The MASIX Multi-Server Operating System

Rémy Card, Éric Commelin, Stéphane Dayras, Franck Mével E-Mail: {card,commelin,dayras,mevel}@masi.ibp.fr

> Laboratoire MASI — Institut Blaise Pascal Université Pierre et Marie Curie 4, place Jussieu — 75252 Paris Cedex 05

Abstract

Traditional operating systems have been designed as a monolithic kernel implementing the whole set of the system services. This approach leads to important maintenance end evolution problems. This paper discusses a new design methodology. The operating system is implemented as a set of servers using the services provided by a micro-kernel. Splitting up the operating system into a set of servers leads to a modular and evolutive structure. The system exposed in this paper is made up of three layers. The first one, the host system, provides services which allow the dynamic inclusion of new servers and different user interfaces. The second one, the Unix environment, contains a set of servers which provide Unix abstractions and semantics. It is based on new and optimized implementations, using the Mach micro-kernel facilities. The third layer, the virtual system, is made up of a set of servers providing distributed features. These servers are dynamically included in the system by using the host system services. Numerous improvements included in the system imply performance optimizations in spite of context switches and messages exchanges needed to make different servers communicate with each others. The resulting structure is innovative and easy to extend. This system is the basis for future distributed operating system researches.

Résumé

Les systèmes d'exploitation ont traditionnellement été conçus sous forme d'un noyau monolithique offrant tous les services nécessaires. Cette approche pose de gros problèmes de maintenance et d'évolution du système. Cet article propose une méthode originale de conception de système sous forme de serveurs utilisant les services offerts par un micro-noyau. La décomposition du système en serveurs permet d'obtenir une structure modulaire et très évolutive. Le système décrit dans cet article est composé de plusieurs couches. La première, le système d'accueil, offre tous les services permettant d'inclure dynamiquement de nouveaux services et des interfaces utilisateur différentes. La seconde, l'environnement Unix, est constituée d'un ensemble de serveurs réalisant les abstractions et les sémantiques offertes par le système Unix. Cet environnement repose sur des implémentations nouvelles et optimisées tenant compte des fonctionnalités offertes par le micro-noyau Mach. La troisième couche, le système virtuel, est constituée d'un ensemble de serveurs implémentant des fonctionnalités réparties. Ces serveurs sont inclus dynamiquement dans le système en utilisant les possibilités du système d'accueil. De nombreuses améliorations incluses dans le système permettent d'optimiser ses performances malgré les changements de contexte et échanges de messages nécessaires pour communiquer entre serveurs différents. Le système résultant possède une structure novatrice, facilement extensible et constitue la base de recherches futures dans le domaine des systèmes d'exploitation répartis.

Introduction

Masix is a new operating system, currently under development at the MASI laboratory. Masix is a multi-environments operating system, i.e. it allows the parallel execution of different environments. Thus, different users may use different interfaces.

Our system has been designed with evolution in mind and allows the dynamic extension of its semantics while it is running. It is based on a multi-servers model which allows each component of the system to be written without interactions with the other ones. This also leads to a high level of maintainability.

Since Unix is a widely used system in research environments, Masix offers a Unix compatible interface. This allows us to re-use the whole set of Unix programs.

Masix has also been designed to allow the optimal use of resources in a networked environment. Thus, it includes distributed extensions that optimize the use of a set of communicating stations.

In this paper, we first present the principles that we have used to design Masix. Then, we focus on the way the servers operate to build the local system. Last, we describe the distributed extensions included in the system.

1 Design Principles

1.1 System Structure

Traditional operating systems have been designed as a single monolithic program, called the kernel, which provides the whole set of system calls to the user processes. In this scheme, the kernel runs in protected mode and processes trap in the kernel to execute system calls. Examples of such operating systems are Locus[Popek and Walker 1985], OSF/1 IK[OSF 1990], Sprite[Ousterhout et al. 1988] and Unix[Bach 1986, Leffler et al. 1989].

A current trend in operating system research consists in implementing the system in user mode with a minimal kernel. This kernel, called a microkernel, provides the resource management and some elementary services, e.g. physical memory management, process management... Examples of current microkernels include Amoeba[Mullender et al. 1990], Chorus[Rozier et al. 1988], Mach[Accetta et al. 1986] and V-Kernel [Cheriton 1988].

The design of the Masix operating system [Card 1993] has been based on the microkernel approach. The reasons why we have chosen the Mach microkernel are the following:

- it has been ported on numerous computers,
- it is freely available from the Carnegie Mellon University,

it is at the basis of several research projects from which we can benefit.

Three kinds of operating systems have been built on top of Mach:

- OSF/1 IK[OSF 1990] has been designed as a traditional monolithic kernel. It uses Mach as a basis for its low-level layers.
- Single-server systems, e.g. OSF/1 MK[Places et al. 1992], implement Unix semantics in a single task which relies on Mach for the low-level operations.
- Multi-server systems, e.g. CMU's Unix Multi Server[Guedes and Julin 1991], contain several tasks, which cooperate to provide Unix semantics.

We believe that it is worth designing an evolutive system in which components can be added in a dynamic way. Therefore, we have chosen to build Masix following the multi-servers approach.

Implementing the operating system as a set of communicating user mode tasks offers many advantages:

- each server can be written and tested without interactions with the other ones,
- · each server is smaller than a traditional kernel and, thus, easier to maintain,
- adding a server in the running system is easy if a dynamic service management has been implemented.

Nevertheless, the multi-servers approach has also its drawbacks:

- shared data are difficult to implement because each server has its own address space,
- the execution of system services often involves several servers and implies message exchanges and context switches. This leads to lower performances due to the context switch overhead.

Lots of optimizations have been included in the design of the system to minimize the number of servers involved in the realisation of a system call. Moreover, we have reduced the number of system calls which need to interact with a server.

System calls are implemented as remote procedure calls: a process sends a request message to a server and waits for the reply. The system contains three kinds of servers:

- interface servers, which receive requests from the user processes,
- internal servers, which provide features needed by other servers,
- generic servers, which are contained in the host system and which provide services needed to run several environments.

1.2 Naming and Protection

In Masix, each server is responsible for providing a set of well-defined abstractions and semantics.

The combination of the whole set of servers forms the complete operating system.

Each server implements the operations that act on typed objects. The server must be able to understand a fixed dialog protocol related to the type of the objects. When a dient process needs to act on an object, it sends a request message to the server that manages the object. This message is sent on a Mach port, which is used as the object identifier. The server holds the reception right on this port.

Accessing an object only requires to know what the object port and its associated dialog protocol are. This request is forwarded by the Mach microkernel to the server which manages the object. This communication scheme allows several servers, which manage the same objects types in different ways, to coexist in the system as long as they implement the same dialog protocol. The client process only needs to know an object port and its associated dialog protocol to act on the object.

If a station running Masix mounts local and remote file systems, for example, two file servers run in the system. The first server implements I/O operations on local files and the second one implements I/O operations on remote file. When a process accedes to a file, it sends the same request wether the file is local or remote and this request is forwarded to the appropriate server.

Naming objects with Mach ports also allows a high level of protection. To act on an object, a process needs to hold a send right on its port. This send right is transmitted by the server which manages the object when the process accedes to the object for the first time, e.g. when it creates or opens the object. During this first access, the server checks that the calling process is allowed to act on the object and returns the send right. If the process is not allowed to accede to the object, the server does not return any send right. Thus, if the server has denied access to the object, the process cannot send any request since it does not hold any send right to the port.

1.3 Server Structure

In Masix, each server is a Mach task. This task contains several threads which wait for requests and execute them. The threads use port sets to wait for the requests: each object is named by a port and every object port is contained in a single port set. Every thread waits for requests on this port set. Requests are thus dynamically distributed between the threads contained in the server.

It is worth noting that this scheme implies synchronization between the threads. As the threads accedes to the same data contained in the server's address space, each thread needs to lock the data it uses to avoid inconsistencies if it is preempted by the Mach scheduler.

1.4 System Calls and Library Functions

In Masix, system calls are implemented as remote procedure calls and imply messages passing and context switches. This scheme is much more expensive than the traditional one in which processes trap into the kernel to make a system call. Thus, to reduce the overhead, we have worked to reduce the number of actual system calls. Many system calls have been moved as library functions which are executed in the calling process address space. These calls do not require context switching and are more efficient.

Of course, some system calls cannot be moved as library routines:

- Some calls need a global knowledge of the system state. Implementing them as library subprograms would require to make these informations available to the calling process in its address space.
- The parameters of some calls must be checked and authentified by the system. To make
 the system safe, this check must be made by a separate server. This way, an erroneous or
 malicious program cannot modify crucial data.
- Some calls modify data shared by several processes. Since each process has its own distinct
 address space, the calling process cannot modify the data itself. Thus, the data must be
 stored in a server address space and the processes must send requests to the server to
 accede to them.

1.5 Interactions between servers

Each server needs to maintain some informations relative to its objects. Two servers often need to use the same information. In a monolithic kernel, these informations are stored in common tables which are acceded to by every component which needs to. In a multi-servers system, we cannot use global tables since each server has its own address space. In Masix, each server maintains a subset of the system informations. This subset contains only the informations that the server needs to know in order to manage its objects.

Sharing informations between servers is a real problem and we have distinguished three cases:

- Read-only informations, e.g. a process identifier, are duplicated in each server which needs to know them. This way, each server can accede to the informations in its address space.
- Informations that are more often read than modified are replicated in each server. When a server modifies an information, it sends its new value to the other ones.
- Informations that are more often modified than read are managed by a server. Other servers must send requests to this server to accede to the data.

2 The Local System

2.1 The Administration and Configuration Server

Masix is a multi-environments system. It includes an environment manager, which is responsible for:

- keeping track of the environments running in the system,
- providing a way for the user processes to communicate with the environments,
- sharing the system resources between the environments.

The Administration and Configuration Server (ACS) is the first server to run when Masix is booted. It is in charge of maintaining the list of the servers which run in the system. The ACS allows clients to dynamically communicate with the servers. For this purpose, it offers a name service: it holds the reception right on a global port known by every task and uses this port for answering the name requests.

When a server starts its execution, it registers itself with the ACS by sending a message on this port. This message contains:

- a character string used as the name of the server,
- a flag, which is true if the server is essential for the system, false otherwise,
- a send right on its request port.

The ACS maintains the list of registered servers and can receive three kinds of requests:

- 1. register requests, sent by servers when they start their execution,
- client requests, sent by the clients when they need to know the request port associated to a server,
- unregister requests, sent by servers when they terminate their execution.

The ACS also monitors the system execution. It is responsible for ensuring that the essential servers are active in the system. If it detects the termination of an essential server, e.g. when a server crashes, the ACS sends termination orders to every server. When receiving this termination order, each server saves its data and terminates its execution. Then, the ACS reboots the system. This allows a system crash recovery.

2.2 Process Management

In Masix, Unix processes are emulated with the help of the Mach tasks and threads. A process is a task containing a single thread. Mach provides the internal process management, e.g. scheduling, context switches...

The process server provides the higher level process management. It maintains Unix like system informations, e.g. user identifier, and offers the process system call interface.

2.3 Signal Management

The process server is also in charge of emulating Unix like signals. In order to manage the synchronous signals, mapped as Mach exceptions, it holds the reception right on the threads exceptions ports.

When a signal, either synchronous or asynchronous, is sent to a process, the process server saves the thread state and sets up its stack to call the signal handler.

2.4 Memory Management

Masix contains servers that deal with memory management. These servers are external pagers and interact with Mach.

Masix contains two kinds of pagers:

- a data pager, which deals with the swap management. This pager reads and writes pages belonging to the data and stack segments of the processes.
- a code pager, which reads code pages. As long as a process does not modify its code, there is no need to save the code pages in the swap area and this server can read them from the executable files contained in the file system.

The data pager manages swap partitions. It uses memory objects to communicate with Mach: a memory object is associated with each data and stack segments. A set of blocks is associated with each memory object.

2.5 Files Operations

In Masix, we have chosen to integrate the file operations with the virtual memory subsystem. The files are managed by servers used to load pages in memory. These servers are external pagers. When a process reads or writes data, the I/O operation is converted, by the library routines, to a memory access. Thus, the process reads or writes data in memory. If the referenced page is not loaded, Mach detects a page fault and calls an external pager which is responsible for loading the page from the file blocks. The pager is also called when a modified page is evicted by Mach and must be written back to the disk.

The file servers can receive two kinds of requests:

- reads and writes requests sent by Mach,
- file manipulation requests, e.g deletion of a file, sent by the client processes.

Masix is able to manage several file systems structures in a transparent way. It contains two sorts of file servers:

- the Virtual File Server (VFS) receives the file based requests and calls the appropriate file server,
- 2. several Physical File Servers (PFS) implement the low-level operations on files. Each PFS is in charge of managing a certain structure of file systems, e.g. MS/DOS file system, System V file system, BSD Fast File System... The operations provided by a PFS are used by the VFS when it needs to accede to the physical data.

While the VFS can only be called by client processes, each PFS is also an external pager. When a process opens a file, a memory object associated with the file is created and Mach can call the PFS paging operations with the memory object as an argument.

3 The Virtual System

Masix has been designed to allow the easy extension of the system by adding or replacing servers.

The virtual system is built on top of the local system by using this possibility.

3.1 Distributed features

Masix includes distributed extensions. These features allow a transparent sharing of resources on a network of stations running Masix. This resource sharing is implemented by servers running on top of the local system. These servers communicate by using a network communication layer.

Resources sharing concerns:

• processors: process placement and migration allows us to balance the load on the network,

- files: distributed file systems allows processes to accede to remote files in a transparent way on every station,
- devices: in a network of stations, some devices are often in limited number. Thus, the system allows processes running on stations to accede to remote devices. This access cannot be done in a transparent way since the user has to know the station connected to the device.
- system informations: numerous system informations, e.g. user informations, must be shared between the stations.

3.2 Network Communication

Masix contains a networking layer which is in charge of maintaining the list of the stations connected to the network. This layer is also responsible for maintaining the list of remote servers used on the local station.

Masix uses local ports as identifiers of remote objects and servers. Each port related to a remote server is managed by a local interface server which forwards the requests that it receives to the remote server.

This way, client processes use an uniform communication scheme wether the server is local or remote. Both types of servers use Mach port for receiving the requests.

3.3 Distributed Files

In Masix, files operations are integrated in the virtual memory subsystem as explained in Section 2.5. Adding a new file system type consists in starting a new PFS, which is responsible for managing the files.

Remote files are managed by a local PFS. The physical file access is done by the PFS by forwarding the I/O requests to a distant file server (DFS), which runs on the station that owns the file system on its local disks. The DFS is in charge of physical I/O on files: it replies to the requests sent by the PFSs on the client stations.

The DFS is also responsible for maintaining the files consistency. Since several processes running on different stations can accede to the same files, the system must ensure that the latest version is always used. The DFS maintains a table of open files and, for each file, the list of blocks sent to remote stations. When it receives a block read request, the DFS defers the reply if the block has already been transmitted to a process which holds write access to the file or if the request concerns a file open in write mode and the block has already been transmitted to another process.

Actually, the DFS uses a token mechanism to protect the file access. It manages a token for each file block. This token can be distributed to several processes if the file is open in read-only mode but only one token can be sent if the file is open in write mode.

Since the DFS maintains a state concerning the open files, a recovery algorithm is needed in case of a crash. Each PFS also maintains the list of the tokens. If the DFS crashes and restarts its execution, it broadcasts a request to every PFS and rebuilds its state from their replies.

3.4 Access to remote devices

In Masix, devices are referenced by Mach ports. A server is in charge of implementing the physical I/O on a device when it receives I/O requests from a process.

When a process wishes to accede to a remote device, it sends a request on its associated local port. The local server forwards the request to the remote station connected to the device. This scheme is very similar to the communication mechanism explained in Section 3.2.

4 Conclusion

We have described the Masix operating system. By using the multi-servers approach, Masix has been designed as a very evolutive and easy to maintain system. The system contains lots of optimizations needed to reduce the overhead due to the context switches and messages passing related to the multi-servers architecture.

We are currently implementing the system. This first implementation is done on 386 PC but we plan to port the system on other platforms since it depends only on a Mach port.

References

[Accetta et al. 1986] M. Accetta, R. Baron, W. Bolosky, D. Golub, R. Rashid, A. Tevanian, and M. Young. Mach: A New Kernel Foundation For UNIX Development. In Proceedings of the USENIX 1986 Summer Conference, June 1986.

[Bach 1986] M. Bach. The Design of the UNIX Operating System. Prentice Hall, 1986.

[Card 1993] R. Card. MASIX - Un Système d'Exploitation Multi-Environnements utilisant le micro-noyau MACH - Conception et Réalisation. PhD thesis, Laboratoire MASI, Université Pierre et Marie Curie, May 1993.

[Cheriton 1988] D. Cheriton. The V Distributed System. Communications of the ACM, 31:19–42, 1988.

[Guedes and Julin 1991] P. Guedes and D. Julin. Object-Oriented Interfaces in the Mach 3.0 Multi-Server System. In Proceedings of the IEEE Second International Workshop on Object Orientation in Operating Systems, October 1991.

- [Leffler et al 1989] S. Leffler, M. McKusick, M. Karels, and J. Quaterman. The Design and Implementation of the 4.3BSD UNIX Operating System. Addison Wesley, 1989.
- [Mullender et al. 1990] S. Mullender, G. van Rossum, A. Tanenbaum, R. van Reneese, and H. van Straveren. Amoeba – A Distributed Operating System for the 1990s. Communications of the ACM, 15(5):44–53, May 1990.
- [OSF 1990] The Design of the OSF/1 Operating System. Open Software Foundation, 1990.
- [Ousterhout et al. 1988] J. Ousterhout, A. Cherenson, F. Douglis, M. Nelson, and B. Welch. The Sprite Network Operating System. IEEE Computer, 21:23-26, 1988.
- [Places et al. 1992] F. Barbou Des Places, P. Bernadat, M. Condict, S. Empereur, J. Febvre, D. George, J. Loveluck, E. McManus, S. Patience, J. Rogado, and P. Roudaud. Architecture and Benefits of a Multithreaded OSF/1 Server. Technical report, Open Software Foundation Research Institute, 1992.
- [Popek and Walker 1985] G. Popek and B. Walker, editors. The LOCUS Distributed System Architecture. MIT Press, 1985.
- [Rozier et al. 1988] M. Rozier, V. Abrossimov, F. Armand, I. Boule, M. Gien, M. Guillemont, F. Herrmann, C. Kaiser, S. Langlois, P. Léonard, and W. Neuhauser. CHORUS Distributed Operating Systems. Computing Systems, 1(4):305–370, December 1988.