## To contracept or not to contracept

A dynamic model of contraceptive choice of married couples

May 4, 2023

### Research question

Is it possible to rationalize the contraception choices of married couples in a dynamic optimization framework?

Key reference:

Carro, J. and Mira, P. (2006), A Dynamic Model of Contraceptive Choice of Spanish Couples

# Model Decisions

The couple chooses one of three mutually exclusive actions:

- ightharpoonup not to contracept (j = 1),
- ightharpoonup to use temporary contraceptive methods (j = 2),
- ightharpoonup or to sterilize (j = 3)

 $d_{tj} = 1$ , if action j is chosen at time t. Otherwise it is 0.

We want to begin by only consider the binary choice - to contracept or not to contracept.

## Model

States

$$S_t = (X_t, \varepsilon_{1t}, \varepsilon_{2t}, \varepsilon_{3t})$$

Where  $X_t$  is a vector of the following state variables:

- Parity Number of children
- Woman's age
- Contraception choice history choice in last period
- Religious beliefs
- Education level of the couple
- Spacing between the children

We start by only having parity as state variable.

And  $\varepsilon_{jt}$  is a random variable which determines the utility of action j in period t.

### Model Utility

$$u_{tj} = \tilde{u}_{tj}(X_t) + \varepsilon_{tj}$$

We begin with a very simple version of the utility function:

$$\tilde{u}_{tj}(X_t) = \eta_1 N_t + \eta_2 N_t^2 + \mu d_{t2}$$

Where  $N_t$  is the number of children the couple have at time t and  $d_{t1}$  is the choice to contraception.

### Model Utility

The goal is to replicate their full utility-function:

$$u_{tj} = \eta_1 I_{1t} + \eta_2 N_2 + \eta_3 N_t^2$$

$$+ (\gamma_1 E_1 + \alpha_1 E_2) I_{1t} + (\gamma_2 E_1 + \alpha_2 E_2) I_{2t} + (\gamma_3 E_1 + \alpha_3 E_2) I_{3t} \sum_{n>2} I_{nt}$$

$$+ \mu_0 d_{t-1,1} d_{t2} + \mu_{j1} + \mu_{j2} R + \mu_{33} \tilde{t} d_{t3}$$

$$+ \psi_0 (t - 35) 1(t > 36) b_t + (\psi_1 dur_{t1} + \psi_2 dur_{t2} + \psi_2 dur_{t3}) b_t$$

Where I is the indicator for the  $i^{th}$  child, N is the number of children, E is education for wife and husband, R is a dummy for couple being religious, and dur is spacing between the children.

## Model

Bellman Equation

$$v_{tj}(X_t) = \tilde{u}_{tj}(X_t) + \beta E[\max_{i} v_{t+1,k}(X_{t+1})\varepsilon_{t+1,k}|X_t,d_{tj} = 1]$$

In the terminal period of if j=3 the Bellmann equation takes the following form:

$$v_{tj}(X_t) = \tilde{u}_{tj}(X_t) + \beta E[W(t+1, X_{t+1})|X_t, d_{tj} = 1]$$

#### Method

#### Nested Pseudo Likelihood (NPL)

A dynamic stochastic discrete choice model solved with maximum likelihood estimation.

$$\mathcal{L}_{i}(\theta_{k}) = \prod_{t} \prod_{j} \{P_{j}(X_{it}; \theta)[b_{it+1}F_{tj}(\theta) + (1 - b_{it+1})(1 - F_{tj}(\theta))]\}^{d_{itj}}$$

Where b is a dummy variable indicating a birth, and  $F_{jt}(\theta)$  is the conditional probability of giving birth. We begin simple by assuming that the probability of giving birth is 0 if the couple contracept.

Thus the log-likelihood:

$$L(\theta) = \sum_{i} \ln \sum_{k} \mathcal{L}_{i}(\theta_{k}) Pr(k|\underline{t}_{i})$$

#### Game Plan

- 1. Solve the model with simulated data
  - We begin using only one state variable
  - We choose to start with parity: the number of children
- 2. Try to solve the model with the actual data
- 3. Add another state variable and repeat step 1 and 2
- 4. Repeat step 3 until all state variables are added
- 5. Try adding the sterilization choice
- 6. Compare our results with the paper
- 7. Try to replicate their counterfactuals