

# Event Analysis Report

Generated on: 2025-03-11 13:33:17  
Years included: 2021, 2022  
Departments included: All Departments

## Recommendations

Recommendations - Combined Data from 2 Years (2021, 2022)  
Showing recommendations for Combined Data  
COMMON ISSUES FOR LOW SCORES:  
No ratings in the 'Needs Improvement' category.

Year: 2021

Department: All Departments

## Descriptive Statistics

| Metric | Objectives Met | Venue Rating | Schedule Rating | Allowance Rating | Speaker Rating | Facilitator Rating | Participant Rating |
|--------|----------------|--------------|-----------------|------------------|----------------|--------------------|--------------------|
| Count  | 2378.00        | 2378.00      | 2378.00         | 2378.00          | 2378.00        | 2378.00            | 2378.00            |
| Mean   | 2.00           | 0.98         | 1.99            | 1.95             | 1.03           | 2.00               | 1.97               |
| Std    | 0.99           | 1.01         | 1.00            | 1.01             | 1.01           | 0.99               | 1.02               |
| Min    | 0.00           | 0.00         | 0.00            | 0.00             | 0.00           | 0.00               | 0.00               |
| 25%    | 1.00           | 0.00         | 1.00            | 1.00             | 0.00           | 1.00               | 1.00               |
| 50%    | 2.00           | 1.00         | 2.00            | 2.00             | 1.00           | 2.00               | 2.00               |
| 75%    | 3.00           | 2.00         | 3.00            | 3.00             | 2.00           | 3.00               | 3.00               |
| Max    | 3.00           | 3.00         | 3.00            | 3.00             | 3.00           | 3.00               | 3.00               |

Interpretation:

Objectives Met:

Score: 2.00/3.00

Rating: Satisfactory

Remarks: Meets expectations. Minor improvements possible.

Venue Rating:

Score: 0.98/3.00

Rating: Moderately Satisfactory

Remarks: Shows potential but requires enhancement.

Schedule Rating:

Score: 1.99/3.00

Rating: Satisfactory

Remarks: Meets expectations. Minor improvements possible.

Allowance Rating:

Score: 1.95/3.00

Rating: Satisfactory

Remarks: Meets expectations. Minor improvements possible.

Speaker Rating:

Score: 1.03/3.00

Rating: Moderately Satisfactory

Remarks: Shows potential but requires enhancement.

Facilitator Rating:

Score: 2.00/3.00

Rating: Satisfactory

Remarks: Meets expectations. Minor improvements possible.

Participant Rating:

Score: 1.97/3.00

Rating: Satisfactory

Remarks: Meets expectations. Minor improvements possible.


OVERALL EVENT RATING:

Score: 1.70/3.00

Rating: Satisfactory

Remarks: Event meets basic requirements. Consider targeted improvements.

Clustering Analysis



Cluster Interpretation:  
Cluster 1 (0 events, 0.0% of total):  
Key characteristics:  
• Facilitator Rating: 0.05  
• Schedule Rating: 0.19  
• Participant Rating: 0.52  
Cluster 2 (0 events, 0.0% of total):  
Key characteristics:

- Objectives Met: 0.59
- Schedule Rating: 0.59
- Participant Rating: 0.60

Cluster 3 (0 events, 0.0% of total):

Key characteristics:

- Facilitator Rating: 0.03
- Objectives Met: 0.15
- Schedule Rating: 0.47

Cluster 4 (0 events, 0.0% of total):

Key characteristics:

- Allowance Rating: 0.03
- Venue Rating: 0.09
- Objectives Met: 0.30

**Year: 2022**

**Department: All Departments**

## Descriptive Statistics

| Metric | Objectives Met | Venue Rating | Schedule Rating | Allowance Rating | Speaker Rating | Facilitator Rating | Participant Rating |
|--------|----------------|--------------|-----------------|------------------|----------------|--------------------|--------------------|
| Count  | 2679.00        | 2679.00      | 2679.00         | 2679.00          | 2679.00        | 2679.00            | 2679.00            |
| Mean   | 1.53           | 1.50         | 1.49            | 1.52             | 1.50           | 1.44               | 1.51               |
| Std    | 1.12           | 1.11         | 1.10            | 1.12             | 1.13           | 1.12               | 1.12               |
| Min    | 0.00           | 0.00         | 0.00            | 0.00             | 0.00           | 0.00               | 0.00               |
| 25%    | 1.00           | 1.00         | 1.00            | 1.00             | 0.00           | 0.00               | 1.00               |
| 50%    | 2.00           | 2.00         | 1.00            | 2.00             | 2.00           | 1.00               | 1.00               |
| 75%    | 3.00           | 2.00         | 2.00            | 3.00             | 3.00           | 2.00               | 3.00               |
| Max    | 3.00           | 3.00         | 3.00            | 3.00             | 3.00           | 3.00               | 3.00               |

Interpretation:

Objectives Met:

Score: 1.53/3.00

Rating: Satisfactory

Remarks: Meets expectations. Minor improvements possible.

Venue Rating:

Score: 1.50/3.00

Rating: Satisfactory

Remarks: Meets expectations. Minor improvements possible.

Schedule Rating:

Score: 1.49/3.00

Rating: Satisfactory

Remarks: Meets expectations. Minor improvements possible.

Allowance Rating:

Score: 1.52/3.00

Rating: Satisfactory

Remarks: Meets expectations. Minor improvements possible.

Speaker Rating:

Score: 1.50/3.00

Rating: Satisfactory

Remarks: Meets expectations. Minor improvements possible.

Facilitator Rating:

Score: 1.44/3.00

Rating: Moderately Satisfactory

Remarks: Shows potential but requires enhancement.

Participant Rating:

Score: 1.51/3.00

Rating: Satisfactory

Remarks: Meets expectations. Minor improvements possible.


OVERALL EVENT RATING:

Score: 1.50/3.00

Rating: Satisfactory

Remarks: Event meets basic requirements. Consider targeted improvements.

# Clustering Analysis



Cluster Interpretation:

Cluster 1 (0 events, 0.0% of total):

Key characteristics:

- Venue Rating: 0.45
- Objectives Met: 0.76
- Participant Rating: 0.91

Cluster 2 (0 events, 0.0% of total):

Key characteristics:

- Facilitator Rating: 0.05
- Allowance Rating: 0.10
- Venue Rating: 0.91

Cluster 3 (0 events, 0.0% of total):

Key characteristics:

- Speaker Rating: -0.03
- Schedule Rating: 0.03
- Objectives Met: 0.76

Cluster 4 (0 events, 0.0% of total):

Key characteristics:

- Facilitator Rating: 0.02
- Speaker Rating: 0.04
- Participant Rating: 0.45

## Baseline Comparison Analysis

| Feature            | Baseline | Current | Change (%) | Significant |
|--------------------|----------|---------|------------|-------------|
| Objectives Met     | 2.00     | 1.53    | -23.2%     | Yes         |
| Venue Rating       | 0.98     | 1.50    | +53.2%     | Yes         |
| Schedule Rating    | 1.99     | 1.49    | -24.8%     | Yes         |
| Allowance Rating   | 1.95     | 1.52    | -22.2%     | Yes         |
| Speaker Rating     | 1.03     | 1.50    | +45.7%     | Yes         |
| Facilitator Rating | 2.00     | 1.44    | -28.0%     | Yes         |
| Participant Rating | 1.97     | 1.51    | -23.6%     | Yes         |

Interpretation of Changes:

Significant Improvements:

- Venue Rating (+53.2%)
- Speaker Rating (+45.7%)

Areas Needing Attention:

- Objectives Met (-23.2%)
- Schedule Rating (-24.8%)

- Allowance Rating (-22.2%)
- Facilitator Rating (-28.0%)
- Participant Rating (-23.6%)