Table 1: Optimization Results Summary

| Table 1: Optimization Results Summary | | | | | |
|---------------------------------------|---|------------|------------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------------|
| Function Name | $\begin{array}{c} \operatorname{Best} \\ \operatorname{Strat-} \\ \operatorname{egy} \end{array}$ | Iterations | Function Evalua- tions | Final Gradient Norm | Reason for Stopping |
| Rosenbrock Function | Strategy 1 | 1000 | 5896 | 4.19×10^{-5} | Maximum iterations reached |
| Rastrigin Function | Strategy 2 | 3 | 48 | 2.77×10^{-8} | Step tolerance reached |
| Raydan2 Function | Strategy 1, 2 | 9 | 52 | 4.20×10^{-10} | Gradient tolerance reached |
| Beale Function | Strategy 1 | 28 | 147 | 2.19×10^{-9} | Gradient tolerance reached |
| Matyas Function | Strategy 1, 3 | 2 | 5 | 1.26×10^{-17} | Gradient tolerance reached |
| Powell Singular Function | Strategy 2 | 22 | 127 | 4.76×10^{-9} | Gradient tolerance reached |
| Sphere Function | Strategy 1, 3 | 2 | 5 | 0.0 | Gradient tolerance reached |
| Booth Function | Strategy 1, 2, 3 | 2 | 5 | 3.97×10^{-15} | Gradient tolerance reached |
| Styblinski Tang Function | Strategy 3 | 12 | 87 | 7.11×10^{-14} | Gradient tolerance reached |
| McCormick Function | Strategy 1, 2, 3 | 4 | 13 | 6.58×10^{-13} | Gradient tolerance reached |
| Easom Function | Strategy 1, 2, 3 | 3 | 12 | 6.57×10^{-13} | Gradient tolerance reached |
| Wood Function | Did not converge | 1 | 15 | 4.0 | Step tolerance reached |
| Helical Valley Function | Did not converge | 1 | 15 | 66.53 | Step tolerance reached |