
Usage Patterns of Bicycle Counting Stations in Heidelberg and the Influence of External Factors

Julian Jurcevic ^{*1} Martin Eichler ^{*2} Simon Rappenecker ^{*3} Tarik Eker ^{*4}

Abstract

- Describe topic
- Data source (just heidelberg) and external Factors
- sentence in one method
- Results briefly

1. Introduction

- Short introduction, but more motivational, bicycle traffic more important over the years...
- Name what is interesting and why it matters
- Shortly introduce the data, and the main method

2. Data

The analysis relies on a combination of traffic, weather, and calendar datasets. In the following, we describe the acquisition, processing, and quality assessment of the cyclist data.

2.1. Bicycle Counting Data

The bicycle counting stations in Heidelberg are densely and centrally distributed across the urban area. For our analysis, hourly bicycle counts from 15 permanent monitoring stations installed between May 2014 and January 2020 were used. The stations record counts at an hourly resolution using Eco-Counter systems equipped with ZELT inductive loops ([Eco-Counter, 2025](#)). These inductive loops

are embedded in the pavement and detect cyclists based on characteristic electromagnetic signatures. Most stations are capable of recording directional flows (inbound and outbound).

The counting data is publicly available via the MobiData BW open data platform ([Nahverkehrsgesellschaft Baden-Württemberg mbH \(NVBW\), 2025](#)). Although the counting station records include locally measured meteorological fields, these were excluded after comparison with official weather data revealed inconsistencies. City-wide weather conditions were assumed to be sufficiently homogeneous at the city scale.

Data quality was assessed with respect to missing hourly observations and false-zero days, defined as days with a total recorded count of zero.

Data sanity of counting data

2.2. Weather Data

2.3. Holiday Data

- Weather data,
- Why we need this, Station data is bad, describing fetching, here we name the source
- OPTIONAL: Give comparision plot between temperatures and bad metrics as rain PLOT: WEATHERDATA
- Accident data? Not used, but need to include it
- Holiday data,
- We we need it, describe source, also name that there were some errors in the dataset, we have to be careful, ...

3. Method

Previous works often rely on predefined rules to categorize bicycle traffic ([Miranda-Moreno et al., 2013](#)). However, such an approach may fail to capture hybrid usage patterns. We propose a more data-driven, implicit approach. By extracting features that quantify the 'shape' of daily, weekly, and seasonal profiles, we map each station into

^{*}Equal contribution ¹Matrikelnummer 7167089, MSc Computer Science ²Matrikelnummer 6009076, MSc Computer Science ³Matrikelnummer 6324777, MSc Machine Learning ⁴Matrikelnummer 5668988, MSc Computer Science. Correspondence to: JJ <7167089julian-steffan.jurcevic@student.uni-tuebingen.de>, ME <martin.eichler@student.uni-tuebingen.de>, SR <simon.rappenecker@student.uni-tuebingen.de>, TE <tarik.eker@student.uni-tuebingen.de>.

Project report for the "Data Literacy" course at the University of Tübingen, Winter 2025/26 (Module ML4201). Style template based on the [ICML style files 2025](#). Copyright 2025 by the author(s).

a multi-dimensional feature space. This allows us to use unsupervised k-means clustering (Lloyd, 1982) to discover different station types.

In a subsequent step in chapter 4, we assign one of the following labels to each cluster (cf. Fig.):

- *Utilitarian*: Traffic showing strong commuting patterns, with two distinct peaks in traffic volume during the morning and afternoon hours.
- *Recreational*: Traffic dominated by leisure activities. These locations exhibit a single peak in traffic volume around midday or early afternoon.
- *Mixed*: Locations that serve a dual purpose, showing characteristics of both utilitarian and recreational usage.

To characterise the counting stations, we derive three distinct features that capture traffic patterns across different timescales: daily, weekly and seasonal to exploit the distinct pattern visible in Figure xyz.

Double Peak Index (DPI)

Weekday hourly profiles of some stations exhibit a double-peak structure, typically associated with morning and evening commuting. The DPI quantifies this behaviour by identifying dominant morning (5–10 h) and evening (14–20 h) peaks and relating their magnitudes to the average midday level (8–14 h). Stations with clear, balanced commuting peaks yield high DPI values, whereas flat or single-peak profiles result in low scores.

Formally, let p_m and p_e denote the magnitudes of the morning and evening peaks at hours h_m and h_e , and let m be the average midday traffic level. The DPI is defined as

$$\text{DPI} = \max(S \cdot Y \cdot D, 0)$$

where S , Y and D models strength, symmetry and distance, respectively:

$$S = \frac{(p_m - m) + (p_e - m)}{2}$$

$$Y = 1 - \frac{|p_m - p_e|}{\max(p_m, p_e)}$$

$$D = \min\left(\frac{|h_e - h_m|}{10}, 1\right)$$

Weekend Shape Difference (WSD)

Differences between weekday and weekend hourly traffic patterns provide an additional discriminator between usage

types. To capture this effect, we compare the shape of the weekday and weekend hourly profiles. Let \mathbf{p}^{wd} and \mathbf{p}^{we} denote the weekday and weekend hourly profiles, normalised to sum to one.

$$\text{WSD} = \left\| \frac{\mathbf{p}^{wd}}{\sum_h p_h^{wd}} - \frac{\mathbf{p}^{we}}{\sum_h p_h^{we}} \right\|_2$$

Seasonal Drop Index (SDI)

Finally, we consider long-term patterns. Seasonality provides a discriminator between leisure and utilitarian-oriented stations. The SDI quantifies the relative decline between high and low-usage months. Let I_m denote the monthly index values of a station. Using upper and lower quantiles to ensure robustness against outliers

$$q_{90} = \text{quantile}_{0.9}(I_m)$$

$$q_{10} = \text{quantile}_{0.1}(I_m)$$

the SDI is defined as

$$\text{SDI} = \frac{q_{90} - q_{10}}{q_{90}}$$

High values indicate strong seasonal variation, whereas low values correspond to relatively stable, year-round usage.

4. Results

- describe temporal shift, describe why this is expected and why, PLOT: city,
- describe holidays impact, describe behaviour (similar as weekend) PLOT: show shift
- include also plot of different public holidays (my own one), this is just to have a funny fact (Vater Tag) PLOT: funny plot, na werden zu viele plots
- describe weather impact, obvious PLOT: weather

5. Conclusion

- summarize
- limitations
- problems
- statements that can be made

Contribution Statement

Add this, see original template

References

- Eco-Counter. Zelt evo – inductive loop for mixed traffic.
<https://de.eco-counter.com/losungen/produkte/zelt-evo-selective>, 2025. Accessed: 2025-12-27.
- Lloyd, S. Least squares quantization in pcm. *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory*, 28(2):129–137, 1982. doi: 10.1109/TIT.1982.1056489.
- Miranda-Moreno, L. F., Nosal, T., Schneider, R. J., and Proulx, F. Classification of bicycle traffic patterns in five north american cities. *Transportation Research Record*, 2339(1):68–79, 2013. doi: 10.3141/2339-08. URL <https://doi.org/10.3141/2339-08>.
- Nahverkehrsgesellschaft Baden-Württemberg mbH (NVBW). Bicycle counting data repository (api v2). <https://mobidata-bw.de/fahrradzaehldaten/v2/>, 2025. Accessed: 2025-12-27.