Local Housing Market and Extensive and Intensive Margins of Labor Supply

Inhyuk Choi^{*}, Ji-Woong Moon[†] January 29, 2021

Abstract

No abstract.

1 Motivation

In many countries, including South Korea and the U.S., housing is the single most important asset for households. As such, it is a primary interest for economists to exploring how housing market influences labor supply decisions of workers. Previous literature mainly interests in the effects of housing ownership to the extensive margin of labor supply. On the other hand, relatively little is known about how local labor market conditions affect workers' intensive margin choice. This paper explores that point using KLIPS.

Regression results may not support or contradict to the introduction.

2 Regression

$$y_{it} = \alpha_i + \gamma_t + x'_{it}\beta + \eta_{j(i,t)} + \beta_0 I(H_{it} = r) + \sum_{h=o,r} P_{j(i,t)} \cdot I(H_{it} = h) \cdot \beta_h + \epsilon_{it}$$
 (1)

- y_{it} : dependent variable. labor hours, unemployment dummy and real wages.
- α_i, γ_t : individual and time fixed effects

^{*}KIPF. ichoi@psu.edu

[†]SUFE. jum392@psu.edu

- x_{it} : age, age-squared, total wealth (financial + housing), financial debt, monthly income (from all sources. labor, financial, etc.)
- j(i,t): a region where i lives at time t
- $\eta_{j(i,t)}$: region fixed effect
- $P_{j(i,t)}$: regional (real) house price excluding own house price
- H_{it} : house ownership status. $H_{it} = o(wner)$ or $H_{it} = r(enter)$.
- β_0 : coefficient for renter dummy
- β_o, β_r : effect of regional house prices on y, depending on house ownership status.

I use the KLIPS 04 - 22, since 04 is the first survey that monthly income is available. I consider the household head whose age is between 18 - 40. When calculating total wealth, I added housing deposits to financial wealth. It is a bit ambiguous that whether renters would report housing deposits as their wealth or not, because there is no housing deposit category (but, there exists personally rented money category) for wealth reporting. For house owners, if they rented a house, they should have reported the housing deposit as financial debts.

2.1 Results

2.1.1 Labor Hours

Table 1: Labor Hours						
	(1) lab_hour	(2) lab_hour	(3) lab_hour	(4) lab_hour		
Age	-0.783** (0.341)	-0.791** (0.341)	-0.812** (0.341)	0.546 (0.663)		
Age**2	0.00631 (0.00516)	$0.00612 \\ (0.00518)$	0.00633 (0.00517)	-0.0162 (0.0101)		
tot_wealth	-0.0000355*** (0.0000134)	-0.0000387*** (0.0000133)		$0.0000217 \\ (0.0000231)$		
$\operatorname{fin_debt}$	0.0000857^{***} (0.0000242)	0.0000908*** (0.0000244)		$0.0000116 \\ (0.0000554)$		
Income	0.0466 (0.0757)	0.0428 (0.0751)	0.0413 (0.0737)	-0.177 (0.141)		
Renter	-0.0739 (0.669)	-0.846 (1.143)	-1.098 (1.141)	1.124 (3.306)		
Renter*Reg.HP		0.00301** (0.00134)	0.00283** (0.00134)	0.00570^{***} (0.00202)		
Owner*Reg.HP		-0.000682 (0.00442)	0.00149 (0.00435)	0.00838 (0.00788)		
$\operatorname{net}_{-} we alth$			-0.0000370*** (0.0000141)			
Constant	61.53 (466517.2)	$60.92 \\ (161726.2)$	61.49 (161787.9)	34.28*** (11.69)		
Observations Adjusted R^2	9966 -0.250	9811 -0.247	9815 -0.249	2626 -0.318		

Standard errors in parentheses

Model (1) is without interaction between regional house price and house ownership. The effect of home-ownership itself does not have a significant effect for labor hours.

Model (2) is baseline. The interaction term between renter and regional house price is positive and significant, while that of owners is negative and insignificant. The overall insignificant effect of model (1) comes from the higher labor hours of renters when regional house price is higher and lower labor hours of renters when regional house price is lower.

^{*} p < 0.1, ** p < 0.05, *** p < 0.01

Model (3) includes net wealth, rather than wealth and debt separate. Model (4) is only for people living in Seoul. The patterns are similar. The signs of wealth and debt effects are expected.

2.1.2 Unemployment

Table 2: Unemployment

	(1) unemp	(2) unemp	(3) unemp	(4) unemp
Age	0.00580 (0.00603)	0.00460 (0.00611)	0.00440 (0.00611)	0.0345** (0.0136)
Age**2	-0.0000745 (0.0000907)	-0.0000403 (0.0000921)	-0.0000314 (0.0000919)	-0.000465** (0.000205)
tot_wealth	0.000000517** (0.000000216)	0.000000579*** (0.000000218)		0.000000809* (0.000000463)
$\operatorname{fin_debt}$	-0.000000122 (0.000000401)	-0.000000183 (0.000000410)		-0.000000331 (0.00000111)
Income	-0.0176*** (0.00107)	-0.0174^{***} (0.00107)	-0.0170*** (0.00106)	-0.0212*** (0.00220)
Renter	-0.0132 (0.0110)	-0.00375 (0.0188)	-0.000777 (0.0188)	-0.0296 (0.0681)
Renter*Reg.HP		-0.000102*** (0.0000240)	$-0.0000974^{***} \\ (0.0000239)$	-0.000182*** (0.0000406)
Owner*Reg.HP		-0.0000554 (0.0000738)	-0.0000440 (0.0000725)	-0.000154 (0.000163)
$\operatorname{net}_{-} \operatorname{wealth}$			0.000000385^* (0.000000228)	
Constant	-0.0531 (2552.1)	-0.00225 (0.107)	-0.00913 (0.107)	-0.417* (0.240)
Observations Adjusted R^2	12255 -0.207	12060 -0.208	12067 -0.209	3136 -0.226

Standard errors in parentheses

^{*} p < 0.1, ** p < 0.05, *** p < 0.01

2.1.3 Wage

Table 3: Wages

		Table 9. Wages		
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
	lnw	lnw	lnw	lnw
Age	0.117***	0.118***	0.119***	0.219***
	(0.00884)	(0.00897)	(0.00896)	(0.0189)
Age^{**2}	-0.00122***	-0.00125***	-0.00127***	-0.00281***
	(0.000134)	(0.000136)	(0.000136)	(0.000287)
tot_wealth	0.00000108***	0.00000100***		-0.000000723
	(0.000000350)	(0.000000354)		(0.000000660)
$\operatorname{fin_{-}debt}$	-0.00000179***	-0.00000156**		-0.00000255
	(0.000000628)	(0.000000644)		(0.00000158)
Income	0.0563***	0.0558***	0.0559***	0.0722***
	(0.00197)	(0.00198)	(0.00194)	(0.00402)
Renter	0.0113	-0.0415	-0.0334	-0.187**
	(0.0174)	(0.0303)	(0.0302)	(0.0943)
Renter*Reg.HP		0.0000555	0.0000614*	0.000274^{***}
		(0.0000353)	(0.0000352)	(0.0000577)
Owner*Reg.HP		-0.000188	-0.000202*	-0.000182
		(0.000118)	(0.000116)	(0.000225)
$\operatorname{net}_{-} \operatorname{wealth}$			0.00000147^{***}	
			(0.000000376)	
Constant	-1.764	-1.763	-1.784***	-3.374***
	(3366.0)	(16172.5)	(0.157)	(0.334)
Observations	9931	9776	9780	2615
Adjusted R^2	0.161	0.154	0.155	0.203
O. 1 1	. 1			

Standard errors in parentheses * p < 0.1, ** p < 0.05, *** p < 0.01