 1$: $C = \bigcap C_k$ where each C_k is a finite union of 2^k inetrvals of length 3^{-k} .. Given $\delta > 0$ coose k large enough tuch tht $3^{-k} < \delta$. C_k covers C and Consists of 2^k intervals of diameter $3^{-k} < \delta$. $H_{\alpha}^{\delta}(C) \leq 2^k (3^{-k})^{\alpha} = 1$, let $\delta \to 0^+$, done. $M_{\alpha}(C) > 0$:

Lemma. $E \subset\subset \mathbb{R}^d$ compact, $f: E \to \mathbb{R}$ γ -Hölder,

$$|f(x) - f(y)| \le m|x - y|^{\gamma} \quad (\forall x, y \in E) \quad 0 < \gamma \le 1$$

Then

(i)
$$m_{\beta}(f(E)) \leq M^{\beta} m_{\alpha}(E)$$
 if $\beta = \frac{\alpha}{\gamma}$.

(ii) dim
$$f(E) \le \frac{1}{\gamma} \dim(E)$$

Proof. $\{F_k\}$ countable family of sets that overs $E :: \{f(F_k \cap E)\}$ covers f(E). diam $f(F_k \cap E) \le M(\operatorname{diam} F_k)^{\gamma}$.

$$\sum_{k} (\operatorname{diam} f(E \aleph F_k))^{\frac{\alpha}{\gamma}} \le M^{\frac{\alpha}{\gamma}} \sum_{k} (\operatorname{diam} F_k)^{\alpha},$$

done. and 1 implies 2.

Lemma. The Cantor-Lebesgue function $F: C \to [0,1]$ is $\gamma = \frac{\log 2}{\log 3}$ -Hölder.

Proof. Goal: $|F(x) - F(y)||x - y|^{\gamma} \forall x, y \in C$.

 F_n increases at most 2^{-n} on an interval of length 3^{-n} . \therefore slope $\leq (\frac{3}{2})^n \therefore |F_n(x) - F_n(y)| \leq (\frac{3}{2})^n |x - y|$. $|F_n(x) - F(x)| \leq 2^{-n}$. Given x, y chose $n: 3^n |x - y| \sim 1$, $3^\gamma = 2$.

$$|F(x) - F(y)| \leq |F_n(x) - F_n(y)| + |F_n(x) - F(x)| + |F_n(y) - F(y)| \leq (\frac{3}{2})^n |x - y| + 2 \cdot 2^{-n} \leq c 2^{-n} = c (3^{-n})^{\gamma} \leq c' |x - y|^{\gamma}$$

Apply LEmma 1 with $E=C,\ f=F,\ \gamma=\frac{\log 2}{\log 3}\Rightarrow 1=m_1([0,1])\leq Mm_\alpha(C),\ \dim C=\frac{\log 2}{\log 3}$

Rectifiable curves

Theorem. $\gamma:[a,b]\to\mathbb{R}^d$ continuous and simple. Then γ is rectifiable iff $\Gamma=\{\gamma(t):a\leq t\leq b\}$ has strict Hausdorff dimension equal to 1. $m_1(\Gamma)=l(\gamma)$.

Proof. \Rightarrow : Let γ be rectifiable of length L. Consider a crlength parametrization $\tilde{\gamma}$. $\Gamma = \{\tilde{\gamma}(s): 0 \leq s \leq L\}$.

$$|\tilde{\gamma}(s_1) - \tilde{\gamma}(s_2)| \le |s_1 - s_2|$$

By Lemma 1 (i) $m_1(\Gamma) \leq L$. Wh $m_1(\Gamma) \geq L$?

$$\Gamma_i = \{ \gamma(t) : t_i \le t \le t_{i+1} \}$$

$$\Gamma = \bigcup_{j=1}^{N-1} \Gamma_j \quad m_1(\Gamma) = \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} m_1(\Gamma_j)$$

Claim: $m_1(\Gamma_j) \ge l_j := |\gamma(t_j) - \gamma(t_{j+1})|$

Proof. $\pi: \mathbb{R}^2 \infty \mathbb{R}$ $(x, y) \mapsto x$ Lipschitz, $\pi(\Gamma_i) \subset [0, l_i]$ Lemma 1 (i) implies the claim.

$$\therefore m_1(\Gamma) \ge_1 (\Gamma_i) \ge \sum l_i, \ L := \sup_{n} \sum l_i \therefore m_1(\Gamma) \ge L, \text{ done.}$$