Data cleaning for K_S^0 decay reconstruction ML model CBM

Julian Nowak

VIII 2021

1 K_S^0 (short lived Kaon) reconstruction:

K-short (short lived Kaon) reconstruction:

• Mother particle: K_S^0 (PDG = 310)

• Mass: $497.611 \pm 0.013 \text{ MeV}/c^2$

• Mean lifetime: $8.958 \cdot 10^{-11}$ s

• Charge = 0

• Meson, composed of two quarks: $d\ \bar{s}$ or $s\ \bar{d}$

 $K_S^0 \to \pi^+ + \pi^ \pi^+$

 K_S^0 decay diagram [1]

• Strange particle

In the main decay mode:




Figure 1: K_S^0 decay modes [2]

 K_S^0 particle decays into π^+ (PDG = 211) and π^- (PDG = -211). Mass of each pion equals 139.57039(18) MeV/ c^2

2 Objective

Our model will be trained to correctly distinguish between signal - pairs of π^+ and π^- which were produced in the K_S^0 decay, and background - pairs of pions which aren't result of the decay. We assume that the majority of the particles of invariant mass in 5σ region around the mass peak

We assume that the majority of the particles of invariant mass in 5σ region around the mass peak = $0.4981~{\rm GeV/}c^2$ should be recognized as the K-short signal candidates. The ML model learns, which parameters values should be associated with the signal, and which with the background. As the mathematical ML model has no clue which data values are physically correct, we need to clean the data.

3 Data cleaning

To reject the numeric values of parameters which don't have physical sense, but are present in the data set, we apply some selection criteria before the beginning of the model training. Similarly, we reject some values which might be possible, but are rare enough, so we reject them to reduce the data.

3.1 Invariant mass

As the K-short particle decays into two pions (in the decay mode we're able to reconstruct) its invariant mass cannot be smaller than the mass of the two pions, so:

$$mass > 0.279 \text{ GeV}/c^2$$

Also, to reduce the amount of data, we only accept the particles with:

$$mass < 1.5 \text{ GeV}/c^2$$

3.2 Distances and x, y, z coordinates

Distance between the primary vertex (the point where the collision of the nuclei happens), and the secondary vertex (the extrapolated point where the two daughter particles should have crossed each other) - l and the distance of closest approach between the two pions - DCA - shouldn't be smaller than zero:

$$DCA, l, \frac{l}{\Delta l} > 0$$

Also, due to the sizes of the tracking system (the largest station has an are of 100 cm²):

$$DCA < 100 \text{ cm}$$

For the same reason:

$$|x|, |y| < 50 \text{ cm}$$

As the particle has to hit 3 stations of the tracking system, and the last two are placed above 80cm"

$$l < 80 \mathrm{cm}$$

For the same reason, and because of the fixed target geometry of the detector:


$$-1 \text{ cm} < z < 80 \text{ cm}$$

To reduce the data, we set:


$$\frac{l}{\Delta l} < 15000$$

However, in the KFParticle package l is assumed to be signed by design, and we notice that actually some data entries have negative value of distance, for both signal and background. As we want our quality cuts to be rather conservative, we decided later to set the ranges:

$$l > -5$$
 (cm) $\frac{l}{\Delta l} > -25$



(a) Histogram of l in range (-20, 20)



(b) Histogram of $\frac{l}{\Delta l}$ in range (-100, 100)

3.3 Momentums

The fixed target geometry of the detector requires that:

$$p_Z > 0 \text{ GeV/c}$$

To reduce the data, we only preserve:

$$p < 20 \text{ GeV/c}; p_T < 3 \text{ GeV/c}$$

3.4 Chi square

Since χ^2 is a squared distance, all the values must be larger than zero:

$$\chi^2 > 0$$

To reduce the data, we select the maximal values:

- χ^2 first and second $< 3 \cdot 10^7$
- $\chi^2_{geo} < 10000$
- $\chi^2_{topo} < 100000$

3.5 Pseudorapidity

As pseudorapidity $\eta = -\ln \tan(\frac{\theta}{2})$, and the Silicon Tracking System (STS) cover the polar angles between 2.5° and 25°, for which the pseudorapidity values would equal:

$$1.5 < \eta < 3.82$$

However, due to the magnetic field we decide to constraint the pseudorapidity to the values:

$$1.0 < \eta < 6.5$$

with which we loose 0.06% of data for signal (instead of 5.66%) and 0.08% of data for background (instead of 6.75%)

4 Results

All the graphs before and after data cleaning are available here https://github.com/julnow/JupyterNotebooks/blob

- \bullet With the "mass" cut alone we remove about 1.33% of background and less than 0.00001% of signal
- With the "coordinates" cut alone we remove about 6.5% of background and less than 1% of signal

- With the pseudorapidity cut alone we remove almost 0.04% of background and less than 0.02% of signal
- \bullet With the DCA cut alone we remove about 0.015% of background and less than 0.00001% of signal
- With the "distance" cut alone we remove almost 46% of background and almost 1% of signal
- With the " $\chi^2 2$ topo and geo" cut alone we remove about 2% of background and almost 1.2% of signal.
- With the " χ^2 2 prim" cut alone we remove about 0.15% of background and about 1.17 % of signal.
- \bullet With the "momenta" cut alone we remove less than 0.001% of background less than 0.00001% of signal

References

- [1] http://hyperphysics.phy-astr.gsu.edu/hbase/Particles/kaon.html
- [2] https://pdg.lbl.gov/2021/listings/contents_listings.html