# MATH 4370/7370 - Linear Algebra and Matrix Analysis

Eigenvalues, eigenvectors, similarity and Geršgorin disks

Julien Arino

Fall 2023



# Outline

Eigenpairs

Characteristic equation and algebraic multiplicity

Similarity

Left and right eigenvectors, geometric multiplicity

The Geršgorin Theorem

Extensions of Geršgorin disks using graph theory

# Eigenpairs

Characteristic equation and algebraic multiplicity

Similarity

Left and right eigenvectors, geometric multiplicity

The Geršgorin Theorem

Extensions of Geršgorin disks using graph theory

### Definition 1

Let  $A \in \mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{F})$ . If  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$  and  $\mathbf{v} \neq \mathbf{0} \in \mathbb{F}^n$  are such that  $A\mathbf{v} = \lambda \mathbf{v}$ , then  $\lambda$  is an eigenvalue of A associated to the eigenvector  $\mathbf{v}$ . We also say that  $(\lambda, \mathbf{v})$  form an eigenpair.

The eigenpair equation takes the form  $A\mathbf{v} = \lambda \mathbf{v}$ , for  $\mathbf{v} \neq \mathbf{0}$ . Rewriting this,

$$A\mathbf{v} = \lambda \mathbf{v} \iff A\mathbf{v} - \lambda \mathbf{v} = \mathbf{0} \iff A\mathbf{v} - \lambda \mathbb{I}\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{0} \iff (A - \lambda \mathbb{I})\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{0}$$

(We could also have obtained  $(\lambda \mathbb{I} - A)\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{0}$ )

Hence, since we seek  $\mathbf{v} \neq \mathbf{0}$ , the homogeneous system  $(A - \lambda \mathbb{I})\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{0}$  must have non-trivial solutions; this implies that  $A - \lambda \mathbb{I}$  must be *singular*. So, if  $\lambda$  is an eigenvalue, there must hold that  $\det(A - \lambda \mathbb{I}) = 0$ 

#### Remark 2

It is essential to remember that one seeks a nonzero vector  $\mathbf{v}$ . Clearly, if  $\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{0}$ , then  $A\mathbf{v} = \lambda \mathbf{v}$  for any  $\lambda$ , since this just means that  $\mathbf{0} = \mathbf{0}$ 

Often, we use normalised eigenvectors,  $\tilde{\mathbf{v}} = \mathbf{v}/||\mathbf{v}||$ , so that  $||\tilde{\mathbf{v}}|| = 1$ 

Also, for eigenvectors  $\mathbf{v}$  that have all their components nonpositive, we typically use  $-\mathbf{v}$ , so that all components are nonnegative.

p. 3 – Eigenpairs

# Definition 3 (Spectrum of a matrix)

The spectrum of  $A \in \mathcal{M}_n$  is the set of all its eigenvalues and its denoted  $\sigma(A)$ 

#### Theorem 4

$$0 \in \sigma(A) \iff A \text{ is singular}$$

#### Theorem 5

$$A \in \mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{F}), \ \lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{C}$$
 given. Then  $\lambda \in \sigma(A)$  if and only if  $\lambda + \mu \in \sigma(A + \mu\mathbb{I})$ 

### Eigenpairs

# Characteristic equation and algebraic multiplicity

Similarity

Left and right eigenvectors, geometric multiplicity

The Geršgorin Theorem

Extensions of Geršgorin disks using graph theory

# Definition 6 (Characteristic polynomial/equation)

The characteristic polynomial of  $A \in \mathcal{M}_n$  is

$$p_A(z) = \det(A - z\mathbb{I}).$$

The characteristic equation of A is  $p_A(z) = 0$ 

By the Fundamental Theorem of Algebra, if  $p_A(z)$  has degree n, then  $p_A(z)$  has n complex roots including multiplicity (or at most n roots if not counting multiplicity)

These roots are the eigenvalues of A and thus  $\sigma(A)$  has at most n elements in  $\mathbb C$ 

Let  $A \in \mathcal{M}_n$ . Then

$$\operatorname{tr}(A) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_{i} \quad and \quad \operatorname{det}(A) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_{i}$$

Let p(T) be a k-degree polynomial. If  $(\lambda, \mathbf{v})$  eigenpair of  $A \in \mathcal{M}_n$ , then  $(p(\lambda), \mathbf{v})$  is an eigenpair for p(A)

# Definition 9 (Algebraic multiplicity of an eigenvalue)

Let  $A \in \mathcal{M}_n$ . The (algebraic) multiplicity of  $\lambda \in \sigma(A)$  is its multiplicity as a zero of the characteristic polynomial  $p_A(\lambda)$ 

# Definition 10 (Spectral radius of a matrix)

The spectral radius of  $A \in \mathcal{M}_n$  is

$$\rho(A) = \max\{|\lambda|, | \lambda \in \sigma(A)\}$$

### Proposition 11

For all  $\lambda \in \sigma(A)$ ,  $A \in \mathcal{M}_n$ ,  $\lambda$  lies in the closed bounded disk in  $\mathbb{C}$ ,

$$\{z \in \mathbb{C} : z | \leq \rho(A)\}$$

# Theorem 12 (Every square matrix is close to nonsingular matrices)

Let  $A \in \mathcal{M}_n$ , then there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that  $A + \varepsilon \mathbb{I}$  is non-singular for  $0 < |\varepsilon| < \delta$ 

Let  $A \in \mathcal{M}_n$ . Suppose that  $\lambda \in \sigma(A)$  has algebraic multiplicity k. Then

$$\operatorname{rank}(A - \lambda \mathbb{I}) \geq n - k$$

with equality when k = 1

### Eigenpairs

Characteristic equation and algebraic multiplicity

# Similarity

Left and right eigenvectors, geometric multiplicity

The Geršgorin Theorem

Extensions of Geršgorin disks using graph theory

# Definition 14 (Similarity/permutation similarity)

Let  $A, B \in \mathcal{M}_n$ . We say that B is similar to A if there exists a nonsingular  $S \in \mathcal{M}_n$  such that

$$B = S^{-1}AS$$

The transformation  $A \mapsto S^{-1}AS$  is a **similarity transformation** with similarity matrix S. If S = P with P a **permutation matrix** and that  $B = P^TAP$ , A and B are **permutation similar**. In both cases, we denote "A similar to B" as  $A \sim B$ 

#### Theorem 15

Similarity is an equivalence relation, i.e., it is reflexive, symmetric, and transitive

Let  $A, B \in \mathcal{M}_n$ . If A is similar to B, then they have the same characteristic polynomial, i.e.,

$$p_A(t) = p_B(t)$$

# Corollary 17

Let  $A, B \in \mathcal{M}_n$ . If  $A \sim B$ , then

- 1. A and B have the same eigenvalues
- 2. If B is a diagonal matrix, then the main diagonal entries are the eigenvalues of A
- 3.  $B=0 \iff A=0$
- 4.  $B = \mathbb{I} \iff A = \mathbb{I}$

#### Definition 18

If  $A \in \mathcal{M}_n$ . If A is similar to a diagonal matrix, then A is diagonalisable

Let  $A \in \mathcal{M}_n$ .

1

$$A \sim \begin{pmatrix} \Lambda & C \\ 0 & D \end{pmatrix} \tag{1}$$

with  $\Lambda = diag(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k)$ ,  $D \in \mathcal{M}_{n-k}$ ,  $1 \le k \le n \iff k$  linear independent vectors in  $\mathbb{C}^n$ , each of which is an eigenvector of A

2. A diagonalisable  $\iff$  there are n linearly independent eigenvectors of A

# Theorem 19 (continued)

3. If  $x^{(1)}, \dots, x^{(n)}$  are linear independent eigenvectors of A, define

$$S=[x^{(1)}\ldots x^{(n)}].$$

Then  $S^{-1}AS$  is diagonal.

4. If

$$A \sim \begin{pmatrix} \Lambda & C \\ 0 & D \end{pmatrix}$$
,

then the diagonal entries of  $\Lambda$  are eigenvalues of A, if  $A \sim \Lambda = \text{diag}(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)$ , then  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$  are the eigenvalues of A

#### Lemma 20

Let  $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_k$ ,  $k \geq 2$  be k distinct eigenvalue of A. Let  $x^{(i)}$  be an eigenvector associated to  $\lambda_i$ ,  $i = 1, \ldots, k$ . Then  $x^{(1)}, \ldots, x^{(k)}$  are linear independent

#### Theorem 21

If  $A \in \mathcal{M}_n$  has n distinct eigenvalues, then it is diagonalisable

#### Lemma 22

Let  $B = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{n} B_{ii}$ . Then B is diagonalisable if and only if each of the  $B_{ii}$  is diagonalisable

#### Definition 23

Two matrices A and B in  $\mathcal{M}_n$  are simultaneously diagonalisable if there exists a matrix  $S \in \mathcal{M}_n$  non-singular such that  $S^{-1}AS$  and  $S^{-1}BS$  are diagonal

#### Theorem 24

Let  $A, B \in \mathcal{M}_n$  be diagonalisable. Then A and B commute if and only if A and B are simultaneously diagonalisable

#### Remark 25

See Definition 1.3.16 and following for commuting families and simultaneously diagonalisable families

### Eigenpairs

Characteristic equation and algebraic multiplicity

Similarity

Left and right eigenvectors, geometric multiplicity

The Geršgorin Theorem

Extensions of Geršgorin disks using graph theory

Let  $A \in \mathcal{M}_n$ , then

- 1.  $\sigma(A) = \sigma(A^T)$
- 2.  $\sigma(A^*) = \overline{\sigma(A)}$

#### Definition 27

Take  $A \in \mathcal{M}_n$ , for a given  $\lambda \in \sigma(A)$ , the set of  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{C}^n$  such that  $A\mathbf{x} = \lambda \mathbf{x}$  is the eigenspace associated to  $\lambda$ . Every non-zero vector in the eigenspace associated to  $\lambda \in \sigma(A)$  is an eigenvector of A associated to  $\lambda$ 

Left and right eigenvectors, geometric multiplicity

### Definition 28

Let  $A \in \mathcal{M}_n$  and  $\lambda \in \sigma(A)$ . The dimension of the eigensapce associated to  $\lambda$  is the **geometric multiplicity** of  $\lambda$ . We say that  $\lambda$  is **simple** if its algebraic multiplicity is one, it is **semisimple** if its algebraic and geometric multiplicities are equal

# Proposition 29

Let  $\lambda$  be an eigenvalue of A. We have that the algebraic multiplicity is greater of equal to the geometric multiplicity. Furthermore, if the algebraic multiplicity is one the the geometric multiplicity is one as well

#### Definition 30

Let  $A \in \mathcal{M}_n$ . We say that A is

- defective if the geometric multiplicity is less then the algebraic multiplicity for some eigenvalue
- ► non-defective if *for all* eigenvalues, the geometric multiplicity equals the algebraic multiplicity
- ▶ non-derogatory if for all eigenvalues, the geometric multiplicity is one
- derogatory otherwise

#### Theorem 31

Let  $A \in \mathcal{M}_n$ 

- 1. A is diagonalisable if and only if it is nondefective
- 2. A has distinct eigenvalues if and only if A is nonderogatory and non-defective

#### Remark 32

 $\sigma(A) = \sigma(A^T)$ , however they might have different spaces associated to each eigenvalue

# Definition 33 (Left wigenvector)

Let  $\mathbf{0} \neq \mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{C}^n$ , then we say that  $\mathbf{y}$  is a left eigenvector of  $A \in \mathcal{M}_n$  associated to  $\lambda \in \sigma(A)$  if  $\mathbf{y}^*A = \lambda \mathbf{y}^*$ 

#### Theorem 34

Let  $\mathbf{0} \neq \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{C}^n$ ,  $A \in \mathcal{M}_n$ . Assume that  $A\mathbf{x} = \lambda \mathbf{x}$  for some  $\lambda$ . If  $\mathbf{x}^*A = \mu \mathbf{x}^*$ , then  $\lambda = \mu$ 

#### Remark 35

 $\mathbf{y}$  is a left eigenvector associated to  $\lambda$  is also a right eigenvector of  $A^*$  associated to  $\bar{\lambda}$ .  $\bar{\mathbf{y}}$  eigenvector of  $A^T$  associated to  $\lambda$ 

Let  $A \in \mathcal{M}_n$  diagonalisable, S non-singular matrix,  $S^{-1}AS = \Lambda$ . Partition  $S = [x_1, \ldots, x_n]$  and  $S^{-*} = [y_1, \ldots, y_n]$ , where  $x_i$  and  $y_i$  are the right and left eigenvectors associated to  $\lambda_i$ , respectively.

Let  $A \in \mathcal{M}_n$ ,  $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{C}^n$ ,  $\lambda$ ,  $\mu \in \mathbb{C}$ . Assume  $A\mathbf{x} = \lambda \mathbf{x}$  and  $\mathbf{y}^*A = \mu \mathbf{y}^*$ 

- 1. If  $\lambda \neq \mu$ , then  $\mathbf{y}^*\mathbf{x} = 0$ , then  $\mathbf{x} \perp \mathbf{y}$
- 2. If  $\lambda = \mu$  and  $\mathbf{y}^*\mathbf{x} \neq 0$ , then there exists S non-singular of the form  $S = [\mathbf{x}S_1]$  such that  $S^{-*} = [\mathbf{y}/(\mathbf{x}^*\mathbf{y})Z_1]$  and  $A = S\begin{pmatrix} \lambda & 0 \\ 0 & B \end{pmatrix}S^{-1}$

Conversely, if A is similar to a block matrix of the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} \lambda & 0 \\ 0 & B \end{pmatrix}, B \in \mathcal{M}_{n-1}$$

then it has a non-orthogonal pair of left and right eigenvectors associated to  $\lambda$ 

Let  $A, B \in \mathcal{M}_n$ , with  $A \sim B$  with similarity matrix S. If  $(\lambda, \mathbf{x})$  is an eigenpair of B, then  $(\lambda, S\mathbf{x})$  is an eigenpair of A. If  $(\lambda, \mathbf{y})$  is a left eigenpair of B, then  $(\lambda, S^{-*}\mathbf{y})$  is a left eigenpair of A

#### Theorem 38

Let  $A \in \mathcal{M}_n$ ,  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ ,  $\mathbf{x}$ ,  $\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{C}^n$  non-zero. Suppose that  $\lambda \in \sigma(A)$  and  $A\mathbf{x} = \lambda \mathbf{x}$  and  $\mathbf{y}^*A = \lambda \mathbf{y}^*$ 

- 1. If  $\lambda$  has algebraic multiplicity 1, then  $\mathbf{y}^*\mathbf{x} \neq 0$
- 2. If  $\lambda$  has geometric multiplicity 1, then it has algebraic multiplicity 1 if and only if  $\mathbf{y}^*\mathbf{x} \neq 0$ .

### Eigenpairs

Characteristic equation and algebraic multiplicity

Similarity

Left and right eigenvectors, geometric multiplicity

The Geršgorin Theorem

Extensions of Geršgorin disks using graph theory

This section is based mostly on Varga's book *Geršgorin and His Circles* [?], which is highly recommended reading if you enjoy matrix theory. Let  $A \in \mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$ . Denote  $N = \{1, \ldots, n\}$ . For  $i \in N$ , define

$$r_i(A) = \sum_{j \in N \setminus \{i\}} |a_{ij}|$$

to be the *i*th deleted row sums of A. Assume that  $r_i(A) = 0$  if n = 1. Let

$$\Gamma_i(A) = \{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid |z - a_{ii}| \le r_i(A)\} \qquad i \in N$$

be the ith Gershgorin disk of A and

$$\Gamma(A) = \bigcup_{i \in N} \Gamma_i(A)$$

be the **Gershgorin set** of A.  $\Gamma_i$  and  $\Gamma$  are closed and bounded in  $\mathbb{C}$ .  $\Gamma_i(A)$  is a disk centred at  $a_{ii}$  and with radius  $r_i(A)$ ,  $i \in N$ .

# Theorem 39 (Gershgorin, 1931)

For all  $A \in \mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$  and for all  $\lambda \in \sigma(A)$ , there exists  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$|\lambda - a_{kk}| \leq r_k(A)$$

i.e.,  $\lambda \in \Gamma_k(A)$  and thus  $\lambda \in \Gamma(A)$ . Since this is true for all  $\lambda$ , we have

$$\sigma(A) \subseteq \Gamma(A)$$

#### Remark 40

This also works with deleted column sums; indeed, just consider  $A^T$  in this case. However, this typically gives different disks

# Corollary 41

Let  $A \in \mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$ , then

$$ho(\mathcal{A}) = \max\{|\lambda|,\ \lambda \in \sigma(\mathcal{A})\} \leq \max_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} |a_{ij}|$$

Definition 42 (Strictly diagonally dominant matrix)

$$A \in \mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$$
 is strictly diagonally dominant (SDD) if

$$\forall i \in N, |a_{ii}| > r_i(A)$$

#### Theorem 43

Let  $A \in \mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$ . If A SDD then A is nonsingular

Let  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $\mathbf{x} > \mathbf{0}$ , i.e.,  $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$  is such that  $x_i > 0$  for all i. Let  $X = \operatorname{diag}(\mathbf{x}) = \operatorname{diag}(x_1, \dots, x_n)$  such that X is invertible. Let  $A \in \mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$ , then  $X^{-1}AX = \left[\frac{a_{ij}x_j}{x_i}\right]_{i,i \in \mathbb{N}}$ . Also  $X^{-1}AX$  similar to A, so  $\sigma(X^{-1}AX) = \sigma(A)$ .b

Let  $r_i^{x_i}(A) = r_i(X^{-1}AX) = \sum_{j \in N \setminus \{i\}} \frac{|a_{ij}|x_j}{x_i}$  be the *i*th weighted rows sums of A. Let

$$\Gamma_i^{r^x} = \{z \in \mathbb{C}, |z - a_{ii}| \le r_i^x(A)\}$$

and

$$\Gamma^{r^{\times}} = \bigcup_{i=N} \Gamma_i^{r^{\times}}$$

be the *i*th weighted Gershgorin disk and the weighted Gershgorin set of *A*, respectively

# Corollary 44

For any matrix  $A \in \mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$  and  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , x > 0,

$$\sigma(A) \subset \Gamma^{r^{\times}}(A)$$

Question: How many eigenvalues are contained in each "component"?

Assume  $n \ge 2$ . Let S be a proper subset of N, i.e.,  $\emptyset \ne S \subsetneq N$ , with |S| its cardinality.

Let  $A \in \mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$ ,  $\mathbf{x} > \mathbf{0}$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and

$$F_S^{r^{\mathsf{x}}} = \bigcup_{i \in S} \Gamma_i^{r^{\mathsf{x}}}(A)$$

Then

$$\Gamma_{\mathcal{S}}^{r^{\mathsf{x}}}(A) \cap \Gamma_{\mathcal{N} \setminus \mathcal{S}}^{r^{\mathsf{x}}}(A) = \emptyset$$

#### Theorem 45

For all  $A \in \mathcal{M}_m(\mathbb{C})$ , for all  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $\mathbf{x} > \mathbf{0}$  for which

$$\Gamma_{\mathcal{S}}^{r^{\mathsf{x}}}(A) \cap \Gamma_{\mathcal{N} \setminus \mathcal{S}}^{r^{\mathsf{x}}}(A) = \emptyset$$

for some proper subset S of N, then  $\Gamma_S^{r^x}(A)$  contains exactly |S| eigenvalues of A

### Eigenpairs

Characteristic equation and algebraic multiplicity

Similarity

Left and right eigenvectors, geometric multiplicity

The Geršgorin Theorem

Extensions of Geršgorin disks using graph theory

We have seen that a matrix A that is SDD is nonsingular. Can we weaken this? What if diagonal dominance is not strict, i.e.,  $|a_{ii}| = r_i(A)$  for some  $i \in N$ ,  $|a_{ii}| \ge r_i(A)$  for all  $i \in N$ . This is not sufficient for nonsingularity. If we take the matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 2 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$$

is DD and singular, however,

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

is DD and singular.

## Definition 46 (Reducible/irreducible matrices)

 $A \in \mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$  is **reducible** if there exists a permutation matrix  $P \in \mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{R})$  and  $r \in N = \{1, \dots, n\}$  such that

$$PAP^T = \begin{pmatrix} A_{11} & A_{12} \\ 0 & A_{22} \end{pmatrix}$$

where  $A_{11} \in \mathcal{M}_r$ ,  $A_{22} \in \mathcal{M}_{n-r}$ . If there is no such P, then we say that A is irreducible

### Remark 47

If  $A \in \mathcal{M}_1$ , then A irreducible if  $a_{11} \neq 0$ 

In the reducible case, we can continue the process and find a matrix P (permutation) such that

$$PAP^{T} = \begin{pmatrix} R_{11} & R_{12} & \dots & R_{1m} \\ 0 & R_{22} & \dots & R_{2m} \\ & & \ddots & \\ 0 & & \dots & R_{nm} \end{pmatrix}$$

with the diagonal block  $R_{ii}$  irreducible. This is the normal reduced form of A

p. 32 – Extensions of Geršgorin disks using graph theory

#### Remark 48

Establishing irreducibility this way is hard. If no obvious permutation of rows and columns gives rise to a matrix in reduced form, then deciding on irreducibility requires to exhaust all possible permutation matrices to assert none exists. There are n! permutation matrices of size  $n \times n...$ 

Let  $A \in \mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$ . Let  $\{v_1, \ldots, v_n\}$  be *n* distinct points called vertices

For any (i,j),  $i,j \in N$ , for which  $a_{ij} \neq 0$ , connect  $v_j$  to  $v_j$  using a directed arc  $\overrightarrow{v_i v_j}$ 

If  $a_{ii} \neq 0$ , there is a loop from  $v_i$  to  $v_i$ 

The collection of all the directed arcs (and loops) obtained thusly is called the directed graph (or digraph) associated to A and is denoted  $\mathcal{G}(A)$ 

A directed path in G(A) is a collection of directed arcs from  $v_i$  to  $v_j$ , i.e.,

$$\overrightarrow{v_{i_1}v_{i_2}},\ldots,\overrightarrow{v_{i_{n-1}}v_{i_n}}$$

Along a directed path

$$\prod_{k=1}^{n-1} a_{i_k} a_{i_{k+1}} \neq 0$$

#### Remark 49

Given a graph  $\mathcal{G}$ , the matrix A such that  $\mathcal{G}(A) = \mathcal{G}$  is the adjacency matrix of  $\mathcal{G}$ 

#### Definition 50

Let  $\mathcal{G}$  be a digraph with vertex set  $\{v_1, \ldots, v_n\}$ .  $\mathcal{G}$  is **strongly connected** if for all ordered pairs  $(v_i, v_j)$  of vertices, there is a directed path from  $v_i$  to  $v_j$  in  $\mathcal{G}$ 

#### Remark 51

If  $\mathcal{G}(A)$  is strongly connected, then A cannot have a row with only zero off-diagonal entries. Indeed, suppose  $\mathcal{G}(A)$  is strongly connected. Without loss of generality, assume row 1 in A has only zero off-diagonal entries. Then because of the way  $\mathcal{G}(A)$  is constructed, this means there are no directed arcs terminating in  $v_1$  and as a consequence, there is no directed path terminating in  $v_1$ , contradicting strong connectedness of  $\mathcal{G}(A)$ .

#### Remark 52

 $\mathcal{G}(A)$  is strongly connected if and only if for any permutation matrix P, we have that  $\mathcal{G}(P^TAP)$  is strongly connected. [Because permutation is a relabelling of vertices.]

### Theorem 53

Let  $A \in \mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$ . Then A is irreducible if and only  $\mathcal{G}(A)$  is strongly connected

## Definition 54 (Irreducibly diagonally dominant matrix)

 $A \in \mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$  is irreducibly diagonally dominant (IDD) if A is irreducible, diagonally dominant, i.e.,

$$\forall i \in N, \quad |a_{ii}| \geq r_i(A)$$

and there exists  $i \in N$  for which diagonal dominance is strict, i.e., there exists i such that  $|a_{ii}| = r_i(A)$ .

## Theorem 55 (Taussky 1949 [?])

For any  $A \in \mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$ ,  $A \ IDD \Rightarrow A \ non-singular$ 

# Another result of Taussky

#### Theorem 56


Let  $A \in \mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$  be irreducible. Suppose  $\lambda \in \sigma(A)$  be such that  $\forall i \in \mathbb{N}, \lambda \notin \operatorname{Int} \Gamma_i(A)$ Then

$$\forall i \in N, \quad |\lambda - a_{ii}| = r_i(A) \tag{2}$$

In particular, if  $\lambda \in \partial \Gamma(A)$  [the boundary of  $\Gamma(A)$ ] for some  $\lambda \in \sigma(A)$ , then (2) holds for  $\lambda$ 

Extensions of Geršgorin disks using graph theory

#### References I



Richard S Varga, *Geršgorin and his circles*, vol. 36, Springer Science & Business Media, 2010.