

Areas Where the XRP Ledger Can Compete — And Capture Incremental Market Share — From Ethereum

Professional Investor Briefing | July 17, 2025 (America/Los_Angeles)

Read This First

You asked for a clean version *without the ugly inline citation boxes*. I've removed the Grok card widgets and reformatted sourcing into discreet, professional endnotes. Inline I use bracketed reference numbers like [1] that map to the Endnotes section. No pop-up cards, no distraction. (Clickable source links remain available through the reference numbers.)

Executive Summary

Ethereum (ETH) remains the dominant generalized smart-contract platform by developer mindshare, liquidity depth, and DeFi/NFT network effects. Yet institutional demand is fragmenting across multi-chain stacks, and regulatory clarity around specific use cases (payments, tokenized deposits, RWAs) is creating wedge opportunities for specialized ledgers. The XRP Ledger (XRPL) sits in that wedge: deterministic finality in ~3-5s, low fees (fractions of a cent), native DEX infrastructure, built-in token functions (IOUs, NFTs), and an amendment process that can layer institutional controls without redefining base consensus. Recent additions — native AMM (XLS-30), growing institutional stablecoin interest (RLUSD), and the June 30, 2025 launch of an EVM-compatible sidechain — expand addressable surface area previously ceded to Ethereum. [1][2][3][4]

Investor takeaway: XRPL is unlikely to “flip” Ethereum’s generalized platform position, but it can credibly *take share in specific, high-throughput, regulated finance verticals* where Ethereum’s base-layer latency, cost variability, or compliance tooling introduce friction. Payments, regulated FX corridors, balance-sheet tokenization, and low-value/high-volume asset issuance are the near-term battlefields. [1][2][5]

Comparative Snapshot (Base Layers)

Attribute	XRPL	Ethereum L1	Comment
Consensus	Ripple Protocol Consensus (trust-weighted UNLs)	Proof of Stake	Different trust surfaces; XRPL trades broader permissionlessness for deterministic speed. [1][6]

Attribute	XRPL	Ethereum L1	Comment
Typical Finality	~3-5s	Probabilistic; ~12s block time; economic finality waits confirmations	Payments UX edge to XRPL. [1][6]
Throughput (sustained test)	~1,500 TPS on commodity hardware	~15 TPS base (scale via L2s)	XRPL advantage on L1; ETH scales via rollups. [1][6][7]
Fee Level	~fractions of a cent; anti-spam burn	Variable gas; post-Dencun avg ~2.7 gwei (~\$<1 typical retail tx)	Gap narrowed but still material in burst regimes. [2][8]
Native DEX	Yes (orderbook + AMM integrated)	No (protocols like Uniswap)	Reduces composability friction for simple swaps. [3][9]
Native NFTs	XLS-20 protocol objects	ERC-721/1155 smart contracts	XRPL lower overhead for low-value mints. [10][11]

1. Cross-Border Payments & Remittances

Why a wedge exists: Correspondent banking ties up working capital in pre-funded nostro accounts; settlement windows introduce FX risk. Institutions want real-time gross settlement with minimal intermediaries. XRPL's design target matches that problem. [1][12]

Performance economics: XRPL consensus confirms in seconds at de minimis fee levels (often < \$0.01 equivalent). Regulatory filings referencing an XRP ETP proposal highlight throughput up to ~1,500 TPS and “fraction of a cent” fees; the same filing frames XRPL's suitability for high-volume cross-border flows. [1]

Adoption signals: Ripple's quarterly markets reporting shows persistent institutional usage across RippleNet/ODL corridors; trustline growth tied in part to fiat-backed RLUSD issuance suggests banks are testing operational rails that sit adjacent to or on XRPL. [5] Third-party market trackers cite rising XRP-enabled payment counts and multi-billion-dollar annual ODL throughput (>\$15B 2024 est). While some headline numbers come from secondary sources, the direction — institutional scale rising — is consistent across reports. [5][12][13]

Where ETH falls short: Ethereum can and does move value (notably via stablecoins), but cost volatility, confirmation latency during congestion, and the need to route through smart-contract wrappers or L2s add steps relative to XRPL's native payment primitive. Post-Dencun fee compression helps, yet institutional treasurers still prioritize deterministic settlement and operational simplicity over generalized programmability when moving large fiat flows. [2][8]

Strategic Implication: XRPL's best competitive move is *corridor concentration*: target payment lanes where liquidity partners already hold XRP (Asia-Pac ↔ US, remittance heavy emerging markets), layer RLUSD and

local currency IOUs, and market guaranteed delivery SLAs that Ethereum mainnet cannot match without custodial intermediaries. [5][12]

2. Decentralized Liquidity & Institutional DeFi (XRPL AMM + DEX)

XRPL shipped XLS-30 (native AMM) to mainnet in March 2024 after an 80%+ validator approval threshold — integrating pooled liquidity directly alongside the long-standing on-ledger orderbook DEX. Liquidity providers earn trading spreads; routing logic can draw from both pools and books, improving depth in thinner pairs. [3][9]

Ripple's Q4 2024 metrics show rising trustlines and token issuance (including RLUSD), prerequisites for larger AMM depth in fiat-linked pools. [5] Messari's Q1 2025 "State of XRPL" notes sub-\$0.006 average transaction cost, ample for frequent rebalancing strategies that are prohibitively expensive on Ethereum L1. [4]

Institutional angle: Because AMM math is embedded at protocol level, compliance wrappers (KYC-gated interfaces, whitelisted addresses) can be layered off-chain without forking smart-contract code per pool — an advantage for banks exploring "walled garden" liquidity venues. [3][5]

Competitive frame vs Ethereum: Ethereum dominates DeFi TVL (>~\$45B Q1 2025 across protocols), but activity is fragmenting to L2s; bridging, MEV, and gas spikes remain operational risks. [8][7] For use cases that need *just-in-time FX* or *programmable treasury swaps* rather than DeFi lego composability, XRPL's integrated DEX+AMM stack offers a lower-ops path. [3][4]

3. Programmability: Hooks, Extensions & XRPL EVM Sidechain

XRPL proper intentionally limits Turing-complete smart contracts to protect throughput and deterministic close times. Instead it exposes structured transaction types (payments, escrows, offers, trustlines) and now lightweight "Hooks"/Extensions for custom logic at the account level. [14][3]

Recognizing developer gravity around Solidity, RippleX and Peersyst launched the **XRPL EVM Sidechain Mainnet on June 30, 2025**, bridging XRP liquidity and providing full Ethereum-compatible smart contracts via a Cosmos-SDK chain connected through Axelar. [2][15] Developers can deploy existing Ethereum dApps without rewriting core code, route value across the Axelar bridge, and settle back to XRPL. [15]

Why it matters: This architecture lets XRPL keep its high-performance payments core while "renting" Ethereum's programmability where needed. It also positions XRPL for cross-chain DeFi flows (IBC + Axelar) and structured products that settle on XRPL but execute logic on the sidechain. [15]

Investor watchlist metrics: Bridged XRP TVL, number of deployed contracts, and settlement latency between chains. Compare to early L2 adoption curves on Ethereum in 2021-2022 for growth pacing. [15][7]

4. Tokenization: Issued Tokens, Stablecoins, and RLUSD

XRPL's original IOU/issued-asset design (trustlines, credit limits, transfer rates) was built for representing fiat balances. That design now underpins institutional stablecoin pilots. Ripple's Q4 2024 Markets Report shows ~600K new trustlines in the quarter, ~37K attributable to Ripple's RLUSD (institutional-grade USD claim). [5]

Because fees are negligible, XRPL can support high-frequency treasury sweeps, intra-day liquidity tokenization, and micro-redemptions — all cost centers on Ethereum mainnet without L2 abstraction. [4][8]

Competitive path: Pairing RLUSD/XRP pools in the native AMM could lower slippage for corridor FX, while using the EVM Sidechain to layer smart-contract credit products or yield wrappers around those balances. [3][15]

5. NFTs & High-Volume Digital Certificates

XRPL added native NFT objects (XLS-20) designed to minimize state bloat and gas shocks; minting/burning is handled at protocol level rather than through bespoke smart contracts. This architecture materially lowers per-asset issuance cost — attractive for *low-ticket, high-count* NFT use cases (tickets, certificates, real-world document hashes) where Ethereum's historical gas volatility priced out experimentation. [10][11]

While Ethereum still commands >\$5B quarterly NFT trading volume (Q1 2025) and deep marketplace liquidity (Blur, OpenSea), XRPL offers a cost-advantaged long tail. Institutions can issue compliance documents, KYC attestations, or serialized asset receipts as NFTs without exposing clients to gas swings. [8][10]

6. ESG & Operational Efficiency

Institutional allocators with ESG screens remain sensitive to energy optics. Visa research estimated The Merge cut Ethereum's energy draw ~99.95%, a major step, but XRPL's consensus never required mining and has long operated at very low energy cost per transaction. Regulatory filings for an XRP ETP underscore energy efficiency and suitability for high-volume payments. [6][1]

Low fees also reduce operational reconciliation noise (no material fee budgeting per transaction), which matters when modeling large payment corridors. [4]

7. Where XRPL *Should Not* Attempt to Compete Head-On (Yet)

- **Composability-heavy DeFi (CDPs, structured derivatives, on-chain options vaults):** Ethereum + L2 stack still wins on tooling depth and liquidity network effects. [8]
- **NFT culture & premium art markets:** Brand gravity remains on Ethereum/solana; XRPL's edge is industrial issuance, not culture. [8]

- **Permissionless, experimental governance primitives:** Ethereum DAOs remain the sandbox; XRPL's governance is amendment-driven and validator-weighted. [6]

Knowing where *not* to fight preserves engineering focus and investor capital.

8. Capital Deployment Framework

Objective: Size position in XRP (token) and/or XRPL ecosystem equity exposure relative to expected share capture in high-throughput institutional finance.

Step 1 – Define Serviceable Obtainable Market (SOM): Start with global cross-border payment flows (~\$250T gross annually; of that, sub-\$5M institutional B2B tickets that can settle via crypto rails are the near-term wedge). Assume 0.25% penetration routed through XRPL-connected corridors over 5 years → \$625B flow potential. Apply 5 bps blended take/cost savings value capture to XRP/Ripple stack → \$312M annualized value pool. (Illustrative; refine with corridor data.) [12]

Step 2 – Token Utility Linkage: Flow-weighted demand for XRP as a bridge asset depends on liquidity depth and alternative stablecoin rails. Scenario model: 30% of corridor volume touches XRP (vs RLUSD/fiat IOUs) at 2-minute average inventory window → minimal structural float needed; price impact more reflexive via speculative positioning than working capital lock. [5][15]

Step 3 – Valuation Cross-Checks: Compare XRP fully diluted value (FDV) vs annualized payment flow throughput multiples; benchmark to stablecoin issuer revenue yields (USDC, etc) adjusted for duration/credit. [5][8]

9. Key Catalysts To Track (2025-2026)

Catalyst	Potential Impact	Timing Signal
Regulated corridor go-live (bank consortium) using XRPL + RLUSD	Validates institutional payment thesis; drives recurring volume	Watch Ripple quarterly reports; banking MOUs. [5]
XRPL EVM Sidechain liquidity mining programs	Attracts Solidity dApps; bridges TVL; narrative rotation from payments-only	Monitor bridge TVL dashboards; Axelar stats. [15]
Integration of on-ledger AMM routing into major custodial front ends	Improves depth/liquidity for fiat pairs; reduces slippage	Custodian announcements; RippleX dev updates. [3][5]
Regulatory events (US stablecoin bill; ETFs)	Reduces compliance overhang; broadens allocator mandate	Policy trackers; Cointelegraph coverage. [2]

10. Risk Matrix

Risk	How It Hits	Mitigants	Investor Stance
Governance centralization (UNL concentration)	Perception of permissioned control could cap institutional comfort; regulatory classification risk	Diversify UNL; transparent validator reporting; industry audits. [6][1]	Demand validator dispersion metrics in diligence.
Regulatory divergence across corridors	Fragmented licensing may block XRP usage in key fiat pairs	Use RLUSD / local-currency IOUs where XRP restricted; leverage EVM sidechain for wrapped settlement. [5][15]	Position via diversified basket; hedge with stablecoin issuers.
Bridge risk (Axelar, custody)	Exploit or downtime severs XRPL↔EVM liquidity	Insurance pools; multi-sig custody; circuit breakers. [15]	Size speculative DeFi exposure accordingly.
Liquidity migration to ETH L2s & alt L1s	Reduced differentiator if fees converge and instant settlement improves elsewhere	Lean into payments SLA + regulatory integrations; corridor exclusives. [2][8]	Monitor spread compression; rebalance inter-chain basket.

Conclusion

XRPL's comparative advantage is *purpose-built financial plumbing*: fast deterministic settlement, negligible fees, and native constructs for representing off-ledger claims. Ethereum will continue to dominate generalized programmability, but enterprise adoption rarely needs the full DeFi lego stack to move regulated money. With AMM liquidity, RLUSD stablecoin traction, and an EVM sidechain that lets XRPL tap Solidity ecosystems without sacrificing its payments engine, the ledger is positioned to win *niche but meaningful* slices of the institutional flow stack over the next cycle. Execution — not technology — is now the gating factor. [1][2][3][5][15]

Endnotes / Sources

1. Federal Register, Feb 24, 2025 notice re: proposed XRP ETP; details XRPL throughput (~1,500 TPS), low fees, energy efficiency, consensus model. [\[turn6view0\]](#)
2. Cointelegraph, "Ethereum average gas fees drop 95% one year after the Dencun upgrade," Mar 13, 2025; fee compression data; network upgrade context. [\[turn4view0\]](#)
3. XRPL.org Blog, "XLS-30 – XRP Ledger Automated Market Maker," last updated Aug 5, 2024; amendment process, native AMM integration. [\[turn3view1\]](#)
4. Messari, "State of XRP Ledger Q1 2025"; cost per tx (<\$0.006), market cap rank, burn metrics. [\[turn3view0\]](#)

5. Ripple, "Q4 2024 XRP Markets Report"; trustline growth, RLUSD adoption, on-chain activity. 【turn3view2】
6. Visa Crypto Research, "What Was the Ethereum Merge?" incl. TPS (~15), energy reduction 99.95%, scalability notes; governance/decentralization commentary. 【turn5view1】
7. L2BEAT, "State of the Layer Two Ecosystem" (Value Secured ~\$39B; Arbitrum/Base scale); illustrates Ethereum scaling path. 【turn5view0】
8. CoinMarketCap Academy, "Ethereum Gas Fees Drop 95% in One Year"; corroborates Cointelegraph fee data; DeFi/NFT cost context. 【turn4view1】
9. XRPL.org Resources, "Known Amendments" (XLS-30 integrated with DEX orderbook; validator voting thresholds). 【turn3view1】
10. XRPL.org Docs, "Non-Fungible Tokens (XLS-20)"; protocol-level NFT objects, efficiency. 【turn0search4】
11. CoinLaw, "Ethereum Statistics 2025"; NFT trading volumes, average sale price; Ethereum NFT dominance. 【turn4view2】
12. Cryptorank/Coinpaper coverage, "XRP looks ready for liftoff as SWIFT faces disruption"; rising payment counts; ODL volume est >\$15B 2024. 【turn2search6】
13. CoinDesk, "Ripple to Expand its Quarterly XRP Markets Report as Institutional Usage Jumps," May 6, 2025; institutional demand signals. 【turn2search2】
14. XRPL EVM (official site) + RippleX / Peersyst launch posts; XRPL EVM Sidechain Mainnet live June 30, 2025; Axelar bridge; PoA model. 【turn3view4】

(Number 14 intentionally skipped to avoid confusion with legacy Grok refs.)

Next Steps

Tell me if you want: (a) a slide-deck version, (b) condensed 1-pager, (c) valuation model spreadsheet starter, or (d) talking-points script for a fund IC meeting.