

Homework 1

Julissa Duenas

Due on Sunday, October 18, 2020 at 11:59 pm

Note: If you are working with a partner, please submit only one homework per group with both names and whether you are taking the course for graduate credit or not. Submit your Rmarkdown (.Rmd) and the compiled pdf on Gradescope in a zip file. Include any addition files (e.g. scanned handwritten solutions) in zip file with the pdf.

Text Analysis of JK Rowling's Harry Potter Series

Question 1

You are interested in studying the writing style and tone used by JK Rowling (JKR for short), the author of the popular Harry Potter series. You select a random sample of chapters of size n from all of JKR's books. You are interested in the rate at which JKR uses the word *dark* in her writing, so you count how many times the word *dark* appears in each chapter in your sample, (y_1, \dots, y_n) . In this set-up, y_i is the number of times the word *dark* appeared in the i -th randomly sampled chapter. In this context, the population of interest is all chapters written by JKR and the population quantity of interest (the estimand) is the rate at which JKR uses the word *dark*. The sampling units are individual chapters. Note: this assignment is partially based on text analysis package known as [tidytext](#). You can read more about tidytext [here](#).

1a.

Model: let Y_i denote the quantity that captures the number of times the word *dark* appears in the i -th chapter. As a first approximation, it is reasonable to model the number of times *dark* appears in a given chapter using a Poisson distribution. *Reminder:* Poisson distributions are for integer outcomes and useful for events that occur independently and at a constant rate. Let's assume that the quantities Y_1, \dots, Y_n are independent and identically distributed (IID) according to a Poisson distribution with unknown parameter λ ,

$$p(Y_i = y_i \mid \lambda) = \text{Poisson}(y_i \mid \lambda) \quad \text{for } i = 1, \dots, n.$$

Write the likelihood $L(\lambda)$ for a generic sample of n chapters, (y_1, \dots, y_n) . Simplify as much as possible (i.e. get rid of any multiplicative constants)

$$L(\lambda, y) = \prod_{i=1}^n (\lambda^{y_i} e^{-\lambda}) / y_i!$$

$$L(\lambda, y) = (\lambda^{\sum_{i=1}^n y_i} e^{-n\lambda}) / \prod_{i=1}^n y_i!$$

$$L(\lambda, y) = e^{-n\lambda} \lambda^{\sum_{i=1}^n y_i}$$

1b.

Write the log-likelihood $\ell(\lambda)$ for a generic sample of n articles, (y_1, \dots, y_n) . Simplify as much as possible. Use this to compute the maximum likelihood estimate for the rate parameter of the Poisson distribution.

$$\ell(\lambda) = \ln(L(\lambda))$$

$$\ell(\lambda) = \ln(e^{-n\lambda} \lambda^{\sum_{i=1}^n y_i})$$

$$\ell(\lambda) = \ln(e^{-n\lambda}) + \ln(\lambda^{\sum_{i=1}^n y_i})$$

$$\ell(\lambda) = \sum_{i=1}^n y_i \ln(\lambda) - n\lambda$$

Maximum Likelihood Estimate:

$$\hat{\lambda} = (\sum_{i=1}^n y_i) / n$$

From now on, we'll focus on JKR's writing style in the last Harry Potter book, *The Deathly Hallows*. This book has 37 chapters. Below is the code for counting the number of times *dark* appears in each chapter of *The Deathly Hallows*. We use the `tidytext` R package which includes functions that parse large text files into word counts. The code below creates a vector of length 37 which has the number of times the word *dark* was used in that chapter (see https://uc-r.github.io/tidy_text for more on parsing text with `tidytext`)

```
library(tidyverse)      # data manipulation & plotting
library(stringr)        # text cleaning and regular expressions
library(tidytext)       # provides additional text mining functions
library(harrypotter)    # text for the seven novels of the Harry Potter series

text_tb <- tibble(chapter = seq_along(deathly_hallows),
                  text = deathly_hallows)
tokens <- text_tb %>% unnest_tokens(word, text)
word_counts <- tokens %>% group_by(chapter) %>%
  count(word, sort = TRUE) %>% ungroup
word_counts_mat <- word_counts %>% spread(key=word, value=n, fill=0)


dark_counts <- word_counts_mat$dark

text_tb <- tibble(chapter = seq_along(deathly_hallows),
                  text = deathly_hallows)
tokens <- text_tb %>% unnest_tokens(word, text)
word_counts <- tokens %>% group_by(chapter) %>%
  count(word, sort = TRUE) %>% ungroup
word_counts_mat <- word_counts %>% spread(key=word, value=n, fill=0)
```

1c.

Make a bar plot where the heights are the counts of the word *dark* and the x-axis is the chapter.

```
ggplot(text_tb, aes(x=chapter, y= dark_counts)) + geom_bar(stat="identity")
```




1d.

Plot the log-likelihood of the Poisson rate of *dark* usage in R using the data in `dark_counts`. Then use `dark_counts` to compute the maximum likelihood estimate of the rate of the usage of the word *dark* in The Deathly Hallows. Mark this maximum on the log-likelihood plot with a vertical line (use `abline` if you make the plot in base R or `geom_vline` if you prefer `ggplot`).

```
library(ggplot2)

n <- 37 #for 37 chapters
x <- dark_counts
L <- function(lambda){
  sum(x)*log(lambda)-37*lambda
}

plot <- ggplot(data = data.frame(lambda = 0), mapping = aes(x=lambda))+stat_function(fun = L)+xlim(0,40)
plot+geom_vline(xintercept = (sum(x))/37, color = 'red')
```



Question 2

For the previous problem, when computing the rate of *dark* usage, we were implicitly assuming each chapter had the same length. Remember that for $Y_i \sim \text{Poisson}(\lambda)$, $E[Y_i] = \lambda$ for each chapter, that is, the average number of occurrences of *dark* is the same in each chapter. Obviously this isn't a great assumption, since the lengths of the chapters vary; longer chapters should be more likely to have more occurrences of the word. We can augment the model by considering properties of the Poisson distribution. The Poisson is often used to express the probability of a given number of events occurring for a fixed “exposure”. As a useful example of the role of the exposure term, when counting then number of events that happen in a set length of time, we need to account for the total time that we are observing events. For this text example, the exposure is not time, but rather corresponds to the total length of the chapter.

We will again let (y_1, \dots, y_n) represent counts of the word *dark*. In addition, we now count the total number of words in each chapter (ν_1, \dots, ν_n) and use this as our exposure. Let Y_i denote the random variable for the counts of the word *dark* in a chapter with ν_i words. Let's assume that the quantities Y_1, \dots, Y_n are independent and identically distributed (IID) according to a Poisson distribution with unknown parameter $\lambda \cdot \frac{\nu_i}{1000}$,

$$p(Y_i = y_i \mid \nu_i, 1000) = \text{Poisson}(y_i \mid \lambda \cdot \frac{\nu_i}{1000}) \quad \text{for } i = 1, \dots, n.$$

In the code below, `chapter_lengths` is a vector storing the length of each chapter in words.

```
chapter_lengths <- word_counts %>% group_by(chapter) %>%
  summarize(chapter_length = sum(n)) %>%
  ungroup %>% select(chapter_length) %>% unlist %>% as.numeric
```

```
## `summarise()` ungrouping output (override with `.groups` argument)
```

2a.

What is the interpretation of the quantity $\frac{\nu_i}{1000}$ in this model? What is the interpretation of λ in this model? State the units for these quantities in both of your answers.

Our interpretation of λ in this model is the expectation of Y_i which would be the number of times the word *dark* is used every 1000 words. Our interpretation for $\nu_i/1000$ is that it is the frequency of the word *dark*.

2b.

List the known and unknown variables and constants, as described in lecture 2. Make sure you include $Y_1, \dots, Y_n, y_1, \dots, y_n, n, \lambda$, and ν_i .

Known, Var > 0 : Y_1, \dots, Y_n

Known, Var $= 0$: $n, y_1, \dots, y_n, \nu_i$

Unknown, Var > 0 :

Unknown, Var $= 0$: λ

2c.

Write down the likelihood in this new model. Use this to calculate maximum likelihood estimator for λ . Your answer should include the ν_i 's.

$$\text{Likelihood: } L\left(\lambda * \frac{\nu_i}{1000}; y_1, \dots, y_n\right) = \prod_{i=1}^n \exp\left(-\lambda * \frac{\nu_i}{1000}\right) \frac{1}{y_i!} \lambda^{y_i}$$

$$= \exp\left(-\frac{n\lambda}{1000} \sum_{i=1}^n \nu_i\right) \frac{\lambda^{\sum_{i=1}^n y_i}}{y_1! y_2! \dots y_n!}$$

$$\text{Log-Likelihood: } \ell\left(\lambda * \frac{\nu_i}{1000}; y_1, \dots, y_n\right) = \frac{-n\lambda}{1000} \sum_{i=1}^n \nu_i + \log(\lambda) \sum_{i=1}^n y_i - \sum_{i=1}^n \log(y_i!) \propto -n\lambda \sum_{i=1}^n \nu_i + \log(\lambda) \sum_{i=1}^n y_i$$

$$\text{Derivative with respect to Lambda: } \frac{d\ell}{d\lambda} = \left(-n\lambda \sum_{i=1}^n \nu_i + \log(\lambda) \sum_{i=1}^n y_i\right)' = -n \sum_{i=1}^n \nu_i + \frac{1}{\lambda} \sum_{i=1}^n y_i$$

$$\text{Set Derivative to 0: } -n \sum_{i=1}^n \nu_i + \frac{1}{\lambda} \sum_{i=1}^n y_i = 0$$

$$\frac{1}{\lambda} \sum_{i=1}^n y_i = n \sum_{i=1}^n \nu_i$$

$$\lambda = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n y_i}{n \sum_{i=1}^n \nu_i}$$

This is the MLE

2d.

Compute the maximum likelihood estimate and save it in the variable `lambda_mle`. In 1-2 sentences interpret its meaning (make sure you include units in your answers!).

```
lambda_mle <- sum(dark_counts)/sum(chapter_lengths)*1000
lambda_mle
```

```
## [1] 0.9652801
```

```
. = ottr::check("tests/q2d.R")
```

This means that the mle is the estimate for how many times the word *dark* would appear per 1000 words


2e.

Plot the log-likelihood from the previous question in R using the data from on the frequency of *dark* and the chapter lengths. Add a vertical line at the value of `lambda_mle` to indicate the maximum likelihood.

```
n <- 37
x <- dark_counts
cl <- chapter_lengths
L <- function(lambda){
  (-lambda)*sum(cl)+sum(x)*log(p*sum(cl)/1000)
}
plot2 <- ggplot(data=data.frame(lambda=0),mapping = aes(x=lambda))+stat_function(fun=L)+xlim(0,40)+scale_x_continuous(breaks=c(0,10,20,30,40))
plot2+geom_vline(xintercept = lambda_mle,color='red')
```

```
## Warning: Computation failed in `stat_function()`:
```

```
## object 'p' not found
```



Question 3

Correcting for chapter lengths is clearly an improvement, but we're still assuming that JKR uses the word *dark* at the same rate in all chapters. In this problem we'll explore this assumption in more detail.

3a.


Why might it be unreasonable to assume that the rate of *dark* usage is the same in all chapters? Comment in a few sentences.

It may be unreasonable to assume this because not all chapters contain the same plot points. It may be that one chapter is set during night time and that may up the scale to which 'dark' is being used more frequently than if a chapter was set during the day time.

3b.

We can use simulation to check our Poisson model, and in particular the assumption that the rate of *dark* usage is the same in all chapters. Generate simulated counts of the word *dark* by sampling counts from a Poisson distribution with the rate $(\hat{\lambda}_{MLE}\nu_i)/1000$ for each chapter i . $\hat{\lambda}_{MLE}$ is the maximum likelihood estimate computing in 2d. Store the vector of these values for each chapter in a variable of length 37 called `lambda_chapter`. Make a side by side plot of the observed counts and simulated counts and note any similarities or differences (we've already created the observed histogram for you). Are there any outliers in the observed data that don't seem to be reflected in the data simulated under our model?

```
observed_histogram <- ggplot(word_counts_mat) + geom_histogram(aes(x=dark)) +  
  xlim(c(0, 25)) + ylim(c(0,10)) + ggtitle("Observed")  
  
lambda_chapter <- (lambda_mle*chapter_lengths)/1000  
  
simulated_counts <- tibble(dark = rpois(37, lambda_chapter))  
  
simulated_histogram <- ggplot(simulated_counts)+geom_histogram(aes(x=dark))+xlim(c(0,25)) + ylim(c(0,10))  
  
## This uses the patchwork library to put the two plots side by side  
observed_histogram + simulated_histogram  
  
## `stat_bin()` using `bins = 30`. Pick better value with `binwidth`.  
## Warning: Removed 2 rows containing missing values (geom_bar).  
## `stat_bin()` using `bins = 30`. Pick better value with `binwidth`.  
## Warning: Removed 2 rows containing missing values (geom_bar).
```



```
. = ottr::check("tests/q3b.R")
```

```
## All tests passed!
```

Yes there are outliers that don't seem to be reflected in our simulated histogram. In the observed data, there seems to be a more spread out histogram of the dark counts, having an outlier nearing 25 but that is not seen in the simulated histogram

3c. Assume the word usage rate varies by chapter, that is,

$$p(Y_i = y_i \mid \lambda, \nu_i, 1000) = \text{Poisson}(y_i \mid \lambda_i \cdot \frac{\nu_i}{1000}) \quad \text{for } i = 1, \dots, n.$$

Compute a separate maximum likelihood estimate of the rate of *dark* usage (per 1000 words) in each chapter, $\hat{\lambda}_i$. Make a bar plot of $\hat{\lambda}_i$ by chapter. Save the chapter-specific MLE in a vector of length 37 called `lambda_hats`. Which chapter has the highest rate of usage of the word *dark*? Save the chapter number in a variable called `darkest_chapter`.


```
# Maximum likelihood estimate
lambda_hats <- x/(chapter_lengths/1000)

darkest_chapter <- max(lambda_hats)
darkest_chapter
```

```
## [1] 2.922862
```



```
# Make a bar plot of the MLEs, lambda_hats
barplot(lambda_hats, names.arg = c(1:length(lambda_hats)), main = 'Rate of dark usage (per 1000 words) per
```



```
. = ottr::check("tests/q3c.R")
```

```
## All tests passed!
```

Question 4

Let's go back to our original model for usage rates of the word *dark*. You collect a random sample of book chapters penned by JKR and count how many times she uses the word *dark* in each of the chapter in your sample, (y_1, \dots, y_n) . In this set-up, y_i is the number of times the word *dark* appeared in the i -th chapter, as before. However, we will no longer assume that the rate of use of the word *dark* is the same in every chapter. Rather, we'll assume JKR uses the word *dark* at different rates λ_i in each chapter. Naturally, this makes sense, since different chapters have different themes and tone. To do this, we'll further assume that the rate of word usage λ_i itself, is distributed according to a $\text{Gamma}(\alpha, \beta)$ with known parameters α and β ,

$$f(\Lambda = \lambda_i \mid \alpha, \beta) = \text{Gamma}(\lambda_i \mid \alpha, \beta).$$

and that $Y_i \sim \text{Pois}(\lambda_i)$ as in problem 1. For now we will ignore any exposure parameters, ν_i . Note: this is a “warm up” to Bayesian inference, where it is standard to treat parameters as random variables and specify distributions for those parameters.

4a.

Write out the the data generating process for the above model.

y_i is the number of times *dark* is used in the i th chapter

λ_i is the rate that *dark* is used in the i th chapter

λ_i has a Gamma distribution with known parameters α and β

Y_i has a Poisson distribution with parameter λ_i which as stated before, has a Gamma distribution

We would firstly have to simulate values for λ_i in order to do so for Y_i

4b.

In R simulate 1000 values from the above data generating process, assume $\alpha = 10$ (shape parameter of `rgamma`) and $\beta = 1$ (rate parameter of `rgamma`). Store the value in a vector of length 1000 called `counts`. Compute the empirical mean and variance of values you generated. For a Poisson distribution, the mean and the variance are the same. In the following distribution is the variance greater than the mean (called `overdispersed`') or is the variance less than the mean (underdispersed')? Intuitively, why does this make sense?

```
## Store simulated data in a vector of length 1000
```

```
for(i in 1:1000){  
  lambda_i <- rgamma(1000,10,1)  
  Y_i <- rpois(1000,lambda_i)  
}
```

```
counts <- Y_i
```

```
print(mean(counts))
```

```
## [1] 9.862
```

```
print(var(counts))
```

```
## [1] 18.043
```

```
. = ottr::check("tests/q4b.R")
```

```
## All tests passed!
```

In this case, the variance is greater than the mean, meaning it is overdispersed. This makes sense because we do not have a constant λ . The rate changes for each chapter.

4c.

List the known and unknown variables and constants as described in lecture 2. Make sure your table includes $Y_1, \dots, Y_n, y_1, \dots, y_n, n, \lambda, \alpha$, and β .

Known, $\text{Var} > 0$: Y_1, \dots, Y_n

Known, $\text{Var} = 0$: $\alpha, \beta, y_1, \dots, y_n$

Unknown, $\text{Var} > 0$:

Unknown, $\text{Var} = 0$: n, λ

Extra Credit.

Compute $p(Y_i | \alpha, \beta) = \int p(Y_i, \lambda_i | \alpha, \beta) d\lambda_i$. *Hint:* The gamma function is defined as $\Gamma(z) = \int_0^\infty x^{z-1} e^{-x} dx$.

Type your answer here, replacing this text.

Fill in the blank.

You just showed that a Gamma mixture of Poisson distributions is a negative binomial distribution.