

EXHIBIT H

In-Person Meeting with Lindsey Bloch on March 18, 2023

The following exhibit provides a detailed account of my in-person meeting with Lindsey Bloch and her husband, Jonathan Poritsky, at their home on March 18, 2023, the day after the passing of the Decedent, June Bloch. This account is being submitted to the Court as part of my Petition to Contest the Probated Will of June Bloch. It highlights critical insights into Lindsey Bloch's actions and behavior, which underscore patterns of exclusion, neglect, and financial exploitation that support my claims of undue influence, elder abuse, and estate mismanagement.

This document demonstrates:

1. **Exclusion from the Decedent's Care:** Lindsey's deliberate failure to inform me of June's deteriorating health, hospitalization, or passing deprived me of the opportunity to assist in her care or say goodbye.
2. **Neglectful Caregiving:** Lindsey's own descriptions of June's last years illustrate the inadequate care provided to the Decedent, exacerbating her suffering and underscoring the need for professional care that was never sought.
3. **Financial Questions and Estate Access:** Lindsey's refusal to allow me access to the Decedent's home and her focus on costly renovations and luxury expenses raise significant questions about the management and use of the Decedent's assets.

This exhibit is intended to provide further evidence of the Decedent's suffering under unqualified and neglectful caregiving, Lindsey Bloch's exclusionary tactics, and the deliberate isolation and financial decisions that form the foundation of my claims in this petition.

Context of the Meeting

On March 18, 2023, the day after my Aunt June's passing, I visited Lindsey Bloch at her home. This was a profoundly emotional encounter, as I was grappling with the unexpected loss of a maternal figure in my life while also discovering unsettling details about her final years. The meeting revealed significant insights into Lindsey's exclusionary actions, neglectful caregiving, and potential financial misconduct, which are central to my claims.

Emotional Reception and Discussion of June's Death

Upon arrival, Lindsey greeted me at the door with a hug. While we initially shared a moment of grief, the conversation quickly shifted focus. I was devastated to learn of not only June's passing but also the recent deaths of my Great Uncle Teddy and Great Aunt Dorothy—news that Lindsey had failed to share with me beforehand and had withheld from me for years.

EXHIBIT H (Continued)

Page 2 of 4

During our discussion, Lindsey provided troubling details about June's final years, describing the caregiving experience as "nightmarish." She recounted graphic incidents of June's physical decline, including unpleasant stories about bathing her mother, cleaning up after June's severe incontinence, and other health issues, using terms such as "there was diarrhea everywhere." While Lindsey appeared to frame this narrative to highlight her own struggles, I could not help but interpret her narrative as evidence of June's immense suffering due to inadequate care.

As someone with professional training in hospice and dementia caregiving, I recognized that June's condition demanded informed, compassionate care—support that Lindsey and Jonathan were unequipped to provide. Lindsey's failure to seek professional assistance or involve me, despite my qualifications and willingness to help, deprived June of a higher quality of life during her final years.

Exclusion from Care and Estate Management

I expressed my deep frustration and pain about being excluded from June's care and not being informed of her declining health or hospitalization. I told Lindsey that had I known the severity of the situation, I would have been there to help. Lindsey's response was dismissive and deflection: "The phone works both ways—you could have called us, but you didn't."

This statement was both shocking and appalling, as I had no reason to suspect June's health had deteriorated to such an extent. It was Lindsey's responsibility as the primary caregiver to inform me of her condition. Her refusal to communicate deprived me of the opportunity to support June and Lindsey and further demonstrated her exclusionary behavior.

During the conversation, Lindsey mentioned her plans to cremate June and indicated that no memorial service would exist. While I assumed I would be invited to any arrangements, I later discovered through official documents that June had been cremated without my knowledge or involvement. This exclusion denied me the opportunity to grieve appropriately or pay my respects.

Discussion of Personal Topics and Financial Concerns

Lindsey redirected much of the conversation to herself, discussing her weight struggles and recent use of semaglutide (Wegovy) injections, which she had previously mentioned during our grocery store encounter in January 2023. Despite my financial struggles at the time, including being at risk of losing my home, Lindsey revealed that she was spending \$1,200 monthly on these injections for weight loss.

We also discussed the extensive remodeling projects Lindsey undertook after acquiring her mother's home. She proudly described the expensive and logistically challenging process of

EXHIBIT H (Continued)

Page 3 of 4

installing an oversized single-pane glass window in her living room, among other costly renovations. These revelations raise significant questions about the source of funds used for these projects, especially as they coincided with significant transfers of the Decedent's assets.

Refusal to Allow Access to the Decedent's Home

Lindsey denied my request to enter the Decedent's home. I wanted to retrieve personal belongings my aunt was storing for me, reflect on the home where I shared countless memories with my aunt, and assess whether the house had been retrofitted to accommodate June's physical and cognitive needs. Lindsey vaguely offered that I could come by "later" to pick out furniture or other items I may want. She mentioned that the more I took, the less effort she would devote to hauling away, but she never followed up or allowed me access.

This refusal not only prevented me from obtaining closure but also raised concerns about the state of the home and its contents. Had I been allowed inside, I might have noticed missing items from June's valuable collections, such as her Waterford crystal, figurines, and sculptures. Additionally, I could have assessed whether the home was adequately modified for someone in June's condition.

When I was denied entry to the home, I walked to the backyard, sat on the porch swing, and reflected on the memories I had shared with June. This moment of quiet reflection only deepened my pain at being excluded from her care and estate proceedings.

Conclusion:

The events of March 18, 2023, highlight several critical issues:

1. **Exclusion and Isolation:** Lindsey's failure to inform me of June's declining health, hospitalization, and cremation reflects a deliberate effort to exclude me from June's care and the estate.
2. **Neglectful Caregiving:** Lindsey's descriptions of her caregiving struggles reveal her lack of preparedness and understanding in managing dementia and end-of-life care.
3. **Financial Irregularities:** Lindsey's focus on costly renovations and luxury expenses raises questions about the financial management of June's assets.
4. **Denial of Closure:** By preventing me from entering the home, Lindsey deprived me of the opportunity to grieve properly, retrieve my belongings, and confirm the condition of
5. June's possessions and living environment.

EXHIBIT H (Continued)
Page 4 of 4

CERTIFICATE OF ACCURACY

I certify that the attached document, marked Exhibit H, is a true and correct copy of the original record, correspondence, or evidence in my possession. This exhibit is submitted to the Travis County Clerk for filing on February 12, 2025, in support of my claims in this matter; and that service upon all required parties shall be completed pursuant to the Texas Rules of Civil Procedure upon court acceptance and processing. I will comply with all instructions from the court regarding service and notification of interested parties.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Jaden Riley', with a stylized flourish at the end.

JADEN ALEXANDRA RILEY
Petitioner, Pro Se