Group Project

Retrospectives & Peer Feedback

Juliën Verheijen Fontys Hogeschool ICT Advanced Media Design


Table of Contents

CONTEXT	3
RESEARCH METHODS	2
RESULTS	3
CONCLUSION	4
LEARNING OUTCOMES	6
ITERATURE	۵


Context


At the end of each sprint, we held a retrospective where each group member could name points that went well, those that went less well, what we can improve and what we will do next. This allows the group to collectively review how everyone feels about it. Halfway through and at the end of the project, we gave each other personal peer feedback, allowing us to grow personally.

Results




Answers from retrospective sprint 3:





Answers from the interim peer review:



Conclusion

By discussing the retrospectives with the group, we found out what we are going to change in the next sprint to get a better result. Almost at each successive sprint, the areas of focus have been adjusted.

The peer feedback is a lot more intersecting for me because it is specifically about me. It has revealed that I am a strong scrum master who works in an orderly/structured way and others enjoy working with me. What I can improve on myself is being a bit more vocal in feedback rounds and being less distracted.

Learning Outcomes

Learning Outcome 6: Personal leadership
By reflecting on my work and the work of others. Improving on received feedback.