

MATH 4370/7370 – Linear Algebra and Matrix Analysis

Factorisations, canonical forms and decompositions

Julien Arino

Fall 2025



**University
of Manitoba**

Outline

Unitary matrices and QR factorisation

Schur's Form

Consequences of Schur's triangularisation theorem

Normal Matrices

Jordan Canonical Form

Unitary matrices and QR factorisation

Schur's Form

Consequences of Schur's triangularisation theorem

Normal Matrices

Jordan Canonical Form

Definition 4.1

Let $\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_k \in \mathbb{C}^n$. We say that $\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_k$ is an **orthogonal list** if $\mathbf{x}_i^* \mathbf{x}_j = 0$ for all $i \neq j$. If, in addition, we have that $\mathbf{x}_i^* \mathbf{x}_i = 1$, then we say that the list is **orthonormal**

Theorem 4.2

Every orthonormal list of vectors in \mathbb{C}^n is linearly independent

Remark 4.3

In Theorem 4.2, if we have “only” orthogonal vectors, we need to replace “list of vectors” by “list of non-zero vectors” in the statement

Definition 4.4

Let $U \in \mathcal{M}_n$, we say that U is an **unitary matrix** if $U^*U = \mathbb{I}$. Furthermore, we say that $U \in \mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{R})$ is a **(real) orthogonal matrix** if $U^T U = \mathbb{I}$

Theorem 4.5

Let $U \in \mathcal{M}_n$. TFAE:

1. U is unitary
2. U is non-singular and $U^* = U^{-1}$
3. $UU^* = \mathbb{I}$
4. U^* is unitary
5. the columns of U are orthonormal
6. the rows of U are orthonormal
7. for all $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{C}^n$ we have $\|\mathbf{x}\|_2 = \|U\mathbf{x}\|_2$

Definition 4.6

A **linear transformation** $T : \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$ is a **Euclidean isometry** if $\|\mathbf{x}\|_2 = \|T\mathbf{x}\|_2$ for all $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{C}^n$

Corollary 4.7

Let $U \in \mathcal{M}_n$. U is a Euclidean isometry if and only if U is unitary

Remark 4.8

Let $U, V \in \mathcal{M}_n$ be unitary matrices (respectively real orthogonal), then UV is unitary (respectively real orthogonal).

Indeed, U, V unitary $\Leftrightarrow U^{-1}, V^{-1}$ exist and $U^{-1} = U^*, V^{-1} = V^*$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} UV \text{ unitary} &\Leftrightarrow (UV)^* UV = \mathbb{I} \\ &\Leftrightarrow V^* U^* UV = \mathbb{I} \\ &\Leftrightarrow \mathbb{I} = \mathbb{I} \end{aligned}$$

Notation: $\text{GL}(n, \mathbb{F})$ is the general linear group, where the elements are non-singular matrices in $\mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{F})$

Theorem 4.9

The set of unitary (respectively real orthogonal) matrices in \mathcal{M}_n forms a group, the $n \times n$ unitary (respectively real orthogonal) subgroup of $GL(n, \mathbb{C})$ (respectively $GL(n, \mathbb{R})$)

Theorem 4.10 (Selection Principle)

Suppose that we have a sequence of unitary matrices $U_1, U_2, \dots \in \mathcal{M}_n$. Then there exists a subsequence U_{k_1}, U_{k_2}, \dots such that the entries of U_{k_i} converge to entries of a unitary matrix as $i \rightarrow \infty$

Lemma 4.11

Let $U \in \mathcal{M}_n$ be a unitary matrix partitioned as

$$U = \begin{pmatrix} U_{11} & U_{12} \\ U_{21} & U_{22} \end{pmatrix},$$

with $U_{ii} \in \mathcal{M}_k$. Then $\text{rank} U_{12} = \text{rank} U_{21}$ and $\text{rank} U_{22} = \text{rank} U_{11} + n - 2k$. If, furthermore, $U_{21} = 0$ and $U_{12} = 0$, then U_{11} and U_{22} are unitary

Theorem 4.12 (QR factorisation)

Let $A \in \mathcal{M}_{nm}$

1. If $n \geq m$, there is a $Q \in \mathcal{M}_{nm}$ with orthonormal columns and upper triangular $R \in \mathcal{M}_m$ with non-negative main diagonal entries such that $A = QR$
2. If $\text{rank} A = m$ then the factors Q and R in (1) are uniquely determined and the main diagonal entries of R are all positive
3. If $n = m$, Then the factor Q in (1) is unitary
4. There is a unitary $Q \in \mathcal{M}_n$ and an upper triangular $R \in \mathcal{M}_{nm}$ with nonnegative diagonal entries such that $A = QR$
5. If A is real, then Q and R are in (1), (2), (3), and (4) may be taken to be real

Unitary matrices and QR factorisation

Schur's Form

Consequences of Schur's triangularisation theorem

Normal Matrices

Jordan Canonical Form

For a unitary matrix U , $U^* = U^{-1}$, so the transformation $A \mapsto U^*AU$ is a **similarity transformation**, provided that U is unitary. This is a **unitary similarity**

Definition 4.13 (Unitarily similar matrices)

Let $A, B \in \mathcal{M}_n$. We say that A is **unitarily similar** to B if there exists $U \in \mathcal{M}_n$ unitary such that

$$A = U^*BU$$

If U can be taken real (i.e., if U is real orthogonal) then A is real orthogonal similar to B (if $A = U^TBU$)

Remark 4.14

1. *Unitary similarity is an equivalence relation*
2. *Unitary similarity implies similarity. However, the converse is not true*
3. *Similarity is a change of bases. Unitary similarity is a change of orthonormal bases*

Definition 4.15 (Householder matrix)

Let $0 \neq \omega \in \mathbb{C}^n$. The Householder matrix $U_\omega \in \mathcal{M}_n$ is

$$U_\omega = \mathbb{I} - 2(\omega^* \omega)^{-1} \omega \omega^*$$

Remark 4.16

1. *If $\|\omega\| = 1$ then $U_\omega = \mathbb{I} - 2\omega\omega^*$*
2. *Householder matrix are unitary and Hermitian, thus $U_\omega^{-1} = U_\omega$.*
3. *The eigenvalues of a Householder matrix are $-1, 1, \dots, 1$ and $|U_\omega| = 1$*

Theorem 4.17

Let $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{C}^n$ and assume that $\|\mathbf{x}\|_2 = \|\mathbf{y}\|_2 > 0$

- ▶ If $\mathbf{y} = e^{i\theta}\mathbf{x}$ for some $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$ [\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} are linearly dependent], define $U(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{x}) = e^{i\theta}\mathbb{I}$
- ▶ Otherwise, let $\phi \in [0, 2\pi)$ be such that $\mathbf{x}^*\mathbf{y} = e^{i\phi}|\mathbf{x}^*\mathbf{y}|$ (taking $\phi = 0$ if $\mathbf{x}^*\mathbf{y} = 0$). Let $\omega = e^{i\phi}\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}$ and define

$$U(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{x}) = e^{i\phi} U_\omega$$

where $U_\omega = \mathbb{I} - 2(\omega^*\omega)^{-1}\omega\omega^*$ is Householder

1. $U(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{x})$ unitary and essentially Hermitian
2. $U(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{x})\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{y}$
3. $U(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{x})\mathbf{z} \perp \mathbf{y}$, when $\mathbf{z} \perp \mathbf{y}$
4. If $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^n$, then $U(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{x})$ is real and $U(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{x}) = \mathbb{I}$ if $\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{x}$ and $U(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{x}) = U_{\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y}} \in \mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{R})$ otherwise

Remark 4.18

For all $A \in \mathcal{M}_n$, $U(y, x)^*AU(y, x) = U_\omega^*AU_\omega$. This is called a Householder transformation.

Theorem 4.19 (Schur's Form)

Let $A \in \mathcal{M}_n$ with eigenvalues $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$ in any prescribed order (including multiplicities). Let $x \in \mathbb{C}^n$, $\|x\| = 1$, be such that $Ax = \lambda_1 x$

1. There exists $U = [x \ u_2 \ \dots \ u_n] \in \mathcal{M}_n$ unitary such that $U^*AU = T$, where T is upper triangular such that $t_{ii} = \lambda_i$, $i = 1, \dots, n$.
2. If $A \in \mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{R})$ and has real eigenvalues, then x can be chosen to be real and there exists

$$Q = [x \ q_2 \ \dots \ q_n] \in \mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{R})$$

real orthogonal and such that $Q^T A Q = T$, with T upper triangular with $t_{ii} = \lambda_i$, $i = 1, \dots, n$.

Theorem 4.20 (Schur version 2)

Let $A \in \mathcal{M}_n$ with eigenvalues $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$ (including multiplicities). Then there exists $U \in \mathcal{M}_n$ such that

$$U^*AU = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & * & \dots & * \\ 0 & \lambda_2 & & \vdots \\ 0 & & \ddots & * \\ 0 & & & \lambda_n \end{pmatrix}$$

Remark 4.21

The decomposition is not unique

Theorem 4.22

Let $U \in \mathcal{M}_n$, $A, B \in \mathcal{M}_n$. Suppose A is unitarily similar to B , then

$$\sum_{i,j=1}^n |a_{ij}|^2 = \sum_{i,j} |b_{ij}|^2$$

Corollary 4.23

Let $A \in \mathcal{M}_n$ have eigenvalues $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$, $T = UAU^*$ upper triangular. Then

$$\sum_{i=1}^n |\lambda_1|^2 = \sum_{i,j=1}^n |a_{ij}|^2 - \sum_{i < j} |t_{ij}|^2 \leq \sum_{i,j=1}^n |a_{ij}|^2 = \operatorname{tr} AA^*$$

with equality if T is diagonal.

Unitary matrices and QR factorisation

Schur's Form

Consequences of Schur's triangularisation theorem

Normal Matrices

Jordan Canonical Form

Theorem 4.24 (Cayley-Hamilton)

Let $A \in \mathcal{M}_n$ and $p_A(t)$ is the characteristic polynomial of A , then $p_A(A) = 0$.

Theorem 4.25 (Sylvester's theorem – pole placement)

Assume $A \in \mathcal{M}_n$ has eigenvalues $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$ with multiplicities n_1, \dots, n_d ($\sum_{i=1}^d n_i = n$).

Then A is unitary similar to a $d \times d$ block upper triangular matrix T , where $T_{i,j} \in \mathcal{M}_{n_i m_j}$, $T_{ij} = 0$ if $i > j$, T_{ii} upper triangular with diagonal λ_i , $T_{ii} = \lambda_i \mathbb{I} + R_i$, R_i strictly upper triangular, and A is similar to a matrix to $\bigoplus_{i=1}^d T_{ii}$ [standard similarity, not unitary]

Theorem 4.26

(Every square matrix is almost diagonalisable) Let $A \in \mathcal{M}_n$ for all $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $A(\varepsilon)[a_{ij}(\varepsilon)] \in \mathcal{M}$ with distinct eigenvalues such that

$$\sum_{i,j} |a_{ij} - a_{ij}(\varepsilon)|^2 < \varepsilon$$

Theorem 4.27

If $A \in \mathcal{M}_n$ for all $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $S(\varepsilon) \in \mathcal{M}_n$ non-singular such that

$$S^{-1}(\varepsilon)AS(\varepsilon) = T(\varepsilon),$$

where $T(\varepsilon)$ is upper triangular and $|t_{ij}(\varepsilon)| < \varepsilon$ for all i, j , with $i < j$.

Lemma 4.28

Let $(A_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ a sequence of matrices such that $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} A_k = A$ (entry-wise). Then there exists $k_1 < k_2 < \dots$ and $U_{k_i} \in \mathcal{M}$ such that

1. $T_i = U_{k_i}^* A_{k_i} U_{k_i}$ upper triangular
2. $U + \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} U_{k_i}$ exists and is unitary
3. $T = U^* A U$ upper triangular
4. $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} T_i = T$

Theorem 4.29

Let $(A_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ a sequence of matrices such that $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} A_k = A$ (entry-wise). Then let

$$\lambda(A) = [\lambda_1(A) \quad \dots \quad \lambda_n(A)]^T$$

and

$$\lambda(A_k) = [\lambda_1(A_k) \quad \dots \quad \lambda_n(A_k)]^T$$

be presentations of the eigenvalues of A and A_k . Define

$$S_n\{\pi \mid \pi \text{ is a permutation of } \{1, \dots, n\}\}.$$

Then for all $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $N(\varepsilon) \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$ such that

$$\min_{\pi \in S_n} \max_{i=1, \dots} \{|\lambda_{\pi(i)}(A_k) - \lambda_i(A)|\} \leq \varepsilon \quad \forall k \geq N(\varepsilon)$$

Recall that if \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} are two (column) vectors in \mathbb{F}^n , then $\mathbf{x}\mathbf{y}^*$ is a rank 1 matrix in $\mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{F})$. (Show it as an exercise.) The following is a famous result that quantifies the effect on the spectrum of a matrix of a perturbation built thusly

Theorem 4.30 (Brauer)

Suppose $A \in \mathcal{M}_n$ has eigenvalues $\lambda, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n$. Let \mathbf{x} be an eigenvector associated to λ . Then for every vector $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{C}^n$, the eigenvalues of $A + \mathbf{x}^\mathbf{v}$ are $\lambda + \mathbf{v}^*\mathbf{x}, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n$.*

Unitary matrices and QR factorisation

Schur's Form

Consequences of Schur's triangularisation theorem

Normal Matrices

Jordan Canonical Form

Definition 4.31 (Normal matrix)

A matrix $A \in \mathcal{M}_n$ is **normal** if $AA^* = A^*A$

All unitary, Hermitian or skew-Hermitian and diagonal matrices are normal

Theorem 4.32

Let $A \in \mathcal{M}_n$ with eigenvalues $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$. TFAE:

1. A is normal
2. A is unitary diagonalisable
3. $\sum_{i,j} |a_{i,j}|^2 = \sum_i |\lambda_i|^2$
4. A has n orthogonal eigenvectors

Theorem 4.33

Let $A \in \mathcal{M}_n$ be a hermitian matrix with eigenvalues $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$. Let

$$\Lambda = \text{diag}(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)$$

Then

1. $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n \in \mathbb{R}$
2. A is unitary diagonalisable
3. there exists $U \in \mathcal{M}_n$ such that $A = U\Lambda U^*$

Unitary matrices and QR factorisation

Schur's Form

Consequences of Schur's triangularisation theorem

Normal Matrices

Jordan Canonical Form

Definition 4.34

A **Jordan block** $J_k(\lambda)$ is a $k \times k$ upper triangular matrix of the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} \lambda & 1 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & & \lambda & 1 \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & \lambda \end{pmatrix}$$

Theorem 4.35

Let $A \in \mathcal{M}_n$ then there exists $S \in \mathcal{M}_n$ non-singular such that

$$A = S^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} J_{n_1}(\lambda_1) & & 0 \\ & \ddots & \\ 0 & & J_{n_k}(\lambda_k) \end{bmatrix} S^{-1} = S \bigoplus_{i=1}^k J_{n_i}(\lambda_i) S^{-1}$$

Theorem 4.36

Let $A \in \mathcal{M}_n$ with real eigenvalues. Then there exists a basis of generalised eigenvectors for \mathbb{R}^n , and if $\{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$ is a basis of generalised eigenvectors of \mathbb{R}^n , then $P = [v_1 \ \dots \ v_n]$ is non-singular and $A = D + N$ where $P^{-1}DP = \text{diag}(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)$ and $N = A - D$ is nilpotent¹ of order $k \leq n$, and D and N commute.

References I