Is there an influence of sampling date?

Jody Daniel

2020-11-03

Background

Some of the trait data may be affected by the date in which they were sampled. In building the final glm, we want to ensure that there is not an un accounted for influence of sampling date on traits. To deal with this confounding factor, we will run a linear regression and use the residuals, versus the raw data, to build the final glm. To do this, I will use the lapply function to run a linear regression on each trait, then pull out the p-value for each model. Models where there are a significant influence of sampling date, I will export the residuals.

```
library(knitr)
library(kableExtra)
library(tidyverse)
library(dplyr)
library(here)
library(skimr)
library(extrafont)
source(here("scripts/archive/1. functions.R"))
theme_set(theme_special())
```

Is there an influence of sampling date?

The first thing we can do is import the data and append sampling date. The sampling date is missing from the data exported from the PCA.

| skim_type | skim_variable | n_missing | complete_rate | character.min | character.max | character.empty |
|--------------------------|------------------------|-----------|---------------|---------------|---------------|-----------------|
| character | SampleID | 0 | 1.0000000 | 6 | 10 | 0 |
| numeric | BAI GR | 0 | 1.0000000 | NA | NA | NA |
| numeric | Height.DBH.Ratio | 0 | 1.0000000 | NA | NA | NA |
| numeric | Estem | 0 | 1.0000000 | NA | NA | NA |
| numeric | Branching.Distance | 0 | 1.0000000 | NA | NA | NA |
| numeric | Twig.Diameter | 0 | 1.0000000 | NA | NA | NA |
| numeric | Twig.Wood.Density | 0 | 1.0000000 | NA | NA | NA |
| numeric | Stem.Wood.Density | 0 | 1.0000000 | NA | NA | NA |
| numeric | Leaf.Mass.Fraction | 0 | 1.0000000 | NA | NA | NA |
| numeric | Leaf.Area | 0 | 1.0000000 | NA | NA | NA |
| numeric | LMA | 0 | 1.0000000 | NA | NA | NA |
| numeric | LCC | 0 | 1.0000000 | NA | NA | NA |
| numeric | LNC | 0 | 1.0000000 | NA | NA | NA |
| numeric | LPC | 0 | 1.0000000 | NA | NA | NA |
| numeric | d15N | 0 | 1.0000000 | NA | NA | NA |
| numeric | t.b2 | 1 | 0.9961686 | NA | NA | NA |
| numeric | Ks | 1 | 0.9961686 | NA | NA | NA |
| numeric | Ktwig | 1 | 0.9961686 | NA | NA | NA |
| numeric | Huber.Value | 1 | 0.9961686 | NA | NA | NA |
| $\operatorname{numeric}$ | X.Lum | 1 | 0.9961686 | NA | NA | NA |
| numeric | VD | 1 | 0.9961686 | NA | NA | NA |
| numeric | X.Sapwood | 1 | 0.9961686 | NA | NA | NA |
| numeric | d13C | 0 | 1.0000000 | NA | NA | NA |
| numeric | Root.Wood.Density | 94 | 0.6398467 | NA | NA | NA |
| numeric | Twig.branching.angle | 63 | 0.7586207 | NA | NA | NA |
| numeric | Hmax | 0 | 1.0000000 | NA | NA | NA |
| numeric | Shade. Tolerance | 22 | 0.9157088 | NA | NA | NA |
| numeric | Drought.Tolerance | 22 | 0.9157088 | NA | NA | NA |
| numeric | WaterLogging.Tolerance | 22 | 0.9157088 | NA | NA | NA |
| numeric | Soil.Fertility | 0 | 1.0000000 | NA | NA | NA |
| numeric | Light | 0 | 1.0000000 | NA | NA | NA |
| numeric | Temperature | 0 | 1.0000000 | NA | NA | NA |
| numeric | рН | 0 | 1.0000000 | NA | NA | NA |
| numeric | Slope | 0 | 1.0000000 | NA | NA | NA |
| numeric | julian.date.2011 | 0 | 1.0000000 | NA | NA | NA |

skim(rgr_msh_julian) - for markdown visualization

Now, we can run the linear regression that is really to remove the influence of sampling date, when there is one.

```
# not all columns are numeric/should be included in this analyses
col_traits <- 3:(ncol(rgr_msh_julian)-1)
# need to determine which of the columns are influenced by sampling dates
p_value_julian <- unname(unlist(lapply(col_traits, function(x){
   julian.date <- unname(unlist(rgr_msh_julian[ , "julian.date.2011"]))
   y.julian.date <- unname(unlist(rgr_msh_julian[ , x]))
   p_value_julian <- summary(lm(y.julian.date ~ julian.date))$coefficients[,4][2]
})))</pre>
```

```
# add the column names to make this listing make more sense
names(p_value_julian) <- colnames(rgr_msh_julian[,col_traits])</pre>
# now, let's see which columns I need to extract residuals for
p_value_julian_names <- names(which(p_value_julian<0.05))</pre>
p_value_julian_which <- which(colnames(rgr_msh_julian)%in%p_value_julian_names) # need the column numbe
p_value_julian_names # these are the columns where traits are significantly affected by sampling dates
## [1] "Height.DBH.Ratio"
                                "Estem"
                                                        "Branching.Distance"
## [4] "Twig.Diameter"
                                "Stem.Wood.Density"
                                                        "LMA"
## [7] "LNC"
                                "d15N"
                                                        "Ks"
## [10] "X.Sapwood"
                                "d13C"
                                                        "Twig.branching.angle"
## [13] "Shade.Tolerance"
                                "Drought.Tolerance"
                                                        "Soil.Fertility"
## [16] "Light"
                                "Temperature"
                                                        "Hq"
```

Let's extract the residuals for each of these columns and replace these values in the dataset

```
# need to determine which of the columns are influenced by sampling dates
residuals_julian <- lapply(p_value_julian_which, function(x){</pre>
  julian.date <- unname(unlist(rgr_msh_julian[ , "julian.date.2011"]))</pre>
  y.julian.date <- unname(unlist(rgr_msh_julian[ , x]))</pre>
  residuals_julian <- lm(y.julian.date ~ julian.date)$residuals
})
rgr_msh_residuals_matrix <- matrix(NA, nrow = nrow(rgr_msh_julian), ncol = length(p_value_julian_which)
# convert to a dataframe and give correct column names
for (i in 1:length(p_value_julian_which)){
    row_member <- c(which(!is.na(rgr_msh_julian[,p_value_julian_which[i]])),</pre>
                     which(is.na(rgr_msh_julian[,p_value_julian_which[i]])))
    row_NAs <- rep(NA,length(which(is.na(rgr_msh_julian[,p_value_julian_which[i]]))))</pre>
    rgr_msh_residuals_matrix[row_member,i] <- c(residuals_julian[[i]], row_NAs)</pre>
colnames(rgr_msh_residuals_matrix) <- p_value_julian_names</pre>
# now, let's make a new data frame that has these new columns
# must ensure to drop the columns from the raw data where there was a significant effect
rgr_msh_residuals_julian_df <- tibble(rgr_msh_julian[, -p_value_julian_which],</pre>
                                        data.frame(rgr_msh_residuals_matrix))
kable(skim(rgr_msh_residuals_julian_df), "latex", booktabs = T) %>%
  kable styling()
```

| skim_type | skim_variable | n_missing | complete_rate | character.min | character.max | character.empty |
|-----------|------------------------|-----------|---------------|---------------|---------------|-----------------|
| character | SampleID | 0 | 1.0000000 | 6 | 10 | 0 |
| numeric | BAI GR | 0 | 1.0000000 | NA | NA | NÁ |
| numeric | Twig.Wood.Density | 0 | 1.0000000 | NA | NA | NA |
| numeric | Leaf.Mass.Fraction | 0 | 1.0000000 | NA | NA | NA |
| numeric | Leaf.Area | 0 | 1.0000000 | NA | NA | NA |
| numeric | LCC | 0 | 1.0000000 | NA | NA | NA |
| numeric | LPC | 0 | 1.0000000 | NA | NA | NA |
| numeric | t.b2 | 1 | 0.9961686 | NA | NA | NA |
| numeric | Ktwig | 1 | 0.9961686 | NA | NA | NA |
| numeric | Huber.Value | 1 | 0.9961686 | NA | NA | NA |
| numeric | X.Lum | 1 | 0.9961686 | NA | NA | NA |
| numeric | VD | 1 | 0.9961686 | NA | NA | NA |
| numeric | Root.Wood.Density | 94 | 0.6398467 | NA | NA | NA |
| numeric | Hmax | 0 | 1.0000000 | NA | NA | NA |
| numeric | WaterLogging.Tolerance | 22 | 0.9157088 | NA | NA | NA |
| numeric | Slope | 0 | 1.0000000 | NA | NA | NA |
| numeric | julian.date.2011 | 0 | 1.0000000 | NA | NA | NA |
| numeric | Height.DBH.Ratio | 0 | 1.0000000 | NA | NA | NA |
| numeric | Estem | 0 | 1.0000000 | NA | NA | NA |
| numeric | Branching.Distance | 0 | 1.0000000 | NA | NA | NA |
| numeric | Twig.Diameter | 0 | 1.0000000 | NA | NA | NA |
| numeric | Stem.Wood.Density | 0 | 1.0000000 | NA | NA | NA |
| numeric | LMA | 0 | 1.0000000 | NA | NA | NA |
| numeric | LNC | 0 | 1.0000000 | NA | NA | NA |
| numeric | d15N | 0 | 1.0000000 | NA | NA | NA |
| numeric | Ks | 1 | 0.9961686 | NA | NA | NA |
| numeric | X.Sapwood | 1 | 0.9961686 | NA | NA | NA |
| numeric | d13C | 0 | 1.0000000 | NA | NA | NA |
| numeric | Twig.branching.angle | 63 | 0.7586207 | NA | NA | NA |
| numeric | Shade. Tolerance | 22 | 0.9157088 | NA | NA | NA |
| numeric | Drought.Tolerance | 22 | 0.9157088 | NA | NA | NA |
| numeric | Soil. Fertility | 0 | 1.0000000 | NA | NA | NA |
| numeric | Light | 0 | 1.0000000 | NA | NA | NA |
| numeric | Temperature | 0 | 1.0000000 | NA | NA | NA |
| numeric | рН | 0 | 1.0000000 | NA | NA | NA |

```
# skim(rgr_msh_residuals_julian_df) - for markdown visualization

# now, we can export these data
write_csv(rgr_msh_residuals_julian_df, here("data/rgr_msh_residuals_julian_df.csv"))
```

We are ready! Now, we can build the linear regression model.