Juliette Bruce's Research Statement

My research interests lie in algebraic geometry, commutative algebra, and arithmetic geometry. In particular, I am interested in using homological and combinatorial methods to study the geometry of zero loci of systems of polynomials (i.e. algebraic varieties). I am also interested in studying the arithmetic properties of varieties over finite fields. Further, I am passionate about promoting inclusivity, diversity, and justice in the mathematics community. Broadly speaking my current research follows these ideas in two directions.

- **Homological Algebra on Toric Varieties:** A classical story in algebraic geometry is that homological methods and tools like minimal free resolutions and Caastelunouvo–Mumford regularity capture the geometry of subavarieties of projective space in nuanced ways. My work has sought to generalize this story by developing ways homological algebra can be used to study the geometry of toric varieties (i.e., "nice' compactifications of the torus $(\mathbb{C}^\times)^n$).
- **Cohomology of Moduli Spaces and Arithmetic Groups:** One of the most classically studied objects in algebraic geometry is $\mathcal{A}_g$, the moduli space of abelian varieties of dimension g. I have sought to develops ways to study a canonical "part" of the cohomology of $\mathcal{A}_g$, called the top-weight cohomology. This turns out to be closely connected to the study of cohomology of various arithmetic groups like $\mathrm{GL}_g(\mathbb{Z})$ and $\mathrm{Sp}_{2g}(\mathbb{Z})$, as well as the study of automorphic forms.

1. Homological Algebra on Toric Varieties

Given a graded module M over a graded ring R, a helpful tool for understanding the structure of M is its minimal graded free resolution. In essence, a minimal graded free resolution is a way of approximating M by a sequence of free R-modules. More formally, a *graded free resolution* of a module M is an exact sequence

$$\cdots \to F_k \xrightarrow{d_k} F_{k-1} \xrightarrow{d_{k-1}} \cdots \xrightarrow{d_1} F_0 \xrightarrow{\epsilon} M \to 0$$

where each F_i is a graded free R-module, and hence can be written as $\bigoplus_j R(-j)^{\beta_{i,j}}$. The module $R(-j)$ is the ring R with a twisted grading, so that $R(-j)_d$ is equal to R_{d-j} where R_{d-j} is the graded piece of degree $d-j$. The $\beta_{i,j}$'s are the *Betti numbers* of M, and they count the number of i-syzygies of M of degree j. We will use syzygy and Betti number interchangeably throughout.

Given a projective variety X embedded in $\mathbb{P}^r$, we associate to X the ring $S_X = S/I_X$, where $S = \mathbb{C}[x_0, \ldots, x_r]$ and I_X is the ideal of homogenous polynomials vanishing on X. As S_X is naturally a graded S-module we may consider its minimal graded free resolution, which is often closely related to both the extrinsic and intrinsic geometry of X. An example of this phenomenon is Green's Conjecture, which relates the Clifford index of a curve with the vanishing of certain $\beta_{i,j}$ for its canonical embedding [Voi02, Voi05, AFP+19]. See also [Eis05, Conjecture 9.6] and [Sch86, BE91, FP05, Far06, AF11, FK16, FK17].

Much of my work can be viewed as understanding how minimal graded free resolutions capture the geometry when the role of $\mathbb{P}^r$ is replaced by another variety Y. In particular, I have focused on the case when Y is a toric variety, i.e., a compactification of the torus $(\mathbb{C}^n)^r$ where the action of the torus extends to the boundary. Examples of toric varieties include: projective space, products of projective spaces, and Hirzebruch surfaces. Work of Cox shows there is a orrespondence between (toric) subvarieties of a fixed toric variety and quotients of a polynomial ring similar to the story discussed above for $\mathbb{P}^r$ [Cox95]. As such recent years have seen substantial work looking to use homological algebra and to better understand the geometry of toric varieties [ABLS20, BES20, BE22, BE23a, BB21, CEVV09, EES15, GVT15, MS04, MS05],

1.1 Asymptotic Syzygies

Broadly speaking, asymptotic syzygies is the study of the graded Betti numbers (i.e. the syzygies) of a projective variety as the positivity of the embedding grows.

In many ways, this perspective dates back to classical work on the defining equations of curves of high degree and projective normality [Mum66, Mum70]. However, the modern viewpoint arose from the pioneering work of Green [Gre84a, Gre84b] and later Ein and Lazarsfeld [EL12].

To give a flavor of the results of asymptotic syzygies we will focus on the question: In what degrees do non-zero syzygies occur? Going forward we will let $X \subset \mathbb{P}^{r_d}$ be a smooth projective variety embedded by a very ample line bundle L_d. Following [EY18] we set,

$$\rho_q(X, L_d) \;:=\; \frac{\#\left\{p \in \mathbb{N} \,\middle|\, \beta_{p,p+q}(X, L_d) \neq 0\right\}}{r_d},$$

which is the percentage of degrees in which non-zero syzygies appear [Eis05, Theorem 1.1]. The asymptotic perspective asks how $\rho_q(X; L_d)$ behaves along the sequence of line bundles $(L_d)_{d\in\mathbb{N}}$.

With this notation in hand, we may phrase Green's work on the vanishing of syzygies for curves of high degree as computing the asymptotic percentage of non-zero quadratic syzygies.

Theorem 1.1. [Gre84a] *Let $X \subset \mathbb{P}^r$ be a smooth projective curve. If $(L_d)_{d\in\mathbb{N}}$ is a sequence of very ample line bundles on X such that* $\deg L_d = d$ *then*

$$\lim_{d\to\infty} \rho_2(X; L_d) = 0.$$

Put differently, asymptotically the syzygies of curves are as simple as possible, occurring in the lowest possible degree. This inspired substantial work, with the intuition being that syzygies become simpler as the positivity of the embedding increases [OP01, EL93, LPP11, Par00, PP03, PP04].

In a groundbreaking paper, Ein and Lazarsfeld showed that for higher dimensional varieties this intuition is often misleading. Contrary to the case of curves, they show that for higher dimensional varieties, asymptotically syzygies appear in every possible degree.

Theorem 1.2. [EL12, Theorem C] *Let $X \subset \mathbb{P}^r$ be a smooth projective variety,* $\dim X \geq 2$*, and fix an index* $1 \leq q \leq \dim X$*. If $(L_d)_{d\in\mathbb{N}}$ is a sequence of very ample line bundles such that $L_{d+1} - L_d$ is constant and ample then*

$$\lim_{d\to\infty} \rho_q(X; L_d) = 1.$$

My work has focused on the behavior of asymptotic syzygies when the condition that $L_{d+1} - L_d$ is constant and ample is weakened to assuming $L_{d+1} - L_d$ is semi-ample. Recall a line bundle L is *semi-ample* if $|kL|$ is base point free for $k \gg 0$. The prototypical example of a semi-ample line bundle is $\mathcal{O}(1,0)$ on $\mathbb{P}^n \times \mathbb{P}^m$. My exploration of asymptotic syzygies in the setting of semi-ample growth thus began by proving the following nonvanishing result for $\mathbb{P}^n \times \mathbb{P}^m$ embedded by $\mathcal{O}(d_1, d_2)$.

Theorem 1.3. [Bru19, Corollary B] *Let $X = \mathbb{P}^n \times \mathbb{P}^m$ and fix an index $1 \leq q \leq n+m$. There exist constants $C_{i,j}$ and $D_{i,j}$ such that*

$$\rho_q(X; \mathcal{O}(d_1, d_2)) \geq 1 - \sum_{\substack{i+j=q \\ i\leq n,\, j\leq m}} \left(\frac{C_{i,j}}{d_1^i d_2^j} + \frac{D_{i,j}}{d_1^{n-i} d_2^{m-j}}\right) - O\begin{pmatrix}\textit{lower ord.} \\ \textit{terms}\end{pmatrix}.$$

Notice if both $d_1 \to \infty$ and $d_2 \to \infty$ then $\rho_q(\mathbb{P}^n \times \mathbb{P}^m; \mathcal{O}(d_1, d_2)) \to 1$, recovering the results of Ein and Lazarsfeld for $\mathbb{P}^n \times \mathbb{P}^m$. However, if d_1 is fixed and $d_2 \to \infty$ (i.e. semi-ample growth) my results bound the asymptotic percentage of non-zero syzygies away from zero. This together with work of Lemmens [Lem18] has led me to conjecture that, unlike in previously studied cases, in the semi-ample setting $\rho_q(\mathbb{P}^n \times \mathbb{P}^m; \mathcal{O}(d_1, d_2))$ does not approach 1. Proving this would require a vanishing result for asymptotic syzygies, which is open even in the ample case [EL12, Conjectures 7.1, 7.5].

The proof of Theorem 1.3 is based upon generalizing the monomial methods of Ein, Erman, and Lazarsfeld. Such a generalization is complicated by the difference between the Cox ring and homogenous coordinate ring of $\mathbb{P}^n \times \mathbb{P}^m$. A central theme in this work is to exploit the fact that a key regular sequence I use has a number of non-trivial symmetries.

This work suggests that the theory of asymptotic syzygies in the setting of semi-ample growth is rich and substantially different from the other previously studied cases. Going forward I plan to use this work as a jumping-off point for the following question.

Question 1.4. *Let $X \subset \mathbb{P}^{r_d}$ be a smooth projective variety and fix an index $1 \leq q \leq \dim X$. Let $(L_d)_{d\in\mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of very ample line bundles such that $L_{d+1} - L_d$ is constant and semi-ample, can one compute $\lim_{d\to\infty} \rho_q(X; L_d)$?*

A natural next case in which to consider Question 1.4 is that of Hirzebruch surfaces. I addressed a different, but related question for a narrow class of Hirzebruch surfaces in [Bru22].

1.2 Syzygies via Highly Distributed Computing It is quite difficult to compute examples of syzygies. For example, until recently the syzygies of the projective plane embedded by the d-uple Veronese embedding were only known for $d \leq 5$. My co-authors and I exploited recent advances in numerical linear algebra and high-throughput high-performance computing to generate a number of new examples of Veronese syzygies. A follow-up project used similar computational approaches to compute the syzygies of $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ in over 200 new examples. This data provided support for several existing conjectures, and led to a number of new conjectures [BEGY20, BEGY21, BCE+22].

1.3 Multigraded Castelnuovo–Mumford Regularity Introduced by Mumford, the Castelnuovo–Mumford Regularity of a projective variety $X \subset \mathbb{P}^r$ is a measure of the complexity of X given in terms of the vanishing of certain cohomology groups of X. Roughly speaking one should think about Castelnuovo–Mumford regularity as being a numerical measure of geometric complexity. Mumford was interested in such a measure as it plays a key role in constructing Hilbert and Quot schemes. In particular, being d-regular implies that $\mathcal{F}(d)$ is globally generated. However, Eisenbud and Goto showed that regularity is also closely connected to interesting homological properties.

Theorem 1.5. [EG84] *Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a coherent sheaf on $\mathbb{P}^r$ and $M = \bigoplus_{e\in\mathbb{Z}} H^0(\mathbb{P}^r, \mathcal{F}(e))$ the corresponding section ring. The following are equivalent:*

(1) M is d-regular;
(2) $\beta_{p,q}(M) = 0$ for all $p \geq 0$ and $q > d + i$;
(3) $M_{\geq d}$ has a linear resolution.

Maclagan and Smith introduced what they call multigraded Castelnuovo–Mumford regularity, where $\mathbb{P}^n$ can be replaced by any toric variety. Similarly to the definition in the classical setting multigraded Castelnuovo–Mumford regularity is defined in terms of the vanishing of certain cohomology groups, however, the multigraded Castelnuovo–Mumford regularity of a subvariety or module is not a single number, but instead an infinite subset of $\mathbb{Z}^r$.

As an example, let us consider the case of products of projective spaces. Fixing a dimension vector $\mathbf{n} = (n_1, n_2, \ldots, n_r) \in \mathbb{N}^r$ we let $\mathbb{P}^{\mathbf{n}} := \mathbb{P}^{n_1} \times \mathbb{P}^{n_2} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{P}^{n_r}$ and $S = \mathbb{K}[x_{i,j} \mid 1 \leq i \leq r, 0 \leq j \leq n_i]$ be the Cox ring of $\mathbb{P}^{\mathbf{n}}$ with the $\text{Pic}(X) \cong \mathbb{Z}^r$-grading given by $\deg x_{i,j} = \mathbf{e}_i \in \mathbb{Z}^r$, where $\mathbf{e}_i$ is the i-th standard basis vector in $\mathbb{Z}^r$. Fixing some notation given $\mathbf{d} \in \mathbb{Z}^r$ and $i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ we let:

$$L_i(\mathbf{d}) := \bigcup_{\mathbf{v}\in\mathbb{N},|\mathbf{v}|=i} (\mathbf{d} - \mathbf{v}) + \mathbb{N}^r.$$

Note when $r = 2$ the region $L_i(\mathbf{d})$ looks like a staircase with $(i + 1)$-corners. Roughly speaking we define regularity by requiring the i-th cohomology of certain twists of $\mathcal{F}$ to vanish on L_i.

Definition 1.6. [MS04, Definition 6.1] *A coherent sheaf $\mathcal{F}$ on $\mathbb{P}^{\mathbf{n}}$ is $\mathbf{d}$-regular if and only if*

$$H^i(\mathbb{P}^{\mathbf{n}}, \mathcal{F}(\mathbf{e})) = 0 \qquad \text{for all } \mathbf{e} \in L_i(\mathbf{d}).$$

The multigraded Castelnuovo–Mumford regularity of $\mathcal{F}$ is then the set:

$$\operatorname{reg}(\mathcal{F}) \coloneqq \left\{ \mathbf{d} \in \mathbb{Z}^r \;\middle|\; \mathcal{F} \text{ is } \mathbf{d}\text{-regular} \right\} \subset \mathbb{Z}^r.$$

The obvious approaches to generalize Theorem 1.5 to a product of projective spaces turn out not to work. For example, the multigraded Betti numbers do not determine multigraded Castelnuovo–Mumford regularity [BCHS21, Example 5.1] Despite this we show that part (3) of Theorem 1.5 can be generalized. To do so we introduce the following generalization of linear resolutions.

Definition 1.7. *A complex $F_\bullet$ of $\mathbb{Z}^r$-graded free S-modules is $\mathbf{d}$-quasilinear if and only if F_0 is generated in degree $\mathbf{d}$ and each twist of F_i is contained in $L_{i-1}(\mathbf{d}-\mathbf{1})$.*

Theorem 1.8. [BCHS21, Theorem A] *Let M be a finitely generated $\mathbb{Z}^r$-graded S-module with $H^0_B(M) = 0$:*

$$M \text{ is } \mathbf{d}\text{-regular} \iff M_{\geq \mathbf{d}} \text{ has a } \mathbf{d}\text{-quasilinear resolution.}$$

The proof of Theorem 1.8 is based in part on a spectral sequence argument that relates the Betti numbers of $M_{\geq \mathbf{d}}$ to the Fourier–Mukai transform of $\widetilde{M}$ with Beilinson's resolution of the diagonal as the kernel. Recent breakthroughs [HHL23, BE23b] understanding resolutions of the diagonal on arbitrary toric varieties mean that there is hope one may be able to generalize the above argument to arbitrary toric varieties. With this in mind, I am interested in pursing the following question

Question 1.9. *How can Theorem 1.8 be generalized to an arbitrary smooth projective toric varieties? in particular, what is the correct definition of quasilinear resolutions?*

1.3.1 Multigraded Regularity of Powers of Ideals Building on the work of many people [BEL91, Cha97], Cutkosky, Herzog, Trung [CHT99] and independently Kodiyalam [Kod00] showed the Castelnuovo–Mumford regularity for powers of ideals on a projective space $\mathbb{P}^r$ has surprisingly predictable asymptotic behavior. In particular, given an ideal $I \subset \mathbb{K}[x_0, \ldots, x_r]$, there exist constants $d, e \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $\operatorname{reg}(I^t) = dt + e$ for $t \gg 0$.

Building upon our work discussed above, my collaborators and I generalized this result to arbitrary toric varieties. In particular, Definition 1.6 can be extended to all toric varieties by letting S be Cox ring of the toric variety X, replacing $\mathbb{Z}^r$ with the Picard group of X, and replacing $\mathbb{N}^r$ with the nef cone of X. My collaborators and I show that the multigraded regularity of powers of ideals is bounded and translates in a predictable way. In particular, the regularity of I^t essentially translates within $\operatorname{Nef} X$ in fixed directions at a linear rate.

Theorem 1.10. [BCHS22, Theorem 4.1] *There exists a degree $\mathbf{a} \in \operatorname{Pic} X$, depending only on I, such that for each integer $t > 0$ and each pair of degrees $\mathbf{q}_1, \mathbf{q}_2 \in \operatorname{Pic} X$ satisfying $\mathbf{q}_1 \geq \deg f_i \geq \mathbf{q}_2$ for all generators f_i of I, we have*

$$t\mathbf{q}_1 + \mathbf{a} + \operatorname{reg} S \subseteq \operatorname{reg}(I^t) \subseteq t\mathbf{q}_2 + \operatorname{Nef} X.$$

A key aspect of the proof of this theorem is showing that the multigraded regularity of an ideal is finitely generated, in the sense that there exists vectors $\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{Z}^r$ such that $\mathbf{v} + \operatorname{Nef} X \subset \operatorname{reg}(I) \subset \mathbf{w} + \operatorname{Nef} X$. Perhaps somewhat surprisingly, my co-authors and I showed that this can fail for arbitrary modules [BCHS22]. This naturally riases the question of whether one can characterize when multigraded regularity is finitely generated.

Question 1.11. *Let X be a smooth projective toric variety. Can one characterize when $\operatorname{reg}(M)$ is finitely generated for a module M over the Cox ring of X?*

An first case of this question that I think would make a lovely first research project for a student is to attempt to answer Question 1.11 when M is the Cox ring of a torus fixed-point. In this special case, the question reduces to a delicate combinatorial question about vector partition functions.

2. Cohomology of Moduli Spaces and Arithmetic Groups

Some of the most classical objects in algebraic geometry are moduli spaces, i.e., spaces which parameterize a given collection of geometric objects. The quintessential of such a moduli space is $\mathcal{M}_g$, the moduli space of (smooth) genus g curves, also know as the moduli space of comapct Reimann surfaces of genus g. Despite their classical nature much remains unknown about the geometry of many moduli spaces. For example, the rational cohomology of $\mathcal{M}_g$ is only known for $g \leq 4$. However, classical results suggest that $\mathcal{M}_g$ should have a lot cohomology because its Euler characteristic grows super exponentially. Recent groundbreaking work of Chan, Galatius, and Payne has shed the first direct light on this phenomena byconstructing new non-trivial cohomology classes, and showing that the dimension of certain cohomology groups of $\mathcal{M}_g$ grow at least exponentially.

Theorem 2.1. [CGP21, Theorem 1.1] *For $g \geq 2$ the dimension of $H^{4g-6}(\mathcal{M}_g;\mathbb{Q})$ grows at least exponentially. In particular $\dim H^{4g-6}(\mathcal{M}_g;\mathbb{Q}) > \beta^g$ for any real number $\beta < \beta_0$ where $\beta_0 \approx 1.3247\ldots$ is the real solution of $t^3 - t - 1 = 0$.*

Much of my recent work has sought to build up the groundwork laid by Chan, Galatius, and Payne to study the rational cohomology of other moduli spaces. Of particular, interest to me has been the moduli space of abelian varieties and various generalizations. This work has deep connections to the cohomology of various arithmetic groups like $\mathrm{Sp}_{2g}(\mathbb{Z})$ and $\mathrm{GL}_g(\mathbb{Z})$.

2.1 Cohomology of $\mathcal{A}_g$ The moduli space of (principally polarized) abelian varieties of dimension g, is a smooth variety $\mathcal{A}_g$ (truthfully a smooth Delinge–Mumford stack) whose points are in one to one correspondence with isomorphism classes of principally polarized abelian varieties of dimension g. Concretely, we may view it as the quotient $[\mathbb{H}_g/\mathrm{Sp}_{2g}(\mathbb{Z})]$ where H_g is the Siegel upper half-space. Notice this means that $\mathcal{A}_g$ is a rational classifying space for the integral symplectic group $\mathrm{Sp}_{2g}(\mathbb{Z})$.

Similar to the moduli space of curves $\mathcal{A}_g$ has long been studied, but much remains unknown about its geometry. For example the (singular) cohomology is $\mathcal{A}_g$ is only fully know for $g \leq 3$, with $g = 0, 1$ being relatively easy, $g = 2$ which is a classical result of Igusa, and $g = 3$ due to work of Hain. In fact the cohomology of $\mathcal{A}_g$ is so mysterious until recently work by myself and co-authors it was unknown whether $H^{2i+1}(\mathcal{A}_g;\mathbb{Q}) \neq 0$ for some g and i. This was a question posed by Gruskestkky that my recent work answered.

Building upon the work of Chan, Galatius, and Payne, my co-authors and I developed new methods for understanding a certain canonical quotient of the cohomology of $\mathcal{A}_g$. In particular, our results construct non-trivial cohomology class for $H^k(\mathcal{A}_g;\mathbb{Q})$ in a number of new cases.

Theorem 2.2. [BBC+22, Theorem A] *The rational cohomology $H^k(\mathcal{A}_g;\mathbb{Q}) \neq 0$ for:*

$$(g,k) = (5,15), (5,20), (6,30), (7,28), (7,33), (7,37), \textit{ and } (7,42).$$

For broader context, since $\mathcal{A}_g$ is a rational classifying space for $\mathrm{Sp}_{2g}(\mathbb{Z})$ there is natural isomorphism $H^*(\mathcal{A}_g;\mathbb{Q}) \cong H^*(\mathrm{Sp}_{2g}(\mathbb{Z});\mathbb{Q})$. In particular, the above results provide new non-vanishing results for $H^*(\mathrm{Sp}_{2g}(\mathbb{Z});\mathbb{Q})$. However, my work takes advantage of the fact that since $\mathcal{A}_g$ is a smooth and separated Deligne Mumford stacks with a coarse moduli space which is an algebraic variety, permitting Deligne's mixed Hodge theory to be applied to study the rational cohomology of these groups. In particular, the rational cohomology of a complex algebraic variety X of dimension d admits a weight filtration with graded pieces $\mathrm{Gr}^W_j H^k(X;\mathbb{Q})$. As $\mathrm{Gr}^W_j H^k(X;\mathbb{Q})$ vanishes whenever $j > 2d$, $\mathrm{Gr}^W_{2d} H^k(X;\mathbb{Q})$ is referred to as the *top-weight* part of $H^k(X;\mathbb{Q})$.) In this way we deduce Theorem 2.2 above as a corollary to computing the top-weight cohomology of $\mathcal{A}_g$ for all $g \leq 7$.

2.2 Cohomology of $\mathcal{A}_g(m)$ The moduli space $\mathcal{A}_g$ actually fits into a family of family spaces called the moduli space of (principally polarized) abelian varieties of dimension g with level m-structure. Denoted by $\mathcal{A}_g(m)$, we may view it as the quotient $[\mathbb{H}_g/\mathrm{Sp}_{2g}(\mathbb{Z})](m)$ where $\mathrm{Sp}_{2g}(\mathbb{Z})(m)$

is the principal congruence subgroup $\ker(\mathrm{Sp}_{2g}(\mathbb{Z}) \to \mathrm{Sp}_{2g}(\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z})$. Note that when $m = 1$, we have that $\mathcal{A}_g(m)$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{A}_g$. From this perspective one may hope to generalize Theorem 2.2 and underlying methods my co-authors and I developed in [BBC+22] to studying to the rational cohomology of $\mathcal{A}_g(m)$ and $\mathrm{Sp}_{2g}(\mathbb{Z})(m)$. In ongoing work Melody Chan and I are working on developing such generalizations.

Goal Theorem 2.3. *Let $d = \binom{g+1}{2}$ be the dimension of $\mathcal{A}_g(m)$. For any integers $m \geq 1$ and $g \geq 0$ there exists a cellular complex $LA_g(m)^{\mathrm{trop}}$ such that for all $i \geq 0$ here is a natural isomorphism*

$$\tilde{H}_{i-1}\left(LA_g(m)^{\mathrm{trop}};\mathbb{Q}\right) \cong \mathrm{Gr}^W_{2d} H^i\left(\mathcal{A}_g(m);\mathbb{Q}\right),$$

The methods behind Goal Theorem 2.3 shows new connections between the cohomology of $\mathcal{A}_g(m)$ and the cohomology of $\mathrm{GL}_g(\mathbb{Z})(m)$. The cohomology of $\mathrm{Sp}(2g,\mathbb{Z})(m)$ – and hence $\mathcal{A}_g(m)$ – and $\mathrm{GL}_g(\mathbb{Z})(m)$ are closely connected to study of automorphic forms. Thus it is natural to wonder whether our methods for computing the top-weight cohomology of $\mathcal{A}_g(m)$ shed new light on automorphic forms. In particular, since the top-weight cohomology of $\mathcal{A}_g(m)$ comes from understanding the boundary of a locally symmetric space one may hope it is related to Siegel–Eisenstein series. An ongoing conversations between myself, Melody Chan, and Peter Sarnak hopes to address this question.

Question 2.4. *What is the relationship between the top-weight cohomology of $\mathcal{A}_g(m)$ and Siegel Eisenstein series?*

2.3 Matroid Complexes and Cohomology of $\mathcal{A}_g^{\mathrm{mat}}$ A key step in the proof of Theorem 2.2 is constructing a chain complex $P_\bullet^{(g)}$ whose homology is precisely the top-weight cohomology of $\mathcal{A}_g$. A major hurdle to pushing our results on the cohomology of $\mathcal{A}_g$, further, is that this chain complex very quickly becomes extremely large and complicated. However, with my co-authors, I identified a subcomplex $R_\bullet^{(g)} \subset P_\bullet^{(g)}$, called the regular matroid complex, which has rich combinatorics. In particular, $R_k^{(g)}$ is spanned by isomorphism classes of regular matroids on k elements of rank $\leq g$. I am working to study this complex from a number of perspectives. As an example, the following goal theorem is a result that I am working on with three graduate students.

Goal Theorem 2.5. *Compute the homology of the matroid complex $R_\bullet^{(g)}$ for all $g \geq 14$.*

Currently by combining theoretical results and large-scale computations to compute the cohomology for all $g \leq 9$. Computing the homology of the regular matroid complex is interesting, not only because it provides a new approach for studying the combinatorics of matroids, but also because it is closely related to the cohomology of partial compactification of $\mathcal{A}_g$ called the matroidal (partial) compactification $\mathcal{A}_g^{\mathrm{mat}}$. In ongoing work with Madeline Brandy and Daniel Corey I am looking to show that one can compute the top-weight cohomology of $\mathcal{A}_g^{\mathrm{mat}}$ from the regular matroid complex.

Goal Theorem 2.6. *Compute the top-weigh cohomology of $\mathcal{A}_g^{\mathrm{mat}}$ for all $g \leq 10$.*

Work of Willwacher [Wil15] and Kpntsevich [Kon93, Kon94] on graph complexes suggests that one may hope for $R_\bullet^{(g)}$ to have rich algebraic structure beyond just that of chain complex.

Question 2.7. *Does the complex $R_\bullet^{(g)}$ carry a natural Lie bracket, endowing it with the structure of a differentially graded Lie algebra?*

Constructing such a Lie bracket likely relies on developing a new understanding of the ways one can combine two matroids. Ongoing work with the graduate students mentioned above is studying this problem in the special cases of graphic and co-graphic matroids, however, for more general matroids such a constriction remains mysterious. If answered in the affirmative this would likely allow for any known cohomology classes to be spread out and converted in statements about the dimension of top-weight cohomology for more g, similar to the bounds in Theorem 2.1.

References

[ABLS20] Ayah Almousa, Juliette Bruce, Michael Loper, and Mahrud Sayrafi, *The virtual resolutions package for Macaulay2*, J. Softw. Algebra Geom. **10** (2020), no. 1, 51–60.

[AFP+19] Marian Aprodu, Gavrill Farkas, Ştefan Papadima, Claudiu Raicu, and Jerzy Weyman, *Koszul modules and Green's conjecture*, Inventiones mathematicae (2019).

[AF11] Marian Aprodu and Gavril Farkas, *Green's conjecture for curves on arbitrary $K3$ surfaces*, Compos. Math. **147** (2011), no. 3, 839–851.

[BE91] Dave Bayer and David Eisenbud, *Graph curves*, Adv. Math. **86** (1991), no. 1, 1–40. With an appendix by Sung Won Park.

[BEL91] Aaron Bertram, Lawrence Ein, and Robert Lazarsfeld, *Vanishing theorems, a theorem of Severi, and the equations defining projective varieties*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **4** (1991), no. 3, 587–602.

[BES20] Christine Berkesch, Daniel Erman, and Gregory G. Smith, *Virtual resolutions for a product of projective spaces*, Algebr. Geom. **7** (2020), no. 4, 460–481, DOI 10.14231/ag-2020-013. MR4156411

[BBC+22] Madeline Brandt, Juliette Bruce, Melody Chan, Margarida Melo, Gwyneth Moreland, and Corey Wolfe, *On the top-weight rational cohomology of $\mathcal{A}_g$*, Geometry & Topology (2022). to appear.

[BE22] Michael K. Brown and Daniel Erman, *Tate resolutions on toric varietie* (2022). Pre-print: arxiv:2108.03345.

[BE23a] ______, *Linear syzygies of curves in weighted projective space* (2023). Pre-print: arxiv:2301.0915.

[BE23b] ______, *A short proof of the Hanlon-Hicks-Lazarev Theorem* (2023). Pre-print: arxiv:2303.14319.

[BEGY20] Juliette Bruce, Daniel Erman, Steve Goldstein, and Jay Yang, *Conjectures and computations about Veronese syzygies*, Exp. Math. **29** (2020), no. 4, 398–413.

[BEGY21] ______, *The Schur-Veronese package in Macaulay2*, J. Softw. Algebra Geom. **11** (2021), no. 1, 83–87.

[Bru19] Juliette Bruce, *Asymptotic syzygies in the setting of semi-ample growth* (2019). Pre-print: arxiv:1904.04944.

[Bru22] ______, *The quantitative behavior of asymptotic syzygies for Hirzebruch surfaces*, J. Commut. Algebra **14** (2022), no. 1, 19–26.

[BCE+22] Juliette Bruce, Daniel Corey, Daniel Erman, Steve Goldstein, Robert P. Laudone, and Jay Yang, *Syzygies of $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$: data and conjectures*, J. Algebra **593** (2022), 589–621.

[BCHS21] Juliette Bruce, Lauren Cranton Heller, and Mahrud Sayrafi, *Characterizing Multigraded Regularity on Products of Projective Spaces* (2021). Pre-print: arxiv:2110.10705.

[BCHS22] ______, *Bounds on Multigraded Regularity* (2022). Pre-print: arxiv:2208.11115.

[BB21] Weronika Buczyńska and Jarosław Buczyński, *Apolarity, border rank, and multigraded Hilbert scheme*, Duke Math. J. **170** (2021), no. 16, 3659–3702.

[CEVV09] Dustin A. Cartwright, Daniel Erman, Mauricio Velasco, and Bianca Viray, *Hilbert schemes of 8 points*, Algebra Number Theory **3** (2009), no. 7, 763–795.

[CGP21] Melody Chan, Søren Galatius, and Sam Payne, *Tropical curves, graph complexes, and top weight cohomology of $\mathcal{M}_g$*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **34** (2021), no. 2, 565–594.

[Cha97] Karen A. Chandler, *Regularity of the powers of an ideal*, Comm. Algebra **25** (1997), no. 12, 3773–3776.

[Cox95] David A. Cox, *The homogeneous coordinate ring of a toric variety*, J. Algebraic Geom. **4** (1995), no. 1, 17–50. MR1299003

[CHT99] S. Dale Cutkosky, Jürgen Herzog, and Ngô Viêt Trung, *Asymptotic behaviour of the Castelnuovo-Mumford regularity*, Compositio Mathematica **118** (1999), no. 3, 243–261.

[EL93] Lawrence Ein and Robert Lazarsfeld, *Syzygies and Koszul cohomology of smooth projective varieties of arbitrary dimension*, Invent. Math. **111** (1993), no. 1, 51–67.

[EL12] ———, *Asymptotic syzygies of algebraic varieties*, Invent. Math. **190** (2012), no. 3, 603–646.

[EES15] David Eisenbud, Daniel Erman, and Frank-Olaf Schreyer, *Tate resolutions for products of projective spaces*, Acta Math. Vietnam. **40** (2015), no. 1, 5–36, DOI 10.1007/s40306-015-0126-z. MR3331930

[EG84] David Eisenbud and Shiro Goto, *Linear free resolutions and minimal multiplicity*, J. Algebra **88** (1984), no. 1, 89–133.

[Eis05] David Eisenbud, *The geometry of syzygies*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 229, Springer-Verlag, New York, 2005. A second course in commutative algebra and algebraic geometry.

[EY18] Daniel Erman and Jay Yang, *Random flag complexes and asymptotic syzygies*, Algebra Number Theory **12** (2018), no. 9, 2151–2166.

[GVT15] Elena Guardo and Adam Van Tuyl, *Arithmetically Cohen-Macaulay sets of points in* $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$, SpringerBriefs in Mathematics, Springer, Cham, 2015. MR3443335

[FP05] Gavril Farkas and Mihnea Popa, *Effective divisors on* $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_g$*, curves on* $K3$ *surfaces, and the slope conjecture*, J. Algebraic Geom. **14** (2005), no. 2, 241–267.

[Far06] Gavril Farkas, *Syzygies of curves and the effective cone of* $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_g$, Duke Math. J. **135** (2006), no. 1, 53–98.

[FK16] Gavril Farkas and Michael Kemeny, *The generic Green-Lazarsfeld secant conjecture*, Invent. Math. **203** (2016), no. 1, 265–301.

[FK17] ———, *The Prym-Green conjecture for torsion line bundles of high order*, Duke Math. J. **166** (2017), no. 6, 1103–1124.

[GLL15] Ofer Gabber, Qing Liu, and Dino Lorenzini, *Hypersurfaces in projective schemes and a moving lemma*, Duke Math. J. **164** (2015), no. 7, 1187–1270.

[Gre84a] Mark L. Green, *Koszul cohomology and the geometry of projective varieties*, J. Differential Geom. **19** (1984), no. 1, 125–171.

[Gre84b] ———, *Koszul cohomology and the geometry of projective varieties. II*, J. Differential Geom. **20** (1984), no. 1, 279–289.

[HHL23] Andrew Hanlon, Jeff Hicks, and Oleg Lazarev, *Resolutions of toric subvarieties by line bundles and applications* (2023). Pre-print: arxiv:2303.03763.

[Kod00] Vijay Kodiyalam, *Asymptotic behaviour of Castelnuovo-Mumford regularity*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **128** (2000), no. 2, 407–411.

[Kon93] Maxim Kontsevich, *Formal (non)commutative symplectic geometry*, The Gelfand Mathematical Seminars, 1990–1992, Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 1993, pp. 173–187.

[Kon94] ———, *Feynman diagrams and low-dimensional topology*, First European Congress of Mathematics, Vol. II (Paris, 1992), Progr. Math., vol. 120, Birkhäuser, Basel, 1994, pp. 97–121.

[LPP11] Robert Lazarsfeld, Giuseppe Pareschi, and Mihnea Popa, *Local positivity, multiplier ideals, and syzygies of abelian varieties*, Algebra Number Theory **5** (2011), no. 2, 185–196.

[Lem18] Alexander Lemmens, *On the* n*-th row of the graded Betti table of an* n*-dimensional toric variety*, J. Algebraic Combin. **47** (2018), no. 4, 561–584.

[M2] Daniel R. Grayson and Michael E. Stillman, *Macaulay 2, a software system for research in algebraic geometry*. Available at `http://www.math.uiuc.edu/Macaulay2/`.

[MS05] Diane Maclagan and Gregory G. Smith, *Uniform bounds on multigraded regularity*, J. Algebraic Geom. **14** (2005), no. 1, 137–164.

[MS04] ———, *Multigraded Castelnuovo-Mumford regularity*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **571** (2004), 179–212.

[Mum70] David Mumford, *Varieties defined by quadratic equations*, Questions on Algebraic Varieties (C.I.M.E., III Ciclo, Varenna, 1969), Edizioni Cremonese, Rome, 1970, pp. 29–100.

[Mum66] D. Mumford, *On the equations defining abelian varieties. I*, Invent. Math. **1** (1966), 287–354.

[OP01] Giorgio Ottaviani and Raffaella Paoletti, *Syzygies of Veronese embeddings*, Compositio Math. **125** (2001), no. 1, 31–37.

[Par00] Giuseppe Pareschi, *Syzygies of abelian varieties*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **13** (2000), no. 3, 651–664.

[PP03] Giuseppe Pareschi and Mihnea Popa, *Regularity on abelian varieties. I*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **16** (2003), no. 2, 285–302.

[PP04] ———, *Regularity on abelian varieties. II. Basic results on linear series and defining equations*, J. Algebraic Geom. **13** (2004), no. 1, 167–193.

[Sch86] Frank-Olaf Schreyer, *Syzygies of canonical curves and special linear series*, Math. Ann. **275** (1986), no. 1, 105–137.

[Voi02] Claire Voisin, *Green's generic syzygy conjecture for curves of even genus lying on a $K3$ surface*, J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS) **4** (2002), no. 4, 363–404.

[Voi05] ———, *Green's canonical syzygy conjecture for generic curves of odd genus*, Compos. Math. **141** (2005), no. 5, 1163–1190.

[Wil15] Thomas Willwacher, *M. Kontsevich's graph complex and the Grothendieck-Teichmüller Lie algebra*, Invent. Math. **200** (2015), no. 3, 671–760, DOI 10.1007/s00222-014-0528-x. MR3348138